ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT

	Leonome minier »			
DEPARTMENT NAME Transportation	contact person Rupinder Jawanda	EMAIL ADDRESS rupinder.jawanda@dot.ca.gov	TELEPHONE NUMBER (916) 653-5546	
DESCRIPTIVE TITLE FROM NOTICE REGISTER OR FORM 400	-			
	DESCRIPTIVE TITLE FROM NOTICE REGISTER OR FORM 400 NOTICE FILE NUMBER Compatibility Specifications for Automatic Vehicle Identification (AVI) equipment Z			
A. ESTIMATED PRIVATE SECTOR COST IMPA	CTS Include calculations and assumpt	ions in the rulemaking record.	<u> </u>	
1. Check the appropriate box(es) below to indicat	e whether this regulation:			
a. Impacts business and/or employees	e. Imposes reporting rec	juirements		
b. Impacts small businesses				
c. Impacts jobs or occupations g. Impacts individuals				
 d. Impacts California competitiveness	\times h. None of the above (Ex	plain below):		
	This regulation will	incorporate new documents by r ed in California conforms to the la		
	a through g is checked, complete the scale of the second sec	his Economic Impact Statement.	,	
2				
2. The(Agency/Department)	estimates that the economic i	mpact of this regulation (which includes the	e fiscal impact) is:	
Below \$10 million				
Between \$10 and \$25 million				
Between \$25 and \$50 million				
	s over \$50 million agencies are required to	o submit a <u>Standardized Regulatory Impact A</u>	ssessment	
	ent Code Section 11346.3(c)]	submit a <u>standardized negatatory impact n</u>	<u>ssessment</u>	
3. Enter the total number of businesses impacted				
Describe the types of businesses (Include nonp	profits):			
Enter the number or percentage of total				
businesses impacted that are small businesses:				
4. Enter the number of businesses that will be cre	ated: eliminat	ed:		
Explain:				
5. Indicate the geographic extent of impacts:	Statewide			
	_			
	Local or regional (List areas):			
6. Enter the number of jobs created:	and eliminated:			
Describe the types of jobs or occupations impa	cted:			
 Will the regulation affect the ability of California other states by making it more costly to produce 		5 🗌 NO		
If YES, explain briefly:				

ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT (CONTINUED)

B. ESTIMATED COSTS Include calculations and assumptions in the rulemaking record.				
1. What are the total statewide dollar costs that busines	ses and individuals may incur to comply with this regu	lation over its lifetime? \$		
	Annual ongoing costs: \$			
	Annual ongoing costs: \$			
c. Initial costs for an individual: \$	Annual ongoing costs: \$	Years:		
d. Describe other economic costs that may occur:				
2. If multiple industries are impacted, enter the share o	f total costs for each industry:			
 If the regulation imposes reporting requirements, en Include the dollar costs to do programming, record keep 	ter the annual costs a typical business may incur to con ping, reporting, and other paperwork, whether or not the p			
4. Will this regulation directly impact housing costs?	4. Will this regulation directly impact housing costs? 🔲 YES 🔄 NO			
I	f YES, enter the annual dollar cost per housing unit: $_{-}$			
	Number of units:			
5. Are there comparable Federal regulations?	YES NO			
Explain the need for State regulation given the existence or absence of Federal regulations:				
Enter any additional costs to businesses and/or indivi	duals that may be due to State - Federal differences: \$			
C. ESTIMATED BENEFITS Estimation of the dollar va	lue of benefits is not specifically required by rulemaking	g law, but encouraged.		
 Briefly summarize the benefits of the regulation, which health and welfare of California residents, worker saf 	atu and the State's environment.			
2. Are the benefits the result of: Specific statutory r	equirements, or 🔲 goals developed by the agency b	based on broad statutory authority?		
Explain:				
3. What are the total statewide benefits from this regula	ation over its lifetime? \$			
4. Briefly describe any expansion of businesses currentl	y doing business within the State of California that wou	Id result from this regulation:		
D. ALTERNATIVES TO THE REGULATION Include c specifically required by rulemaking law, but encource		Estimation of the dollar value of benefits is not		
1. List alternatives considered and describe them below	v. If no alternatives were considered, explain why not:			

ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT	(CONTINUED)
---------------------------	-------------

