

# Chapter 3: Existing Freight Assets & System Performance Needs Assessment

- A. Existing Freight System Assets
- B. Freight System Performance Assessment

## 3.A. Existing Freight System Assets


California has one of the most extensive, complex, and interconnected freight systems in the nation. With a rich history of freight infrastructure development dating to the opening of the first transcontinental railroad in 1869, California’s freight network has become a vital economic force that connects the state to the rest of the country and the world. According to the California Chamber of Commerce, California’s economy is the 5<sup>th</sup> largest in the world, and the State’s freight network plays a major role in securing its global economic position.<sup>1</sup>

In 2018, California exported to 230 foreign markets, valued at approximately \$178 billion,<sup>2</sup> up from \$172 billion in 2017 and \$163.5 billion in 2016.<sup>3</sup> The freight system also facilitates commerce internally. The State’s current core freight system is comprised of 1 private and 11 public and deep-water seaports, numerous private port and terminal facilities, 12 airports with major cargo operations, 2 Class I railroads and 27 short line railroads operating over approximately 6,500 miles of railroad track, approximately 5,800 miles of high traffic volume Interstate and State highways, seven existing and one future commercial land border ports of entry (POE) with Mexico, intermodal transfer facilities, approximately 19,390 miles of hazardous liquid (includes crude oil, refined petroleum products, and other highly volatile liquids) and natural gas pipelines, a vast warehousing and distribution sector, and numerous local connector roads that complete the “last mile.”

Maintaining and modernizing this extensive freight system requires continuous investment. Ports and their navigation channels must be dredged for ever larger ships; railroad track must be upgraded to handle heavier loads and faster trains; highway pavement must be strengthened to handle more trucks with more cargo; airports must balance passenger and air-freight demands; and innovative technologies must be developed and applied across the entire industry to improve efficiency and reduce costs. California must meet these daunting needs while also ensuring community and environmental impacts are avoided, minimized, or mitigated. At the same time, California must also meet the challenge of maintaining international competitiveness and retaining millions of freight related jobs.

California’s freight assets include an extensive inventory of infrastructure that is essential for supporting the multitude and diversity of freight dependent industries within the state. The smooth functioning of California’s complex freight system depends on a series of interconnected facilities working in concert with one another. Each system component is typically owned and operated by a different public or private organization, often in competition with other organizations that have similar facilities. Seaports compete against each other for domestic and international business. The Class I railroads that serve California are the nation’s two largest railroads and are competitors, yet they also often coordinate their operations to safely share the same track. And like the railroads, each trucking company is in competition with many other trucking and logistics firms and owner/operators. Still, the whole system works remarkably well due to a web of cooperative relationships and partnerships. **Figure 3A.1** highlights California’s major freight facilities.

**Figure 3A.1. California's Major Freight Facilities**



Source: Caltrans 2020

## National Highway System (NHS)

According to the FHWA, the National Highway System<sup>4</sup> consists of roadways important to the nation's economy, defense, and mobility. The NHS includes the following subsystems of roadways (note, a specific highway route may be on more than one subsystem):

- Interstate: The Eisenhower Interstate System of highways retains its separate identity within the NHS.
- Other Principal Arterials: These are highways in rural and urban areas which provide access between an arterial and a major port, airport, public transportation facility, or other intermodal transportation facility.
- Strategic Highway Network (STRAHNET): This is a network of highways which are important to the United States' strategic defense policy and which provide defense access, continuity and emergency capabilities for defense purposes.
- Major Strategic Highway Network Connectors: These are highways which provide access between major military installations and highways which are part of the Strategic Highway Network.
- Intermodal Connectors: These highways provide access between major intermodal facilities and the other four subsystems making up the National Highway System. Within California, there are currently 122 miles.<sup>5</sup>

## National Highway Freight Network in California

The National Highway Freight Network (NHFN) consists of the following subcategories: The Primary Highway Freight System (PHFS) including routes and connectors, portions of the Interstate System not part of the PHFS (non-PHFS), Critical Rural Freight Corridors (CRFC), and Critical Urban Freight Corridors (CUFC). The CRFCs and CUFCs are important freight corridors that provide critical connectivity to the NHFN. **Table 3A.1** shows the four California freight systems and their respective total lengths in miles. The full list of routes and facilities that comprise the various systems is presented in **Appendix D**.

One of the more dynamic components advised through the FAST Act is the process of designating the critical corridors initiated by Metropolitan Planning Organizations (MPO) for CUFCs and initiated by Caltrans for CRFCs. Designating CUFCs and CRFCs is a collaborative effort and all miles must be certified by the FHWA. For the CUFC/CRFC Designation Process, refer to **Appendix E**.

**Table 3A.1. National Highway Freight Network in California<sup>6</sup>**

<b>Freight System</b>	<b>Total Length (Miles)</b>
California Primary Highway Freight System (PHFS)	3117.72
<i>California PHFS Routes</i>	<i>3053.71</i>
<i>California PHFS Intermodal Connectors</i>	<i>64.01</i>
California Non-PHFS Interstate Highway	362.64
Critical Urban Freight Corridors	162.08
Critical Rural Freight Corridors	44.5

As stated above, California has a vast inventory of freight assets, allowing the State to support various freight dependent industries and making it a top competitor with neighboring states such as, Oregon, Nevada, and Arizona. **Table 3A.2** compares California’s PHFS miles, Truck VMT, and Rail Miles with its neighboring states.


**Table 3A.2. California’s Freight Movement Compared to Neighboring States**

State	PHFS (Miles) <sup>7</sup>	Truck VMT* (% of State’s total VMT) <sup>8</sup>	Rail Miles <sup>9</sup>
California	3117.72	20.9%	4,828
Oregon	775.32	32.1%	2,382
Nevada	572.79	21.4%	1,193
Arizona	1025.62	24.9%	1,820
*Truck VMT are listed as a percentage of the respective State’s total VMT			

Intermodal connections are an essential consideration in the discussion of freight movement within California. These connections provide access to intermodal facilities where transloading of freight occurs between multiple modes, allowing for the least amount of handling and overall delay. Intermodal connectors are generally associated with airports, seaports, rail yards, and warehousing facilities where the transfer of freight is completed on-site. The access to and from these intermodal facilities is typically located along local roadways which connect to Interstate and State Highway freight corridors and serve as the “last mile” for freight movement.

