STATE OF CALIFORNIA — DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT (REGULATIONS AND ORDERS) STD. 399 (Rev. 10/2019)

ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT

	ECONOMIC IMI AC	JISIAIENENI	
DEPARTMENT NAME	CONTACT PERSON	EMAIL ADDRESS	TELEPHONE NUMBER
Transportation	Joseph Rouse	joe.rouse@dot.ca.gov	9169526436
DESCRIPTIVE TITLE FROM NOTICE REGISTER OR FORM 400 Compatibility Specifications for Automa	tic Vehicle Identification (A\	/I) Equipment	NOTICE FILE NUMBER ${f Z}$
A. ESTIMATED PRIVATE SECTOR COST IMPA	CTS Include calculations and as	sumptions in the rulemaking record.	
 Check the appropriate box(es) below to indica a. Impacts business and/or employees b. Impacts small businesses c. Impacts jobs or occupations d. Impacts California competitiveness 	e. Imposes report f. Imposes prescri	ptive instead of performance	
ž ž		olete this Economic Impact Statement. al Impact Statement as appropriate.	
2. The(Agency/Department)		nomic impact of this regulation (which includ	les the fiscal impact) is:
	is over \$50 million, agencies are req ent Code Section 11346.3(c)]	uired to submit a <u>Standardized Regulatory Imp</u>	pact Assessment
3. Enter the total number of businesses impacted	: 0		
Describe the types of businesses (Include non	profits):		
Enter the number or percentage of total businesses impacted that are small businesses	:		
4. Enter the number of businesses that will be cre	elated: 0 el	iminated:	
Explain:			
5. Indicate the geographic extent of impacts:	Statewide Local or regional (List areas):	Bay Area, LA/ORA/RIV Counties, S	San Diego
6. Enter the number of jobs created: 49	and eliminated: 0		
Describe the types of jobs or occupations impassumption is that savings achieved from extended			
Will the regulation affect the ability of Californi other states by making it more costly to produ If YES, explain briefly:	•	YES X NO	
•			

STATE OF CALIFORNIA — DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT (REGULATIONS AND ORDERS)

STD. 399 (Rev. 10/2019)

ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT (CONTINUED)

В.	ESTIMATED COSTS Include calculations and assumptions in the	he rulemaking record.	
1. '	What are the total statewide dollar costs that businesses and indiv	viduals may incur to comply with this regu	ation over its lifetime? \$ 0
	a. Initial costs for a small business: \$	Annual ongoing costs: \$	Years:
	b. Initial costs for a typical business: \$	Annual ongoing costs: \$	Years:
		Annual ongoing costs: \$	
	d. Describe other economic costs that may occur:		
2.	If multiple industries are impacted, enter the share of total costs f	for each industry:	
3. I	If the regulation imposes reporting requirements, enter the annual include the dollar costs to do programming, record keeping, reporting	al costs a typical business may incur to con g, and other paperwork, whether or not the p	aply with these requirements. aperwork must be submitted. \$
4. \	Nill this regulation directly impact housing costs? TYES [⊠ NO	
	If YES, enter the	he annual dollar cost per housing unit: \$_	
		Number of units:	
5. <i>F</i>	Are there comparable Federal regulations?	× NO	
	Explain the need for State regulation given the existence or absence Caltrans and toll facility operators to collaborate or either any additional costs to businesses and/or individuals that many	n selecting and maintaining an A	VI standard for electronic toll collection
	ESTIMATED BENEFITS Estimation of the dollar value of benefit		
	Briefly summarize the benefits of the regulation, which may included health and welfare of California residents, worker safety and the Sexisting AVI standard could be reinvested in main	State's environment: Cost savings fro	m extending the sunset date of the es on the State Highway System
	which could improve transportation system reliab	bility.	
2. <i>I</i>	Are the benefits the result of: $oxed{oxtime}$ specific statutory requirements	s, or goals developed by the agency k	ased on broad statutory authority?
E	Explain: Extending the sunset date of the existing AV	I standard by 3 years reduces col	lection and disposal costs
3. '	What are the total statewide benefits from this regulation over its	lifetime? \$ 34.2M (\$11.4M x 3 yrs)	
4.	Briefly describe any expansion of businesses currently doing busin could be created for maintenance, construction, building maintenance.		
	Estimate per IMPLAN is 22 jobs in Highway Const	ruction; 27 jobs in and Maintena	nce and Rehabilitation.
	ALTERNATIVES TO THE REGULATION Include calculations a specifically required by rulemaking law, but encouraged.	and assumptions in the rulemaking record	Estimation of the dollar value of benefits is not
1.	List alternatives considered and describe them below. If no alternative be useful through February 2028, given the average lifespan. The State's toll ag	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Existing inventories of Title 21 AVI transponders are expected ate or the Title 21 AVI protocol to 2027 is sufficient to avoid a loss
	in transponder value and ensure maximum use of the existing transponders. For	this reason no other alternatives were proposed or co	nsidered.

