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Executive Summary

Background
In recent years, climate change, drought, forest disease and the increasing wildland–urban interface have exacerbated wildfire danger in California. Roadsides are sometimes the point of origin of fires, and safety and roadside assets are at risk when fire does occur. The California Department of Transportation (Caltrans) is seeking to expand its design toolbox with strategies that enhance roadside fire resilience. Design strategies along roadsides include guidelines or design tools for landscape design, use of materials and treatments, plant selection and setbacks that can be employed to design a fire-resilient roadside and to rehabilitate a roadside after a fire.

Caltrans is seeking information from other state departments of transportation (DOTs) about design strategies implemented to maintain safety and limit the costly environmental and infrastructure damage that results from fire. Caltrans is also seeking plans, specifications and cost estimates for successful fire presuppression projects.

To assist Caltrans in this information-gathering effort, CTC & Associates conducted an online survey of state transportation agencies expected to have experience designing roadsides for fire presuppression. A selected group of fire management experts in California were also contacted to learn about effective roadside design strategies. A literature search of publicly available resources about national and state practices and guidance supplemented the survey findings.

Summary of Findings

Current Caltrans Practice
As part of Caltrans’ current fire presuppression practices, district landscape specialists from the Division of Maintenance routinely engage with local fire officials when developing vegetation control plans. The division is also updating the roadside fire strip width from up to 8 feet to up to 10 feet. Recently the division launched an effort to develop defensible space that will focus on establishing fire breaks through both horizontal and vertical spacing of plant materials.

Other roadside design practices already implemented by Caltrans include:

- Using metal post guardrail in fire-prone areas.
- Eliminating the use of high-density polyethylene (HDPE) culverts in fire-prone areas.
- Preventing weed growth under guardrail and around signs, which also increases field crew safety by reducing worker exposure on roadways.

Survey of Practice
An online survey was distributed to members of two committees from the American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials (AASHTO):

- Committee on Design.
- Committee on Maintenance.

Respondents representing design and maintenance units from 20 state transportation agencies responded to the survey. Seven respondents from six states—Arizona, Colorado, Florida, Michigan, Nevada and New Mexico—reported that their agencies have developed or adopted
roadside design strategies or practices that are intended to make roadsides more resilient to fire. Most of these respondents represented design functional units within their agencies; the respondent from Florida DOT and one respondent from Nevada DOT provided a maintenance perspective. Other transportation agency respondents noted that fires are not an issue in their states that require implementing fire presuppression strategies.

Findings from the six state transportation agencies and other state DOTs participating in the survey are presented in the following topic areas (when available):

- Design strategies.
- Design strategy effectiveness.
- Safety appurtenances.
- Fire-resilient roadside projects and guidance.

**Design Strategies**

The following roadside design strategies were addressed for fire presuppression:

- Vegetation-free zone along the pavement edge.
- Use of inert materials.
- Culvert materials for fire resilience.
- Plant material.
- Use of a vertical fire break.

**Vegetation-Free Zone Along the Pavement Edge**

Five states require a vegetation-free zone along the pavement edge (Arizona, Colorado, Nevada, Oklahoma and Utah). Arizona DOT specifies requirements on a project-by-project basis; Nevada and Oklahoma DOTs define specific minimums, ranging from a 7-foot minimum to a 30-foot clear zone; Colorado DOT implements both practices.

**Use of Inert Materials**

Only Arizona DOT and Nevada DOT's Roadway Design Division respondents reported that their agencies specify the use of inert materials along roadsides in fire-prone areas. In Arizona, requirements are project-specific based on the region, a preapproved list of materials and review by the landscape architecture unit. The Nevada DOT Roadway Design Division uses shouldering material and/or rock mulch.

**Culvert Materials for Fire Resilience**

Three state DOTs specify culvert materials for fire resilience. Idaho Transportation Department primarily uses corrugated metal pipe and concrete for new construction. When rehabilitating culverts, some of the agency’s maintenance sections use metal or concrete culvert instead of plastic liners because these liners are difficult to extinguish when ignited. Nevada DOT Roadway Design Division uses reinforced concrete pipe, corrugated metal pipe, and concrete culvert pipe or boxes, where applicable. The agency also uses HDPE pipe that is buried underground and has metal end sections beyond the 10-foot buffer and clear zone requirements. North Dakota DOT uses nonflammable material for culvert end treatments.

**Plant Material**

Survey respondents addressed three issues related to plant material: setbacks and appropriate or inappropriate plant material.
Setbacks. Five states require minimum distances or the use of vegetation or landscaping practices to ensure setbacks for plant material along roadsides. Minimum distances are specified in Arizona (30 or 60 feet, depending on the region) and Nevada (Roadway Design: 10-foot buffer from pavement edge; Maintenance: 7 feet). Colorado, Nevada and New Mexico DOTs are guided by vegetation or landscaping practices. In Colorado, native grass and forb seed mixes are typically specified for roadside revegetation following construction. Nevada DOT Roadway Design requires landscaping such as trees, shrubs and large boulders to be outside of the roadway clear zone. New Mexico DOT specifies mowing height.

Appropriate Plant Material. According to the respondent, Arizona DOT has created a list of appropriate plant material (the list was unavailable at the time of publication of this report). While Colorado DOT does not typically specify plant material for fire-prone areas, its standard native seed species can be used on roadsides throughout the state. Species are available in the agency’s native seed database and are given a rating of low, medium or high for fire tolerance.

Inappropriate Plant Material. Cheatgrass along roadsides in Idaho and red cedar in rights of way in Oklahoma were identified as inappropriate plant material in fire-prone areas. Because of the invasive nature and combustibility of red cedar, Oklahoma DOT removes this plant material from these areas when feasible.

Use of a Vertical Fire Break

Vegetation practices are part of the vertical fire break strategies implemented by Michigan and New Mexico DOTs. In Michigan, vegetation removal is the primary practice, and New Mexico DOT requires lower mowing heights from right of way fence to right of way fence. Arizona DOT does not use a vertical fire break as a fire presuppression strategy, but other agencies involved in Arizona DOT projects (such as the U.S. Forest Service) have used this practice.

