

FTA Traditional Section 5310 Enhanced Mobility of Seniors and Individuals with Disabilities

## Quantitative Scoring & Project Rating Worksheets

## CONTENTS

		Page	Maximum Points
Section I	Ability of Applicant	2	32
Section II	Coordination Planning	3	18
	Coordinated Plan Req. (12 pts)		
	Coordination - Use of Vehicles/Equipment (6 pts)		
Section III	Transportation Service		20
	Replacement	4	
	Service Expansion	5	
	Other Equipment	6	
Section IV	Service Effectiveness	7	30
	Project Scoring Form	8	
	Maximum Total Per Requested F	Project	100

Scored by:	(MPO/RTPA Name and Phone Number)
Agency subr	nitting Application:
Signature of	Person Verifying Eligibility of Applicant and Scoring

#### **Quantitative Scoring & Project Rating**

(See Application Part III – Pg. 20-28)

Evidence of an applicant's experience and history of providing efficient and effective transit services.

	50010
<b>1a.</b> Applicant has experience providing existing specialized transportation services for seniors or individuals with disabilities for:	
More than 5 years = 4	
3 to 5 years = 3	
1 to < 3 years = 2	
Less than 1 year = 0	
OR	
1b.	
Applicant has experience in providing social services (non-transportation) for seniors or individuals with disabilities:	
Applicant demonstrates support from the local RTPA or CTSA (attach letter) = 2	
And applicant has provided social services for	
More than 3 years = 2	
1 to 3 years = 1	
Less than 1 year = 0	
Scoring criteria for the following questions:	
0 = Does not address question 1 = Addresses question without attaching relevant documentation.	
2 = Addresses question completely and attaches relevant documentation	
2. Driver training program:	
New and continuing driver training, including classroom and road testing = 2	
Sensitivity Training, Emergency Preparedness, First Aid, and CPR = 2	
<b>3. Dispatching Plan:</b> Description of dispatching plan with ongoing training = 2	
4. Maintenance plan including the following:	
Daily Pre- and post- trip inspection description with inspection forms = 2	
Preventative and routine maintenance description, with maintenance schedule and forms = 2	
Contingency plans for when equipment is not available for service = 2	
5. California Highway Patrol (CHP) Inspections	
Inclusion of satisfactory CHP or Caltrans inspection or documentation that such an inspection is not required = 2	
Annual Budget/Fund Sources:	
6. Agency describes other funding received or why other funding is not available = 2	
7. Qualified audit for agency included with no instances of non-compliance = 2	
Emergency Operations and Response Planning:	
8. Emergency planning and drill activities, and county coordination. = 2	
<ol> <li>Identified available accessible vehicles (including capacity) to the county for use in emergency evacuations. = 2</li> </ol>	
Proposed Budget for Transportation Program:	
<b>10</b> . All sources of estimated income are identified for proposed project. = 2	
<b>11.</b> Budget for applicant agency includes prior, current, and budget year. = 2	
<b>12</b> . *Appropriate funding source for local match is identified*. = 2	
*For this cycle – Local Match is not required, award is 100% Federally Matched (2 automatic Points) and is not asked in application	
Total Points Maximum 32	

#### SECTION – I Ability of Applicant

Score

#### **Quantitative Scoring & Project Rating**

(See Application Part III - Pg. 29-32)

#### SECTION – II Coordination Planning

0 - Does not address question and/or does not include Coordinated Plan section or page number

3 - Addresses question & indicated Coordinated Plan section and/or page number

COORDINATED PLAN REQUIREMENTS Maximum 12 points (3 points per question)

*Element 1:* An assessment of available services that identifies current transportation providers (public, private, and non-profit).

1. Generally describes available non-profit, public transit or Paratransit, including fixed route, dial-a-ride, and ADA complementary Paratransit services as contained in the Coordinated Plan by section and/or page number.

**Element 2**: An assessment of transportation needs for individuals with disabilities or seniors. This assessment can be based on the experiences and perceptions of the planning partners or on more sophisticated data collection efforts, and gaps in service.

2. Describes transportation needs of individuals with disabilities or seniors to be served by the proposed project as contained in the Coordinated Plan by section and/or page number.

*Element 3:* Strategies, activities, and/or projects to address the identified gaps between current services and needs, as well as opportunities to achieve efficiencies in service delivery.

 Identifies coordination strategies activities and/or efficiencies by name. Accurately describes how this project addresses strategies, activities and/or efficiencies. Includes section and/or page number of Coordinated Plan.

