

# NTERIM SIS

# **Caltrans Strategic Investment Strategy**

Version 1.0 (Final) December 2021



DOTP Office of Strategic Investment Planning



# Table of Contents

Executive Summary	2
1. Introduction	3
2. Equity	4
3. Data- and Performance-Driven Approach	
4. Planning to Programming	5
5. Transportation Funding and Priorities	
6. Strategic Investment Strategy	8
6.1 Project Nomination Process	
6.2 Project Scoring Process	
6.2.1 Preliminary Screening and General Scoring	10
6.2.2 Foundation for Scoring Criteria	11
6.2.3 Project Review Committee	12
6.3 Nomination of Approved Projects	
6.4 Letter of Support	
7. CSIS Implementation	13

#### APPENDICES

APPENDIX A: 10 CAPTI Guiding Principles	14
APPENDIX B: EO N-19-19	
APPENDIX C: EO N-79-20	20
APPENDIX D: Nomination Intake Form	25
D.1 ATP Nomination Intake Form	25
D.2 SB 1 SCCP & TCEP Nomination Intake Form	36
D.3 State-Sponsored Non-SHOPP PID Nomination Intake Form	41
APPENDIX E: Preliminary Screening	42
E.1 ATP Screening Checklist	42
E.2 SB 1 SCCP & TCEP Screening Checklist	43
APPENDIX F: Scoring Criteria	44
F.1 ATP Scoring Criteria	44
F.2 SB 1 SCCP & TCEP Scoring Criteria	45
F.3 State-Sponsored Non-SHOPP PID Scoring Criteria	45
F.4 2021 ITSP Scoring Criteria	46
APPENDIX G: Scoring Rubric	48
G.1 ATP Scoring Rubric	48
G.2 SB 1 SCCP & TCEP Scoring Rubric	55
G.3 State-Sponsored Non-SHOPP PID Scoring Rubric	
APPENDIX H: Scoring Sheet	
APPENDIX I: LOS Signature Authority Decision Tree	55



## **Executive Summary**

The California Department of Transportation (Caltrans) is committed to leading climate action and advancing social equity in the transportation sector set forth by the California State Transportation Agency (CalSTA) Climate Action Plan for Transportation Infrastructure (CAPTI, 2021). The CAPTI supports the California Transportation Plan (CTP) 2050 (2021) goals that works to meet the State's ambitious climate change mandates, targets, and policies set forth by Executive Order (EO) N-19-19 and N-79-20 (Appendix B & C), signed by Governor Gavin Newsom in 2019 and 2020, respectively. The CTP 2050 is the state's broad vision for the future of the transportation system in California, with a focus

**CAPTI S4.** Advance State Transportation Leadership on Climate and Equity through Improved Planning & Project Partnerships

on advancing equity and climate priorities by expanding travel options for all Californians.

Additionally, the CAPTI aligns with Caltrans 2020-24 Strategic Plan (2021), which signals a fundamental shift for the Department to lead climate action as a strategic priority for the first time. Caltrans is in a significant leadership role to carry out meaningful measures that advance state's goals and priorities through the development and implementation of the Caltrans Strategic Investment Strategy (CSIS). The CSIS, which implements one of CAPTI's key actions, is envisioned to be an investment framework through a data- and performance-driven approach that guides transportation investments and decisions. This framework includes methodologies and processes for how Caltrans should invest billions of dollars of highly competitive fund programs that will address transportation deficiencies while also achieving the CAPTI Guiding Principles (Appendix A). The ultimate objective of the CSIS is to prioritize our infrastructure investments that address multiple goals and needs and achieving synergistic benefits for the residents of California.





#### 1. Introduction

#### Interim CSIS

The implementation of CAPTI S4.1 calls for the CSIS to guide project nominations through a data- and performance-driven approach for projects funded by the State Highway Operation and Protection Program (SHOPP) and projects funded by other sources, commonly referred to as Non-SHOPP projects. As SHOPP projects are presently prioritized through a data- and performance-driven approach under Asset Management, the Interim CSIS is focused on prioritizing non-SHOPP projects using a predominantly qualitative approach to guide the investment decision-making process. Caltrans intends to transition the CSIS towards a data- and performance-driven approach prior to SB 1 Cycle 4, when data collection and indepth analyses can be conducted to establish baselines and performance targets and effectively measure the extent to which Caltrans delivers on the CAPTI Guiding Principles.

The CSIS presents a transparent decision-making framework that guides statewide transportation investments from planning to programming and project delivery. By linking State policies and goals from various statewide guiding documents (CTP 2050, CAPTI, Caltrans Strategic Plan) with transportation needs from Caltrans six modal plans, Caltrans can leverage federal and state discretionary funding

#### CAPTI S4.1

Develop and Implement the CSIS to Align Caltrans Project Nominations with the CAPTI Investment Framework.

through the project nomination process, using standardized methodologies and protocols to evaluate, prioritize, and nominate projects for competitive fund programs.

Ultimately, the CSIS guides how Caltrans nominates the best projects that includes multimodal transportation options to expand mode choices and reduce transportation-related emissions. Through the prioritization process, Caltrans can make well-informed investment decisions and communicate to stakeholders the value of those investments in the communities we serve.





# 2. Equity

Caltrans acknowledges that communities of color and under-served communities experienced fewer benefits and a greater share of negative impacts associated with our state's transportation system. Some of these disparities reflect a history of transportation decision-making, policies, processes, planning, design, and construction that "quite literally put up barriers, divided communities, and amplified racial inequities, particularly in our Black and Brown neighborhoods."<sup>1</sup> To create a brighter future for all, the CSIS is committed to implementing concrete actions outlined in the Race & Equity Action Plan (December 10, 2020) that include:



**People** – We will create a workforce at all levels that is representative of the communities we serve by improving our recruitment, hiring, contracting, and leadership development policies and practices.



**Programs & Projects** – We will meaningfully engage communities most impacted by structural racism in the creation and implementation of the programs and projects that impact their daily lives by creating more transparent, inclusive, and ongoing consultation and collaboration processes. We will achieve our equity commitments through an engagement process where everyone is treated with dignity and justice. We will reform our programs, policies, and procedures based on this engagement to avoid harm to frontline and vulnerable communities. We will prioritize projects that improve access for and provide meaningful benefits to underserved communities.



**Partnerships** - By leveraging our transportation investments, we also commit to increasing pathways to opportunity for minority-owned and disadvantaged business enterprises, and for individuals who face systemic barriers to employment.



**Planet** - We commit to combatting the climate crisis and its disproportionate impact on frontline and vulnerable communities — such as Black and Indigenous peoples, communities of color, the people experiencing homelessness, people with disabilities, and youth. We will change how we plan, design, build, and maintain our transportation investments to create a more resilient system that more equitably distributes the benefits and burdens to the current and future generations of Californians.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> California State Transportation Agency Secretary David Kim's Statement on Racial Equity, Justice and Inclusion in Transportation



## 3. Data- and Performance-Driven Approach

The purpose of the CSIS is to establish a framework with standard methodologies to guide transportation investments through a transparent scoring and prioritization process when nominating Non-SHOPP projects for various discretionary fund programs. While CAPTI specifically calls for CSIS to implement a data- and performance-driven nomination approach, this document establishes a qualitative approach as an interim measure to immediately implement CAPTI. Development of a data-driven approach will involve a multi-disciplinary team comprised of subject matter experts (SME) from various Caltrans HQ and district divisions and programs. This team will conduct in-depth studies and data analyses and establish performance metrics for tracking goals and targets. When implemented effectively, the future of a data- and performance-driven approach will quantitatively determine the best projects to nominate, fund, and sponsor to expand mode choices, advance critical climate and health considerations in transportation, while also addressing inequities in the transportation system.

# 4. Planning to Programming

The State's six Modal Plans shown in Figure 4.1 link the overarching goals and policies to specific transportation needs and projects. As these modal plans are guided by and support the goals, objectives, and strategies of the CTP 2050, the CTP also unifies regional and modal plans in its comprehensive vision. Hence, future updates of these plans continuously inform and support one another.

District planning documents, such as the District System Management Plan and Corridor Plans/Transportation Concept Reports, are instrumental in facilitating the development of conceptual Non-SHOPP

#### Figure 4.1 – List of State Modal Plans

#### **SIX STATE MODAL PLANS**

- 1. California Aviation System Plan Policy Element
- 2. California Freight Mobility Plan
- 3. California State Rail Plan
- 4. Interregional Transportation Strategic Plan
- 5. Statewide Transit Strategic Plan
- 6. Towards an Active California: State Bicycle and Pedestrian Plan

projects to be ready for future programming. Through continuous collaboration between districts and their partner agencies, districts submit nominations of their priority conceptual projects for development of State-Sponsored Project Initiation Document (PID), which is a required document to be eligible for programming. The nomination process for State-Sponsored Non-SHOPP PIDs, which occurs annually, utilizes the processes outlined in this Interim CSIS. Implementation of the CSIS for State-Sponsored Non-SHOPP PIDs encourages Caltrans and partner agencies to deeply consider multimodal, equity, community engagement, climate action, and other key CAPTI principles in early planning efforts, scope development, and throughout the project development process.



# 5. Transportation Funding and Priorities

Senate Bill 1 (SB 1), the Road Repair and Accountability Act of 2017, is a landmark transportation bill to rebuild California by providing a comprehensive and multi-modal fund set aside for highways, local streets and roads, goods movement, active transportation, and transit through a variety of formulaic and competitive programs. This bill provides new funding opportunities for Non-SHOPP projects, which have historically been funded by the State Transportation Improvement Program (STIP) and local funds. While the passage of SB 1 stabilizes the STIP that has been historically volatile, it also



created new competitive programs to provide additional funding opportunities for state and local agencies, such as the Solutions for Congested Corridors Program (SCCP) and the Trade Corridor Enhancement Program (TCEP)

In November 2021, the Federal Infrastructure Investment and Jobs Act, commonly referred to as the Bipartisan Infrastructure Law, was signed into law. The Bipartisan Infrastructure Law provides the largest Federal investment in public transit in history and establishes new competitive transportation programs with over \$31 billion in funding over the next five years, from fiscal year 2022 to 2026. Additionally, funding for existing Infrastructure for Rebuilding America (INFRA) and Rebuilding American Infrastructure with Sustainability and Equity (RAISE) competitive programs also increased by over 50 percent annually over the next five years.

When leveraging billions of dollars in annual state and federal discretionary fund programs, CAPTI recommends implementing projects that are consistent with the adopted Sustainable Communities Strategies, pursuant to SB 375, and SB 743, which aims to reduce vehicle miles traveled (VMT), combat climate change, promote infill development, and expand multimodal transportation networks. With greater funding opportunities and a stronger commitment to achieve the 10 CAPTI Guiding Principles, the partnership between state and local agencies is increasingly important to advance the right projects from conceptual to PID development and ultimately to programming and construction.



Table 5.1 lists some of the major competitive state and federal discretionary fund programs in which the State is an eligible applicant and Caltrans intends to leverage.

