PMPC Asphalt Task Group (TG 3+4) Meeting Minutes Date: July 6, 2021 Time: 1:00 PM – 3:00 PM Location: Webex

Facilitator: Tom Pyle Attendees: Scott Dmytrow, Pat Imhoff, Douglas Mason, Jeremy Peterson-Self, Tom Pyle, Tony Limas (for Phil Reader), Ken Solak, Chu Wei, Jacquelyn Wong, Kelly Lorah

Not in Attendance: Dennis McElroy

- 1. Introductions/Review Agenda
- 2. Review Past Action Items (see action items at the bottom of the minutes)
- 3. Introductory Urgent Issues
 - Asphalt Rubber Blending MPQP (prior meeting agenda addition)
 - a. Ken It could be related to the 1-hopper, 2-hopper issue. We haven't done anything to change the MQPQ or the specifications.
 - Industry Comments on CT 125/306 (Phil / Jeremy)
 - a. Jeremy There are a lot of comments coming in. I want to propose bringing a WG back together to go through the comments.
 - b. Tony There were comments about the temperature, blade height, blade stiffener, cutting the box appropriately, etc. that were addressed by the revision. The current concern is how 125 interacts with 306.
 - Pilot Project Tracker Sheet (Jeremy) Edits were made directly on sheet
 - Binder Content Pay Equation: Developing a Scoping Document (Tom) & Discussion of Resources from METS and Construction (Tom)
 - a. Tom These are related to the discussions we had regarding the SD vs DD.
- 4. Section 39 High RAP
 - a. Tony There are no high RAP projects at the moment to my knowledge.
 - b. Jeremy The update is that there is no update. They're still waiting on pilot projects.
 - c. Tony Parwaz is still looking for High RAP by CCO.
 - d. Jeremy The pilot project tracker should help with this. Do you think we have suppliers that are willing to try this or are the changes too radical?
 - e. Tony We attempted one by CCO, but the RE said no.
 - f. Jeremy So this needs to get into an actual project?
 - g. Tony Yes. They aren't CCO friendly.

- h. Jeremy Any suggestions?
- i. Tony If they're put out to bid, they will be bid on.
- 5. Evaluate new HMA Pavement Smoothness
 - a. Ken I recommend we remove this item. The final report has been published and sent out.
 - Congratulations team on the completion of this item!
 - b. Doug The report needs to be sent to the EC.
 - c. Jeremy Should we be reading the report and sending feedback?
 - d. Ken The final report email sent by Allen seems to not need feedback.
- 6. RAS up to 3%
 - a. Tony One project is out for bid.
 - b. Tom The project is in construction.
- 7. Section 37 Update
 - a. Scott There were changes made by the OE and they're working on implementing the changes.
- 8. Post Plant Gradation
 - a. Jeremy There was a discrepancy on expectations on the pilot projects and their outcomes.
 - b. Tony One project probably went out.
 - c. Jeremy We need to define what the final product should look like.
- 9. CCPR Update
 - a. Jeremy Kick off occurred with an extension.
 - b. Ken They have a meeting coming up tomorrow. There may be 8 members on the team. Does anyone have issue with the MPQP coordinator joining the meetings?
 - c. Doug No. SMEs are always welcome to join groups as guests.
- 10. RAP in RHMA-G
 - a. Jeremy We're still working through initial mixes. We're working in parallel with developing the nSSP and doing the mix design testing. We need to account for the data developed from the testing.
 - b. Tony We can have the data separated out between the lab testing and the pilot project.
- 11.EC Updates for ATG
 - a. Doug We had some scoping documents from the CTG side that didn't have a final report milestone. We may have a change in the SOP to include a final report in the scoping document.
 - b. Doug Sponsor and Steering Committee meeting is next week. We may need a refresher course on the SOP and communication. This has been evolved into a two-day event.
- 12. Review bin lists

13. Roundtable / Review Action Items / Next Meeting

14.Feedback

Action Items from 07/06/21:

- Assemble a group to go over the comments regarding CT 125 & 306; CT to propose 3-4 members, industry to propose 3-4 members – <u>Pat/Jeremy</u> – 08/04/21
- Send the draft pilot project tracker sheet to ATG and CTG <u>Kelly</u> 07/09/21
- STGs are to comment and populate the pilot project tracker draft <u>STG</u> <u>Chairs</u>
- 4. Confirm that the Pavement Smoothness Final Report includes industry perspective <u>Pat/Allen</u>
- 5. Have the EC decide whether their scope is to approve or acknowledge documents <u>Doug</u>
- Add sub-bullet to EC Updates for ATG on next agenda to confirm the decision met by EC regarding approval vs acknowledgement of documents – <u>Kelly</u> – 07/21/21
- 7. Follow up what "completion" of a work product means to the EC <u>Jeremy/Doug</u>

Action Items from 06/02/21:

- Create an adhoc meeting to create the decision document vs scoping document guidance in the SOP; also include Conflict Resolution form (9am-2pm; 07/01; 3+4 members + EC industry members; Translab Auditorium, if possible) – Complete
- Kee and Tony are to discuss what Section 39's work entails and the objective of the group and report back to Tom and Phil, respectively – <u>Kee/Tony</u> – Industry suggestion submitted; pending a meeting to discuss SD vs DD decision – **Complete**
- 3. Tom and Phil to discuss and come to a consensus on Section 39's work scope and adjust the bin list accordingly <u>Tom/Phil</u> **Complete**
- Kelly, Jeremy, Jackie, and Keith to update/modify the Pilot Project Tracking Sheet (pilot projects expected; pilot project numbers) (Kelly to set meeting 1hr) – <u>Kelly/Jeremy/Jackie/Keith</u> – **Complete**

Action Items from 05/05/21:

- Discuss a memo to go out for the CPD on 2-Year JMF <u>Tom/Kee</u> In progress
 - 07/06/21: Should be signed this week; awaiting signature

- 06/02/21: Instructions instead of memo to be sent to District/HQ OEs for projects
- 2. Add Asphalt Rubber Blending MPQP Discussion to next agenda <u>Kelly</u>

– 07/06/21 – Postponed to 07/06 3+4 meeting – **Complete**

Action Items from 04/07/21:

- Recover-conflict escalation request form from Cortney and have industry review and submit for approval – <u>Phil/Scott/Dennis/Pat/Kelly</u> – 07/06/21
 - 07/06/21: Industry still looking at this. Will be pushed to next meeting.
 Send out the conflict resolution form to all ATG <u>Kelly</u> 07/09/21
 - 06/02/21: More review from industry needed; Postponed to adhoc meeting with decision document vs scoping document guidance
- Internally evaluate the impacts of pulling the IC nSSP and report the findings to the ATG; also pull a report of data from the IC database to give to industry; Information to be routed through Kelly – <u>Ken</u> – In progress
 - 07/06/21: Ken will provide the summary of why Caltrans wants to keep the nSSP and provide data from the IC database.
 - 06/02/21: More information needed from Ragu

Action Items from 02/03/21:

- Clarify/evaluate Section 39 and create short scoping documents for Section 39 Quality Characteristics and UCPRC Report on OGFC Mix Design – <u>Kee/Tony</u> – Closed / Duplicated Effort
 - 06/02/21: Will be discussed during the DD vs SD guidance adhoc meeting

Action Items from 10/02/19:

 Review and provide feedback on conflict escalation form – <u>All ATG</u> – Closed / Duplicated Effort