*Element 4:* Priorities for implementation based on resources (from multiple program sources), time, and feasibility for implementing specific strategies and/or activities identified.

4. Identifies the Coordinated Plan's implementation priorities. Accurately describes <u>how this</u> <u>project addresses them</u>. Includes section and/or page number of Coordinated Plan.

Total Planning Score Maximum 12

**COORDINATION – USE OF VEHICLES/EQUIPMENT** Maximum 6 points (3 points each) (See Application Part III – Pgs.33-34) Verify required letters are attached for 1 and 2 or 3.

<ol> <li>Clearly describes how vehicles, equipment or services in agency's existing fleet are used to provide coordinated service for another agency's clients or how these vehicles are shared with another agency(s).</li> </ol>	
<ul> <li>2. Clearly describes plan for coordinating use of requested vehicle(s) or equipment. (1 point per type of coordination or sharing of resources, up to 3 points.) Examples: <ul> <li>Shared use of vehicles</li> <li>Dispatching or scheduling</li> <li>Maintenance</li> <li>Back up transportation</li> <li>Staff training programs</li> <li>Joint procurement of services and supplies from funding sources other than Section 5310</li> <li>Active participation in local social service transportation planning process</li> <li>Coordination of client trip(s) with other transportation agencies</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	
OR	
<ol> <li>Clearly identifies attempts the agency has made to coordinate. Explains why coordinating isn't possible. Provides supporting documentation letter from CTSA or RTPA confirming that no opportunities for coordination currently exist for requested equipment.</li> </ol>	
Total Coordination of Vehicles Score Maximum 6	

(See Application Part III – Pg. 35 Existing Services)

#### **Existing Transportation Services**

**REPLACEMENT –** Vehicles to be replaced that are currently in Active Service.

#### VEHICLE USEFUL LIFE CRITERIA

TYPE OF VEHICLE	EXISTING VEHICLE MILES AND AGE	SCORE
	175,000 to 200,000 or 8 years	20
Minivan (Class D), Standard	150,000 to 174,999 or 7 years	15
Van (Class V)	125,000 to 149,999 or 6 years	10
	100,00 to 124,999 or 5 years	5
	Less than 100,000 miles or 4 years old not eligible	0
	225,000 - 250,000 or 9 years	20
Small Bus (Class A), Medium	200,000 – 224,999 or 8 years	15
Bus (Class B, Large Bus	175,000 – 199,999 or 7 years	10
(Class C)	150,000 – 174,999 or 6 years	5
	Less than 150,000 or 5 years not eligible	0
	275,000 – 300,000 or 11 years	20
	250,000 – 274,999 or 10 years	15
Larger Bus (Class E)	225,000 – 249,999 or 9 years	10
	200,000 – 224,999 or 8 years	5
	Less than 200,000 or 7 years not eligible	0

**Replacement:** Determination that an applicant's vehicle needs to be replaced in order to continue its existing transportation services. For each new vehicle requested a vehicle currently in **active service** will be removed and sold or placed into backup service. Sedans and SUV's are no longer eligible as replacement vehicles.

Active Service: Vehicle is providing service throughout the agency's normal days and hours of operation.

**Excessive Maintenance:** Vehicle does not meet minimum useful life but needs to be replaced due to excessive maintenance. Requests must have prior approval from the Branch Chief of Specialized Transit Grants.

Use the chart belo	Maximum 20 points each				
Type of Vehicle	VIN - last 5 numbers	Disposition: Sell or Backup	Mileage	Age	Score

\* If requesting new system (base station and mobile radios) score under Other Equipment.

(See Application Part III – Pg. 36 Proposed Services)

#### **Proposed Transportation Services**

NEW OR SERVICE EXPANSION – Determination that requested additional equipment would be fully utilized (days and hours, passenger trips, service area) including usage of vehicle by another agency through a coordination plan.

#### Use the chart below to score each new or service expansion vehicle.

Round to the nearest whole number.

Score

Projected service hours p existing service hours by	per week to be provided with requested vehicle will	increase total		
> 38 = 7 points	27  to  29 = 3			
36  to  38 = 6				
33 to 35 = 5				
	< 20  hours = 0  points			
AND Projected number of daily one-way Passenger Trips divided by Proposed total vehicle service hours:				
> 7 per service hour = 7 po				
7 = 6	3 = 2			
6 = 5				
5 = 4	< 2 per service hour = 0 points			
AND Projected number of r	niles for proposed vehicle per day is:			
> 105 miles per vehicle= 6	points			
91 to 105 = 5	46  to  60 = 2			
76  to  90 = 4	30 to 45 = 1			
61 to 75 = 3	< 30 miles per vehicle = 0 points			

Maximum 20 Points

Proposed New or SE Vehicle	Total Score Each Vehicle

(See Application Part III – Pg. 37 Other Equipment)

# OTHER EQUIPMENT - Determination that ancillary equipment will provide critical support to the applicant's transportation program.