	Discretionary Funding Programs	Program Cycle	Annual Funding (in millions)
STATE	SB 1 Trade Corridor Enhancement Program (TCEP)	Biennial	\$300
	Transit & Intercity Rail Capital Program (TIRCP)	Biennial	\$275
	SB 1 Solutions for Congested Corridors (SCCP)	Biennial	\$250
	Active Transportation Program (ATP)	Biennial	\$223
	Interregional Transportation Improvement Program (ITIP)	Biennial	\$175
	Bridge Investment Program◆	Annual	\$2,500
	Nationally Significant Freight and Highway Projects (aka INFRA)	Annual	\$1,600
	Local and Regional Project Assistance (aka RAISE)	Annual	\$1,500
	National Infrastructure Project Assistance (Mega Projects) •	Annual	\$1,000
	Building Resilient Infrastructure and Communities (BRIC)	Annual	\$1,000
	Railroad Crossing Elimination Program◆	Annual	\$600
	Charging and Fueling Infrastructure ◆	Annual	\$500
	Rural Surface Transportation Grant Program	Annual	\$400
	Consolidated Rail Infrastructure and Safety Improvements (CRISI)	Annual	\$362
FEDERAL	Promoting Resilient Operations for Transformative, Efficient, and Cost- Saving Transportation (PROTECT) Grant ◆	Annual	\$280
H	Active Transportation Infrastructure Investment Program	Annual	\$200
	National Culvert Removal, Replacement, and Restoration Grants •	Annual	\$200
	Reconnecting Communities Pilot Program◆	Annual	\$200
	Healthy Streets Program◆	Annual	\$100
	Strengthening Mobility and Revolutionizing Transportation Grant Program (SMART) ◆	Annual	\$100
	Wildlife Crossings Pilot Program◆	Annual	\$70
	Congestion Relief Program◆	Annual	\$50
	Prioritization Process Pilot Program	Annual	\$10
	Stopping Threats on Pedestrians ◆	Annual	\$5

#### Table 5.1 – State & Federal Discretionary Fund Programs (Not All-Inclusive)

• New competitive fund programs established under the Bipartisan Infrastructure Law



Within the "fix-it-first" approach and existing funding frameworks, California state transportation infrastructure investments should be prioritized to address transportation deficiencies while also meeting the following 10 CAPTI guiding principles, where feasible:



# 6. Strategic Investment Strategy

The Office of Strategic Investment Planning (Investment Planning) leads the investment strategy through various processes and methodologies, from the call for nominations to the nomination of approved projects for competitive programs. This linear process (Figure 6.1) evaluates projects for alignment with CAPTI and program competitiveness to ensure projects provide equitable solutions to transportation problems and increase accessibility for all users, while reducing negative environmental and community impacts. The investment strategy utilizes this process to increase transparency by informing partners and stakeholders of the methodologies that Caltrans uses to evaluate, score, and nominate projects for competitive fund programs.







#### 6.1 Project Nomination Process

As illustrated in Figure 6.1.2, the prioritization process within CSIS merges the long and short-range planning documents with projects nominated by districts to ensure alignment and consistency. The end product is a list of prioritized projects being nominated for specific fund programs in which they are eligible.

Prior to HQ Call for Nomination, Caltrans districts are highly encouraged to collaborate with partner agencies and stakeholders to develop a list of district priority projects. Districts then submit their project nominations to HQ via a nomination intake form (Appendix D), which is a mechanism for gathering basic project information and responses to a set of criteria questions that will be used in the project scoring process. Districts are encouraged to consult with the appropriate HQ programs for feedback as they develop responses to the criteria questions prior to submitting their nominations.



#### Figure 6.1.2 – Project Prioritization Process

#### 6.2 Project Scoring Process

The scoring process consists of establishing the project review committee (hereinafter referred to as reviewers or committee), evaluating responses to a set of scoring criteria, and scoring each criterion based on the scoring rubric (Appendix G). The SME reviewers evaluate and score projects for assigned criteria relating to their area of expertise. The final scores are submitted to Investment Planning, which will be entered in the scoring tool. Within the scoring tool, the scores are collected, averaged, and ranked highest to lowest, with the highest scored project being the #1 recommended project, the second highest being the #2 recommended project, and so forth. This ranking results in a Recommended List of Prioritized Projects that will be shared with the districts.



#### 6.2.1 Preliminary Screening and General Scoring

As the initial step in the scoring process, Investment Planning conducts preliminary screening (Appendix E) of all projects to ensure the projects meet program eligibility before proceeding to the next step.

Projects that pass Preliminary Screening advance to Project Scoring, where projects





are evaluated and scored by the committee based on a set of Scoring Criteria (Appendix F) and an associated Scoring Rubric that defines the basis for each score (Appendix G). The scoring criteria consists of the following two key components:

- **CAPTI Guiding Principles:** All projects are evaluated and scored based on CAPTI. Table 6.2.2 indicates which fund programs align with each CAPTI guiding principle.
- **Program-Specific:** This scoring criteria is specific to the fund program and intended to evaluate the competitiveness of the project for that program. This is not applicable for State-Sponsored Non-SHOPP PID program.

It is important to note that while the scoring criteria will satisfy both CAPTI and programspecific, the criteria languages may differ slightly among the different fund programs.

CAPTI Framework		Funding Programs					
CAPIT Framework	ATP	INFRA	ITIP	RAISE	SCCP	TCEP	
Types of Transportation Investments							
Building towards an integrated, statewide rail and transit network		$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	~	~	✓	
Investing in networks of safe and accessible <b>bicycle and pedestrian</b> infrastructure	~	~	$\checkmark$	~	~	~	
Including investments in light, medium, and heavy-duty <b>zero-emission vehicle</b> infrastructure		~	~	~	~	~	
How to Invest in Clean Transportation							
Strengthening our commitment to social and racial <b>equity</b> by reducing public health harms and maximizing benefits to disproportionately impacted <b>disadvantaged communities</b>	~	~	✓	✓	~	~	
Making <b>safety</b> improvements to reduce fatalities and severe injuries of all users towards zero	~	~	~	~	~	~	
Assessing physical climate risk		~	$\checkmark$	✓	~	✓	
Promoting projects that do not significantly increase passenger vehicle travel	~	✓	$\checkmark$	~	~	✓	
Promoting compact <b>infill development</b> while protecting residents and businesses from displacement	~	✓	$\checkmark$	~	~	~	
Developing a zero-emission freight transportation system		~	$\checkmark$	✓	~	~	
Protecting natural and working land		$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	~	~	

#### Table 6.2.2 – CAPTI Applicability for Fund Programs



#### 6.2.2 Foundation for Scoring Criteria

In Fall 2021, Caltrans developed a set of scoring criteria for the 2021 Interregional Transportation Strategic Plan (ITSP, October 2021) to prioritize projects for the 2022 Interregional Transportation Improvement Program (ITIP, October 2021). The 2021 ITSP scoring criteria, included in Appendix F.4 and accessible <u>here</u>, were based on the CTP 2050 goals and the CAPTI framework, with a focus on achieving interregional corridor objectives, policies, and needs. To maintain consistency, the 2021 ITSP scoring criteria serves as the foundation for developing scoring criteria for other state and federal programs, such as the State-Sponsored Non-SHOPP PID, SB 1 TCEP and SCEP, INFRA, RAISE, as well as new federal competitive programs established by the Bipartisan Infrastructure Bill. The criteria questions may be modified as appropriate to align with program-specific objectives, goals, and needs. The scoring criteria for available fund programs are included in Appendix F.

To provide a general framework of scoring criteria development, below is a list of criteria questions from the 2021 ITSP, which is modified to be nonspecific to interregional travel and can be applied to other programs, as appropriate.

- 1. How does the project improve travel in the corridor?
- 2. Does the project demonstrate potential for mode shift, including to rail, transit, or active transportation?
- 3. How does the project impact single occupancy vehicle miles traveled (VMT)?
- 4. How does the project include and document a meaningful public engagement process to traditionally underrepresented groups (including black, indigenous, and other people of color (BIPOC)), low income, environmental justice communities, and/or their Community Based Organizations) and incorporate local community needs into the project?
- 5. How does the project impact public health, including from a racial equity standpoint?
- 6. Does the project make an improvement to an emergency evacuation route identified in an emergency plan/hazard mitigation plan or strategy using an approach that is supported by state/local emergency services?
- 7. Does the project reduce fatalities and severe injuries for all users in alignment with the Safe Systems approach?
- 8. Does the project include and/or improve access to zero emission charging or fueling infrastructure?
- 9. Does the project improve climate adaptation and resiliency by addressing one or more climate risk(s) identified in the Caltrans District Vulnerability Assessments and Adaptation Priority Reports or a regional or local climate change adaptation plan?
- 10. Does the project minimize the impact on natural resources and ecosystems?
- 11. Does the project leverage SHOPP investment or other maintenance or rehabilitation funds for the purpose of maintaining or rehabilitating assets in fair or poor condition within the project limits?
- 12. Does the project leverage partner funds?
- 13. How does the project impact the economy?



#### 6.2.3 Project Review Committee

A multi-disciplinary project review committee is vital to the success of the scoring process. It is a team of volunteer SMEs (Figure 6.2.3) formed for each fund program cycle to evaluate and score projects. After completion of preliminary screening, a kick-off meeting will be held with the committee to discuss the overall nomination process, including fund program guidelines, scoring rubrics, evaluation materials, and general rules and expectations. Projects are then randomly assigned to the reviewers, who will review the projects against the criteria for which they are assigned as their area of expertise. For example, a reviewer from the Division of Traffic Operations would review the criteria on VMT and Safety for all projects assigned. The reviewers are guided by the Scoring Rubric (Appendix G) and record their scores in the Scoring Sheet (Appendix H), as well as providing justifications for each score given.

#### Figure 6.2.3 – Project Review Committee

(Not All-Inclusive)



#### 6.3 Nomination of Approved Projects

Once all the scores are collected in the scoring tool, Investment Planning prepares the Recommended List of Prioritized Projects and submits to Caltrans Executive Management for review and approval of the projects. The final list is also presented to CalSTA for their review and concurrence. Districts are subsequently notified of the nominated projects and to proceed with development of the grant applications.

#### 6.4 Letter of Support

Caltrans is often requested to provide letters of support for projects submitting grant application. For major discretionary fund programs that may not fully align with CAPTI, such as INFRA and RAISE, the projects are evaluated and selected through a similar process described in Sections 6.1 to 6.3, which involves collaboration with the SMEs to evaluate and score support letters to determine if projects align with the CAPTI guiding principles. The scoring process results in a recommended list of support letters that should proceed to Caltrans Director for signature.

There are certain discretionary fund programs where the signature authority is delegated to the District Director to increase efficiency. For major fund programs that fully align with CAPTI, such as the Consolidated Rail Infrastructure and Safety Improvements and Low/No



Emission Vehicle Program, signature authority is delegated to the District Director upon concurrence by HQ SMEs. For smaller grant programs, such as Area of Persistent Poverty and Tribal Transportation Program Safety Fund, the signature authority is delegated to the District Director, and the Districts is responsible for evaluating and selecting projects to support.

HQ Division of Transportation Planning retains the lead role in determining letter of support signature authority for all discretionary fund programs and providing appropriate oversight to ensure projects align with State goals and priorities. The LOS Signature Authority Decision Tree (Attachment I, *under development*) provides general guidance and parameters for signature authority and the extent of HQ evaluation.