Often these local arterials and roadways have not been designed to accommodate the largest combination vehicles and are not designated Surface Transportation Assistance Act (STAA) routes. The STAA directs US DOT to create the National Network (NN) where States would be required to allow the operation of tractors with single and double trailers; it covers about 150,000 miles.<sup>10</sup> Additionally, they are not engineered to accommodate the amount of Average Annual Daily Truck Traffic (AADTT) that exists on the roadway either. Despite this, some of the roadways have among the highest AADTTs in the state. Many of the environmental and community impacts from freight can be most prevalent along these local intermodal connectors shown in **Table D.2** in **Appendix D**. In addition, **Table D.4** in **Appendix D** lists California’s freight intermodal connectors organized by type (truck/rail, truck/pipeline, port terminal, and airport) designated on the NHS.

**Figure 3A.2. National Highway Freight Network in California**



Source: Map produced using data from FHWA Freight Management and Operations, 2018


### **Interregional Transportation Strategic Plan (ITSP) 2015<sup>11</sup>**

The ITSP provides guidance for the identification and prioritization of interregional transportation improvements. Projects identified are eligible for Interregional Transportation Improvement Program (ITIP) funding. The 2015 ITSP expanded the analysis from focusing on ITIP investment in interregional highways and intercity rail to analyzing the entire interregional transportation system regardless of funding source. The purpose of the ITSP is to be a guiding document for all investments in the interregional transportation system. The 11 ITSP Strategic Interregional Corridors comprise a subset of legislatively designated interregional routes, known as the Interregional Road System (IRRS). California's IRRS includes key corridors for the movement of freight and people within the state and is currently considered Caltrans' priority for the allocation of interregional funds. **Figure 3A.3.**<sup>12</sup> shows all Strategic Interregional Corridor areas identified on California's Highway Freight Network.<sup>13</sup>

Although Caltrans has designated the Strategic Interregional Corridors for funding priority, funding has not kept pace with the costs of meeting growth demands and improving system performance and safety; the estimated cost to improve selected locations on this highway system in most of the 11 Strategic Interregional Corridors is in excess of \$10 billion.<sup>14</sup> An analysis conducted by Caltrans for the 2015 Interregional Transportation Strategic Plan showed that "SR 99 and I-5 in the San Joaquin Valley, and I-10 between Palm Springs and Arizona, bear the greatest load of interregional freight trips (five-axle trucks) per facility than any other in the state outside of the major urban areas".<sup>15</sup> These routes have higher than average volumes of large, long-haul trucks using all lanes for travel and passing, which creates potential safety and capacity problems for interregional travelers.

Trucking is the most commonly used mode for California's freight transportation and trucks transport almost all freight and services during some point within the supply chain. For this reason, the trucking industry is one of California's most valuable freight assets, particularly for the "first and last mile" of a trip. California must continue to develop, maintain, and operate a safe, efficient, and reliable freight transportation network to accommodate the truck volumes necessary to move freight within the state.

**Figure 3A.3. Strategic Interregional Corridors**



Source: Caltrans, Interregional Transportation Strategic Plan 2015

**International Border Crossings**


In 2019, US Congress approved the United States-Mexico-Canada Agreement (USMCA) signed by President Trump in 2020. The agreement updates the North American Free Trade Agreement (NAFTA), which governed more than \$1.2 trillion worth of trade among the three nations. The USMCA will create more balanced, reciprocal trade that supports high-paying jobs

for Americans and grows the North American economy. Some highlights of the agreement include:

- Creating a more level playing field for American workers, including improved rules of origin for automobiles, trucks, other products, and disciplines on currency manipulation.
- Benefiting American farmers, ranchers, and agribusinesses by modernizing and strengthening food and agriculture trade in North America.
- Supporting a 21st Century economy through new protections for U.S. intellectual property and ensuring opportunities for trade in U.S. services.<sup>16</sup>

California and Mexico share over 130 miles of international border, consisting of the southernmost portions of San Diego and Imperial Counties. According to the California Chamber of Commerce in 2018, Mexico was California's top trading partner and the U.S.'s second largest trading partner. The commercial land border ports of entry (POEs) are the main arteries for freight movements between the two nations. California's multimodal state freight system includes all of the existing and proposed commercial land border POEs between California and Mexico, which include Otay Mesa (SR 905), Otay Mesa East (SR 11)--a future commercial land border POE that is being developed, Tecate (SR 188 and SR 94) in San Diego County, and Calexico East (SR 7) in Imperial County. **Figure 3A.4** provides information for California-Mexico land border POEs.

**Figure 3A.4. California-Mexico Land Border Ports of Entry**



Source: Map created by Caltrans District 11, CFMP 2014

Otay Mesa POE in San Diego County and the Calexico East POE in Imperial County are the two main California-Mexico freight gateways. The Otay Mesa POE is the third busiest commercial land border POE on the U.S.-Mexico border by trade value and the busiest commercial land port in California. Major commodities transported between California and Mexico through the POE include plastic; rubber; pulp; paper; allied products; electronics; electrical machinery, equipment, and supplies; automobiles and light duty trucks; food; grain products; and farm products.<sup>17</sup> A tolled highway (SR 11) will provide access to the future Otay Mesa East POE on the California side. Construction on the final segment of SR 11 is currently underway.<sup>18</sup> This new POE will help reduce freight and passenger traffic congestion at the San Ysidro, Otay Mesa, and Tecate POEs, as well as provide additional capacity for future growth by providing a new alternative for freight operators traversing the California-Mexico border.

### Native American Roadway Network

The 2016 American Community Survey 5-Year Estimates reported an estimated 728,094 American Indians residing in California (including Alaska Natives). This includes notable populations in every county within California. There are 110 federally-recognized Native American Tribal Governments statewide. Each of these governments are sovereign nations with authority over their respective Tribal lands. The Indian Reservation Roads (IRR) program, established in 1928, funds maintenance, construction, and improvement of IRR routes that do not receive state funding through federal-aid funding<sup>19</sup> (CA IRR Tech Report). Currently, FHWA is assigned oversight of the IRR program and is responsible for determining available funding to

allocate to the Bureau of Indian Affairs (BIA) for projects on the IRR system (CA IRR Tech Report). Many of California's Tribal lands are accessed from or served directly by the SHS, including routes identified within the State Highway Freight Network. Future study is needed to determine what role the IRR system plays in the movement of freight to and from the Tribal lands of California, to identify which IRR routes (or portions of routes) are already on California State Freight Highway Network, to collect goods movement data on the IRR system, and to determine how the IRR system supports freight movement within the California as a whole.