Use the chart below to score each equipment request.
--

Criteria	Points	Score
1. Equipment will coordinate fleet of <b>10 or more</b> vehicles (pg. 18)		
10	15	
9	13	
8	11	
7	9	
6	7	
5	5	
4	3	
1-3 vehicles	1	

2. Applicant is currently using manual system for scheduling, vehicle tracking, etc. And/or has no dispatch communication equipment. (Application pages 18-19)	5	
OR		
<ol> <li>Applicant needs to replace inadequate equipment to improve efficiency. (Application pages 18-19)</li> </ol>		
More than 5 years	5	
	3	
3 to 5 years		
3 to 5 years Less than 3 years	0	

**Other Equipment:** Computer system, Software, Maintenance equipment, Communication system or other.

Describe and Score <b>each</b> request		Maximum Points 20		
	Equipment Requested	Score		

Document Updated 6/7/2023

(See Application Part III – Pgs. 35-37 Transportation Service) - Service Effectiveness Determination that existing fleet is fully utilized (days and hours, passenger trips and service area) including usage of vehicle(s) by another agency through a coordination plan.

Round to the ne	arest whole number.
-----------------	---------------------

	d to the nearest whole number.								
Exist	ing transportation provider: Total service ho	ours per week divided by number of							
	les ( <u>excluding vehicles in back up service</u> ). <i>R</i>								
Vonite	OR								
Firet		neneien. Total projected comiles hours							
	time transportation provider or Service Ex								
per w	eek divided by number of vehicles ( <u>excluding</u>		SCORE						
	Over 36 hours per week = 10	27 to 28 = 5							
	35 to 36 = 9	25 to 26 = 4							
	33  to  34 = 8	23  to  24 = 3							
	31  to  32 = 7	20  to  24 = 0 20 to 22 = 2							
	29 to 30 = 6	0-19 hours per week = $0$							
AND	Existing transportation provider: Sum of the	he total one-way passenger trips per							
	day divided by total service hours per day (e)								
	Attachment AA:								
	OR								
	First-time transportation provider or Service Expansion: Projected number of								
daily one-way passenger trips divided by total vehicle service hours:									
	Over 8 passengers per service hour = 10	3 to 4 = 4							
	7  to  8 = 8	1  to  2 = 2							
	5 to 6 = 6	no passenger per service hour = 0							
AND	Existing transportation provider: Total mile	es per day divided by number of							
	vehicles (excluding backup vehicles) Refer t	o Attachment AA:							
OR									
••••									
First-time transportation provider or Service Expansion: Projected number of									
	miles for requested vehicle per day:		SCORE						
	Over 102 miles per vehicle = $10$	55  to  62 = 4							
	95 to $102 = 9$	47  to  54 = 3							
	87  to  94 = 8	39  to  46 = 2							
	79 to $86 = 7$	30  to  38 = 1							
	71  to  78 = 6	0-29 miles per vehicle = $0$							
	63  to  70 = 5								
Addi	tional Points Possible -Total cannot exceed								
	Existing transportation provider: Current	wheelchair/lift users as a percentage of							
current total users. Refer to Attachment AA:									
	OR								
	First-time transportation provider or Serv	vice Expansion: Projected							
			SCORE						
wheelchair/lift users as a percentage of current total users:									
	More than 65% = 10	41 to 45% = 5							
	61 to 65% = 9	36 to 40% = 4							
	56 to 60% = 8	31 to 35% = 3							
	51 to 55% = 7	26 to 30% = 2							
	46  to  50% = 6	20  to  25% = 1							
	40 10 50 /8 - 0								
		0-19% = 0							
		Total Score							
Maximum 30									

Document Updated 6/7/2023

Agency:	MPO/RTPA:						
	Project Request	If Replacement Vehicle - VIN	Sect 1 (Max 32pts)	Sect II (Max 18pts)	Sect III (Max 20pts)	Sect IV (Max 30pts)	Total (Max 100pts)
1							
2							
3							
4							
5							
6							
7							
8							
9							
10							
11							
12							
13							