# 7. CSIS Implementation

The CSIS implementation will set the State on a successful path of leveraging discretionary funding sources to achieve State's goals and priorities. This Interim CSIS will focus on a qualitative approach to evaluate, score, and nominate projects as a proactive measure to immediately implement CAPTI until a data-driven approach can be developed. The CSIS will be revisited and modified in late 2022 to early 2023 using SB 1 TCEP & SCCP Cycle 3, ATP Cycle 6, and other past programs as case studies for fine-tuning the methodologies and transitioning to a data and performance approach, where appropriate and feasible. To successfully implement the CSIS, it is paramount that state and local partnerships are successfully established and maintained to ensure project progression and commitment to the CAPTI framework are carried through the life of the project.



#### Figure 7.1 – CSIS Implementation Timeline (Subject to Change)





# **APPENDIX A: 10 CAPTI Guiding Principles**



Climate Action Plan for Transportation Infrastructure





#### **10 CAPTI Guiding Principles**

- 1. Building toward an integrated, statewide rail and transit network, centered around the existing California State Rail Plan that leverages the California Integrated Travel Project to provide seamless, affordable, multimodal travel options in all context, including suburban and rural settings, to all users.
- 2. Investing in networks of safe and accessible bicycle and pedestrian infrastructure, particularly by closing gaps on portions of the State Highway System that intersect local active transportation and transit networks or serve as small town or rural main streets, with a focus on investments in low-income and disadvantaged communities throughout the state.
- 3. Investments in light, medium, and heavy-duty zero-emission vehicle (ZEV) infrastructure as part of larger transportation projects. Support the innovation in and development of the ZEV market and help ensure ZEVs are accessible to all, particularly to those in more rural or remote communities.
- 4. Strengthening our commitment to social and racial equity by reducing public health and economic harms and maximizing community benefits to disproportionately impacted disadvantaged communities, low-income communities, and Black, Indigenous, and People of Color (BIPOC) communities, in urbanized and rural regions, and involve these communities early in decision-making. Investments should also avoid placing new or exacerbating existing burdens on these communities, even if unintentional.
- 5. Making safety improvements to reduce fatalities and severe injuries of all users towards zero on our roadways, railways and transit systems by focusing on context appropriate speeds, prioritizing vulnerable user safety to support mode shift, designing roadways to accommodate for potential human error and injury tolerances, and ultimately implementing a safe systems approach.
- 6. Assessing physical climate risk as standard practice for transportation infrastructure projects to enable informed decision making, especially in communities that are most vulnerable to climate-related health and safety risks.
- 7. Promoting projects that do not significantly increase passenger vehicle travel, particularly in congested urbanized settings where other mobility options can be provided and where projects are shown to induce significant auto travel. These projects should generally aim to reduce VMT and not induce significant VMT growth. When addressing congestion, consider alternatives to highway capacity expansion, such as providing multimodal options in the corridor, employing pricing strategies, and using technology to optimize operations.
- 8. Promoting compact infill development while protecting residents and businesses from displacement by funding transportation projects that support housing for low-income residents near job centers, provide walkable communities, and address affordability to reduce the housing-transportation cost burden and auto trips.
- Developing a zero-emission freight transportation system that avoids and mitigates environmental justice impacts, reduces criteria and toxic air pollutants, improves freight's economic competitiveness and efficiency, and integrates multimodal design and planning into infrastructure development on freight corridors.
- 10. Protecting natural and working lands from conversion to more intensified uses and enhance biodiversity by supporting local and regional conservation planning that focuses development where it already exists and align transportation investments with conservation priorities to reduce transportation's impact on the natural environment.



#### APPENDIX B: EO N-19-19

#### EXECUTIVE DEPARTMENT STATE OF CALIFORNIA

#### **EXECUTIVE ORDER N-19-19**

WHEREAS California is proof that a bold climate agenda is good for the economy, for workers, for health and for our future, as evidenced by our state having achieved record economic growth while reaching some of the strongest climate goals in the world; and

WHEREAS in the face of inaction on climate change from the federal government, California is a global leader in climate change mitigation efforts through bold climate goals and actions, as well as leadership in the US Climate Alliance and Under2 Coalition, using the state's power as the fifth largest economy in the world to drive positive action; and

WHEREAS California has ambitious and essential climate goals to transition to a healthier, more sustainable and more inclusive economy, including: reducing greenhouse gas emissions 40 percent below 1990 levels by 2030; providing 100 percent of the state's electricity from clean energy sources by 2045; reducing methane emissions and hydrofluorocarbon gases by 40 percent; and adding five million zero-emission vehicles to California's roads by 2030; and

WHEREAS California has made substantial, measurable progress on many of the goals enumerated above, but in recent years, direct tailpipe emission from cars, ships, diesel trains, airplanes, and other transportation sources have remained a stubborn driver of greenhouse gas emissions, totaling 40.1 percent of all greenhouse gas emissions statewide; and

WHEREAS the California Air Resources Board has a fifty-year history of leading the globe in addressing harmful pollution through innovative air pollution control standards, including the nation's first NOx emissions standards for motor vehicles; and

WHEREAS California's renewable energy targets have spurred innovation and private investment in new technologies with California leading the nation in clean technology patents and bringing in more than 50 percent of all clean energy investment in the nation; and

WHEREAS the state has made significant progress in lowering greenhouse gas emissions and mitigating climate risk in California's own state government operations and public schools; and

WHEREAS achieving California's climate goals will require concerted commitment and partnership by government, the private sector, and California residents.

Contration M





- To leverage the state's \$700 billion investment portfolio to advance California's climate leadership, protect taxpayers, and support the creation of high-road jobs, the Department of Finance shall create a Climate Investment Framework.
  - a. The Framework shall include a proactive investment strategy for the state's pension funds that reflects the increased risks to the economy and physical environment due to climate change.
  - b. The Framework shall provide the timeline and criteria to shift investments to companies and industry sectors that have greater growth potential based on their focus of reducing carbon emissions and adapting to the impacts of climate change, including but not limited to investments in carbon-neutral, carbon-negative, climate resilient, and clean energy technologies.
  - c. The Framework shall align with the fiduciary responsibilities of the California Public Employees' Retirement System, California State Teachers' Retirement System and the University of California Retirement Program.
  - d. The Department of Finance shall consult with the Governor's Office of Planning and Research, and the California Department of Human Resources on the Framework.
- 2. The State Transportation Agency shall leverage the more than \$5 billion in annual state transportation spending for construction, operations, and maintenance to help reverse the trend of increased fuel consumption and reduce greenhouse gas emissions associated with the transportation sector. To accomplish this, the State Transportation Agency, in consultation with the Department of Finance, shall:
  - a. Align the state's climate goals with transportation spending on planning, programming and mitigation to achieve the objectives of the state's Climate Change Scoping Plan, where feasible,
  - b. Reduce vehicle miles traveled by strategically directing discretionary transportation investments in support of housing production near available jobs and in accordance with the state's smart growth principles, as defined in Government Code section 65041.1, and taking public health into account,







This Order is not intended to, and does not, create any rights or benefits, substantive or procedural, enforceable at law or in equity, against the State of California, its departments, agencies, or other entities, its officers or employees, or any other person.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF I have hereunto set my hand and caused the Great Seal of the State of California to be affixed this 20th day of September 2019.

AVIN NEWSOM

Governor of California

ATTEST:

ALEX PADILLA Secretary of State

CONTRACTOR OF MAN



## APPENDIX C: EO N-79-20

#### EXECUTIVE DEPARTMENT STATE OF CALIFORNIA

#### **EXECUTIVE ORDER N-79-20**

WHEREAS the climate change crisis is happening now, impacting California in unprecedented ways, and affecting the health and safety of too many Californians; and

WHEREAS we must accelerate our actions to mitigate and adapt to climate change, and more quickly move toward our low-carbon, sustainable and resilient future; and

WHEREAS the COVID-19 pandemic has disrupted the entire transportation sector, bringing a sharp decline in demand for fuels and adversely impacting public transportation; and

WHEREAS as our economy recovers, we must accelerate the transition to a carbon neutral future that supports the retention and creation of high-road, high-quality jobs; and

**WHEREAS** California's long-term economic resilience requires bold action to eliminate emissions from transportation, which is the largest source of emissions in the State; and

WHEREAS the State must prioritize clean transportation solutions that are accessible to all Californians, particularly those who are low-income or experience a disproportionate share of pollution; and

WHEREAS zero emissions technologies, especially trucks and equipment, reduce both greenhouse gas emissions and toxic air pollutants that disproportionately burden our disadvantaged communities of color; and

WHEREAS California is a world leader in manufacturing and deploying zero-emission vehicles and chargers and fueling stations for cars, trucks, buses and freight-related equipment; and

WHEREAS passenger rail, transit, bicycle and pedestrian infrastructure, and micro-mobility options are critical components to the State achieving carbon neutrality and connecting communities, requiring coordination of investments and work with all levels of governments including rail and transit agencies to support these mobility options; and

WHEREAS California's policies have contributed to an on-going reduction in in-state oil extraction, which has declined by over 60 percent since 1985, but demand for oil has not correspondingly declined over the same period of time; and

**WHEREAS** California is already working to decarbonize the transportation fuel sector through the Low Carbon Fuel Standard, which recognizes the full life cycle of carbon in transportation emissions including transport into the State; and



**WHEREAS** clean renewable fuels play a role as California transitions to a decarbonized transportation sector; and

WHEREAS to protect the health and safety of our communities and workers the State must focus on the impacts of oil extraction as it transitions away from fossil fuel, by working to end the issuance of new hydraulic fracturing permits by 2024; and

WHEREAS a sustainable and inclusive economic future for California will require retaining and creating high-road, high-quality jobs through sustained engagement with communities, workers and industries in changing and growing industries.

**NOW THEREFORE, I, GAVIN NEWSOM**, Governor of the State of California by virtue of the power and authority vested in me by the Constitution and the statutes of the State of California, do hereby issue the following Order to pursue actions necessary to combat the climate crisis.

#### IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT:

- It shall be a goal of the State that 100 percent of in-state sales of new passenger cars and trucks will be zero-emission by 2035. It shall be a further goal of the State that 100 percent of medium- and heavy-duty vehicles in the State be zero-emission by 2045 for all operations where feasible and by 2035 for drayage trucks. It shall be further a goal of the State to transition to 100 percent zero-emission off-road vehicles and equipment by 2035 where feasible.
- 2. The State Air Resources Board, to the extent consistent with State and federal law, shall develop and propose:
  - a) Passenger vehicle and truck regulations requiring increasing volumes of new zero-emission vehicles sold in the State towards the target of 100 percent of in-state sales by 2035.
  - b) Medium- and heavy-duty vehicle regulations requiring increasing volumes of new zero-emission trucks and buses sold and operated in the State towards the target of 100 percent of the fleet transitioning to zero-emission vehicles by 2045 everywhere feasible and for all drayage trucks to be zeroemission by 2035.
  - c) Strategies, in coordination with other State agencies, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency and local air districts, to achieve 100 percent zero-emission from off-road vehicles and equipment operations in the State by 2035.

In implementing this Paragraph, the State Air Resources Board shall act consistently with technological feasibility and cost-effectiveness.