### **Roadway Bridges**

According to the Caltrans 2017 State Highway System Management Plan, California's SHS includes approximately 13,160 lane miles of bridges. These highway bridges have an average age of 45 years. Bridge health is critical to freight movement because bridge closures can redirect trips – lengthening travel time, wasting fuel, reducing efficiency, and delaying emergency deliveries and services. Detailed information about bridge performance and vertical clearance restrictions is presented in **Chapter 3B**.

### **Truck Parking**

According to the FHWA report 'Jason's Law Truck Parking Survey Results and Comparative Analysis,' California is one of the states facing the most severe truck parking challenges and is one of three states with the lowest rates of commercial vehicle truck parking spaces per 100,000 miles of daily combination truck VMT.

California has high levels of truck parking in absolute terms but has low levels relative to truck VMT, NHS miles, and GDP; and also has notable shortages at private truck stops.<sup>20</sup> **Figure 3A.5** shows the locations of California truck parking lots and the approximate number of spaces available in each lot.

**Figure 3A.5. California Truck Parking Locations**



Source: Data from FHWA HEPGIS, National Truck Parking Dataset, US Census Bureau TIGER line 2018

According to the MAP-21 Jason's Law highway bill, DOTs are required to address the national truck parking shortage at public and private facilities along U.S. highways. FHWA conducted the first round of the Jason's Law Truck Parking survey in 2015.<sup>21</sup> The survey identified several parking indicator metrics to evaluate the supply and demand for truck parking in each state. With 11,892 private and 1,252 public truck parking spaces, California ranked fifth among all states in terms of parking supply (see **Table 3A.5**). However, California has very high demand for truck parking, and about 40 percent of truck drivers indicated that they perceive a shortage of truck parking in the state. California ranked in the lower quartile among all states for five metrics:

- Public Spaces per 100K Daily Truck VMT
- Private Spaces per 100K Daily Truck VMT
- All Spaces per 100K Daily Truck VMT
- Public Spaces per 100 miles of NHS
- Spaces per Million GDP

The Federal Hours of Service (HOS) and Electronic Logging Devices (ELDs) requirements have further exacerbated the need for parking that is consistently available, safe, and provides basic amenities. Chapter 4A discusses HOS and ELD requirements in more detail.

In response to this critical need for additional truck parking, Caltrans initiated a truck parking advisory committee (TAC) in 2017 to identify needs and priority areas. The TAC consists of drivers, small and large fleets, beneficial cargo owners, and several CFAC members. Caltrans led a survey of TAC members, Caltrans Districts, and regional agencies. The survey results lacked detail on where the most critical truck parking needs are in the state. The survey highlighted the need for Global Positioning Systems (GPS) data or similar types of data to be able to determine exactly where drivers are experiencing shortages, where unauthorized and/or unsafe parking is occurring, and where demand for parking exists. Given this need for data, Caltrans initiated a comprehensive California statewide truck parking study to identify existing truck parking shortages and new potential locations, and to develop public and private partnerships for enhanced truck parking supply and dissemination of truck parking availability information. Caltrans plans to complete the study by Spring 2022.

**Table 3A.3. Public and Private Trucking Related Units**

Unit	Amount
Public Facilities	87
Public Truck Parking Spaces	1,252
Private Truck Stops	197
Private Truck Parking Spaces	11,892
Ratio of Private to Public Truck Parking Spaces	9.5 : 1
<b>Total Truck Parking Spaces</b>	<b>13,144</b>
Public Spaces per 100k Daily Truck VMT	5.1
Private Spaces per 100k Daily Truck VMT	48.6
<b>All Spaces per 100k Daily Truck VMT</b>	<b>53.7</b>
Public Spaces per 100 Miles of NHS	8.6
Private Spaces per 100 Miles of NHS	82.0
<b>All Spaces per 100 Miles of NHS</b>	<b>90.6</b>
Source: Jason’s Law Truck Parking Survey Results	

### Alternative Fueling Locations


At the national level, the FHWA has designated alternative fuel corridors to establish a national network of alternative fueling infrastructure along the National Highway System. As of 2018, FHWA has nominated 58 corridors including portions or segments of 84 Interstates and 43 US highways and state roads, covering more than 100,000 miles of the NHS throughout 44 states and the District of Columbia.<sup>22</sup>

At FHWA’s behest and owing in part to a statewide commitment to renewable energy and the reduction of greenhouse gas emissions, alternative fueling locations have proliferated throughout California, and many are available for use by trucks on California’s Highway Freight Network. **Figure 3A.6** shows the locations of alternative fueling locations by fuel type. All are presumed to be available for use by trucks.

### Weigh-In-Motion Scales and Truck Activity Monitoring System

As of 2019, California has 110 weigh-in-motion (WIM) scales in operation throughout the state. Weigh-in-Motion devices are designed to capture and record axle weights and gross vehicle weights as vehicles drive over a measurement site as opposed to requiring vehicles to come to a complete stop to measure their weight. California’s WIM locations provide 24-hour traffic information, including axle weights and gross weights, axle spacing, vehicle classification, speed, and overall length. This data is subsequently used to inform pavement studies, highway monitoring and capacity studies, accident rate calculations, and load factor calculations for structures. **Figure 3A.7** shows the location of California’s WIM locations.<sup>23</sup>

**Figure 3A.6. California Alternate Fuel Corridors and Fueling Stations**



Source: Data from FHWA HEPGIS and U.S. Department of Energy Alternative Fuels Data Center  
2018

**Figure 3A.7. California Weigh-In-Motion Stations and Truck Activity Monitoring Stations**



Source: Data from Caltrans Division of Traffic Operations 2018



Image: Freight Locomotives, BNSF & UPRR

## Freight Rail Network

The freight railroad system in California is comprised of two Class I railroads and 28 Class III railroads, commonly referred to as “short line” railroads. This freight rail network supports the operations of industries throughout the state and links California with domestic, interregional, and international markets. Railroads are grouped into three classes, based on their annual operating revenue:

- Class I - \$447,621,226 or more
- Class II - Less than \$447,621,226, but in excess of \$35,809,698
- Class III - \$35,809,698 or less

In 2017, total operating revenue for Class I railroads was approximately \$70 billion.<sup>24</sup> In 2017, railroads handled 162.3 million tons of freight that originated in, terminated in, or moved through California by rail.<sup>25</sup> There are no Class II railroads operations in California at this time. **Figure 3A.9** shows California’s Class I and Class III freight railroads.

