

FTA Traditional Section 5310 Enhanced Mobility of Seniors and Individuals with Disabilities

# Quantitative Scoring & Project Rating Worksheets

### CONTENTS

		Pg	Maximum Points
Section I	Ability of Applicant	2	32
Section II	Coordination Planning Coordinated Plan Requirements (12 pts)	3	18
	Coordination - Use of Vehicles/Equipment (6 points)		
Section III	Transportation Service		20
	Replacement	4	
	Service Expansion	5	
	Other Equipment	6	
Section IV	Service Effectiveness	7	30
	Project Scoring Form	8	
	Maximum Total Per Requested I	Project	100

Scored by:	(RTPA Name and Phone Number)
Agency sub	mitting Application:
Signature of	Person Verifying Eligibility of Applicant and Scoring

Quantitative Scoring & Project Rating (See Application Part III – Pg. 15-18) Evidence of an applicant's experience and history of providing efficient and effe

ective transit services.	<b>SECTION – I</b> Ability of Applicant
	Score
services for elderly	

	<b>7</b> ,
<b>1a.</b> Applicant has experience providing existing specialized transportation services for elderly	
Applicant has experience providing existing specialized transportation services for elderly or individuals with disabilities for:	
More than 5 years = 4	
3 to 5 years = 3	
1 to < 3 years = 2	
Less than 1 year = 0	
OR	
1b.	
Applicant has experience in providing social services (non-transportation) for elderly or individuals with disabilities:	
Applicant demonstrates support from the local RTPA or CTSA (attach letter) = 2	
And applicant has provided social services for	
More than 3 years = 2	
1 to 3 years = 1	
Less than 1 year = 0	
, <u> </u>	
Scoring criteria for the following questions:	
0 = Does not address question	
<ul> <li>1 = Addresses question without attaching relevant documentation.</li> <li>2 = Addresses question completely and attaches relevant documentation</li> </ul>	
2. Driver training program:	
New and continuing driver training, including classroom and road testing = 2	
Sensitivity Training, Emergency Preparedness, First Aid, and CPR = 2	
3. Dispatching Plan:	
Description of dispatching plan with ongoing training = 2	
4. Maintenance plan including the following: Daily Pre- and post- trip inspection description with inspection forms = 2	
Preventative & routine maintenance description, with maintenance schedule & forms = 2	
Contingency plans for when equipment is not available for service = 2	
5. California Highway Patrol (CHP) Inspections	
Inclusion of satisfactory CHP or Caltrans inspection or documentation that such an inspection is not required = 2	
Annual Budget/Fund Sources:	
<b>6</b> . Agency describes other funding received or why other funding is not available = 2	
<b>7</b> . Qualified audit for agency included with no instances of non-compliance = 2	
Emergency Operations and Response Planning:	
<b>8.</b> Emergency planning and drill activities, and county coordination. = 2	
9. Identified available accessible vehicles (including capacity) to the	
county for use in emergency evacuations. = 2 Proposed Budget for Transportation Program:	
<b>10</b> . All sources of estimated income are identified for proposed project. = 2	
<b>11.</b> Budget for applicant agency includes prior, current, and budget year. = 2	
<b>12</b> . Appropriate funding source for local match is identified. = 2	
Total Points Maximum 32	

#### **Quantitative Scoring & Project Rating**

(See Application Part III – Pg. 19 and 20)

#### SECTION – II Coordination Planning

- 0 Does not address question and/or does not include Coordinated Plan section or page number
- 3 Addresses question & indicated Coordinated Plan section and/or page number

#### **COORDINATED PLAN REQUIREMENTS** Maximum 12 points (3 points per question)

*Element 1:* An assessment of available services that identifies current transportation providers (public, private, and non-profit).

1. Generally describes available non-profit, public transit or Paratransit, including fixed route, dial-a-ride, and ADA complementary Paratransit services as contained in the Coordinated Plan by section and/or page number.

**Element 2**: An assessment of transportation needs for individuals with disabilities, older adult. This assessment can be based on the experiences and perceptions of the planning partners or on more sophisticated data collection efforts, and gaps in service.

2. Describes transportation needs of individuals with disabilities or elderly individuals to be served by the proposed project as contained in the Coordinated Plan by section and/or page number.

*Element 3:* Strategies, activities, and/or projects to address the identified gaps between current services and needs, as well as opportunities to achieve efficiencies in service delivery.

 Identifies coordination strategies activities and/or efficiencies by name. Accurately describes <u>how this</u> project addresses strategies, activities and/or efficiencies. Includes section and/or page number of Coordinated Plan.