 The Governor's Office of Business and Economic Development, in consultation with the State Air Resources Board, Energy Commission, Public Utilities Commission, State Transportation Agency, the



- 8. To support the transition away from fossil fuels consistent with the goals established in this Order and California's goal to achieve carbon neutrality by no later than 2045, the California Environmental Protection Agency and the California Natural Resources Agency, in consultation with other State, local and federal agencies, shall expedite regulatory processes to repurpose and transition upstream and downstream oil production facilities, while supporting community participation, labor standards, and protection of public health, safety and the environment. The agencies shall report on progress and provide an action plan, including necessary changes in regulations, laws or resources, by July 15, 2021.
- 9. The State Air Resources Board, in consultation with other State agencies, shall develop and propose strategies to continue the State's current efforts to reduce the carbon intensity of fuels beyond 2030 with consideration of the full life cycle of carbon.
- 10. The California Environmental Protection Agency and the California Natural Resources Agency, in consultation with the Office of Planning and Research, the Department of Finance, the Governor's Office of Business and Economic Development and other local and federal agencies, shall develop strategies, recommendations and actions by July 15, 2021 to manage and expedite the responsible closure and remediation of former oil extraction sites as the State transitions to a carbon-neutral economy.
- 11. The Department of Conservation's Geologic Energy Management Division and other relevant State agencies shall strictly enforce bonding requirements and other regulations to ensure oil extraction operators are responsible for the proper closure and remediation of their sites.
- 12. The Department of Conservation's Geologic Energy Management Division shall:
  - a) Propose a significantly strengthened, stringent, science-based health and safety draft rule that protects communities and workers from the impacts of oil extraction activities by December 31, 2020.
  - b) Post on its website for public review and consultation a draft rule at least 60 days before submitting to the Office of Administrative Law.



Department of Finance and other State agencies, local agencies and the private sector, shall develop a Zero-Emissions Vehicle Market Development Strategy by January 31, 2021, and update every three years thereafter, that:

- a) Ensures coordinated and expeditious implementation of the system of policies, programs and regulations necessary to achieve the goals and orders established by this Order.
- b) Outlines State agencies' actions to support new and used zeroemission vehicle markets for broad accessibility for all Californians.
- 4. The State Air Resources Board, the Energy Commission, Public Utilities Commission and other relevant State agencies, shall use existing authorities to accelerate deployment of affordable fueling and charging options for zero-emission vehicles, in ways that serve all communities and in particular low-income and disadvantaged communities, consistent with State and federal law.
- 5. The Energy Commission, in consultation with the State Air Resources Board and the Public Utilities Commission, shall update the biennial statewide assessment of zero-emission vehicle infrastructure required by Assembly Bill 2127 (Chapter 365, Statues of 2018) to support the levels of electric vehicle adoption required by this Order.
- 6. The State Transportation Agency, the Department of Transportation and the California Transportation Commission, in consultation with the Department of Finance and other State agencies, shall by July 15, 2021 identify near term actions, and investment strategies, to improve clean transportation, sustainable freight and transit options, while continuing a "fix-it-first" approach to our transportation system, including where feasible:
  - a) Building towards an integrated, statewide rail and transit network, consistent with the California State Rail Plan, to provide seamless, affordable multimodal travel options for all.
  - b) Supporting bicycle, pedestrian, and micro-mobility options, particularly in low-income and disadvantaged communities in the State, by incorporating safe and accessible infrastructure into projects where appropriate.
  - c) Supporting light, medium, and heavy duty zero-emission vehicles and infrastructure as part of larger transportation projects, where appropriate.
- 7. The Labor and Workforce Development Agency and the Office of Planning and Research, in consultation with the Department of Finance and other State agencies, shall develop by July 15, 2021 and expeditiously implement a Just Transition Roadmap, consistent with the recommendations in the "Putting California on the High Road: A Jobs and Climate Action Plan for 2030" report pursuant to Assembly Bill 398 (Chapter 135, Statutes of 2017).







#### **D.1 ATP Nomination Intake Form**

SIS

1

#### ATP Cycle 6 Call for Project Nominations Intake Form Worksheet

Please use this **worksheet** to collaborate with partners and compile information before submitting the <u>ATP Cycle 6 Call for Project Nominations Intake Form</u> (via Smartsheet). Information collected in both the **worksheet** and **form** will be used by Caltrans DOTP during the project nomination review process for Cycle 6 of the Active Transportation Program (ATP).

IMPORTANT: Nominations must be submitted using the Smartsheet form by COB January 5, 2022.

This worksheet (as well as other documents listed in Section VII) **must be uploaded** as an attachment to the **Smartsheet form**.

#### Intake Form/Worksheet Contents:

- I. General Information
- II. Location Information
- III. Funding Information
- IV. Political Information
- V. Project Narratives
- VI. Contact Information
- VII. Supporting Documents

#### Instructions:

- For multiple-choice questions, utilize the check boxes provided.
  - For short-answer questions, please respond directly to the prompts given (highlighted in gray).
    - Note: Text responses are limited in the Smartsheet form (you may run out of space).
       Please utilize this worksheet to provide detailed and complete responses.
- Required questions are marked with an asterisk (\*).
- Please review the supplemental documents in Section VII.
  - Required documents include:
    - ATP Cycle 6 Call for Project Nominations Intake Form Worksheet (this document)
    - Project Map

Please contact Romeo Estrella at <u>Romeo.estrella@dot.ca.gov</u> or Jennifer Synhorst <u>mailto:Jennifer.Synhorst@dot.ca.gov</u> with any questions.

#### Thank you!



# I. General Information

#### 1. Project Name\*

Please provide a name for the project.

#### 2. Local/Regional Partner(s)

For jointly nominated projects, please identify the local and/or regional partner(s).

#### 3. Source Document

Please indicate if the project is consisted with an adopted RTP (please include a link) and aligned with local and regional priorities (upload documentation). Please list all other planning documents that informed this project proposal.

#### 4. ATP Project Type\*

Please indicate the ATP project type.

- Infrastructure (I)
- 🗌 Plan
- □ Non-Infrastructure (NI)
- □ Infrastructure with Non-Infrastructure Components (I + NI)
- 🗌 Quick-Build

#### 5. ATP Project Size\*

Please indicate the ATP project size by total project cost.

□ Large Project (Total project cost greater than \$7 million)

- □ Medium Project (Total project cost more than \$2 million and up to \$7 million)
- □ Small Project (Total project cost \$2 million or less)

#### 6. ATP Special Project Designation\*

Please indicate if the project qualifies for an ATP Special Project Designation.

- Safe Routes to School
- Recreational Trails
- □ Not Applicable

#### 7. Project Location Description and Scope\*

Please describe the location of the project and the project scope. Include specifics, as applicable: Class of bike lane and miles of bike lane; is part of a Safe Routes to School Program; geometric improvement to roadway, etc. Please spell out first instances of acronyms. DO NOT use all-uppercase text to write the entire project description.



3

#### 8. Project Planning Number (PPNO)

If applicable.

#### 9. Expenditure Authorization (EA)

If applicable. Must be in this format: 12345 (DO NOT include district number).

#### 10. Project Elements

Please identify the project elements. If *Other Active Transportation Mode* is selected, please indicate additional information in the scope.

🗌 Pedestrian

🗆 Bicycle

Complete Streets

□ Transit connections

□ Linkage/connections to recreation trails

□ Other Active Transportation Modes

#### 11. Current Phase\*

Please identify the current phase of the project. Projects already in construction are not eligible for ATP funding.

🗆 PID

D PA&ED

D PS&E / ROW

🗆 N/A

#### 12. Component(s) Requested\*

Please identify the components requesting funding from ATP.

D PA&ED

D PS&E

□ ROW

CON

🗆 N/A

#### 13. CON Start Year

Please provide the scheduled start of construction (year). Projects must commence the ROW phase or actual construction within 10 years of receiving pre-construction funding through ATP.



#### II. Location Information

#### 14. Caltrans District\*

Please identify the District(s) in which the project is located.

#### 15. County/Counties\*

Please identify the county/counties in which the project is located.

#### 16. Route(s)\*

Is the project on a state highway facility, please type the route number (ex: SR-24, type "24"). Please separate routes by commas (ex., "101, 280"). If the project is off system, please type "OFF" and provide street names).

#### 17. Begin Post Mile

Please type NUMBERS ONLY (no hyphens). Utilize the Postmile Query Tool.

#### 18. End Post Mile

Please type NUMBERS ONLY (no hyphens). Utilize the Postmile Query Tool.

#### 19. Disadvantaged Communities\*

Does the project qualify as a disadvantage community? Select all that apply. To qualify as a disadvantaged community the community served by the project must meet at least one of the following criteria (Please upload screenshots or documentation of data):

- Median Household Income: (Table ID B19013) is less than 80% of the statewide median based on the most current Census Tract (ID 140) level data from the 2014-2018 American Community Survey (<\$56,982). Communities with a population less than 15,000 may use data at the Census Block Group (ID 150) level. Unincorporated communities may use data at the Census Place (ID 160) level. Data is available at the United States Census Bureau Website.
- <u>SB 535 Disadvantaged Communities Map (CalEnviroScreen 3.0)</u> An area identified as among the most disadvantaged 25% in the state according to the CalEPA and based on the California Communities Environmental Health Screening Tool 3.0 (CalEnviroScreen 3.0) scores (score must be greater than or equal to 39.34).
- National School Lunch Program: At least 75% of public-school students in the project area are
  eligible to receive free or reduced-price meals under the National School Lunch Program. Data is
  available at the <u>California Department of Education website</u>. Applicants using this measure must
  indicate how the project benefits the school students in the project area. Project must be
  located within two miles of the school(s) represented by this criterion.
- Healthy Places Index: The Healthy Places Index includes a composite score for each census tract in the State. The higher the score, the healthier the community conditions based on 25 community characteristics. The scores are then converted to a percentile to compare it to other

ATP Cycle 6 Caltrans Project Nomination Intake Form Worksheet Division of Transportation Planning Office of Strategic Investment Planning August 2021



tracts in the State. A census tract must be in the 25th percentile or less to qualify as a disadvantaged community. The live map and the direct data can both be found on the <u>California</u> <u>Healthy Places Index website</u>.

Median Household Income

□ SB 353 Disadvantaged Community (CalEnviroScreen)

National School Lunch Program

Healthy Places Index

□ Other (If you believe that the project benefits a disadvantaged community, but the project does not meet the mentioned criteria due to a lack of accurate information, the District may attach another means of qualifying for consideration)

□ Not Applicable

#### 20. Native American Land\*

Is the project located in a Native American Land, OR does the project provide benefits to a Native American Land? <u>Please use the Native American Land Viewer Map</u>.

Located in Native American Land

Provides Benefits to Native American Land

□ Is Not Located in/Does not Provide Benefits to Native American Land

#### 21. Benefits to Native American Land

If the project is either located in or provides benefits to a Native American Land, please describe the benefits below.

#### 22. Safe Routes to School

Describe how the project is within two miles of a public school or within the vicinity of a public-school bus stop (this does not apply to NI projects with no traffic education or enforcement activities). Demonstrate how the project directly increases safety and convenience for public school students to walk and/or bike to school. (APPLIES TO SAFE ROUTES TO SCHOOL PROJECTS ONLY).