STATE OF CALIFORNIA — DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT (REGULATIONS AND ORDERS) STD. 399 (Rev. 10/2019)

ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT (CONTINUED)

	LCOMOMIC	minici binibinibini (co	TITIODD)	
. Summarize the total state	ewide costs and benefits from this	regulation and each alternative considere	d:	
Regulation: Benefit	: \$ Cost: \$			
	: \$ Cost: \$			
Alternative 2: Benefit:	: \$ Cost: \$			
3. Briefly discuss any quantif	fication issues that are relevant to a	comparison		
or estimated costs and t	penefits for this regulation or alte	natives.		
regulation mandates the actions or procedures. W	agencies to consider performanc e use of specific technologies or e Vere performance standards consi	quipment, or prescribes specific dered to lower compliance costs?	YES NO	
	Include calculations and assum			
Califor		n Agency (Cal/EPA) boards, offices ealth and Safety Code section 5700:	-	to
. Will the estimated costs o	of this regulation to California busin	ness enterprises exceed \$10 million ?	YES NO	
		If YES, complete E2. and E3 If NO, skip to E4		
2. Briefly describe each alter	rnative, or combination of alternat	ives, for which a cost-effectiveness analysi	is was performed:	
Alternative 1:				
Alternative 2:				
(Attach additional pages f	or other alternatives)			
s. For the regulation, and e	ach alternative just described, ent	er the estimated total cost and overall cos	t-effectiveness ratio:	
Regulation: Total Cost	\$	Cost-effectiveness ratio: \$		
Alternative 1: Total Cost	\$	Cost-effectiveness ratio: \$		
Alternative 2: Total Cost	\$	Cost-effectiveness ratio: \$		
exceeding \$50 million in		economic impact to business enterprises edate the major regulation is estimated to ted?		
☐ YES				
	ed to submit a <u>Standardized Regulat</u> n 11346.3(c) and to include the SRIA i	ory Impact Assessment (SRIA) as specified in n the Initial Statement of Reasons.	1	
5. Briefly describe the follow				
The increase or decrease	of investment in the State: Cost sav	ings from the regulation could be reinvested in I	naintaining or improving toll facilities on th	he State Highway System
The incentive for innovat	tion in products, materials or proce	sses:	N/A	
		o, benefits to the health, safety, and welfa uality of life, among any other benefits id		N/A

STATE OF CALIFORNIA — DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT (REGULATIONS AND ORDERS)

STD. 399 (Rev. 10/2019)

FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT

1. Additional expenditures in the current State Fiscal (Pursuant to Section 6 of Article XIII B of the Califor			ent Code).
\$			
a. Funding provided in			
Budget Act of	or Chapter	, Statutes of	
b. Funding will be requested in the Governor's E	Budget Act of		
	Fiscal Year:		
2. Additional expenditures in the current State Fiscal (Pursuant to Section 6 of Article XIII B of the Califor			
\$	and ide the appropriate i	formation.	
Check reason(s) this regulation is not reimbursable and a. Implements the Federal mandate contained in		normation:	
b. Implements the court mandate set forth by the			
			Court.
Case of:		vs	
c. Implements a mandate of the people of this S	tate expressed in their ap	proval of Proposition No.	
Date of Election:			
d. Issued only in response to a specific request for	rom affected local entity(5).	
Local entity(s) affected:			
e. Will be fully financed from the fees, revenue, e	etc. from:		
Authorized by Section:	of	the	Code;
f. Provides for savings to each affected unit of lo	ocal government which w	ill, at a minimum, offset any additio	onal costs to each;
g. Creates, eliminates, or changes the penalty fo	r a new crime or infractio	n contained in	
X 3. Annual Savings. (approximate)			
\$ 5.8M (\$17.5M/3y)			
4. No additional costs or savings. This regulation make	s only technical, non-subs	tantive or clarifying changes to curre	ent law regulations.
5. No fiscal impact exists. This regulation does not affe	ct any local entity or prog	ram.	

STATE OF CALIFORNIA — DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT (REGULATIONS AND ORDERS) STD. 399 (Rev. 10/2019)

FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT (CONTINUED)

B. FISCAL EFFECT ON STATE GOVERNMENT Indicate appropriate boxes 1 through year and two subsequent Fiscal Years.	4 and attach calculations and assumptions of fiscal impact for the current
1. Additional expenditures in the current State Fiscal Year. (Approximate)	
\$	
It is anticipated that State agencies will:	
a. Absorb these additional costs within their existing budgets and resources.	
b. Increase the currently authorized budget level for the	Fiscal Year
2. Savings in the current State Fiscal Year. (Approximate)	
\$	
3. No fiscal impact exists. This regulation does not affect any State agency or program	1.
4. Other. Explain	
C. FISCAL EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDING OF STATE PROGRAMS Indicate approimpact for the current year and two subsequent Fiscal Years.	priate boxes 1 through 4 and attach calculations and assumptions of fiscal
1. Additional expenditures in the current State Fiscal Year. (Approximate)	
\$	
2. Savings in the current State Fiscal Year. (Approximate)	
\$	
3. No fiscal impact exists. This regulation does not affect any federally funded State ac	gency or program.
4. Other. Explain	
FISCAL OFFICER SIGNATURE	DATE
Keith Duncan Digitally signed by Keith Date: 2023.05.25 14:13:1	
The signature attests that the agency has completed the STD. 399 according to the impacts of the proposed rulemaking. State boards, offices, or departments highest ranking official in the organization.	
AGENCY SECRETARY	DATE
Mark Tollefaon	Aug 3, 2023
Finance approval and signature is required when SAM sections 6601-6616 rea	quire completion of Fiscal Impact Statement in the STD. 399.
DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE PROGRAM BUDGET MANAGER	DATE
Teresa Calvert	Aug 11, 2023