*Element 4:* Priorities for implementation based on resources (from multiple program sources), time, and feasibility for implementing specific strategies and/or activities identified.

4. Identifies the Coordinated Plan's implementation priorities. Accurately describes <u>how this project</u> <u>addresses them</u>. Includes section and/or page number of Coordinated Plan.

#### Total Planning Score Maximum 12

**COORDINATION – USE OF VEHICLES/EQUIPMENT** Maximum 6 points (3 points each) (See Application Part III – Pg. 21) Verify required letters are attached for 1 and 2 or 3.

· `	Clearly describes how vehicles, equipment or services in agency's <b>existing</b> fleet are used to provide coordinated service for another agency's clients or how these vehicles are shared with another agency(s).	
2.	Clearly describes plan for coordinating use of <b>requested</b> vehicle(s) or equipment. (1 point per type of coordination or sharing of resources, up to 3 points.) Examples: • Shared use of vehicles • Dispatching or scheduling • Maintenance • Back up transportation • Staff training programs • Joint procurement of services and supplies from funding sources other than Section 5310 • Active participation in local social service transportation planning process • Coordination of client trip(s) with other transportation agencies • Other – please describe	
	OR	
3.	Clearly identifies attempts the agency has made to coordinate. Explains why coordinating isn't possible. Provides supporting documentation letter from CTSA or RTPA confirming that no opportunities for coordination currently exist for requested equipment.	
	Total Coordination of Vehicles Score Maximum 6	

#### **Quantitative Scoring & Project Rating**

#### SECTION – III Existing Transportation Services

(See Application Part III – Pg. 22 Existing Services)

**REPLACEMENT –** Vehicles to be replaced that are currently in Active Service.

TYPE OF VEHICLE EXISTING VEHICLE MILES AND AGE		SCORE
	175,000 to 200,000 or 8 years	20
	150,000 to 174,999 or 7 years	15
Minivan, Modified Van	125,000 to 149,999 or 6 years	10
	100,00 to 124,999 or 5 years	5
	Less than 100,000 miles or 4 years old not eligible	0
	225,000 - 250,000 or 9 years	20
	200,000 – 224,999 or 8 years	15
Bus Type I, IA, IB, II, III	175,000 – 199,999 or 7 years	10
	150,000 – 174,999 or 6 years	5
	Less than 150,000 or 5 years not eligible	0
	275,000 – 300,000 or 11 years	20
	250,000 – 274,999 or 10 years	15
Bus Type VII	225,000 – 249,999 or 9 years	10
	200,000 – 224,999 or 8 years	5
	Less than 200,000 or 7 years not eligible	0
	425,000 – 449,999 or 14 years	20
	400,000 – 424,999 or 13 years	15
Bus Type VIII	375,000 – 399,999 or 12 years	10
	350,000 – 374,999 or 11 years	5
	Less than 350,000 or 10 years not eligible	0

#### **VEHICLE USEFUL LIFE CRITERIA**

**Replacement:** Determination that an applicant's vehicle needs to be replaced in order to continue its existing transportation services. For each new vehicle requested a vehicle currently in **active service** will be removed and sold or placed into backup service. Sedans and SUV's are no longer eligible as replacement vehicles. **Active Service:** Vehicle is providing service throughout the agency's normal days and hours of operation. **Excessive Maintenance:** Vehicle does not meet minimum useful life but needs to be replaced due to excessive maintenance. Requests must have prior approval from Branch Chief of the Elderly and Disabled Specialized Transit Program.

Use the chart below to score each replacement vehicle. Maximum 20 points each					
Type of Vehicle	VIN - last 5 numbers	Disposition: Sell or Backup	Mileage	Age	Score

\* If requesting new system (base station and mobile radios) score under **Other Equipment**.

(See Application Part III – Pg. 23 Proposed Services)

NEW OR SERVICE EXPANSION – Determination that requested additional equipment would be fully utilized (days and hours, passenger trips, service area) including usage of vehicle by another agency through a coordination plan.

#### Use the chart below to score each new or service expansion vehicle.

Round to the nearest whole number.