**Figure 3A.9. Railroad Ownership in California**



Source: Caltrans, State Rail Plan 2018

The two Class I railroads operating in California are the Union Pacific Railroad (UPRR) and the BNSF Railway Company (BNSF). UPRR is the largest railroad in California by number of employees, payroll, and track-miles in operation. UPRR operates an expansive network of rail lines that serves diverse regions of California, including the agriculturally rich San Joaquin Valley, the Port of Oakland, the San Francisco Bay Area, and the Los Angeles metropolitan area. UPRR also provides strategic freight rail movement to California’s Central Coast as it parallels the US 101 corridor. For its carload services, UPRR operates two system classification yards at West Colton in Southern California and Roseville in Northern California, three regional yards in Lathrop (San Joaquin County), Commerce (Los Angeles County), and Yermo (San Bernardino County), and a rail port in Oakland (Alameda County). UPRR also has shared use of the on-dock rail terminals at the Port of Los Angeles (POLA) and Port of Long Beach (POLB) with BNSF. UPRR operates nearly 3,292 miles of track within California and handled over three million carloads in California in 2017.<sup>26</sup> **Table 3A.4** includes the key operating characteristics for UPRR.

The BNSF Railway Company is the largest intermodal carrier in the U.S. and is the product of mergers and acquisitions of nearly 400 different railroad lines, including two major railroads (Burlington Northern Railroad and the Atchison, Topeka and Santa Fe Railway). Within California, BNSF operates on more than 2,000 track miles. In 2017, there were nearly 2 million BNSF carloads originating and terminating in the state. Major BNSF freight hubs include 11 carload yards, five dedicated intermodal terminals, and the shared on-dock rail facilities at the POLA and POLB. Along with the on-dock terminals at the POLA and POLB, significant BNSF’s intermodal facilities in California include off-dock terminals at the Hobart Yard near downtown Los Angeles, the San Bernardino Intermodal Yard, and the OIG near-dock terminal in Oakland. California serves as a gateway to BNSF’s Transcontinental Corridor, which links the POLA and POLB with Chicago.<sup>27</sup> **Table 3A.4** includes the key operating characteristics for BNSF.

**Table 3A.4. Class I Railroad Operating Characteristics in California**

Name	Employees	Payroll (Millions of Dollars)	Tracks Miles Owned	Track Miles with Tracking Rights	Total Miles Operated	Originating Carloads	Terminating Carloads
BNSF	3,655	\$283.8	1,149	965	2,114	1,948,082	1,982,279
UPRR	4,783	\$462.8	2,773	515	3,292	1,537,094	1,594,670

Source: Caltrans California State Rail Plan 2018

### Short Line Rail

To shippers, the ability to use short line railroads means lower transportation costs, more flexible local service options, and a greatly expanded market reach for local products through their Class I railroad partners. Without short line railroads, businesses would be forced into more expensive truck transloads (freight transfer between modes or from smaller to larger trailers) that typically take place in large cities adding more trucks on an already congested metropolitan highway system. Short line railroads’ direct access to industrial, mining,

commercial, and agricultural processing facilities enables the shipment of loads that are too heavy for trucks to transport over the roadway. For many companies, access to short line railroads is essential to their business viability.

California has 27 active short line railroads (two of which are primarily operating passenger trains). This includes 21 short lines and seven switching and terminal railroads which collectively operate over 1,600 route-miles. **Table 3A.5** lists California’s short line and switching and terminal railroads.

**Table 3A.5. Short Line Railroads in California**

<b>Local Railroads</b>	<b>Standard Carrier Alpha Code</b>	<b>Total Miles Operated</b>
Arizona & California Railroad Company	ARZC	190 (84 in CA)
California Northern Railroad	CFNR	210
Central Oregon & Pacific Railroad	CORP	305 (56 in CA)
Fillmore and Western	FWRV	28
Goose Lake Railway	GOOS	55
Napa Valley Wine Train	NVRR	18
Northwestern Pacific Co.	NWP	63
Pacific Sun Railroad, LLC	PSRR	62
Sacramento Southern Railroad	SSR	3
Sacramento Valley Railroad	SAV	7
San Diego & Imperial Valley Railroad	SDIV	1
San Joaquin Valley Railroad Company	SJVR	297
San Francisco Bay Railroad	SFBR	7
Santa Cruz, Big Trees & Pacific Railway	SCBG	9
Saint Paul & Pacific Railroad	SP&P	32
Santa Maria Valley Railway	SMVRR	14
Sierra Northern Railway	SERA	68
Stockton Terminal and Eastern Railroad	STE	25
Trona Railway Company	TRC	31
Ventura County Railroad Company	VCRR	9
West Isle Line, Inc.	WFS	5
Central California Traction	CCT	96
Los Angeles Junction Railway Company	LAJ	64
Modesto & Empire Traction Company	MET	49
Oakland Terminal Railway	OTR	10
Pacific Harbor Line, Inc.	PHL	59
Richmond Pacific Railroad Corporation	RPRC	6
	<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>1,6158</b>
Source: Caltrans, California State Rail Plan, 2018		

### **Passenger Rail Operating on Freight Rail lines**

In addition to freight trains, the freight rail network also accommodates the operation of passenger trains throughout the state. In the past, the main freight rail lines had excess capacity to allow the use of passenger trains with little impact to the freight service. Passenger service volumes along these shared-use rail corridors have expanded, along with expansion of freight volumes, resulting in a primary railroad network that is more congested. Many current shared-track operations involve passenger services operation over tracks owned by BNSF and UPRR. These operations include all three State-supported routes (portions of the Pacific Surfliner, San Joaquin and Capitol Corridor) and the four Amtrak long-distance trains operating in the state, as well as several commuter services such as Metrolink, Caltrain, and the Altamont Corridor Express.