#### 23. Recreational Trails

Describe how the project serves active transportation purposes (such as multi-use paths, Class I bikeways, etc.) that may include, but are not primarily, recreational in nature. (APPLIES TO TRAIL PROJECTS ONLY).

ATP Cycle 6 Caltrans Project Nomination Intake Form Worksheet Division of Transportation Planning Office of Strategic Investment Planning August 2021



#### III. Funding Information

#### 24. Project Funding Table

Please fill out applicable cells in the table below to indicate funding requests and related information.

Project Funding											
Project Phase	Total Project Costs (\$1,000s)	Total ATP Funding Request (\$1,000s)	ATP Allocation Fiscal Year	Total Non-ATP Funding (\$1,000s)	Non- Participating Funding (\$1,000s)	"Prior" ATP Funding (\$1,000s)	Leveraging Funding (\$1,000s)	Future Local Identified Funding (\$1,000s)			
PA&ED	\$	\$		\$	\$	\$	\$	\$			
PS&E	\$	\$		\$	\$	\$	\$	\$			
R/W	\$	\$		\$	\$	\$	\$	\$			
CON	\$	\$		\$	\$	\$	\$	\$			
NI-CON	\$	\$		\$	\$	\$	\$	\$			
TOTAL	\$	\$		\$	\$	\$	\$	\$			

ATP Cycle 6 Caltrans Project Nomination Intake Form Worksheet Division of Transportation Planning Office of Strategic Investment Planning August 2021



#### IV. Political Information

#### 25. Congressional Information\*

Please select all Congressional District(s) associated with the project location. For reference: https://www.govtrack.us/congress/members/map

#### 26. Key Stakeholders\*

Please list key stakeholders.

#### 27. Other Political Considerations

Please describe any other political considerations, such as any political groups or members that support/do not support the project. If there is significant opposition to the project, applicants should summarize any major points of concern raised by the opposition and provide a response.

ATP Cycle 6 Caltrans Project Nomination Intake Form Worksheet Division of Transportation Planning Office of Strategic Investment Planning August 2021

**31** | Page



#### V. Project Narratives

# 28. Benefit to Disadvantaged Communities and Advancing Equity

Describe the benefits to the disadvantaged community because of this project. Consistent with CAPTI, please describe how the project advances equity, eliminates transportation burdens for low-income communities, communities of color, people with disabilities, and other disadvantaged groups. Please describe the project's direct benefits to these communities. Explain how the disadvantaged community residents will have physical access to the project. Explain how these groups were involved in early decision-making, and/or explain future active engagement with the community. Address any issues of displacement that may occur because of this project, if applicable.

#### 29. Need

Describe the issue(s) and deficiencies that this project will address. How will the proposed project benefit non-motorized users? What is the project's desired outcome and how will the project best deliver that outcome? How will the project increase walking and/or biking? Describe the identification of walking and bicycling routes to and from schools, transit facilities, community and employment centers, and other key destinations, including increasing and improving the connectivity and mobility of non-motorized users that the project addresses. Consistent with CAPTI, does the project close a gap, particularly by closing gaps on portions of the State Highway System that intersect local active transportation and transit networks, or serve as small town or rural main streets? Does the project provide connections to, or addresses a deficiency in an active transportation network or meets an important community need? If so, please describe.

#### 30. Safety

Consistent with CAPTI, please describe how the project makes safety improvements to reduce the number and/or rate or the risk of pedestrian and bicyclist fatalities and severe injuries toward zero on our roadways by focusing on context appropriate speeds, prioritizing vulnerable user safety to support mode shift, designing roadways to accommodate for potential human error and injury tolerances, and ultimately implementing a safety-systems approach. Please include the identification of safety hazards for pedestrians and bicyclists and how the project is mitigating those hazards.

#### 31. Public Participation and Planning

Identify the community-based public participation process that culminated in the project proposal, which may include noticed meetings and consultation with local stakeholders. Please include evidence on how the local participation process (including the participation of disadvantaged community stakeholders) resulted in the identification and prioritization of the proposed project. Illustrate and provide documentation for how the project was requested or supported by the disadvantaged community residents. If there is significant opposition to the project, applicants should summarize any major points of concern raised by the opposition and provide a response.



Describe how the project uses the "recognized best" solutions appropriate for the local community context, incorporates innovative features, and/or why the context of the project best lends itself to standard treatments/features. Does this project propose any solutions that are new to their region? As you address this question consider the following:

- The posted speed limits and actual speed
- The existing and future motorized and non-motorized traffic volume
- The widths for each facility

SIS

- The adjacent land use, and
- How the project is advancing a low(er) stress environment on each facility or a low stress network
  - What is the current stress level? (low, medium, or high?)
  - If the stress level is medium or high, is the project going beyond minimum design standards to maximize potential users of all ages and abilities?

#### 33. Transformative Projects

Describe how your project will transform the non-motorized environment? Address the potential for this project to support existing and planned housing, especially affordable housing. Consistent with CAPTI, explain how the project promote compact infill development by supporting housing for lowincome residents near job centers, provide walkable communities, and address affordability to reduce the housing-transportation cost burden and auto trips? How does the project encourage users to choose active transportation over auto travel (mode shift)? Does the project help reduce GHG and/or VMT?

ATP Cycle 6 Caltrans Project Nomination Intake Form Worksheet Division of Transportation Planning Office of Strategic Investment Planning August 2021



### VI. Contact Information

34. Primary Contact Information\*

First Name

Last Name

Title

E-Mail Address

Phone Number

#### 35. Secondary Contact Information

First Name

Last Name

Title

E-Mail Address

Phone Number

ATP Cycle 6 Caltrans Project Nomination Intake Form Worksheet Division of Transportation Planning Office of Strategic Investment Planning August 2021



## VII. Supporting Documents

# 36. Please upload supporting documents to the Smartsheet form. \*

#### **Required:**

- Project Nominations Intake Form Worksheet (THIS FORM)
- Project Map
- Optional: Project Fact Sheet, Community Engagement Information/Documentation, etc.


### D.2 SB 1 SCCP & TCEP Nomination Intake Form

STATE OF CALIFORNIA - DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

SCCP AND TCEP CYCLE 3 PROJECT NOMINATION INTAKE FORM

DIVISION OF TRANSPORTATION PLANNING.

oject informatio	on			Primary Contact Information
		and the second s	22	Name:
EA	PPNO	EFIS ID	2	Email:
cation Descript	Ion On System Of	System (add Location or	nder Project Description)	Select Program Type
District County	Route Prefix PM	Bock Suffix Prefix I	PM Forward Suttix	SCCP (Complete Page 2)
-			-	
roject Name				
roject Descriptio	on			
lease select -0	an all a able mades			
	applicable modes	127792		<b>—</b> —
Bike/Pec	Sestrian Complet	te Street Grade (	Crossing Highw	ay Highway (Freight)
Monage	ad Lanes Rail (Pass	senger) Rail (Fre	iaht) Transi	Port/POE
ACC (137) (25)		11년 4월 16 - <mark>- 1995</mark> 2017 - 1995 - 1905 - 1		
ar kealonal ran	ners and Kev Slakeho	idens	is the protect in	an adopted #TP/SCS? Yes
		10000	is me projectin	con adopted wir/acas [] cos []
			and the Maria	an an Alexand Is an Alband Sta
			and the Maria	in the State Rail Plan? Yes
		Does the	Is the project	in the State Rail Plan? 🛛 Yes 🗌
			Is the project	an an Alexand Is an Alband Sta
Address and the second s	ost Estimates, and Sch	edule	Is the project	in the State Rail Plan? 🛛 Yes 🗌
a second a second s	ost Estimates, and Schr Project Current Phase:	edule	In the project a project have comm	in the State Rail Plan? 🛛 Yes 🗌
Address and the second s	Project Current Phase:	edule	In the project a project have comm Tatal Projec	I in the State Rail Plan? Yes nitted federal funding? Yes t Cost (x\$1,000):
e e construction de la construcción	성영 위험은 이 관계 위험 것이 있는 것이다.	edule	In the project a project have comm Tatal Projec	l in the State Rail Plan? Yes nitted federal funding? Yes
	Project Current Phase: RTL Date	edule	In the project e project have comm Tatal Projec Constru	I in the State Rail Plan? Yes nitted federal funding? Yes t Cost (x\$1,000):
	Project Current Phase: RTL Date	edule : : elved funding from Fe	In the project a project have comm Tatal Projec Constru- constru-	I in the State Rail Plan? Yes nitted federal funding? Yes t Cost (x\$1,000): uction Start Date:
	Project Current Phase: RTL Date n if the project has rec	edule : : elved funding from Fe	In the project a project have comm Tatal Projec Constru- constru-	I in the State Rail Plan? Yes nitted federal funding? Yes + Cost (x\$1,000): uction Start Date: and/or Private sources
nsert information Fund 1	Project Current Phase: RTL Date n if the project has rec	edule : : elved funding from Fe	In the project a project have comm Tatal Projec Constru- constru-	I in the State Rail Plan? Yes nitted federal funding? Yes + Cost (x\$1,000): uction Start Date: and/or Private sources
Fund 1 Fund 2	Project Current Phase: RTL Date n if the project has rec	edule : : elved funding from Fe	In the project a project have comm Tatal Projec Constru- constru-	I in the State Rail Plan? Yes nitted federal funding? Yes + Cost (x\$1,000): uction Start Date: and/or Private sources
nsert information Fund 1	Project Current Phase: RTL Date n if the project has rec	edule : : elved funding from Fe	In the project a project have comm Tatal Projec Constru- constru-	I in the State Rail Plan? Yes nitted federal funding? Yes + Cost (x\$1,000): uction Start Date: and/or Private sources
Fund 1 Fund 2	Project Current Phase: RTL Date n if the project has rec	edule : : elved funding from Fe	In the project a project have comm Tatal Projec Constru- constru-	I in the State Rail Plan? Yes nitted federal funding? Yes + Cost (x\$1,000): uction Start Date: and/or Private sources
Fund 1 Fund 2 Fund 2 Fund 3	Project Current Phase: RTL Date <u>n if the project has rec</u> Funding Agenc	edule	In the project e project have comm Tatal Projec Constru- aderal, State, Local, 1 urce Amount (Con	I in the State Rail Plan? Yes I in the State Rail Plan? Yes I Yes I Cost (x\$1,000): I Cost (x\$1,000): I Cost (x\$1,000): I Cost (x\$1,000): I Yes I Yes
Fund 1 Fund 2 Fund 2 Fund 3	Project Current Phase: RTL Date h if the project has rec Funding Agenc	edule : : elved funding from Fe :y Funding So  to other funding prog	In the project a project have comm Tatal Projec Constru- aderal, State, Local, o urce Amount (Com urce amount (Com urce amount (Com	I in the State Rail Plan? Yes I in the State Rail Plan? Yes I Yes Cost (x\$1,000): I Cost (x\$1,000): I Cost (x\$1,000): I Cost (x\$1,000): I Yes I Yes
Fund 1 Fund 2 Fund 2 Fund 3	Project Current Phase: RTL Date <u>n if the project has rec</u> Funding Agenc	edule : : elved funding from Fe :y Funding So  to other funding prog	In the project a project have comm Tatal Projec Constru- aderal, State, Local, o urce Amount (Com urce amount (Com urce amount (Com	I in the State Rail Plan? Yes I in the State Rail Plan? Yes I Yes I Cost (x\$1,000): I Cost (x\$1,000): I Cost (x\$1,000): I Cost (x\$1,000): I Yes I Yes
Fund 1 Fund 2 Fund 2 Fund 3 The project wat	Project Current Phase: RTL Date h if the project has rec Funding Agenc	edule : : elved funding from Fe :y Funding So  to other funding prog	In the project a project have comm Tatal Projec Constru- aderal, State, Local, o urce Amount (Com urce amount (Com urce amount (Com	I in the State Rail Plan? Yes I in the State Rail Plan? Yes I Yes Cost (x\$1,000): I Cost (x\$1,000): I Cost (x\$1,000): I Cost (x\$1,000): I Yes I Yes
Fund 1 Fund 2 Fund 2 Fund 3	Project Current Phase: RTL Date h if the project has rec Funding Agenc	edule : : elved funding from Fe :y Funding So  to other funding prog	In the project a project have comm Tatal Projec Constru- aderal, State, Local, o urce Amount (Com urce amount (Com urce amount (Com	I in the State Rail Plan? Yes I in the State Rail Plan? Yes I Yes Cost (x\$1,000): I Cost (x\$1,000): I Cost (x\$1,000): I Cost (x\$1,000): I Yes I Yes



