

**STATE OF CALIFORNIA**  
**DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION**  
**CALIFORNIA TRAFFIC CONTROL DEVICES COMMITTEE**

Minutes of Meeting  
Tuesday, October 14, 2025  
Hosted on Webex

**ATTENDEES**

Voting Members Present (8 Total):

- Robert Bronkall, County Engineers Association of California (CEAC), Humboldt County Public Works (Chair)
- Jason Welday, League of California Cities (LOCC), City of Rancho Cucamonga (Vice Chair)
- Lt. Kirk Bailor, California Highway Patrol (CHP)
- Marianne Kim, American Automobile Association of Southern California (AAA-S)
- Pratyush Bhatia, LOCC, City of Dublin (Vice Chair)\*
- Amjad Obeid, Caltrans Headquarters (HQ)
- Mike Sallaberry, CAT, San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency
- Robert Scharf, CEAC, Los Angeles County Public Works

\* Joined at 9:05 am

Voting Members Absent (2 Total):

- Bryan Jones, Caltrans Active Transportation (CAT), City of Menifee
- Mahmoud Zahriya, American Automobile Association of Northern California, Nevada & Utah (AAA-N)

Alternate Members Present (4 Total):

- Melainie Boyack, CHP
- Rock Miller, CAT, Rock E. Miller & Associates\*
- Virendra Patel, LOCC
- Wei Zhu, CEAC

\* Joined at 9:23 a.m.

Alternate Members Absent (6 Total):

- Mike Malyy, Caltrans HQ

- Tim Chang, AAA-S
- Michelle Donati, AAA-N
- Andrew Maximous, LOCC
- Richard Moorehead, CEAC
- Tony Powers, CAT

#### Committee Staff:

- Timothy Kong, Caltrans HQ, CTCDC Secretary
- Jaime Sanchez, Caltrans HQ
- Ejaz Shaikh, Caltrans HQ
- Johnny Bhullar, Caltrans HQ, CA MUTCD Editor
- Vitalii Tertyshnyi, Caltrans HQ

#### Presenters:

- 

#### Public Speakers:

- Richard Moeur, National Committee on Uniform Traffic Control Devices (NCUTCD)
- Kevin Schumacher, California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC)
- Nik Osborne, Los Angeles Department of Transportation (LA DOT)
- David Royer

### **ORGANIZATION ITEMS**

#### **1. Introduction**

Chair Bronkall opened the meeting at 9:00 a.m. The CTCDC members introduced themselves followed by Alternate Members.

#### **2. Membership**

No changes to the CTCDC membership were presented.

#### **3. Approval of Previous Meetings' Minutes**

None.

#### **4. Public Comments**

There was no public comment.

## **5. Active Experiments**

No active experiments were presented.

## **AGENDA ITEMS**

### **6. Public Hearing**

#### **6a. Consent Items (minor discussion with vote expected)**

None

#### **6b. Action Items (Continuing or new items with vote expected)**

### **25-12: CA MUTCD 2026, Part 8 (Traffic Control for Railroad and Light Rail Transit Grade Crossings)**

Comments:

- 8D.09, Par 44 (Vice Chair Welday) I would ask that the proposed language there be reworded to provide an opportunity for discussion when we are in the process of design. The language here cuts off discussion about the use, whether or not it was safe and whether or not there was a yellow trap. This could be reworded to allow for or to explicitly state something to the effect of that flashing yellow arrow protected/permissive may be considered with the recommendation of the Diagnostic Team and approval of CPUC, rather than just saying it should not be used.
  - (Mr. Schumacher) The language that has just been proposed sounds like we would add in a sentence saying flashing yellow arrow may be used, consistent with the recommendation of the Diagnostic Team and approval by CPUC. We can find language that is very similar to that for other topics. We can take that and propose something to Caltrans.

**MOTION:** Mr. Obeid made a motion to recommend finalizing Part 8. Mr. Scharf seconded the motion. Vice Chair Welday asked that the motion includes the proposed revisions. Mr. Obeid agreed with the amended motion. Mr. Scharf seconded. The Motion passed unanimously.