### **On-Dock and Near-Dock Rail**

On-dock and near-dock rail facilities play an integral role in the movement of cargo from the dock to rail yards. On-dock facilities are located within a marine port terminal, allowing containers to be moved directly from the dock to the railcar. On-dock terminals handle a significant number of containers (1.84 million lifts in 2010) with volumes projected to reach 6.3 million lifts by 2035. Through its elimination of truck drayage, on-dock rail intermodal transfer is perhaps the most efficient way to handle trainloads of international intermodal containers. Near-dock terminals (facilities that are within a five-mile radius of the port terminal) are essential for providing additional container handling capacity that minimizes long-distance drayage trips. Off-dock intermodal facilities are rail yards located more than five miles from port terminals.

Off-dock intermodal facilities provide substantial capacity for handling port-related (international) containers as well as domestic containers (both transloaded international cargo and pure domestic cargo) and trailers. Containers that are transferred from ships to train via truck drayage are almost all routed to out-of-state locations. There is a concerted effort in California to reduce drayage trips to rail yards and to move the activity as close to the ports as possible.

### **Intermodal Rail Terminals**

The freight rail network in California includes a number of significant intermodal rail terminals. Intermodal rail terminals are established to facilitate transfer of containers and trailers between modes (ship to rail, truck to rail, and vice versa). In California, the majority of intermodal rail traffic is associated with the Port of Oakland, POLA, and POLB; a sizeable but smaller volume is related to wholly USMCA traffic. Intermodal service is typically described as either container on flat car (COFC) or trailer on flat car (TOFC). In California, all primary intermodal corridors have sufficient vertical clearances for double-stack service. Double stacking is not possible with TOFC. This inability to double-stack is due to the lack of structural strength of truck trailers. **Table 3A.6** identifies the facility characteristics for the intermodal terminals within California.

**Table 3A.6. Intermodal Rail Facility Characteristics**

Name	Facility Type	Railroad	Existing Yard Capacity (Lifts)
City of Industry	Off-Dock	UPRR	232,000
East Los Angeles	Inland	UPRR	650,000
Hobart	Off-Dock	BNSF	1,700,000
Intermodal Container Transfer Facility (ICTF)	Near-Dock	UPRR	760,000
Los Angeles Transportation Center (LATC)	Off-Dock	UPRR	340,000
POLA-POLB On-Dock Intermodal Facilities	On-Dock	BNSF/UPRR	2,257,775
San Bernardino	Inland	BNSF	660,000
Lathrop	Inland	UPRR	270,000
Oakland International Gateway (OIG) – Joint Intermodal Terminal (JIT)	Near-Dock	BNSF	300,000
Rail port-Oakland	Near-Dock	UPRR	450,000
Stockton/Mariposa	Inland	BNSF	300,000
<b>Total</b>			<b>7,619,775</b>
Source: Caltrans California State Rail Plan, 2018			

### Seaports

Seaports are the lynchpin of California’s international trade. They are California’s freight gateways to the world. California has 12 deep-water seaports that can accommodate transoceanic vessels, of which 11 are publicly owned and one, the Port of Benicia, is privately owned. This includes two inland ports that have access to the ocean via the Sacramento/San Joaquin Delta. Each port has different navigable channel and berth depths so the sizes of ships and ship draft that can be accommodated vary by port. All of the ports, with the exception of the Port of Humboldt, utilize on-dock or near-dock rail infrastructure in conjunction with their terminal operations.

The four largest deep-water seaports in California are Los Angeles, Long Beach, Oakland, and San Diego. All four seaports are included within the top 50 U.S. Containership Ports in 2018 (see **Table 3A.7**). In addition to containerized freight, these seaports handle a variety of cargo, including petroleum coke, crude oil, break bulk, bulk, heavy equipment, machinery, roll-on/roll-off cargos, and many others.

**Table 3A.7. California’s Four Top Ranking Containership Ports in North America, 2018**

Port	Rank	Domestic	Export	Import	Total
Los Angeles	1	142,411	1,587,303	4,897,579	6,627,292
Long Beach	2	275,805	1,274,930	4,104,052	5,595,722
Oakland	7	178,781	746,294	949,730	1,812,566
San Diego	32	0	2,466	67,047	69,512
<b>TOTAL</b>		<b>596,997</b>	<b>3,610,993</b>	<b>10,018,408</b>	<b>14,105,092</b>

Source: U.S. Army Corps of Engineers – U.S. Waterborne Container Traffic by Port/Waterway in 2018

The POLA, number one in national container volume, and the POLB, number two in national container volume, together make up the largest container port complex in the U.S. They are often referred to as the San Pedro Bay Ports. The San Pedro Bay Ports and the Port of Oakland—California’s third largest seaport and the nation’s seventh largest container port—have sufficient depths to accommodate the largest vessels currently in operation and even larger vessels that are being developed. The remaining seven deep-water seaports are smaller in size and scale, specializing in the transport of specific types of cargo such as dry bulk, break bulk, liquid bulk, construction materials, fresh fruit and produce, automobiles, or other commodities. **Table 3A.8** contains some key characteristics of each seaport.