Design Strategy Effectiveness

Respondents from nine states rated the effectiveness of seven design strategies for fire presuppression along roadsides. Vegetation-free zones was the most frequently rated design strategy and received the highest rating; other frequently rated strategies were using inert materials and specifying a setback for plant material. Appropriate plant material was the least rated design strategy, and inappropriate plant material received the lowest rankings.

Other effective fire presuppression design strategies reported by survey participants were frequent mowing (Florida and Utah), prescribed burns (Kansas) and appropriate plant material for living snow fences (Colorado).

Safety Appurtenances

None of the survey respondents described using safety appurtenances such as guardrail and sign posts that were unique to fire-prone areas.

Guardrail. Respondents typically reported using standard hardware (Arizona, Oklahoma, Pennsylvania and Utah) or hardware that is compliant with AASHTO’s Manual for Assessing Safety Hardware (MASH) (Idaho). Kansas DOT specifies wood and steel posts, and Colorado DOT typically sprays herbicide under and immediately adjacent to guardrails to suppress weed growth.
Sign Posts. As with guardrail, many states responding to the survey specify standard sign posts (Arizona, Oklahoma and Pennsylvania). Transportation agencies in Idaho and Kansas install metal and wood posts while Colorado DOT sprays areas under and immediately adjacent to sign posts with herbicide to suppress weed growth.

Other Devices. Although Connecticut DOT rarely designates roadside safety appurtenances for fire-prone areas, the survey respondent noted that along nonaccess highways, stand pipes may be used at bridge structures to allow pumping from crossing streets. Colorado DOT relies on maintenance practices to eradicate flammable annual grasses such as cheatgrass (*Bromus tectorum*) in the right of way. Nevada DOT Roadway Design Division also noted the practice of weed-free clear zones in the state; most beautification efforts in Nevada are at interchanges, where decorative rock, sculptures and native plantings are used. Contractors working at these sites are required to submit fire suppression plans that comply with U.S. Forest Service guidelines.

Fire-Resilient Roadside Projects and Guidance

None of the respondents were able to provide information about successful projects that installed a fire-resilient roadside. The respondent from Arizona DOT was the only survey participant who reported that formal, written guidance was available for the design of fire-resilient roadsides, however, the guidance was unavailable at the time of publication of this report. The Colorado DOT respondent reported that the agency has provided recommendations and guidelines for post-fire response to agencies within the state, mainly for erosion or sediment control and seeding recommendations.

Consultation With Fire Management Experts

Fire management experts from California Department of Forestry and Fire Protection (CAL FIRE) and Sierra Pacific Industries were contacted to gain a broader perspective of effective fire presuppression strategies along roadsides. Two senior CAL FIRE leaders responded to the inquiry; the Sierra Pacific Industries representative did not respond to requests for information.

Law Enforcement and Civil Cost Recovery

Gianni Muschetto, staff chief of Law Enforcement and Civil Cost Recovery at CAL FIRE, provided details about various design strategies and practices based on experience with fuels reduction projects that incorporate roadways:

- **Vegetation-free zone along the pavement edge.** Although not required, vegetation-free zones and various types of fuel breaks are incorporated as part of a project.

- **Setback for plant material.** A specific setback is not required, however, the agency provides recommendations if local fire plans are written within specific counties or communities. Typically these recommendations are based on local conditions and historical ignitions in specific areas.

- **Appropriate and inappropriate plant material.** Muschetto referred to the Ready for Wildfire web site, an educational resource for homeowners, noting that the fire-resistant landscaping principles discussed on the web site can be adapted to roadside areas.

- **Use of a vertical fire break.** Both horizontal and vertical breaks and spacing are used in fuels reduction projects. Ladder fuels are typically removed below trees, and trees are limbed to a height of 15 feet.
According to Muschetto, the following strategies are considered extremely effective: implementing vegetation-free zones, using inert materials along roadsides, specifying culvert material, specifying a setback for plant material, specifying both appropriate and inappropriate plant material, and using vertical fire breaks. In addition, extended vegetation setbacks are recommended along roadways where steep road grades cause vehicles to accelerate or decelerate.

Muschetto referred to the Fire Prevention Grant Program as a potential resource for fire-resilient roadside projects. The program, which is part of California Climate Investments, provides funding for fuels reduction projects that reduce the risk of wildfires to homes and communities in California.

Shasta County Fire Department

Johnathon Zulliger, battalion chief of Law Enforcement and Fire Prevention at CAL FIRE, provided insight into the causes of fires along roads in Shasta and Trinity counties. In 2019 approximately 22 vegetation fires were caused by a vehicular mechanical failure, most frequently tire and drivetrain failures or failing exhaust systems. In Zulliger’s experience, most roadside fires start within 10 feet of the paved road surface. Dry grass is the most susceptible wildland fuel for starting fires. To effectively reduce roadside vegetation fires, he recommended clearing vegetation to bare mineral soil 10 feet away from the paved roadside edge.

Related Research and Resources

An examination of domestic in-progress and completed research sought information about efforts by transportation and other agencies to design fire-resilient roads. The literature search uncovered very little guidance on this topic. Resources primarily address vegetation management, including an Idaho Transportation Department project in progress that will examine the use of weed-suppressive bacteria to control cheatgrass on Idaho roadsides and provide recommendations for integrating this practice into the department’s roadside management practices. A 2010 U.S. Forest Service paper evaluates the response of six non-native invasive plant species to wildfires in the northern Rocky Mountains. A 2008 U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service handbook looks at fire management and invasive plants, presenting fuels management treatments and best management practices for minimizing the potential for plant invasions.

Gaps in Findings

Although 20 state transportation agencies responded to the survey, only six have developed or adopted roadside design strategies or practices that are intended to make roadsides more resilient to fire. Several responding agencies are from high-fire states, but their experience designing fire-resilient roadsides is very limited. Most of the strategies shared through the survey were maintenance practices related to vegetation management. The literature search also uncovered minimal research on this topic.