			```	,
2. Summarize the t	otal statewide costs a	nd benefits from this regulation and	each alternative considered:	
Regulation:	Benefit: \$	Cost: \$		
Alternative 1:	Benefit: \$	Cost: \$		
Alternative 2:	Benefit: \$	Cost: \$		
		that are relevant to a comparison his regulation or alternatives:		
regulation man actions or proce	dates the use of speci edures. Were perform	consider performance standards a ific technologies or equipment, or ance standards considered to lowe	orescribes specific r compliance costs?	NO NO
Explain:				
E. MAJOR REGUL	ATIONS Include cald	culations and assumptions in the r	Ilemaking record.	
		onmental Protection Agency (C	-	lepartments are required to
	•	e following (per Health and Sa		
1. Will the estimate	ed costs of this regulat	ion to California business enterprise	es <b>exceed \$10 million</b> ? YES	NO
		•	mplete E2. and E3 O, skip to E4	
2. Briefly describe	each alternative, or co	mbination of alternatives, for which	a cost-effectiveness analysis was ı	performed:
Alternative 1: _				
Alternative 2:				
(Attach addition	al pages for other alterr	natives)		
2 Ear the regulation	on and each alternativ	ve just described, enter the estimate	d total cost and overall cost offer	iveness ratio
-		-	iveness ratio: \$	
Alternative 1: To			iveness ratio: \$	
Alternative 2: To			iveness ratio: \$	
4. Will the regulatic exceeding \$50 r	on subject to OAL revie million in any 12-mont	ew have an estimated economic imp	pact to business enterprises and in	dividuals located in or doing business in California d with the Secretary of State through12 months
YES	NO			
		n <u>Standardized Regulatory Impact Ass</u> nd to include the SRIA in the Initial Sta		
5. Briefly describe t	-			
The increase or	decrease of investmer	nt in the State:		
The incentive fo	pr innovation in produ	cts, materials or processes:		
The benefits of f residents, worke	the regulations, includ er safety, and the state	ling, but not limited to, benefits to t 's environment and quality of life, a	he health, safety, and welfare of Ca mong any other benefits identified	alifornia d by the agency:

_

### FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT

	SCAL EFFECT ON LOCAL GOVERNMENT India rent year and two subsequent Fiscal Years.	cate appropriate boxes 1 ti	hrough 6 and attach calculat	ions and assumptions of fiscal impact for the
1	. Additional expenditures in the current State Fisc (Pursuant to Section 6 of Article XIII B of the Calif			
Ś	S			
[	a. Funding provided in			
	Budget Act of	or Chapter	, Statutes of	
[	b. Funding will be requested in the Governor'	s Budget Act of		
		Fiscal Year:		
	. Additional expenditures in the current State Fisc (Pursuant to Section 6 of Article XIII B of the Calif			
9	5 	nd provide the appropriate	information:	
[	a. Implements the Federal mandate contained			
[	b. Implements the court mandate set forth by	the		Court.
	Case of:		Vs	
[	c. Implements a mandate of the people of this	s State expressed in their a	pproval of Proposition No. _	
	Date of Election:			
[	d. Issued only in response to a specific reques	t from affected local entity	(s).	
	Local entity(s) affected:			
[	e. Will be fully financed from the fees, revenue	e, etc. from:		
	Authorized by Section:	c	of the	Code;
[	f. Provides for savings to each affected unit of	flocal government which v	will, at a minimum, offset any	additional costs to each;
[	g. Creates, eliminates, or changes the penalty	for a new crime or infraction	on contained in	
3	. Annual Savings. (approximate)			
Ś	š			
× 4	. No additional costs or savings. This regulation ma	kes only technical, non-sub	stantive or clarifying changes	to current law regulations.
5	. No fiscal impact exists. This regulation does not a	ffect any local entity or proc	gram.	
∑ 6	. Other. Explain Minor software changes w	will be needed for so	me electronic toll colle	ction (ETC) infrastructure but there are
	no additional costs associated with	those changes as such	work is covered under exi	sting maintenance contracts for ETC systems.

### FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT (CONTINUED)

<b>B. FISCAL EFFECT ON STATE GOVERNMENT</b> Indicate appropriate boxes 1 through 4 and attach calculation year and two subsequent Fiscal Years.	ns and assumptions of fiscal impact for the current
1. Additional expenditures in the current State Fiscal Year. (Approximate)	
\$	
It is anticipated that State agencies will:	
a. Absorb these additional costs within their existing budgets and resources.	
b. Increase the currently authorized budget level for the Fiscal Year	
2. Savings in the current State Fiscal Year. (Approximate)	
\$	
$\times$ 3. No fiscal impact exists. This regulation does not affect any State agency or program.	
4. Other. Explain	
<b>C. FISCAL EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDING OF STATE PROGRAMS</b> Indicate appropriate boxes 1 through 4 impact for the current year and two subsequent Fiscal Years.	and attach calculations and assumptions of fiscal
1. Additional expenditures in the current State Fiscal Year. (Approximate)	
\$	
2. Savings in the current State Fiscal Year. (Approximate)	
\$	
$\times$ 3. No fiscal impact exists. This regulation does not affect any federally funded State agency or program.	
4. Other. Explain	
FISCAL OFFICER SIGNATURE	DATE
& Keith Duncan	04/04/2025
The signature attests that the agency has completed the STD. 399 according to the instructions in Sa the impacts of the proposed rulemaking. State boards, offices, or departments not under an Agency highest ranking official in the organization.	
AGENCY SECRETARY	DATE
Mark Tolls from	02/27/2025
Finance approval and signature is required when SAM sections 6601-6616 require completion of F	iscal Impact Statement in the STD. 399.
DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE PROGRAM BUDGET MANAGER	DATE
a In ant	April 4, 2025