Determination of Recovery Bridge Corridors by Comparing Post EQ Network

Bridge research to develop design heuristics that can be used to determine whether a bridge should be designed to a Recovery Bridge performance standard or an Ordinary Standard Bridge performance standard.

WHAT IS THE NEED?

Recently adopted Seismic Design Criteria (SDC) 2.0 create an optional seismic performance target that exceeds what is applied to Ordinary Standard Bridges (OSB), deemed a “Recovery Bridge (RB)”. Bridges designed to the more stringent criteria can be expected to remain undamaged in a modest earthquake (Functional Evaluation Earthquake, FEE) and incur only moderate damage in a severe design level event (Safety Evaluation Earthquake, SEE). From the perspective of Caltrans’ Division of Engineering Services (DES), the choice of post-earthquake performance level is made at the District level since the benefits and costs of the project level design alternatives are evaluated at the District level.

While there are guidelines and experience related to how to build Recovery Bridges, there is a knowledge gap related to deciding on when to build them. It is generally agreed upon that Recovery Bridges should be used when the consequences of being down for an extended period is severe. However, determining an objective measure of severity is challenging. What is needed is to develop regional highway network traffic models to identify simple, yet accurate, design heuristics that can be used to determine whether a bridge should be designed to a Recovery Bridge performance standard or an Ordinary Standard Bridge performance standard.
WHAT ARE WE DOING?
Through the PEER-Bridge Program, Caltrans is contracting with University of California, Berkeley, to develop traffic simulation model of the San Francisco Bay Area highway network. The project is aimed to explore the different types of post-earthquake traffic corridors. Identifying these critical corridors serve as the main access to critical facilities and evacuation routes within 72 hours after an earthquake. We’re also collecting data on highway and major road network, bridge locations, critical facility locations, bridge characteristics, and site-specific earthquake hazard levels. We are also establishing framework for recovery bridge selection and understanding how the network functionalities can be improved based on bridge performance post-earthquake under simulations.

WHAT IS OUR GOAL?
The goal of this project is to identify simple, yet accurate, design heuristics that can be used to determine whether a bridge should be designed to a Recovery Bridge (RB) performance standard or an Ordinary Standard Bridge (OSB) performance standard.

WHAT IS THE BENEFIT?
Bridges play a critical role in our transportation system in enhancing California mobility and economy. Evaluating the performance of a traffic network given the uncertainty in bridge response under stochastic seismic events is a complex task. Understanding the post-earthquake traffic demand on the regional highway and major road network, which is different from the ordinary day traffic levels is critical to future strategic planning of transportation network. Understanding the spatial dependencies of bridge damage that occur due to the correlated ground motions in the region is critical in ensuring bridge performance meets capacity demand of the highway network during an emergency. The project aims to establish a framework for improved bridge performance level selection criteria for bridge design.

WHAT IS THE PROGRESS TO DATE?
Determination of Recovery Bridge Corridors by Comparing Post EQ Network project will start on June 01, 2022 and operates for 24 months.
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