Next Steps

Moving forward, Caltrans could consider:

- Examining the strategies and resources provided by survey respondents for application in California.
• Following up with Arizona DOT to obtain:
  o Formal, written guidance that the agency has developed for the design of fire-
    resilient roadsides.
  o List of appropriate plant material for use along roadsides in fire-prone areas.
• Evaluating Colorado DOT’s Native Seed Calculator and database, which provide
  information about native seed species and rate the fire tolerance of these species.
• Gathering information from agencies that did not respond to the survey to obtain further
  guidance and perspectives.
• Reviewing the design strategies and recommendations from the CAL FIRE
  representatives.
• Examining the available resources on vegetation management and wildfire mitigation for
  potential design practices.
• Incorporating lessons learned from District 8’s ongoing ignition reduction project with the
  U.S. Forest Service and CAL FIRE at southbound Interstate 15–Cajon Pass, including
  effective methods used and the success of these methods.
• Considering the use of inert materials in roadside and landscape work. District 8, which
  has used inert materials in several projects, is developing lessons learned related to:
  o Shoulder backing, including materials selection, depth, color, performance (wet
    or dry), education, specification and application.
  o Maintenance of inert materials, including weed prevention, damage from vehicles
    or other accidents, material availability, resources and training for maintenance
    staff about effective restoration efforts.
  o Wood mulch placement, including depth and maintenance.
Detailed Findings

Background

Historically, weather, fuel and topography have made California the “perfect storm” for wildfire. However, as seen in recent years, climate change, drought, forest disease and the increasing wildland–urban interface exacerbate the danger and the stakes involved. Roadsides are sometimes the point of origin of fires, and safety and roadside assets are at risk when fire does occur. One study shows that 74% of fires in national forests occur within 10 feet of a road edge.

Traditionally state departments of transportation (DOTs) have relied on maintenance practices that focus on fuels reduction along the roadside to decrease fire risk. The California Department of Transportation (Caltrans) is interested in information about design strategies implemented in other high-fire states to maintain safety and limit the costly environmental and infrastructure damage that results from fire. These strategies might include guidelines or design tools for landscape design, use of materials and treatments, plant selection and setbacks used to design a fire-resilient roadside. Caltrans is also seeking plans, specifications and cost estimates for successful fire presuppression projects.

To assist Caltrans in this information-gathering effort, CTC & Associates summarized the results of an online survey of state DOTs that examined roadside design strategies used for fire presuppression. In addition, a selected group of fire management experts in California were contacted to learn about effective strategies for fire presuppression along roadsides. A literature search supplemented the findings from the survey and consultation with subject matter experts. This search focused on identifying design strategies for fire-resilient roadsides, not the maintenance activities undertaken to reduce fire starts along the roadway, and included domestic in-progress and completed research and other resources from federal, state and other agencies. Findings are presented in this Preliminary Investigation in the following topic areas:

- Current Caltrans practice.
- Survey of practice.
- Consultation with fire management experts.
- Related research and resources.

Current Caltrans Practice

Below are some of the roadside design practices currently in place as part of Caltrans’ fire presuppression program:

- District landscape specialists from the Division of Maintenance routinely engage with local fire officials when developing vegetation control plans. The division is also updating the roadside fire strip width from up to 8 feet to up to 10 feet. Recently the division launched an effort to develop defensible space that will focus on establishing fire breaks through both horizontal and vertical spacing of plant materials.

- Other current design practices already implemented by Caltrans include:
  - Using metal post guardrail in fire-prone areas.
  - Eliminating the use of high-density polyethylene (HDPE) culverts in fire-prone areas.
  - Preventing weed growth under guardrail and around signs, which also increases field crew safety by reducing worker exposure on roadways.
The survey findings presented below will support Caltrans’ evaluation of current fire presuppression practices and allow the agency to expand its design toolbox with other roadside design strategies that maintain safety and limit the costly environmental and infrastructure damage that is the result of fire.

**Survey of Practice**

An online survey was distributed to members of two committees from the American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials (AASHTO):

- Committee on Design.
- Committee on Maintenance.

Survey questions are provided in Appendix A. The full text of survey responses is presented in a supplement to this report.

**Summary of Survey Results**

Respondents representing design and maintenance units from 20 state transportation agencies responded to the survey:

- Alabama.
- Arizona.
- Colorado.
- Connecticut.
- Delaware.
- Florida.
- Idaho.
- Illinois.
- Kansas.
- Maryland.
- Michigan.
- Montana (two responses).
- Nevada (two responses).
- New Mexico.
- North Dakota.
- Oklahoma.
- Pennsylvania.
- Utah.
- Virginia.
- Wisconsin.

Seven respondents from six states—Arizona, Colorado, Florida, Michigan, Nevada and New Mexico—reported that their agencies have developed or adopted roadside design strategies or practices that are intended to make roadsides more resilient to fire. Most of these respondents represented design functional units within their agencies; the respondent from Florida DOT and one respondent from Nevada DOT provided a maintenance perspective.

Respondents from some of the state transportation agencies that have not developed or adopted formal roadside design strategies, including Alabama DOT, noted that fires are not an issue in their states that require implementing fire presuppression strategies. Other respondents from this group described fire-resilient practices; information from these respondents is provided when available.

Below are findings from these state transportation agencies and other state DOTs participating in the survey about roadside fire presuppression strategies and practices. Survey results are summarized in the following topic areas:

- Design strategies.
- Design strategy effectiveness.
- Safety appurtenances.
- Guidance for designing fire-resilient roadsides.
When available, supplementary resources provided by respondents or sourced through a limited literature search are provided at the end of each topic area.

Design Strategies

Respondents addressed the following design strategies for fire presuppression along roadsides in fire-prone areas:

- Vegetation-free zone along the pavement edge.
- Use of inert materials.
- Culvert materials for fire resilience.
- Plant material:
  - Setbacks.
  - Appropriate plant material.
  - Inappropriate plant material.
- Use of a vertical fire break.