**Table 3A.8. Public and Private Deepwater Seaports**

Seaport	Channel Depth	Acres	Rail Access	Highest Value Exports	Highest Value Imports
San Diego	42 feet	6,000*	On-Dock	Machinery, Metals, Autos/Parts, Heavy Equipment, Food Products	Vehicles, Perishables, Construction Materials, Heavy Equipment
Long Beach (POLB)	76 feet	3,520	On-Dock	Petroleum Coke and Bulk, Waste Paper, Chemicals, Scrap Metal	Crude Oil, Electronics, Plastics, Furniture, Clothing
Los Angeles (POLA)	53 feet	4,300	On-Dock	Wastepaper, Animal Feeds, Scrap Metal, Fabric, Soybeans	Furniture, Apparel, Automobile Parts, Electronic Products
Hueneme	35 feet	375	Near-Dock	Autos, Produce, General Cargo	Autos, Produce, Liquid Fertilizer, Bulk Liquid

Redwood City	30 feet	120	On-Dock	Iron Scrap	Aggregates, Sand, Gypsum
San Francisco	38-40 feet	1,000+	Near-Dock	Tallow, Vegetable Oil	Steel Products, Boats/ Yachts, Wind Turbines, Project Cargo, Aggregate, Sand
Oakland	50 feet	1,300	Near-Dock	Fruits and Nuts, Meats, Machinery, Wine and Spirits	Machinery, Electronics, Furniture, Plastic Ware, Tiles
Richmond	38 feet	200	Near-Dock	Vegetable Oils, Scrap Metal, Coke, Coal, Aggregate, Zinc, Lead	Autos, Petroleum (crude/ refined), Bauxite, Magnetite, Vegetable Oils
Stockton	35 feet	2,000	On-Dock	Iron Ore, Sulfur, Coal, Wheat, Rice, Machinery, Petroleum Coke, Safflower Seed	Liquid Fertilizer, Molasses, Bulk Fertilizer, Cement, Steel Products, Ammonia, Lumber
Benicia	38 feet	645	On-Dock	Petroleum Coke	Automobiles
West Sacramento	30 feet	480	On-Dock	Agricultural and Industrial Products	Agricultural and Industrial Products
Humboldt Bay	38 feet	-----	N/A	Logs, Wood Chips	Logs, Petroleum, Wood Chips
Source: Southern California Association of Governments – Comprehensive Regional Goods Movement Plan and Implementation Strategy, 2013 *Acreage includes land and water					

California’s seaports are extraordinary multimodal places that have a tremendous mix of public and private entities, each with its own set of industry responsibilities. This requires efficient interaction between the public and private sectors to meet the needs of the ports. Additionally, the seaports and their intermodal connectors heavily support the movement of military and freight cargo. The Ports of Long Beach, San Diego, and Oakland are designated as Strategic Commercial Seaports. Ports of Los Angeles and Richmond are designated as Alternate Strategic Commercial Seaports. Port of Hueneme is designated as a Strategic Military Seaport. These Strategic Seaports are integral part of the National Port Readiness Network (NPRN)<sup>28</sup>.

The strength of California’s seaports depends on a complex public private partnership approach for investment in both capital and operational improvements within the seaport complex, including compliance with environmental and safety regulations. Generally, California’s seaports are owned by public port authorities who develop port facilities which are then leased

to private marine terminal operators and stevedoring companies who load and unload cargo from ships. Marine terminals load and unload cargo from ships at-berth and then receive or discharge that cargo to and from landside trucking and rail operations. This requires a tremendous amount of coordination among all of the parties involved. All parties must work together toward improvements in efficiency and productivity to minimize delays in the supply-chain, stay competitive in both the national and global economies, and to reduce and eliminate the environmental and community impacts of freight from these critical freight facilities.

In addition to the 11 publicly owned deep water seaports, California has one private deep-water seaport, the Port of Benicia, and a multitude of privately owned and operated, both large and small scale, port and terminal facilities which help to facilitate maritime freight movement along California's coast, and to and from interstate and international markets. These private freight facilities handle a variety of cargo that include dry bulk materials, metals, bulk liquids, construction materials, vehicles, electronics, crude oil, petroleum products, and many others.



Image: Port of Long Beach, St. Thomas Bridge

## Airports

There are more than 200 airports that participate in the movement of airfreight in the state of California. Air cargo is shipped both domestically within the U.S. and internationally to global markets. Air cargo is usually high-value and particularly time sensitive. The amount and value of freight transported through each airport differs dramatically. The California Multimodal State Freight system includes the 13 busiest airports with major cargo operations by volume as

detailed in **Table 3A.9**.<sup>29</sup> All but two of California’s largest airports with major cargo operations saw growth from 2013 to 2018. The total cargo operation by the top cargo airports increased by over 36 percent overall. The key challenges facing California’s air cargo include modal shifts to trucking, competition with airports at other states, the shifting of manufacturing from Asia back to North America (and Europe), and the alternative maritime shipping routes that influences supply chains for air cargo-related goods.

**Table 3A.9. Major Cargo Operations Enplaned and Deplaned (Tons)**

<b>Airport</b>	<b>2017 National Rank</b>	<b>2018</b>	<b>2013</b>	<b>Percent Change</b>
Los Angeles International (LAX)	6	2,443,946	1,916,718	28%
Ontario International (ONT)	10	826,399	460,537	79%
Metropolitan Oakland International (OAK)	11	670,332	555,589	21%
San Francisco International (SFO)	23	628,417	400,177	57%
San Diego International (SAN)	38	192,344	162,353	18%
Sacramento International (SMF)	46	127,107	74,329	71%
Sacramento Mather (MHR)	56	76,904	54,644	41%
Mineta San Jose International (SJC)	86	60,618	46,820	29%
Hollywood Burbank Bob Hope (BUR)		54,704	NA	-
Stockton Metropolitan (SCK)	74	44,754	NA	-
Long Beach (LGB)	107	23,799	26,378	-10%
John Wayne (SNA)		19,541	17,804	10%
Fresno-Yosemite International (FYI)	116	10,650	11,863	-10%
<b>TOTAL</b>		<b>5,179,514</b>	<b>3,727,212</b>	<b>36%*</b>

Source: Airport ranks from Federal Aviation Administration, 2018.