### **25-09: CA MUTCD 2026, Part 3 (Markings)**

Comments:

- 3A.01, Par 07 (Chair Bronkall) I think there is a little bit that should be tacked onto the end of it to provide the clarity that I was focusing on, which is "when the sun is low on the horizon".

- (Mr. Moeur) May want to consider a different word than "ensure", because you may not be able to ensure that even with some of the best stripe removal technology out there.
- 3B.02, Par 07 (Chair Bronkall) Should add "unless recessed".
- 3B.06, Par 14 (Vice Chair Welday) I had commented previously that a single white line does not restrict movement across the line or lane changes. The response from Caltrans is that it is consistent with the prior CA MUTCD and National MUTCD. My concern is actually a Vehicle Code question, so I would ask CHP if this is consistent with the Vehicle Code with respect to restricting lane changes for trucks.
  - (Lt. Bailor) When it is a designated lane, like if it is left turn only or a straight only, that solid white line indicates that you have to stay in that lane. But otherwise movement across the line would just be discouraged, but only illegal when it becomes unsafe. So, it just depends on the circumstance.
    - "CVC 22101(d) Illegal when a single solid white line separates designated lanes (left turn only, right turn only, straight only"
    - "CVC 21658(a) basic lane discipline, only illegal when unsafe."
- Figure 3B-19 (Chair Bronkall) Should be California Building Code (CBC), not California Vehicle Code (CVC).
- General (Chair Bronkall) I have had challenge with how the Manual can incorporate and reflect both federal and state accessibility requirements. Caltrans has recommended that we can address this in a future revision.

**MOTION:** Mr. Obeid made a motion to recommend finalizing Part 3. Vice Chair Welday seconded. The Motion passed unanimously.

## **25-11: CA MUTCD 2026, Part 6 (Temporary Traffic Control)**

Comments:

- General (Chair Bronkall) I think Part 6 needs more examples to reflect temporary traffic control involving bike lanes and sidewalks. Especially for short duration traffic control, and where and how the traffic control signs are to be implemented when we have sidewalks and bike lanes and limited space for placing signage without obstructing the bike lanes and the sidewalks. There is also the case where a lot of our roads have two-way left turn lanes. As the Committee, next year we may want to suggest to Caltrans that they develop a series of traffic control MUTCD Typical

Applications and/or Caltrans Standard Plans details to better address sidewalks, bike lanes, two-way left turn lanes.

- (Mr. Bhullar) We went through a similar process when adopting the 2009 Manual, to come up with the examples we have currently. We are open to your suggestion in terms of what is the most common. Let's start out with getting some ideas on what are the typical scenarios that are missing, that we need to develop for.
- Table 6H-3(CA) (Mr. Royer) Is the current Table 6H-3(CA) going to be retained?
  - (Mr. Bhullar) This time, a lot of the duplicate tables were removed. The table in question exists as Table 6B-1 and has been enhanced.

**MOTION:** Mr. Obeid made a motion to recommend finalizing Part 6. Mr. Bhatia seconded. The Motion passed unanimously.

**6c. Informational Items (Continuing or new items that may be brought back as an Action Item in a future meeting)**

None.

**6d. Word Message Sign Items**

None.

**6e. Experimentation Items**

None.

**7. Upcoming Meetings**

- Additional October Meetings
  - Please respond to correspondence related to those meetings. There are many moving parts, so thank you for receiving all the content and changes.
  - 10/16 Meeting cancelled. Next meeting will be on 10/21. We are looking to finalize Part 9 and start Part 2.
  - CTCDC Voting/Alternate members, please respond to the November Availability Doodle Poll that was sent out.
  - 10/28 - Finalize Part 4, Continue Part 2
  - 10/30 - Continue Part 2
- 11/6/2025 - In-person at Sacramento International Airport, Terminal A Media Room

## **8. Adjourn**

Chair Bronkall adjourned the meeting at 9:57 a.m.