Vegetation-Free Zone Along the Pavement Edge

Five states—Arizona, Colorado, Nevada, Oklahoma and Utah—require a vegetation-free zone along the pavement edge. Arizona DOT specifies requirements on a project-by-project basis; Nevada and Oklahoma DOTs define specific minimums, ranging from a 7-foot minimum to a 30-foot clear zone; Colorado DOT implements both practices. Table 1 summarizes survey results.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>State</th>
<th>Project Specific</th>
<th>Minimum Distance</th>
<th>Other</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Arizona</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td>Based on the region and review by landscape architecture unit.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Colorado               | X                | X                |       | • If guardrail exists adjacent to the road edge, weeds are eradicated under and around the structure.  
  • A mow zone of 15 feet (typically) is maintained adjacent to highways. |
| Nevada/Roadway Design  | X                | X                |       | 10-foot minimum from the pavement edge.                                   |
| Nevada/Maintenance     | X                | X                |       | 7-foot minimum.                                                            |
| Oklahoma               | X                | X                |       | 30-foot clear zone.                                                        |
| Utah                   |                  | X                |       | No vegetation on the untreated base course layer.                        |
| Total                  | 2                | 4                | 1     |                                                                            |

Use of Inert Materials

Two state DOTs—Arizona and Nevada (Design)—specify the use of inert materials along roadsides in fire-prone areas. In Arizona, requirements are project-specific based on the region,
a preapproved list of materials and review by the landscape architecture unit. The Nevada DOT Roadway Design Division uses shouldering material and/or rock mulch.

Culvert Materials for Fire Resilience

Among the state agencies responding to the survey, Idaho Transportation Department, Nevada DOT Roadway Design Division and North Dakota DOT specify culvert materials for fire resilience:

- Idaho Transportation Department primarily uses corrugated metal pipe and concrete for new construction. Some of the agency’s maintenance sections no longer use plastic liners to rehabilitate culverts because they are difficult to extinguish when ignited. Instead they replace the culvert with metal or concrete.
- Nevada DOT Roadway Design Division uses reinforced concrete pipe, corrugated metal pipe, and concrete culvert pipe or boxes, where applicable. The agency also uses HDPE pipe that is buried underground and has metal end sections beyond the 10-foot buffer and clear zone requirements.
- North Dakota DOT uses nonflammable material for culvert end treatments.

Plant Material

Setbacks

Five respondents from four states—Arizona, Colorado, Nevada and New Mexico—provided information related to setbacks for plant material along roadsides in fire-prone areas. Arizona and Nevada DOTs have specified minimum distances; Colorado, Nevada and New Mexico DOTs are guided by vegetation or landscaping specifications and practices, not by a specific distance. Table 2 summarizes survey results.

Table 2. Setback Requirements for Plant Material Along Roadsides

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method</th>
<th>State</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Minimum Distance</td>
<td>Arizona, Nevada</td>
<td>Arizona: 30 or 60 feet, depending on the region.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Nevada/Roadway Design: 10-foot buffer from pavement edge.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Nevada/Maintenance: 7 feet.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vegetation/ Landscaping Practices</td>
<td>Colorado, Nevada, New Mexico</td>
<td>Colorado:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Native grass and forb seed mixes are typically specified for roadside revegetation following construction, and not the setback of plant material in fire-prone areas.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Clearing setbacks of woody trees and shrubs is a function of maintenance units, generally for traffic safety and sight line clearance.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Nevada/Roadway Design:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Landscaping (such as trees, shrubs and large boulders) must be outside of the roadway clear zone.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Most beautification efforts are around interchanges.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>New Mexico: Mowing height is specified to deter fires caused by a vehicle’s catalytic converter.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Appropriate Plant Material

Among the states responding to the survey, only the respondents from Arizona and Colorado DOTs provided information about appropriate plant material for use along roadsides in fire-prone areas:

- According to the respondent, Arizona DOT has identified a list of appropriate plant material. (Note: At the time of publication of this report, the respondent had not provided the list.)

- Colorado DOT does not typically specify different plant material for fire-prone areas. Its standard native seed species can be used for roadsides throughout the state. The agency’s native seed database (see Native Seed Calculator in Supporting Documents below) includes criteria for fire tolerance that can be used on projects with site-specific needs. Species in the database are given a rating of low, medium or high for fire tolerance.

Inappropriate Plant Material

Two respondents identified inappropriate plant material for use along roadsides in fire-prone areas: cheatgrass (Idaho) and red cedar in rights of way (Oklahoma). According to the Oklahoma DOT respondent, the invasive nature and combustibility of red cedar make it inappropriate plant material within state rights of way. When feasible, the agency removes red cedar from these areas.

Use of a Vertical Fire Break

Vegetation practices are part of the vertical fire break strategies implemented by Michigan and New Mexico DOTs. In Michigan, vegetation removal is the primary practice, and New Mexico DOT requires lower mowing heights from right of way fence to right of way fence.

The respondent from Arizona DOT reported that while the agency does not use a vertical fire break as a fire presuppression strategy, other agencies involved in Arizona DOT projects (such as the U.S. Forest Service) have used this practice.

Supporting Documents

Colorado


The Native Seed Calculator spreadsheet tool is used to develop native seed mixes for highway projects. This web page provides access to parameters required for creating a list of site-specific plant species, including highway mile and ecozone mile marker points, Natural Resources Conservation Service data about Colorado soil types and the seed calculator tool.

Related Resource:


The CDOT Native Seed Calculator is “a tool to develop a [s]eeding [p]lan [t]able to include in [s]tormwater [m]anagement [p]lan (SWMP) [t]emplates. This guide will help SWMP administrators for Design to develop native seed mixes for diverse ecological zones for
Design Strategy Effectiveness

Using a rating scale of 1 = not at all effective to 5 = extremely effective, respondents from nine states rated the effectiveness of the following design strategies for fire presuppression along roadsides:

- Vegetation-free zones.
- Using inert materials along roadsides.
- Specifying culvert material.
- Specifying a setback for plant material.
- Specifying appropriate plant material.
- Specifying inappropriate plant material.
- Using vertical fire breaks.

Vegetation-free zones was the most frequently rated design strategy; other frequently rated strategies were using inert materials and specifying a setback for plant material. Appropriate plant material was the least rated design strategy, and inappropriate plant material received the lowest rankings. Most of the respondents rated one to three of the design strategies; the Nevada DOT Roadway Design respondent rated four strategies, and the Arizona DOT respondent rated five strategies. Table 3 summarizes survey results.