Score

Projected service hours per week to be provided with requested vehicle will increase total existing service hours by:			
> 38 = 7 points	27 to 29 = 3		
36 to 38 = 6			
33 to 35 = 5			
30 to 32 = 4			
AND Projected number of dai	ly one-way <b>Passenger Trips</b> divided by Proposed total vehicle	e service hours:	
> 7 per service hour = $7$	points $4 = 3$		
7 = 6	3 = 2		
6 = 5	2 = 1		
5 = 4	< 2 per service hour = 0 points		
AND Projected number of miles for proposed vehicle per day is:			
> 105 miles per vehicle =	6 points		
91 to 105 = 5	46 to 60 = 2		
76 to 90 = 4	30  to  45 = 1		
61 to 75 = 3	< 30 miles per vehicle = 0 points		
011075 - 3			

Maximum 20 Points

Proposed New or SE Vehicle	Total Score Each Vehicle

(See Application Part III – Pg. 24 Other Equipment)

# OTHER EQUIPMENT - Determination that ancillary equipment will provide critical support to the applicant's transportation program.

#### Use the chart below to score each equipment request.

Criteria	Points	Score
1. Equipment will coordinate fleet of <b>10 or more</b> vehicles (pg 23-24) 10 9 8 7 6 5 4	15 13 11 9 7 5 3	
1-3 vehicles	1	

2. Applicant is currently using manual system for scheduling, vehicle tracking, etc. And/or has no dispatch communication equipment. (Application page 14)	5	
OR		
3. Applicant needs to replace inadequate equipment to improve efficiency. (Application page 14)		
More than 5 years 3 to 5 years Less than 3 years	5 3 0	
	Total(Maximum 20)	

**Other Equipment:** - Computer system, Software, Maintenance equipment, Communication system or other.

Des	cribe and Score <b>each</b> request	Maximum Points 20		
	Equipment Requested	Score		

### **Quantitative Scoring Criteria & Project Rating**

(See Application Part III – Pg.22, 23 Transportation Services)

Determination that existing fleet is fully utilized (days and hours, passenger trips and service area) including usage of vehicle(s) by another agency through a coordination plan.

Roui	nd to the nearest whole number.								
Existing transportation provider: Total service hours per week divided by number of									
vehicles (excluding vehicles in back up service):									
OR									
First-time transportation provider: Total projected service hours per week divided by									
number of vehicles (excluding vehicles in back up service):									
	Over 36 hours per week = $10$	27 to 28 = 5							
	35 to 36 = 9	25  to  26 = 4							
	33 to 34 = 8 31 to 32 = 7	23 to 24 = 3 20 to 22 = 2							
	31  to  32 = 7 29 to 30 = 6	0.19 hours per week = 0							
	29 10 30 - 0	0-19 hours per week - 0							
AND	Existing transportation provider: Sum of the total one-way passenger trips per								
	day divided by total service hours per day ( <u>excluding backup service</u> ):								
	OR								
	First-time transportation provider: Projected number of daily one-way passenger								
	trips divided by total vehicle service hours:								
	Over 8 passengers per service hour = $10$	3 to 4 = 4 1 to 2 = 2							
	7 to 8 = 8 5 to 6 = 6	no passenger per service hour = $0$							
	5 10 0 - 0	no passenger per service nour – o							
AND	<b>D</b> Existing transportation provider: Total miles per day divided by number of								
	vehicles ( <u>excluding backup vehicles</u> ):								
	OR								
	First-time transportation provider: Projected number of miles for requested vehicle per day:								
	Over 102 miles per vehicle = 10	55 to 62 = 4	SCORE						
	95 to 102 = 9	47  to  54 = 3							
	87 to 94 = 8	39 to 46 = 2							
	79 to 86 = 7	30 to 38 = 1							
	71 to 78 = 6	0-29 miles per vehicle = 0							
	63 to 70 = 5								
Addit	tional Points Possible -Total cannot exceed								
	Existing transportation provider: Current	wheelchair/lift users as a percentage of							
	current total users:								
OR									
New or expanded transportation provider: Projected wheelchair/lift users as a									
percentage of current total users: Mare then $CE^{0/2} = 10^{-10}$									
	More than 65% = 10 61 to 65% = 9	41 to 45% = 5 36 to 40% = 4							
	61005% = 9 56 to $60\% = 8$	36 10 40% = 4 31 to $35\% = 3$							
	50 10 00% - 8 51 to 55% = 7	311035% - 3 26 to $30\% = 2$							
	46  to  50% = 6	20 to 30 % = 2 20 to 25% = 1							
		0-19% = 0							
		Total Score							
Maximum 30									

## Project Rating Worksheet

Agency:		RTP/	RTPA:						
	Project Request	If Replacement Vehicle - VIN	Sect 1 (Max 32pts)	Sect II (Max 18pts)	Sect III (Max 20pts)	Sect IV (Max 30pts)	Total (Max 100pts)		
1									
2									
3									
4									
5									
6									
7									
8									
9									
10									
11									
12									
13									