Meeting started with agenda review, and the followings were discussed:

1. Action Items from 1/17/2019 Annual SP+SC+EC meeting:
   I. EC recommended to include name of responsible persons in all action items. This will make clear that who should take lead to accomplish action items.
   II. EC members discussed all the action items and agreed to address at EC level or direct Task Groups to address action items except Item “Caltrans work outside PMPC for tracking used recycled concrete aggregates (RCA)” which needs more clarification about purpose and mechanism of data collection from the Districts.
   III. EC members discussed on developing RAS scoping document for use of RAS in asphalt concrete pavements but could not decide whether to make separate scoping document or combine with the existing RAP scoping document. Use of RAP 40% in asphalt concrete is in the high priority and EC believe that making a combined RAS and RAP scoping document will delay delivery of Rap 40% Work Product. EC decided to continue with the existing scoping document RAP 40% till Sergio Aceves meets with higher management and gets direction on RAS.

2. CTG Monthly Status Reports:
   EC members reviewed CTG Monthly Status reports of Work Products and found all the projects on schedule. The CTG discussed whether crushed concrete aggregate (CCA) is within the scope of the recycled concrete aggregate (RCA) scoping document, titled “Recycled Crushed Concrete Aggregate for Use in Cast In-Placed Concrete Pavement.”

3. New Scoping Document:
   I. EC members reviewed and approved the proposed scoping document “Specification for Concrete Pavements with Limited Construction Windows.”
   II. EC members recommended to revise the proposed FDR-Cement scoping document and include pre-job investigation and guidelines for design engineer.

4. Open Discussion:
   I. There was discussion about the appropriate extent of PMPC activities. While it makes sense to have outside input on specifications and test methods development, but does it also make sense to have outside input on programmatic areas? Should the implementation part be separate from the scoping document?
      a. Others noted the Work Product (WP) should be street ready. The implementation of WP should be reinforced with the trainings and programing as Caltrans Districts may not use or not be interested even though Caltrans and Industry work hand in hand to develop WP.
      b. It was also noted that the specifications are available to use but the pertaining construction items may not be included in programs which impede implementation of the specifications.
II. The CA Nevada Cement Association may organize a cement plant tour.

III. Briefed about proposed AB 966 (Bonta), which pertains to cement plant emissions. Also, the Coalition for Sustainable Cement Manufacturing & Environment has issued a report to rebut recent negative reports about cement manufacturing emissions.

IV. CalAPA is following Caltrans model on sustainable construction and working in collaboration with Air Quality Management Districts.

V. In addition to labor groups, there has been push back from the consultant community on the joint training and certification program (JTCP) as sending employees for the certification requires absence of employees and additional cost.

VI. Bin List:
   a. One suggestion is for Task Groups (TGs) to have methodology for prioritizing the proposed WPs and communicate with Sub Task Groups (STGs) pertaining to the resources and workloads.
   b. EC members made decision to have single bin list for each Task Group.

VII. Out of PMPC:
   a. Discussed that the Test Turnaround work group has not met in a awhile. Caltrans will discuss. Industry will also check back with members on this.
   b. Discussed whether the annual meeting (Jan. 17) with contractors, Steering Committee, and Sponsors achieved its objective, since the major contractor groups did not attend.

5. Action Items:
   I. Include name of person responsible for action items (Deepak Maskey).
   II. Talk to higher management for RAS scoping document (Sergio Aceves).
   III. TGs should make single bin list with the priority (Tom Pyle, Keith Hoffman).
   IV. Get information on test turnaround time issue for next meeting (Russ Snyder, Charley Rea).