Table 3. Effectiveness of Fire Presuppression Design Strategies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>State</th>
<th>Vegetation Free Zones</th>
<th>Inert Materials</th>
<th>Culvert Material</th>
<th>Setback for Plant Material</th>
<th>Appropriate Plant Material</th>
<th>Inappropriate Plant Material</th>
<th>Vertical Fire Break</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Arizona</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colorado</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Idaho</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Michigan</td>
<td>N/R</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nevada/Roadway Design</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nevada/Maintenance</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Mexico</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oklahoma</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pennsylvania</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Utah</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

N/A  Not applicable.
N/R  No response.
Other Effective Fire Presuppression Design Strategies

Three additional design strategies are used to reduce roadside fire starts by agencies responding to the survey: frequent mowing, prescribed burns and appropriate plant material for living snow fences. The respondent from Pennsylvania DOT noted the infrequency of burns on state roadsides (one year in the past 30 years, in the respondent’s experience), adding that “[i]n all cases, the fires started in low turfgrasses and burned out before reaching taller vegetation or more fuel.” Similarly, the Wisconsin DOT respondent noted that fire “is not a major concern” for the agency and that presuppression or select vegetation is considered unnecessary. Generally, large-scale fire protection or response is coordinated by the state’s Department of Natural Resources. Table 4 summarizes survey responses.

Table 4. Other Design Strategies and Practices for Fire Presuppression

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method</th>
<th>State</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Living Snow Fence Species Selection</td>
<td>Colorado</td>
<td>Landowners who install living snow fences off the roadway are encouraged to avoid highly flammable species such as Rocky Mountain juniper.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mowing Frequently</td>
<td>Florida, Utah</td>
<td>Florida. Frequent mowing to reduce the effect on wildfires. Utah. Mowing more frequently in fire-prone areas.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prescribed Burns</td>
<td>Kansas</td>
<td>Prescribed burns of the grass in the right of way.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Safety Appurtenances

Some respondents provided details about the guardrail, sign posts and other safety appurtenances installed on roadsides in fire-prone areas. Maryland DOT State Highway Administration and Michigan DOT respondents noted that their states have no designation of fire-prone areas. Information about the safety appurtenances used is summarized in Tables 5 through 7 below.

Guardrail

None of the respondents described guardrail that was unique to fire-prone areas. Idaho Transportation Department installs hardware that is compliant with AASHTO’s Manual for Assessing Safety Hardware (MASH), and Kansas DOT uses wood and steel posts. Arizona, Oklahoma, Pennsylvania and Utah DOTs specify standard safety appurtenances. Colorado DOT typically sprays herbicide under and immediately adjacent to guardrails to suppress weed growth. Table 5 summarizes survey results.

Table 5. Roadside Safety Appurtenances in Fire-Prone Areas: Guardrail

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method</th>
<th>State</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MASH-Approved Hardware</td>
<td>Idaho</td>
<td>N/R</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Method | State | Description
--- | --- | ---
Standard | Arizona, Oklahoma, Pennsylvania, Utah | *Utah.* Standard safety appurtenances as used on other roadways in the state.
Wood and Steel Posts | Kansas | N/R
Other | Colorado | Areas under and immediately adjacent to guardrails are typically sprayed with herbicide to suppress weed growth.

**Sign Posts**

None of the respondents described sign posts that were specified for fire-prone areas. Idaho Transportation Department and Kansas DOT install metal and wood posts. Arizona, Oklahoma, and Pennsylvania DOTs specify standard safety appurtenances. As with guardrail, Colorado DOT implements a practice, typically spraying herbicide under and immediately adjacent to sign posts to suppress weed growth. Table 6 summarizes survey results.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method</th>
<th>State</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Metal and Wood Posts | Idaho, Kansas | *Idaho.* Metal and wood posts. *

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method</th>
<th>State</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>Colorado</td>
<td>Areas under and immediately adjacent to sign posts are typically sprayed with herbicide to suppress weed growth.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Other Devices**

Although designated roadside safety appurtenances for fire-prone areas are infrequent in Connecticut, the survey respondent noted that along nonaccess highways, stand pipes may be used at bridge structures to allow pumping from crossing streets. Colorado DOT relies on maintenance practices that include weed eradication for flammable annual grasses such as cheatgrass (*Bromus tectorum*) in the right of way. The Nevada DOT Roadway Design Division respondent also noted the practice of weed-free clear zones in the state. According to the respondent, Nevada is mostly rural, and most beautification efforts are at interchanges, where decorative rock, sculptures and native plantings are used. Contractors working at these sites are required to submit fire suppression plans that comply with U.S. Forest Service guidelines. Table 7 summarizes survey results.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method</th>
<th>State</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Maintenance Practices</td>
<td>Colorado</td>
<td>Maintenance practices include weed eradication for flammable annual grasses such as cheatgrass (<em>Bromus tectorum</em>) in the right of way.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Guidance for Designing Fire-Resilient Roadsides

None of the respondents provided information about successful projects that installed a fire-resistant roadside.

The respondent from Arizona DOT was the only survey participant who reported that formal, written guidance was available for the design of fire-resilient roadsides. However, at the time of publication of this report, that guidance had not been received. The Colorado DOT respondent reported that to her knowledge, the agency does not have specifications or written guidelines specifically for fire-prone areas, but the agency has provided recommendations and guidelines for post-fire response, mainly for erosion or sediment control and seeding recommendations.

### Consultation With Fire Management Experts

To gain a broader perspective of effective fire presuppression strategies along roadsides, we contacted fire management representatives from California Department of Forestry and Fire Protection (CAL FIRE) and Sierra Pacific Industries. Although we did not receive feedback directly from the initial CAL FIRE contacts, two senior leaders from the organization responded to our inquiry; their comments are summarized below. The Sierra Pacific Industries representative did not respond to requests for information.