#### STATE OF CALIFORNIA - DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

#### PROJECT NOMINATION INTAKE SUPPLEMENTAL FORM FOR TCEP PROJECTS

DIVISION OF TRANSPORTATION PLANNING Rev 11/16/2021

			25.7.4	
1.) Is the project	t in the California Freight Mob	lity Plan 2020 (CFMI	1)? 🗆 Yes 🔲 No	
2) is the project	t consistent with the CFMP goo	als?	Yes No	
3.) Select one o attributes within	or more of the following high-p the TCEP project?	erformance treight	<ol> <li>Select the contidor that your project area is located.</li> </ol>	
Border por	t Traveler Information Syster t of entry efficiency improv 9 eduction infrastructure for ic	ements	<ul> <li>☐ Bay Area</li> <li>☐ Los Angeles/</li> <li>☐ Central Coast</li> <li>☐ Central Valley</li> <li>☐ San Diego/Border</li> <li>☐ Other</li> </ul>	
vehicles/v	essels system improvements		5.) Is the project located on a designated Critica Rural Freight Contdor (CRFC) or Critical Urban Freight Contdor (CUFC)?	
Freight, ac Port or rail Public ZEV	scific climate resiliency com five transportation conflict yard intermodal capacity/e fueling facilities at sites hanggement and operation	reduction fficiency	CRFC CUFC CUFC Designation of CRFC/CUFC by the time of allocation Not located on CRFC nor CUFC	
	of noise caused by freight hipping infrastructure	movements	6.) is the project located on or benefit the Primary Highway Freight System?	
Signal and Truck climi	rail freight yard/access spu bing lanes	1993 1997 - 1997	Yes - Project is located on the PHFS Yes - Project benefits the PHFS No - Project is not located on/project does not benefit the PHFS	
Cycle 3 Fundir Cycle 3 Match	ing Information ng Request (X\$1,000) h Amount (X\$1,000) h Funding Source:	bein DF	denility the phase(s) for which funds are g requested PA&ED R/W Sup CON Sup S&E R/W Cop CON Cop Other	
		comr	vesting pre-construction funds, will the project nence R/W acquisition or actual construction 10 years of receiving pre-construction funds?"	
10.) How does	the project improve treight mo	vement	Yes No	
10.a) Please su			viding quantitative data below.	
2 . 8	Metric	Project Type	Results Change-Build vs No Build	
Preight Freight Measures	Change in Truck Volume	Road		
of Tak	Change in Rail Volume	Roll		
	Change in Cargo Volume	Sea Port, Airport		

EA



#### STATE OF CALIFORNIA - DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION PROJECT NOMINATION INTAKE SUPPLEMENTAL FORM FOR SCCP PROJECTS

DIVISION OF TRANSPORTATION PLANNING Rev 11/16/2021

Rev 11/16/2021	EA
1.) is the project included in a Comprehensive Multimode	al Contidor Plan7 🔲 Yes 🔲 No
2.) Select Strategic Interregional Corridor that is associated with the project.	1.a) If marked yes for number 1, click below and add screenshot of the project within the CMCP,
San Diego/Mexico - Inland Empire	
Central Coast - Central Valley East/West Connectors	
North Coast - Northern Nevada	
Sacramento - Oregon	34
🔲 High Desert - Eastern Sierras - Northern Nevada	
San Jose/San Francisco Bay Area - Sacramento - Northern Nevada	
🗌 San Jose/San Francisco Bay Area - North Coast	
Central Coast - San Jose/San Francisco Bay Area	
South Coast - Central Coast	
Southern California - Southern Nevada/Arizona	or provide a link of the project within CMCP.
San Jose/San Francisco Bay Area - Central Valley - Lost Angeles	
3.) SCCP Funding Information	4.) Identify the component(s) for which funds are being requested (X \$1,000)
Cycle 3 Funding Request (X\$, 1000)	ionus die being redoesied (x \$1,000)
Cycle 3 Match Amount (X\$1,000)	Construction Support
Proposed Match Funding Source:	
	Construction Capital
3	



#### STATE OF CALIFORNIA - DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

#### SCCP AND TCEP CYCLE 3 PROJECT NOMINATION INTAKE FORM - NARRATIVE PORTION

DIVISION OF TRANSPORTATION PLANNING

Rev 11/16/2021

Consistency with Statewide Priorities and Goals

This section provides an assessment of the projects' consistency with statewide transportation priorities and goals, including the <u>Climate Action Plan for Transportation Infrastructure (CAPTI)</u>.

 Does the project demonstrate potential for mode shift, including to rail, transit, or active transportation?

How does the project impact single occupancy Vehicle Miles Travelled (VMT)?

- Does the project include and document a meaningful public engagement process to traditionally underrepresented groups (including black, indigenous, and other people of color (BIPOC), low-income, environmental justice communities, and/or their Community Based Organizations) and Incorporate local communities needs into the project?
- 4. Is the project located in one or more Disadvantaged Communities? Please refer to the definitions and resources listed in the Project Nomination Form Guidance. Please use the mapping tools to search the project location, take a screenshot, and attach map(s) to the
  - Smartsheet form.
  - Median Household Income
  - S8 535 Disadvantaged Community (CalEnviroScreen)
  - National School Lunch Program
  - Healthy Places Index
  - Federally Recognized Tribal Lands
  - Other/Regional Definition
  - Not applicable
- Does the project reduce fatalilles and severe injuries for all users in alignment with the <u>Safe</u> <u>Systems approach</u>?

 Does the project include and/or improve access to Zero Emission Vehicle (ZEV) charging or fueling infrastructure?



#### STATE OF CALIFORNIA - DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

#### SCCP AND TCEP CYCLE 3 PROJECT NOMINATION INTAKE FORM - NARRATIVE PORTION

DIVISION OF TRANSPORTATION PLANNING

Rev 11/16/2021

7. Does the project improve climate adaptation and resiliency by addressing one or more climate risk(s) identified in the Caltrans District Vulnerability Assessments and Adaptation Priority Reports or a regional or local climate change adaptation plan?

8. Does the project minimize the impact on natural resources and ecosystems?

 Does the project promote infill development and land use patterns while protecting residents and businesses from displacement?

10. General/Additional Comments or Narratives

IMPORTANT: This worksheet must be submitted via the <u>Smartsheet form</u> by COB February 1, 2022.



### D.3 State-Sponsored Non-SHOPP PID Nomination Intake Form

Currently under development. The document will be posted on DOTP Office of Strategic Investment Planning website in January 2022.



## **APPENDIX E: Preliminary Screening**

## E.1 ATP Screening Checklist





#### E.2 SB 1 SCCP & TCEP Screening Checklist





# **APPENDIX F: Scoring Criteria**

## F.1 ATP Scoring Criteria

# Active Transportation Program Cycle 6 Scoring Criteria

Scoring Criteria	Maximum Points
Community Need	40
Safety	20
Benefits to Disadvantaged Communities and Advancing Equity	20
Public Participation & Planning	10
Context Sensitive & Innovation	5
Transformative Projects	5
Leveraging	5
Total	105

11/9/2021

ATP Cycle 6 - Scoring Criteria



## F.2 SB 1 SCCP & TCEP Scoring Criteria

Currently under development. The document will be posted on DOTP Office of Strategic Investment Planning website in January 2022.

## F.3 State-Sponsored Non-SHOPP PID Scoring Criteria

Currently under development. The document will be posted on DOTP Office of Strategic Investment Planning website in January 2022.



### F.4 2021 ITSP Scoring Criteria

The information in this section is also accessible <u>here</u>.

The ITSP must provide direction on how to identify and rank projects for ITIP consideration. Project evaluation criteria is vital to the implementation of the ITSP. The criteria will be used to evaluate projects to ensure they meet the objectives and policies outlined in this plan, including meeting legislative requirements and executive orders.

The project evaluation criteria are based on CAPTI as well as the eight goals identified in this plan and the CTP 2050: safety, climate, equity, quality of life, accessibility, economy, environment, and infrastructure. These criteria may be refined before each STIP cycle to incorporate new policies, altered circumstances, and legislation changes.

The ITIP scoring criteria are one factor in project selection. The responses for each criteria question will receive a score based on the applicable scoring range and each project will receive a final evaluation score totaled across all criteria. Each scoring criterion is weighted equally, with a maximum of three points and a minimum of zero points possible. Scoring criteria questions and ranges may be adjusted for future ITIP cycles.