According to the California Air Cargo Groundside Needs Study, “The numbers indicate that the top airports at which cargo activities are currently focused should have the individual capacity to address their own future cargo growth. Although some new development or redevelopment will eventually be needed, there are no specific projects currently identified by the airports as critical to accommodating long-term cargo growth.” While the capacity of California’s largest cargo airports appears to be able to handle modest increases in freight movement in the near-term, the importance of ground transport of freight to and from the cargo airports is a key consideration. Local roads provide access to airport cargo facilities and transportation to nearby cargo handling and transloading facilities is accomplished. Many of these roads were not designed to accommodate 53-foot trailers and are located in dense, high traffic areas that are dominated by passenger vehicles.<sup>30</sup>

### Pipeline Network

The U.S. EIA reports that California ranks fifth in the nation in crude oil production and ranks third (January 2017) in petroleum refining capacity, accounting for approximately five percent of production capacity and 10 percent of U.S. refining capacity.<sup>31</sup> California's crude oil and refined petroleum network consists of crude oil and petroleum product pipelines, refineries, terminals, and petroleum ports. The crude oil pipelines connect California's production areas to the refining centers in Los Angeles, the Central Valley, and the San Francisco Bay Area. These refineries are then connected through petroleum product pipelines to refineries and terminals throughout the U.S. Most gasoline imports into California enter by ship via the San Pedro Bay Ports and the San Francisco Bay Area Ports.

According to the U.S. EIA, California is second in the nation in the use of natural gas.<sup>32</sup> California's natural gas is largely delivered through the Western Region Natural Gas Pipeline Network.

The main conduits of natural gas to California are the El Paso Natural Gas Company system and the Transwestern Pipeline Company system in the southern regions of the state, and the Gas Transmission Northwest Company's interstate system in the northern regions of the state. The southern region systems originate in Texas and parallel each other as they traverse New Mexico and Arizona to deliver large portions of their capacity to California's largest natural gas companies at the California eastern border. The northern region system delivers Canadian natural gas through Washington and Oregon to California's northern border. California's natural gas network consists of pipelines, along with the processing plants, terminals, and storage facilities that support the transportation of this important energy resource. The intrastate transportation and distribution of natural gas in California is dominated by three main providers, the California Gas Transmission Company (CGT) (3,477 miles), the Southern California Gas Company (SoCal) (1,887 miles), and the San Diego Gas and Electric Company.


Future study is needed to determine which elements of the pipeline network should be included in the California Multimodal State Freight System. **Figure 3A.10** and **Figure 3A.11** depict California's crude oil and petroleum pipelines and facilities, and the natural gas pipelines and facilities.<sup>33</sup>

**Figure 3A.10. California Petroleum Pipelines and Facilities**



Source: U.S. Energy Information Administration, 2018

**Figure 3A.11. California Natural Gas Pipelines and Facilities**



Source: U.S. Energy Information Administration, 2019

## Warehousing and Distribution Facilities

The warehousing and distribution sectors are essential to supporting the efficient movement of freight within and through the state, and the success of these sectors directly impacts the economic competitiveness of the State and the nation. **Figure 3A.12** shows the concentration of warehouses and major wholesale distributions across the state. Southern California has by far the highest concentration of high cube and multi-purpose warehouses.


In the Southern California Association of Governments (SCAG), 'Industrial Warehousing in the SCAG Region' report, SCAG identifies itself as the 16th largest economy in the world with a regional gross product of approximately \$820 billion, and "goods movement-dependent industries make up about 35 percent of this total."<sup>34</sup> With one of the largest clusters of logistics centers in North America, the warehousing and distribution sector is particularly important to freight movement in Southern California, occupying approximately 1.17 billion square feet of existing warehousing land.<sup>35</sup> As of April 2018, there were approximately 34,000 warehouses in the SCAG region and 338 million square feet of undeveloped land that could be used to develop new warehouses and distribution centers.<sup>36</sup>

While the majority share of California's warehousing and distribution activities occur in Southern California, specifically in the areas near the POLA and POLB, further east in the Inland Empire (San Bernardino and Riverside Counties), and near the POE by the California-Mexico Border, significant facilities in other parts of the state as well, particularly the northern San Joaquin Valley. More information on warehousing can be found in **Chapter 2 and Appendix C**.



Image: Amazon Fulfillment Center

**Figure 3A.12. Major Warehouse and Distribution Centers in California**



Source: Census Data, California Statewide Freight Forecasting Model database

## Endnotes

- 
- <sup>1</sup> Gross domestic product (GDP) by state (millions of current dollars) (CFM) (Press release). Bureau of Productivity Analytics. October 13, 2017. p. 7. Retrieved March 24, 2018. And "Report for Selected Country Groups and Subjects". World Economic Outlook. International Monetary Fund. October 2018.
  - <sup>2</sup> California Chamber of Commerce, 2019. <https://advocacy.calchamber.com/international/trade/trade-statistics/>
  - <sup>3</sup> California Chamber of Commerce, 2018. <https://advocacy.calchamber.com/2018/03/13/us-commerce-report-california-maintains-position-as-top-exporting-state/>
  - <sup>4</sup> FHWA National Highway System, 2017. [https://www.fhwa.dot.gov/planning/national\\_highway\\_system/](https://www.fhwa.dot.gov/planning/national_highway_system/)
  - <sup>5</sup> FHWA National Highway System Intermodal Connectors, 2018. [https://www.fhwa.dot.gov/planning/national\\_highway\\_system/intermodal\\_connectors/california.cfm](https://www.fhwa.dot.gov/planning/national_highway_system/intermodal_connectors/california.cfm)
  - <sup>6</sup> FHWA National Highway Freight Network, accessed on March 2020. [https://ops.fhwa.dot.gov/freight/infrastructure/ismt/state\\_maps/states/california.htm](https://ops.fhwa.dot.gov/freight/infrastructure/ismt/state_maps/states/california.htm)
  - <sup>7</sup> FHWA National Highway Freight Network - Individual State Maps and Tables, 2017. [https://ops.fhwa.dot.gov/freight/infrastructure/ismt/nhfn\\_states\\_list.htm](https://ops.fhwa.dot.gov/freight/infrastructure/ismt/nhfn_states_list.htm)
  - <sup>8</sup> FHWA Office of Highway Policy Information, Highway Statistics 2016. <https://www.fhwa.dot.gov/policyinformation/statistics/2016/ps1.cfm#foot3>
  - <sup>9</sup> Association of American Railroads, State Rankings, 2017. <https://www.aar.org/wp-content/uploads/2019/05/AAR-State-Rankings-2017.pdf>
  - <sup>10</sup> Surface Transportation Act. January 1, 1982. <https://www.transportation.gov/content/surface-transportation-assistance-act>
  - <sup>11</sup> Caltrans, California Freight Mobility Plan (2014). <http://www.dot.ca.gov/hq/tpp/offices/ogm/cfmp.html>
  - <sup>12</sup> Caltrans, Caltrans, Interregional Transportation Strategic Plan 2015 <https://dot.ca.gov/programs/transportation-planning/multi-modal-system-planning/interregional-transportation-strategic-plan>
  - <sup>13</sup> EPA SmartWay Program. <https://www.epa.gov/smartway>
  - <sup>14</sup> Caltrans Interregional Transportation Strategic Plan, 2015. [http://dot.ca.gov/hq/tpp/offices/omsp/system\\_planning/documents/Final\\_2015\\_ITSP.pdf](http://dot.ca.gov/hq/tpp/offices/omsp/system_planning/documents/Final_2015_ITSP.pdf)
  - <sup>15</sup> Caltrans Interregional Transportation Strategic Plan, 2015. [http://dot.ca.gov/hq/tpp/offices/omsp/system\\_planning/documents/Final\\_2015\\_ITSP.pdf](http://dot.ca.gov/hq/tpp/offices/omsp/system_planning/documents/Final_2015_ITSP.pdf)
  - <sup>16</sup> United States-Mexico-Canada Agreement <https://ustr.gov/trade-agreements/free-trade-agreements/united-states-mexico-canada-agreement>
  - <sup>17</sup> FHWA Freight Analysis Framework, FAF4 Database, 2019. <https://faf.ornl.gov/fafweb/Extraction0.aspx>
  - <sup>18</sup> Otay Mesa Chamber of Commerce, 2018. <https://www.otaymesa.org/otay-mesa-ii-port-of-entry-state-route-11/>
  - <sup>19</sup> United States Environmental Protection Agency, Indian Reservation Roads (IRR), Inventory High Priority Projects <https://www.epa.gov/tribal-lands/indian-reservation-roads-irr-inventory-high-priority-projects>