**California Department of Forestry and Fire Protection (CAL FIRE)**

**Law Enforcement and Civil Cost Recovery**

Gianni Muschetto, staff chief of Law Enforcement and Civil Cost Recovery at CAL FIRE, provided the following details about various design strategies and practices for fuels reduction projects that incorporate roadways:

**Design Strategies**

- *Vegetation-free zone along the pavement edge.* Although not required, vegetation-free zones and various types of fuel breaks are incorporated as part of the project.

- *Setback for plant material.* A specific setback is not required, however, recommendations are provided if local fire plans are written within specific counties or communities. Typically these recommendations are based on local conditions and historical ignitions in specific areas.

- *Appropriate and inappropriate plant material.* Muschetto referred to the Ready for Wildfire web site (see Supporting Documents below), an educational resource for...
homeowners, noting that the fire-resistant landscaping principles discussed on the web site can be adapted for roadside areas.

- **Use of a vertical fire break.** Both horizontal and vertical breaks and spacing are used in fuels reduction projects. According to Muschetto, all ladder fuels are typically removed below trees, and trees are limbed to a height of 15 feet.

**Design Strategy Effectiveness.** According to Muschetto, the following strategies are considered extremely effective: vegetation-free zones, using inert materials along roadsides, specifying culvert material, specifying a setback for plant material, specifying both appropriate and inappropriate plant material, and using vertical fire breaks. In addition, extended vegetation setbacks are recommended along roadways where steep road grades cause vehicles to accelerate or decelerate.

**Fire-Resilient Roadside Projects.** The Fire Prevention Grant Program, part of California Climate Investments, provides funding for fuels reduction projects that reduce the risk of wildfires to homes and communities in California. The program web site (see Supporting Documents below) includes several grant recipients from fiscal year 2019-2020 that conducted fuels reduction projects along roadsides.

**Guidance for Designing Fire-Resilient Roadsides.** CAL FIRE provides project-specific recommendations when local fire plans or fuels reduction projects are implemented.

**Shasta County Fire Department**

Johnathon Zulliger, battalion chief of Law Enforcement and Fire Prevention at CAL FIRE, provided some insight about the causes of fires along roads in Shasta and Trinity counties. Zulliger regularly responds to all types of fires in these counties and conducts origin-and-cause fire investigations.

According to Zulliger, the Shasta–Trinity Unit responds to approximately 200 wildland fires each year. In 2019 approximately 22 vegetation fires occurred that were caused by a vehicular mechanical failure, most frequently tire and drivetrain failures or failing exhaust systems that emit glowing hot internal parts, typically from catalytic converters. In 2018, the Carr Fire in Shasta County started from a blown trailer tire (the tire rim scraped across the paved road surface, creating sparks that landed in the dry grass along the roadway).

In Zulliger’s experience, most roadside fires start within 10 feet of the paved road surface. Dry grass is the most susceptible wildland fuel for starting fires. To effectively reduce roadside vegetation fires, he recommended clearing vegetation to bare mineral soil 10 feet away from the paved roadside edge.

**Supporting Documents**


This presentation addresses the interagency stewardship of California roads and forests to prioritize fuels reduction projects and provide safe access to state communities. Slide 19 presents California’s fire plan:

- Identify assets at risk.
- Land use planning.
- Use of wildland fire protection plans.
- Defensible space standards.
- Multijurisdictional fire and fuels management.
- Plan for fire suppression resources.
- Post-fire recovery and rehabilitation of natural landscapes.

Slides 8 through 11 address efforts to prioritize highway corridors in need of fuels reduction. Slide 35 lists the proposed fuels reduction strategies for 2020 through 2030. Other topics include funding, trade-offs and challenges in fuels reduction.

**Fire Prevention Grant Program**, California Climate Investments, California Department of Forestry and Fire Protection, undated.  
https://www.fire.ca.gov/grants/fire-prevention-grants/

The Fire Prevention Grant Program awards funding to cities, counties, fire districts, Native American tribes and other nonprofits for fuels reduction projects that reduce the risk of wildfires to homes and communities in California. The grant program is part of California Climate Investments, a statewide program to reduce greenhouse gas emissions, strengthen the state economy, and improve public health and the environment, particularly in low-income and disadvantaged communities. In fiscal year 2019-2020, 55 local fire prevention projects (https://www.fire.ca.gov/media/10803/cal-fire-california-climate-investments-awarded-grants-fy19-20-03062020.pdf) received funding for hazardous fuels reductions (including numerous projects along public and tribal roads), wildfire preparedness planning and fire prevention education.

**Ready for Wildfire**, California Department of Forestry and Fire Protection, undated.  
https://www.readyforwildfire.org/

This wildfire preparedness web site targets California landowners and other residential stakeholders. Included on the site are action steps to prepare for and prevent wildfire, and to repair and restore residential property after a wildfire.
Related Research and Resources

An examination of domestic in-progress and completed research sought information about efforts by transportation and other agencies to design fire-resilient roads. The literature search uncovered minimal guidance on this topic. The findings are organized below in two topic areas:

- Vegetation management.
- Wildfire mitigation.

Vegetation Management

National Guidance


From the executive summary:

Fire management can help maintain natural habitats, increase forage for wildlife, reduce fuel loads that might otherwise lead to catastrophic wildfire, and maintain natural succession. Today, there is an emerging challenge that fire managers need to be aware of: invasive plants. Fire management activities can create ideal opportunities for invasions by nonnative plants, potentially undermining the benefits of fire management actions.

This manual provides practical guidelines that fire managers should consider with respect to invasive plants.

Section VI (beginning on page 16 of the report, page 21 of the PDF) presents fuels management treatments and best management practices for minimizing the potential for plant invasions.