Interregional Transportation Improvement Program Scaring Criteria	Colifornia Transportation Plan 2050 - ITSP 2021 Goals	Scoring Range
REQUIREMENT: Does the project support a facility identified in a strategic interregional conidor summary?	N/A	N/A
is the project on a priority interregional facility?	N/A	Yes - 3 point; No - 0 points
How does the project improve interregional travel (e.g. treight movement, intercity rail, etc.)?	Safety, Accessibility, Environment, Economy, Infrastructure	Significantly improve - 3 points: Moderately improve - 2 points: Minimally improve - 1 point; Does not improve - 0 point;
Does the project demonstrate potential for interregional travel mode shift, including to rail, transit, or active transportation?	Safety, Climate, Equity, Accessibility, Environment, Quality of Life, Environment, Economy, Inflastructure	High potential - 3 points; Medium potential - 2 points; Low potential - 1 points; No potential identified - 0 points
How does the project impact single occupancy vehicle miles traveled (VMT)?	Safety, Climate, Equity, Environment, Quality of Ute, Environment	Significantly reduce VMT - 3 points: Moderately reduce VMT - 2 points: No Significant increase in VMT - 1 point; Significant increase in VME - 0 points
How does the project include and document a meaningful public engagement process to traditionally underepresented groups (including black, indigenous, and offer people of color (BFOCI), low income, environmental justice communities: and/or their Community Based Organizations) and incorporate local community needs into the project?	Sofety, Equity, Accessibility, Environment, Quality of Life, Economy	Incorporates all needs - 3 points: Incorporates some needs - 2 points; Incorporates limited needs - 1 point: Did not consider community needs - 0 points

Table 14: ITIP Scoring Criteria



Interregional Transportation Improvement Program Scoring Criteria	California hansportation Plan 2050 - ITSP 2021 Goals	Scoring Range
How does the project impact public health, including from a racial equity standpoint?	Solety, Equity, Accesibility, Environment, Quality of Life, Economy	Effectively address public health factors - 3 points; Maderately addresses public health factors - 2 points; Minimally addresses public health factors - 1 point; Does not consider factors - 0 paints
Does the project make an improvement to an emergency evocuation note identified in an emergency plan/hazard mitigation plan or strategy using an approach that is supported by state/local emergency services?	Safety, Climate, Equity, Accessibility, Environment, Quality of Life, Environment, Economy, Intrastructure	Makes a significant improvement with a strategic approach-3 points; Makes a moderate improvement - 2 points; Makes a minimal improvement - 1 point; Not an emergency evocuation route - 0 points -
Does the project reduce tatalities and severe injuries for all users in alignment with the Safe Systems approach?	Sofety, Equity, Accessibility, Environment, Quality of Life, Intrastructure	Significantly reduce - 3 points: Moderately reduce - 2 points: Does not increase or reduce - 1 point; increases - 0 points
Does the project include and/or improve access to zero emission charging or fueling infrastructure?	Climate, Equity, Accessibility, Environment, Economy, Introstructure	Substantial zero emission charging or fueling inhastructural improvements/including instaliation of new equipment - 3 points: The project includes moderate improvements/access to ZEV infrastructure - 2 points: The project minimally addresses ZEV infrastructural needs - 1 point; Does not address ZEV infrastructure - 0 points

Interregional Transportation Improvement Program Scaring Criteria	California transportation Flan 2050 - ITSP 2023 Goals	Scoring Range	
Does the project improve climate adaptation and resiliency by addressing one or more climate risk(s) identified in the Caltrars District Vulnerability Assessments and Adaptation Priority Reparts or a regional or local climate change adaptation plan?	Climate, Equity, Environment, Quality of Life, Environment	Identifies climate risk(s) to the system and significantly improves resiliency and adaptation - 3 points; identifies climate risk(s) to the system and adequately improves resiliency and adaptation - 2 points; Minimally identifies/addresses resiliency and adaptation needs - 1 point; Does not consider climate change resiliency and adaptation - 0 points	
Does the project minimize the impact on natural resources and ecosystems?	Climate, Equity, Environment, Quality of Life, Environment	Significant positive benefits - 3 points: Moderate positive benefits - 2 points; Minimal positive benefits - 1 point; Negative impacts - 0 points	
Does the project leverage SHOPP investment or other maintenance or rehabilitation funds for the purpose of maintaining or rehabilitating assets in fair or poor condition within the project limits?	Safety, Climate, Equity, Accessibility, Environment, Environment, Economy, Intrastructure	Leverages significant investment from SHOPP and/or other funding sources for rehabilitating/maintaining assets - 3 points; Leverages some investment from SHOPP and/or other funding sources for rehabilitating/maintaining assets - 2 points; Leverages minimal investment from SHOPP/other sources for rehabilitating/maintaining assets - 1 point; No rehabilitating/maintaining investments for assets - 0 points	
Does the project leverage partner funds?	intrastructure	Greater than 50% of project OR of RTP funds made available - 3 points: Between 24% and 50% of project OR of RTP funds made available - 2 points; Between 5% and 25% of project OR of RTP funds made available - 1 points; Bes than 5% of project OR of RTP funds made available - 0 points	
How does the project impact the economy?	Economy	Significant positive impact - 3 points; Moderate positive impact - 2 points; Minimal positive impact - 1 point; No impact or negative impact - 0 points	



# **APPENDIX G: Scoring Rubric**

## G.1 ATP Scoring Rubric

### Active Transportation Program Scoring Rubrics: Community Need

<ul> <li>District compellingly and clearly demonstrates and addresses community need in the project area, and documents all of following in a clear narrative:</li> <li>he lack of connectivity, AND • the lack of mobility for non-motorized users, AND • Addresses local health concerns, AND • blements CAPTI, by closing a gap, particularly by closing gaps on portions of the State Highway System that intersect local ive transportation and transit networks, or serve as small town or rural main streets, that provides connections to, or dresses a deficiency in an active transportation network or meets an important community need, AND • Demonstrates the nsportation needs of students of all ages, including high school and college/community college, D</li> <li>or projects benefiting a disadvantaged community – the need for the project in that community including transit facilities, nmunity and employment centers, and other key destinations</li> <li>a District duly demonstrates and addresses community need in the project area, and documents only 2 of the following ariy, and at least one other one partially:</li> <li>ne lack of connectivity, AND • the lack of mobility for non-motorized users, AND • Addresses local health concerns, AND • Delements CAPTI, by closing a gap, particularly by closing gaps on portions of the State Highway System that intersect local ive transportation and transit networks, or serve as small town or rural main streets, that provides connections to, or dresses a deficiency in an active transportation network or meets an important community need, AND • Demonstrates the negotation needs of students of all ages, including high school and college/community college, D</li> <li>or projects benefiting a disadvantaged community – the need for the project in that community including transit facilities, nmunity and employment centers, and other key destinations</li> </ul>
arly, and at least one other one partially: he lack of connectivity, AND • the lack of mobility for non-motorized users, AND • Addresses local health concerns, AND • belements CAPTI, by closing a gap, particularly by closing gaps on portions of the State Highway System that intersect local ive transportation and transit networks, or serve as small town or rural main streets, that provides connections to, or dresses a deficiency in an active transportation network or meets an important community need, AND • Demonstrates the nsportation needs of students of all ages, including high school and college/community college, D or projects benefiting a disadvantaged community – the need for the project in that community including transit facilities, nmunity and employment centers, and other key destinations
e District <b>somewhat</b> demonstrates and addresses community need in the project area, and documents only 1 of the following
arly, and at least one other partially: he lack of connectivity, and • the lack of mobility for non-motorized users, AND • Addresses local health concerns, AND • olements CAPTI, by closing a gap, particularly by closing gaps on portions of the State Highway System that intersect local ive transportation and transit networks, or serve as small town or rural main streets, that provides connections to, or fresses a deficiency in an active transportation network or meets an important community need, AND • Demonstrates the nsportation needs of students of all ages, including high school and college/community college, D or projects benefiting a disadvantaged community – the need for the project in that community including transit facilities, nmunity and employment centers, and other key destinations
e District <b>minimally</b> demonstrates and addresses community need in the project area, and partially documents 1 of the owing: ne lack of connectivity, and • the lack of mobility for non-motorized users, AND • Addresses local health concerns, AND • oblements CAPTI, by closing a gap, particularly by closing gaps on portions of the State Highway System that intersect local ive transportation and transit networks, or serve as small town or rural main streets, that provides connections to, or dresses a deficiency in an active transportation network or meets an important community need, AND • Demonstrates the nsportation needs of students of all ages, including high school and college/community college, D or projects benefiting a disadvantaged community – the need for the project in that community including transit facilities, nmunity and employment centers, and other key destinations
ir ns D D D D D D D D D D

\*weight of 10

ATP Scoring Rubrics- Community Need



## Active Transportation Program Scoring Rubrics: Safety

Points*	Project Improves Safety
4	The project is consistent with CAPTI, by implementing safety improvements to reduce the number and/or rate or the risk of pedestrian and bicyclist fatalities and severe injuries toward zero on our roadways by focusing on context appropriate speeds, prioritizing vulnerable user safety to support mode shift, designing roadways to accommodate for potential human error and injury tolerances, and ultimately implementing a safety-systems approach. The District demonstrated this by <b>clearly and convincingly</b> providing that: •The overall number of collisions is significant and that collision trends, collision types, or collision details will be positively impacted by the proposed safety improvements, AND • The District has described safety remedies for each need and how it will mitigate the potential for future non-motorized crashes in the area of the project, AND • The proposed safety features have a proven track record for addressing the past crash/safety needs, AND • There are significant safety threats to pedestrians and/or bicycles that can be mitigated by ATP eligible improvements.
3	The project is consistent with CAPTI, by implementing safety improvements to reduce the number and/or rate or the risk of pedestrian and bicyclist fatalities and severe injuries toward zero on our roadways by focusing on context appropriate speeds, prioritizing vulnerable user safety to support mode shift, designing roadways to accommodate for potential human error and injury tolerances, and ultimately implementing a safety-systems approach. The District demonstrated this <b>fairly well</b> by providing that: <ul> <li>The overall number of collisions is significant and that collision trends, collision types, or collision details will be positively impacted by the proposed safety improvements, AND • The District has described safety remedies for each need and how it will mitigate the potential for future non-motorized crashes in the area of the project, AND • The proposed safety features have a proven track record for addressing the past crash/safety needs, AND</li> <li>There are significant safety threats to pedestrians and/or bicycles that can be mitigated by ATP eligible improvements.</li> </ul>
2	The project is consistent with CAPTI, by implementing safety improvements to reduce the number and/or rate or the risk of pedestrian and bicyclist fatalities and severe injuries toward zero on our roadways by focusing on context appropriate speeds, prioritizing vulnerable user safety to support mode shift, designing roadways to accommodate for potential human error and injury tolerances, and ultimately implementing a safety-systems approach. The District demonstrated this <b>adequately</b> by providing that: • The overall number of collisions is significant and that collision trends, collision types, or collision details will be positively impacted by the proposed safety improvements, AND • The District has described safety remedies for each need and how it will mitigate the potential for future non-motorized crashes in the area of the project, AND • The proposed safety features have a proven track record for addressing the past crash/safety needs, AND
1	The project is consistent with CAPTI, by implementing safety improvements to reduce the number and/or rate or the risk of pedestrian and bicyclist fatalities and severe injuries toward zero on our roadways by focusing on context appropriate speeds, prioritizing vulnerable user safety to support mode shift, designing roadways to accommodate for potential human error and injury tolerances, and ultimately implementing a safety-systems approach. The District demonstrated this <b>minimally</b> by providing that: •The overall number of collisions is significant and that collision trends, collision types, or collision details will be positively impacted by the proposed safety improvements, AND • The District has described safety remedies for each need and how it will mitigate the potential for future non-motorized crashes in the area of the project, AND • The proposed safety features have a proven track record for addressing the past crash/safety needs, AND • There are significant safety threats to pedestrians and/or bicycles that can be mitigated by ATP eligible improvements.
	Project has no benefits to Community Need

