Reinforced Concrete Box Culvert Design

Extension of existing RCB’s or increase in overfills over existing RCB’s must be given careful consideration. The assumptions made on RCB designs prior to 1977 have been modified, based on Caltrans culvert research program. All existing RCB’s should be checked by our new design criteria, if extended or if loads are increased. Prior to 1977, the previous designs considered only a 30% side pressure. Caltrans’ culvert research has resulted in the application of load factor design and the establishment of two design conditions of loading for reinforced concrete box culvert design, i.e., 140V:42H, 140V:140H.

In most cases, with smaller sized RCB’s and overfills less than 10 or 20 feet, the existing RCB’s are structurally adequate. The fact that RCB’s were based on service load design prior to 1977, also has, in some cases, provided a reserve design capacity. The introduction of P-13 loadings had a negligible effect on the evaluation of existing RCB’s. The side wall capacity in larger size RCB’s is usually the weakest “link” of existing RCB’s under current loadings and needs special attention.

The introduction of changed loading conditions, such as a superimposed sound wall, has required removal and replacement of an existing RCB in one case, and encasement of the existing RCB in other cases.

A design review should be made by the Division of Structures when an extension, or increased loading, over an existing RCB culvert is proposed. The age, strength, and physical condition of the existing culvert should be considered. In addition, some existing RCB’s may be designed only for an H15 load. “As-Built” drawings may be available from Headquarters (1120 N Street). If “As-Built” plans are not available, but the year of the design or construction is known, previous standard plans can be referenced (located in the Underground Structures Unit). If none of the above are available, core samples or other means of determining strength may be necessary. Additional soils data should be provided on all RCB extensions.

An analysis of the existing RCB should be made using the current RCB program, to assure that there is no overstress. Follow procedures in Chapter 6, Underground Structures, Bridge Design Practice; and design criteria in Bridge Design Specifications, Section 6 – Culverts, and Section 17 – Concrete Culverts.

Procedure:

1. Check the condition and strength of the existing RCB.
2. Review the As-Built or previous standard plans, if available, to determine original design method, loadings, and design material strengths. If unavailable, core samples may be taken.

Supersedes Memo to Designer 23-1 dated April 1988
3. Provide log of test borings where required. [See HDM 829.2(1)].
4. Check the allowable capacity of the existing culvert using current Caltrans design criteria.
5. Design the extension using current design standards D80, D81, and D82 – or provide a special
design using present criteria. Note that the Standard D82 sheet provides some special RCB
extension details.

Design Policy

For existing RCB's being extended, but where there is no additional load to be added, the minimum
factors of safety shall be:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component</th>
<th>Factor of Safety</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Roof and invert</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Walls</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

If the above factors are met or exceeded and the box is in good condition with no signs of distress (cracking),
retrofitting will not be necessary.

The factor of safety may be determined by adjusting the load factors in the RCB program and comparing
the area of steel required to that provided. If the factor of safety is less than minimum, the subject member
must be retrofitted.

For existing RCB's where there is additional load being added, the box must meet present design criteria
or be retrofitted.
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