State Guidance

Multiple States

Response of Six Non-Native Plant Species to Wildfires in the Northern Rocky Mountains, USA, Dennis E. Ferguson and Christine Craig, Rocky Mountain Research Station, Forest Service, U.S. Department of Agriculture, 2010.
https://www.fs.fed.us/rm/pubs/rmrs_rp078.pdf

From the abstract: This paper presents early results on the response of six non-native invasive plant species to eight wildfires on six National Forests (NFs) in the northern Rocky Mountains, USA. Stratified random sampling was used to choose 224 stands based on burn severity, habitat type series, slope steepness, stand height, and stand density. Data for this report are from 219 stands (875 plots) that have repeated measures 1 to 7 years post-fire. Six invasive plant species are abundant enough to analyze for early indications of response to burning. Spotted knapweed occurrence is highest on Douglas-fir and ponderosa pine habitat types on the Bitterroot NF. Canada thistle occurs on most of the sampled wildfires but at low occurrences and percent plot coverage. Bull thistle has rapid increases on the Bitterroot, Flathead, Kootenai and Malheur NFs, generally with increasing occurrence at higher burn severities, but average percent coverage is low. Orange hawkweed has low occurrences (<5 percent) and never more than 1 percent coverage on a plot. Meadow hawkweed has its highest occurrence on the Bitterroot NF in low burn severities on Douglas-fir and ponderosa pine habitat types. Prickly lettuce is found on most NFs, the highest occurrences being on the Malheur and Panhandle
NFs, with increasing occurrence at higher burn severities; however, average percent cover of prickly lettuce is low. Populations of the six species (especially spotted knapweed, bull thistle, and prickly lettuce) need continued monitoring to determine if occurrence and cover continue to change.

California


From the blog post: When wildfires burn in California, people often call them forest fires or brushfires, but the odds are high that an invasive weed is an unrecognized fuels component, says a [University of California (UC)] Agriculture and Natural Resources scientist.

“We have all of the nasty non-native Bromus species here in California, and these weeds are key drivers of increasing fire frequency,” said Travis Bean, UC Cooperative Extension weed science specialist based at UC Riverside.

The invasive, non-native Bromus species aggressively outcompete native plants, forming dense stands that grow fast and dry out quickly, becoming highly flammable. Fire can move rapidly through these dense patches of dry grass, especially during windy conditions or on slopes.

“When you have understory of dry Bromus or other weedy grasses, their ease of ignition can allow fire to spread from areas like roadsides where ignition sources are plentiful to more pristine native plant communities,” Bean said. “Additionally, these fast-moving fires can throw embers that allow the fire to jump long distances or even reach high into the air, igniting structures.”

Idaho

Project in Progress: Integration of Weed-Suppressive Bacteria With Herbicides to Reduce Exotic Annual Grasses and Wildfire Problems on ITD Right-of-Ways, Idaho Transportation Department, start date: October 1, 2019; expected completion date: October 31, 2021.

Project description at https://trid.trb.org/view/1671384

From the project description: Exotic annual grasses continue to expand along Idaho’s highways, negatively affecting roadside vegetation efforts, increasing wildfire occurrence and creating a need for greater use of herbicides and tillage. Example species include cheatgrass (Bromus tectorum L.), medusahead (Taeniatherum caput-medusae L.) and North-Africa wiregrass (Ventanata dubious L.). Exotic annual grasses easily disperse seed and readily establish in disturbed areas, such as where road construction or improvements have disturbed vegetation cover and soil. Their persistence along roadsides increases overall costs of roadside management and leads to poor establishment of desirable seeded plant species. The resulting increases in fire ignitions and soil destabilization, along with corresponding water or wind erosion (dust storms), create major highway hazards in Idaho. This study will build upon a previous study (ITD Research Project RP 258) to evaluate the feasibility of using a [w]eed-[s]uppressive [b]acteria (Strain ACK55) to control cheatgrass on Idaho roadsides and to provide recommendations for integrating the use of the [w]eed-[s]uppressive [b]acteria into the department’s roadside management practices.
The objectives of this project include:

1. [B]uilding upon previous ITD research on the use of [w]eed-[s]uppressive [b]acteria (WSB) (ITD Research Project RP 258) by:
   a. [C]ollecting additional data on the impact of the WSB on test plots included in the previous study to better assess the long-term performance of the WSB.
   b. [E]stablishing new experimental plots testing the effectiveness of WSB on target (i.e., exotic annual grasses) and non-target species with and without pre-mowing or co-application of herbicides or drill seeding.

2. [D]eveloping best practice and an integrated vegetation management plan for future utilization of the bacterium.

Related Resource:

Weed-Suppressive Soil Bacteria to Reduce Cheatgrass and Improve Vegetation Diversity on ITD Rights-of-Way, Ann Kennedy, Idaho Transportation Department, June 2017.
https://rosap.ntl.bts.gov/view/dot/34952

The research in this study, which evaluated the use of weed-suppressive bacteria (Strain ACK55) to control cheatgrass on Idaho roadsides, is the basis for the project in progress described in the previous citation.

**Wildfire Mitigation**

**National Guidance**


While the focus is primarily on best practices for wildfire safety in communities, this web page offers numerous tools and resources that are designed to help planners and state and local officials mitigate wildfire.


From the document:

**Audience:** The intended audience for this Job Aid is state, tribal and local governments; emergency managers; and first responders. This audience does not have an in-depth technical background or experience with bioengineering techniques pertaining to wildfire mitigation. The audience may consider incorporating bioengineered wildfire mitigation techniques into their hazard mitigation planning or implementing these techniques with the Federal Emergency Management Agency’s (FEMA) Hazard Mitigation Assistance (HMA) planning and project grants. Further, the audience may consider using HMA funded planning-related activities to advance certain elements of the hazard mitigation plan,
integrate information with other planning efforts, build capabilities, or evaluate adoption and/or implementation of codes and ordinances. As appropriate, HMA funded activities should be coordinated with activities funded under other FEMA programs, such as Public Assistance (PA) and other federal grant programs to make effective use of federal funds.

**Definition:** Bioengineered wildfire mitigation uses aspects of the natural environment to mitigate the risk of wildfire to the community, including residential and commercial property, utilities and infrastructure. While various wildfire mitigation methods exist, this Job Aid addresses methods applicable to the relevant HMA programs (i.e., Hazard Mitigation Grant Program (HMGP) and Pre-Disaster Mitigation (PDM)).
CTC contacted the individuals below to gather information for this investigation.