\*weight of 5

11/9/2021

ATP Scoring Rubrics-Safety

#### Active Transportation Program Scoring Rubrics: Disadvantage Community and Equity

	Section A + Section B = Total Score			
	Acres 4			Section B
	Section A			Nomination demonstrate the project is located within
Points*	Project will result in a direct benefit to a Disadvantaged Community and Advances Equity	Po	pints*	DAC
4	The District • Explains how the disadvartaged community residents will have physical access to the project, AND • Implements CAPTI by advancing equity, eliminates transportation burdens for low-income communities, communities of color, people with disabilities, and other disadvantaged groups, AND • Illustrates and documents how the project was requested or supported by the disadvantaged community residents, AND • The application includes attachments that show widence of thorough engagement and outreach, resulting in input and buy-in from the disadvantaged community.		2	Project location(s) are/is fully (100%) located within a DAC.
3	The District addresses at least <b>three</b> of the following: • Explains how the disadvantaged community residents will have physical access to the project, AND • Implements CAPIT by advancing equity, eliminates transportation burdens for low-income communities, communities of colon, people with disalbitilies, and other disadvantaged groups, AND • Illustrates and documents how the project was requested or supported by the disadvantaged community residents, AND • The application includes attachments that show evidence of thorough engagement and outreach, resulting in input and buy-in from the disadvantaged community.		1	Project location(s) are/is partially (less than 100%) within a DA
2	The District addresses at least two of the following: • Explains how the disadvantaged community residents will have physical access to the project, AND • Implements CAPTI by advancing equity, eliminates transportation burdens for low-income communities, communities of color, people with disabilities, and other disadvantaged groups, AND • Illudrates and documents how the project was requested or supported by the davbartaged community residents, AND • The application includes attachments that show evidence of thorough engagement and outreach, resulting in input, and buy-in from the disadvantaged community.		0	None of the project location(s) are/is within a DAC.
1	The District minimally address: • Explains how the disadvantaged community residents will have physical access to the project, AND • Implements CAPTI by advancing equity, eliminates transportation burdens for low-income communities, communities of color, people with disabilities, and other disadvantaged groups, AND • Illustrates and documents how the project was requested or supported by the disadvantaged community residents, AND • The application includes attachments that show evidence of thorough engagement and outreach, resulting in input and buy-in from the disadvantaged community.	*weight	( is based o	f seventy (see below)
0	Project has no benefits to Disadvantage Communities or Advancing Equity			
ght of 3		INTEGRAT	Housening	Severity Weight
			14 AL 113	Greater than 80% of the MHI greater than \$56,982.40
				75% through <80% of MHI \$53,421 through \$56,982.40
				70% through <75% of MHI \$49,859.60 through \$53,421
				65% through <70% of MHI \$46,298.20 through \$48,859.60
				< 65% of MHI less than \$46,298.20
		CalEnvir	ro Screen Ci	
				Above 25% most disadvantaged less than 39.34
				20% through 25% most disadvantaged 39.34 through 42.86
				15% through < 20% most disadvantaged 42.87 through 46.63
				10% through < 15% most disadvantaged 46.64 through 51.18
				< 10% most disadvantaged 51.19 through 94.09
		Free or	Reduced L	
				Less than 75% of students receive free or reduced lunches
		-		2 75% through 80% of students receive free or reduced lunches > 80% through 85% of students receive free or reduced lunches
				> 85% through 85% of students receive free or reduced lunches
				> 90% of students receive free or reduced lunches
			Places Ind	

	4 < 65% of MHI less than \$46,298.20					
	CalEnviroScreen Criteria					
	0	Above 25% most disadvantaged less than 39.34				
	1	20% through 25% most disadvantaged 39.34 through 42.86				
	2	15% through < 20% most disadvantaged 42.87 through 46.63				
	3	10% through < 15% most disadvantaged 46.64 through 51.18				
	4	< 10% most disadvantaged 51.19 through 94.09				
	Free or Reduced Lu	inches				
	0	Less than 75% of students receive free or reduced lunches				
	1	≥ 75% through 80% of students receive free or reduced lunches				
	2	> 80% through 85% of students receive free or reduced lunches				
	3	> 85% through 90% of students receive free or reduced lunches				
	4	> 90% of students receive free or reduced lunches				
	Healthy Places Inde	x Score				
		Healthy Places Index Score above 25 Percentile				
		Healthy Places Index Score 20 through 25 Percentile				
		Healthy Places Index Score 15 through <20 Percentile				
		Healthy Places Index Score 10 through <15 Percentile				
		Healthy Places Index Score < 10 Percentile				
		Other DAC Criteria				
1	Other MHI or CalEnviroScreen Assessment	If the District believes a project benefits a disadvantaged community but the project does not meet the aforementioned criteria due to a lack of accurate Census data or CalEnviroscreen data that represents a small neighborhood or unincorporated area, the applicant must submit for consideration a quantitative assessment, to demonstrate that the community's media household income is at or below 80% of that state median household income.				
1	Regional Definition	If the District believes a project benefits a disadvantaged community based on an adopted regional definition, the applicant must submit for consideration the regional definition, as well as how their specific community qualifies under that definition.				
	Federally Recognized Tribal Lands	Projects located within Federally Recognized Tribal Lands (typically within the boundaries of a Reservation or Rancheria).				

ATP Scoring Rubrics-DAC and Equity



#### Active Transportation Program Scoring Rubrics: Public Participation

	Section A + Section B + Section C = Total Score						
	Section A		Section B				
Points*	Public Participation	Points	Community Feedback				
3	The District clearly and convincingly demonstrates that: • The project scope was developed through a comprehensive technical planning process appropriate for the complexity and magnitude of the project, and that allowed for public input to shape the project, • The planning process considered the existing and future needs of the project users and transportation system, • The outreach and engagement process utilized has been ongoing and shows continued stakeholder support, • The planning process was effectively integrated into the public participation process.	3	The District clearly and convincingly demonstrates that: • The project scope is fully supported by the feedback received during the public participation and planning process, • This process was fully utilized to identify and improve the project's overall effectiveness, • The public participation and planning process was fully utilized to ensure the project is one of the highest community vs. regional active transportation priorities.				
2	The District sufficiently: • Describes who was engaged in the identification and development of the project, • Documents that the engagement included all appropriate levels of public and governmental stakeholders, • Shows that the stakeholders engaged was/is appropriate for the magnitude of the project, • Documented the outreach and engagement, • Shows in the attachments that the applicant sufficiently engaged the stakeholders the project is supposed to be benefitting, including the disadvantaged community (when applicable), • Explains that the meetings and events were accessible and effectively engaged some project stakeholders.	2	<ul> <li>The project scope is somewhat supported by the feedback received during the public participation and planning process,</li> <li>This process has somewhat improved the project's effectiveness</li> <li>The project may or may not be one of the highest community vs. regional active transportation priorities.</li> </ul>				
1	<ul> <li>The District minimally addresses between 1-2 items: • Describes who was engaged in the identification and development of the project, • Documents that the engagement included public and governmental stakeholders, • Shows that the stakeholders engaged was/is appropriate for the magnitude of the project, • Documented the outreach and engagement, • Shows in the attachments that the applicant engaged the stakeholders the project is supposed to be benefitting, including the disadvantaged community (when applicable),</li> <li>• Explains that the meetings and events were accessible and engaged stakeholders.</li> </ul>	1	<ul> <li>The project scope is minimally supported by the feedback received during the public participation and planning process,</li> <li>This process has minimally improved the project's effectiveness</li> <li>The project is not the highest community vs. regional active transportation priorities.</li> </ul>				
0 *weight o	The District does not in any way describe and documents the outreach and engagement and does not specify the stakeholders that were engaged	0	The District does not in any way prove project scope is supported by the feedback received during the public participation and planning process				

\*weight of 2

	Section C		
Points	Continue Engagement		
1	The District demonstrates that project stakeholders will continue to be engaged in the implementation of the project/program/plan.		
0	Project stakeholders will not continue to be engaged in the implementation of the project/program/plan.		

ATP Scoring Rubrics-Public Participation



# Active Transportation Program Scoring Rubrics: Context Senitive and Innovation

Points	Context Sensitivity and Innovation
5	The District clearly and convincingly demonstrates that: • Recognized best solutions were employed in this project, and • The project is proposing innovative solutions to best address the project's issues/needs, including stating the physical and operational attributes such as speed/width/volumes or • Recognized best solutions were employed and innovative elements were considered; and the reason for not selecting the innovative elements is very clear and compelling.
3 - 4	<ul> <li>Recognized best solutions were employed in this project, and/or • The project is proposing innovative solutions, or</li> <li>Viable innovative elements were considered and the explanation for not selecting the innovations is noted.</li> </ul>
1-2	<ul> <li>Recognized best solutions were employed, and/or</li> <li>Innovative elements were considered but not selected and no explanation was given.</li> </ul>
0	Solutions are not appropriate to maximize user comfort, and innovative solutions were not proposed, or the reason for not selecting the innovations was not explained.

ATP Scoring Rubrics-Context Sensitive and Innovation



#### Active Transportation Program Scoring Rubrics: Transformative

Points	Transformative Projects
5	The District clearly and convincingly demonstrates that: • The project is transforming the non-motorized environment, and/or • This project is being combined with other projects or policy(ies) to make a transformative change, The applicant clearly and convincingly addressed how it is consistent with CAPTI by: • Explaining the potential for the project to support the existing and planned housing developments, especially affordable housing for low-income residents near job centers, provide walkable communities, and address affordability to reduce the housing-transportation cost burden and auto trips. • Demonstrating how the project encourage users to choose active transportation over auto travel (mode shift) • Demonstrating how the project reduces GHG and/or VMT
3-4	The District sufficiently demonstrates that: • The project is transforming the non-motorized environment, and/or • This project is being combined with other projects or policy(ies) to make a transformative change, • The applicant sufficiently addressed how it is consistent with CAPTI by: • Explaining the potential for the project to support the existing and planned housing developments, especially affordable housing for low-income residents near job centers, provide walkable communities, and address affordability to reduce the housing-transportation cost burden and auto trips. • Demonstrating how the project encourage users to choose active transportation over auto travel (mode shift) • Demonstrating how the project reduces GHG and/or VMT
1-2	The District somewhat demonstrates that: • The project is transforming the non-motorized environment, and/or • This project is being combined with other projects or policy(ies) to make a transformative change, The applicant did not adequately addressed how it is consistent with CAPTI by: • Explaining the potential for the project to support the existing and planned housing developments, especially affordable housing for low-income residents near job centers, provide walkable communities, and address affordability to reduce the housing-transportation cost burden and auto trips. • Demonstrating how the project encourage users to choose active transportation over auto travel (mode shift) • Demonstrating how the project reduces GHG and/or VMT
0	The District did not show that the project will have a transformative effect on the non-motorized environment.

11/9/2021

ATP Scoring Rubrics-Transformative



# Active Transportation Program Scoring Rubrics: Leveraging

Points	Amount Leverage*
1	At least 1% to 5% of total project cost
2	More than 5% to less than 10% of total project cost
3	More than 10% to 15% of total project cost
4	More than 15% to 20% of total project cost
5	More than 20% of total project cost

\*Districts must attach something to show proof of leveraging.

11/9/2021

ATP Scoring Rubrics-Leveraging



### G.2 SB 1 SCCP & TCEP Scoring Rubric

Currently under development. The document will be posted on DOTP Office of Strategic Investment Planning website in January 2022.

### G.3 State-Sponsored Non-SHOPP PID Scoring Rubric

Currently under development. The document will be posted on DOTP Office of Strategic Investment Planning website in January 2022.

## **APPENDIX H: Scoring Sheet**

Currently under development. The document will be posted on DOTP Office of Strategic Investment Planning website in January 2022.

## **APPENDIX I: LOS Signature Authority Decision Tree**

Currently under development. The document will be posted on DOTP Office of Strategic Investment Planning website in January 2022.