- 
- <sup>20</sup> USDOT Federal Highway Administration, “Jason’s Law Truck Parking Survey Results and Comparative Analysis,” 2015.  
[https://ops.fhwa.dot.gov/freight/infrastructure/truck\\_parking/jasons\\_law/truckparkingsurvey/jasons\\_law.pdf](https://ops.fhwa.dot.gov/freight/infrastructure/truck_parking/jasons_law/truckparkingsurvey/jasons_law.pdf)
- <sup>21</sup> “Jason’s Law Truck Parking Survey Results and Comparative Analysis.” *Jason’s Law Truck Parking Survey Results and Comparative Analysis - FHWA Freight Management and Operations*,  
[https://ops.fhwa.dot.gov/freight/infrastructure/truck\\_parking/jasons\\_law/truckparkingsurvey/index.htm](https://ops.fhwa.dot.gov/freight/infrastructure/truck_parking/jasons_law/truckparkingsurvey/index.htm).
- <sup>22</sup> USDOT Federal Highway Administration, 2019.  
[https://www.fhwa.dot.gov/environment/alternative\\_fuel\\_corridors/](https://www.fhwa.dot.gov/environment/alternative_fuel_corridors/)
- <sup>24</sup> Association of American Railroads, “Overview of America’s Freight Railroads,” 2018.  
<https://www.aar.org/wp-content/uploads/2018/05/AAR-Overview- Americas-Freight-Railroads.pdf>
- <sup>25</sup> Association of American Railroads Analysis of STB Waybills, State Fact sheets.  
<https://www.aar.org/wp-content/uploads/2019/01/AAR-California-State- Fact-Sheet.pdf>
- <sup>26</sup> Caltrans California State Rail Plan, 2018.  
[http://www.dot.ca.gov/californiarail/docs/CSRP\\_Final\\_rev121818.pdf](http://www.dot.ca.gov/californiarail/docs/CSRP_Final_rev121818.pdf)
- <sup>27</sup> Caltrans California State Rail Plan, 2018.  
[http://www.dot.ca.gov/californiarail/docs/CSRP\\_Final\\_rev121818.pdf](http://www.dot.ca.gov/californiarail/docs/CSRP_Final_rev121818.pdf)
- <sup>28</sup> National Port Readiness Network, 2019.  
<https://www.maritime.dot.gov/ports/strong-ports/national-port-readiness-network-nprn>
- <sup>29</sup> Airport Ranks from Federal Aviation Administration, 2018.  
([https://www.faa.gov/airports/planning\\_capacity/passenger\\_allcargo\\_stats/passenger/previous\\_years/#2013](https://www.faa.gov/airports/planning_capacity/passenger_allcargo_stats/passenger/previous_years/#2013)) and the cargo operation provided by Caltrans Division of Aeronautics.
- <sup>30</sup> Genevieve Giuliano, et. al, Managing the Impacts of Freight in California, A Research Report from the National Center for Sustainable Transportation January 2018
- <sup>31</sup> U.S. Energy Information Administration, 2019.  
[https://www.eia.gov/dnav/pet/pet\\_pnp\\_cap1\\_dcu\\_nus\\_a.htm](https://www.eia.gov/dnav/pet/pet_pnp_cap1_dcu_nus_a.htm)
- <sup>32</sup> U.S. Energy Information Administration, 2018.  
<https://www.eia.gov/tools/faqs/faq.php?id=46&t=8>
- <sup>33</sup> U.S. Energy Information Administration, 2019 (<https://www.eia.gov/state/maps.php>)
- <sup>34</sup> Southern California Association of Governments - Industrial Warehousing in the SCAG Region, 2018. [http://www.scag.ca.gov/Documents/Task2\\_FacilityInventory.pdf](http://www.scag.ca.gov/Documents/Task2_FacilityInventory.pdf)
- <sup>35</sup> Southern California Association of Governments - Industrial Warehousing in the SCAG Region, 2018. [http://www.scag.ca.gov/Documents/Task2\\_FacilityInventory.pdf](http://www.scag.ca.gov/Documents/Task2_FacilityInventory.pdf)
- <sup>36</sup> Southern California Association of Governments - Industrial Warehousing in the SCAG Region, 2018. [http://www.scag.ca.gov/Documents/Task2\\_FacilityInventory.pdf](http://www.scag.ca.gov/Documents/Task2_FacilityInventory.pdf)