## Contacts

### State Agencies

**Alabama**
Steven Walker  
Bureau Chief, Design Bureau  
Alabama Department of Transportation  
334-242-6488, walkers@dot.state.al.us

**Arizona**
Bill Fay  
Construction Group  
Arizona Department of Transportation  
602-712-7323, bfay@azdot.gov

**Colorado**
Susan Suddjian  
Landscape Specialist, Landscape Architecture  
Colorado Department of Transportation  
831-713-8647, susan.suddjian@state.co.us

**Connecticut**
Scott Hill  
Assistant Chief Engineer, Bureau of Engineering and Construction  
Connecticut Department of Transportation  
860-594-3150, scott.hill@ct.gov

**Delaware**
Thad McIlvaine  
Resource Engineer, Design  
Delaware Department of Transportation  
302-760-2349, thad.mcilvaine@delaware.gov

**Florida**
Jon Heller  
Program Manager, Office of Maintenance  
Florida Department of Transportation  
850-410-5638, jon.heller@dot.state.fl.us

**Idaho**
Marc Danley  
Design/Traffic Services  
Idaho Transportation Department  
208-334-8024, marc.danley@itd.idaho.gov

**Illinois**
Amy Eller  
Engineer, Operations  
Illinois Department of Transportation  
217-782-7231, amy.eller@illinois.gov

**Kansas**
Clay Adams  
Chief, Maintenance  
Kansas Department of Transportation  
785-296-3233, clay.adams@ks.gov

**Maryland**
Michael Michalski  
Director, Office of Maintenance  
Maryland Department of Transportation  
State Highway Administration  
410-582-5505, mmichalski@mdot.maryland.gov

**Michigan**
Jeff Bokovoy  
Design/Landscape Architecture  
Michigan Department of Transportation  
517-355-4425, bokovoyj@michigan.gov

**Montana**
James Combs  
Highway Engineer, Engineering Division  
Montana Department of Transportation  
406-788-2560, jcombs@mt.gov

Produced by CTC & Associates LLC
Susan McEachern
DES Coordinator and Budget Manager, Maintenance Division
Montana Department of Transportation
406-444-6153, smceachern@mt.gov

Nevada
Anita Bush
Chief Maintenance and Asset Management Engineer
Nevada Department of Transportation
775-888-7856, abush@dot.nv.gov

Samantha Dowd
Assistant Roadway Design Chief
Nevada Department of Transportation
775-888-7591, sdowd@dot.nv.gov

New Mexico
William Hutchinson
Landscape Architect, Roadside Environment
New Mexico Department of Transportation
505-795-1275, williams.hutchinson@state.nm.us

North Dakota
Kirk Hoff
Design Engineer
North Dakota Department of Transportation
701-328-4403, khoff@nd.gov

Oklahoma
Caleb Austin
Engineer, Roadway Design Division
Oklahoma Department of Transportation
405-204-3414, caustin@odot.org

CAL FIRE
Gianni Muschetto
Staff Chief, Law Enforcement/Civil Cost Recovery
CAL FIRE
916-653-6031, gianni.muschetto@fire.ca.gov

Johnathon Zulliger
Battalion Chief, Law Enforcement and Fire Prevention
CAL FIRE/Shasta County Fire Department
530-448-2420, johnathan.zulliger@fire.ca.gov

Pennsylvania
Joseph Demko
Roadside Manager, Bureau of Maintenance and Operations
Pennsylvania Department of Transportation
717-783-9453, jodemko@pa.gov

Utah
Kendall Draney
State Engineer, Maintenance
Utah Department of Transportation
801-864-7876, kdraney@utah.gov

Virginia
Brian Waymack
State Roadside Manager, Maintenance
Virginia Department of Transportation
804-786-0976, brian.waymack@vdot.virginia.gov

Wisconsin
David Stertz
Chief Design Oversight and Standards Engineer
Wisconsin Department of Transportation
608-267-9641, david.stertz@dot.wi.gov
Appendix A: Survey Questions

The following survey was distributed to members of two American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials (AASHTO) committees:

- Committee on Design.
- Committee on Maintenance.

Roadside Design Strategies for Fire Presuppression

1. Has your agency developed or adopted roadside design strategies or practices that are intended to make roadsides more resilient to fire?
   - No
   - Yes

2. Does your agency require a vegetation-free zone along the pavement edge?
   - No
   - Yes (please identify the width of this zone and when it is required)

3. Does your agency specify the use of inert materials along roadsides in fire-prone areas?
   - No
   - Yes (please describe the material used and when it is required)

4. Does your agency specify culvert materials for fire resilience?
   - No
   - Yes (please describe the material used and when it is required)

5. Does your agency specify a setback for plant material along roadsides in fire-prone areas?
   - No
   - Yes (please describe the setback and when it is required)

6. Has your agency identified a list of appropriate plant material for use along roadsides in fire-prone areas?
   - No
   - Yes (please provide this list)

7. Has your agency identified a list of inappropriate plant material for use along roadsides in fire-prone areas?
   - No
   - Yes (please provide this list)

8. Has your agency employed the use of a vertical fire break as a means of fire presuppression along roadsides?
   - No
   - Yes (please describe this type of fire break)

9. Please rate the effectiveness of the following strategies your agency has used as a fire presuppression practice along roadsides using the rating scale of 5 = extremely effective to 1 = not at all effective.
   - Vegetation-free zones
   - Using inert materials along roadsides
   - Specifying culvert material
   - Specifying a setback for plant material
• Specifying appropriate plant material
• Specifying inappropriate plant material
• Using vertical fire breaks

10. Please describe any other strategies or practices your agency uses to reduce fire starts along the roadside. Include in your description your assessment of the effectiveness of these strategies.

11. Please describe the safety appurtenances your agency installs on roadsides in fire-prone areas.
   • Guardrail
   • Sign posts
   • Other (please describe)

12. Does your agency have plans, specifications and estimates you can provide for successful projects that installed a fire-resilient roadside?
   • No
   • Yes (Please provide links to documents or send any files not available online to carol.rolland@ctcandassociates.com.)

13. Has your agency developed formal, written guidance for the design of fire-resilient roadsides?
   • No
   • Yes (Please provide links to documents or send any files not available online to carol.rolland@ctcandassociates.com.)

Wrap-Up

Please use this space to provide any comments or additional information about your previous responses.