## **HSIP ANALYZER MANUAL**

## (FOR BCR APPLICATIONS)

The use of the HSIP Analyzer is required for all applications for Highway Safety Improvement Program (HSIP) Cycle 12 Call for Projects. The completed HSIP Analyzer is one of the required attachments to the HSIP Application Form.

There are two HSIP application categories: Benefit Cost Ratio (BCR) and Funding Set-asides. **This manual provides instructions for using the HSIP Analyzer to prepare a** <u>BCR</u> **application. Please use the other manual for Funding Set-aside Applications.** 

HSIP Analyzer (for BCR applications) is a PDF form that streamlines the process of cost estimate, safety improvement countermeasure evaluation, crash data input and Benefit Cost Ratio (BCR) calculation. Please review these instructions thoroughly before you start to prepare a BCR application.

For more information regarding the HSIP program, please review the HSIP Guidelines, Local Roadway Safety Manual for California Local Road Owners and other related information at https://dot.ca.gov/programs/local-assistance/fed-and-state-programs/highway-safety-improvement-program.

## **Table of Contents**

Section I: General Information	2
Section II: Project Schedule	4
SECTION III: SAFETY COUNTERMEASURES, CRASH DATA AND PROJECT BENEFIT CALCULATION	5
SECTION IV: CONSTRUCTION COST ESTIMATE AND COST BREAKDOWN	. 12
SECTION V: PROJECT COST ESTIMATE	. 13
Section VI: Summary	. 14
APPENDIX A: LIST OF COUNTERMEASURES	. 15
APPENDIX B: DETAILED TABLES OF COUNTERMEASURES	. 20

The HSIP Analyzer (for BCR Applications) consists of the below sections:

#### Section I: General Information

Enter Application ID, Project Location, Project Description, and other general information.

#### Section II: Project Schedule

In this section, applicants are required to provide an estimated project schedule.

#### > Section III. Safety Countermeasures, Crash Data and Project Benefit Calculation

In this section, applicants are required to identify safety countermeasures, provide a list of locations, and enter crash data. The project benefit is calculated in this section.

#### > Section IV: Construction Cost Estimate and Cost Breakdown

This section is for providing an estimate for construction items and determining the project's maximum Funding Reimbursement Ratio (FRR).

#### Section V: Project Cost Estimate

This section is for providing the cost estimate for the entire project, including all phases (Preliminary Engineering, Right-of-Way, Construction and Construction Engineering).

#### Section VI. Summary

This section is a summary of data that are to be transferred to the application form.

## **Section I: General Information**

#### **Application ID:**

Enter the exact Application ID from the Application Form, e.g. 03-Sacramento-1. Save the completed HSIP Analyzer using file name as "HA" + Application ID (e.g. "HA03-Sacramento-1.pdf"). Attach the completed file to the last page of the Application Form.

#### **Project Location:**

Enter (copy & paste) the exact Project Location from the Application Form.

#### Project Description:

Enter (copy & paste) the exact Project Description from the Application Form.

#### Number of Intersections:

Enter number of intersections included in this project.

#### **Miles of Roadways:**

Enter miles of roadways included in this project. Do not include the length of the intersections that have been accounted for in the above "Number of Intersections" field.

Most of the information requested below is required for Caltrans to meet its annual safety program reporting requirements to the FHWA. Responses to these questions will NOT be used in the project selection process. The responses will be incorporated into statewide and national safety program assessments and used to determine the health of the overall program and potential areas of focus for future program improvements.

Some questions are self-explanatory so not all questions are explained here.

#### **Functional Classification (FC):**

Visit https://dot.ca.gov/programs/research-innovation-system-information/office-of-highway-system-informationperformance, click "California Road System (CRS) maps" in the middle of the webpage, and determine the Functional Classification (FC) of the road(s) where most of the work will be constructed. If the amounts of work are equal among multiple FCs, use the highest FC. Select the FC from the drop-down list.

#### **Urban/Rural Area:**

Select "Urban" or "Rural" from the drop-down list when most of the proposed work is in urban or rural area.

#### What is the approximate total cost percentage that is HR3 eligible?

Work in **rural** area and associated with roads functionally classified as "<u>Major Collector</u>", "<u>Minor Collector</u>" and/or "<u>Local</u>", is High-Risk-Rural-Roads (HR3) eligible. HR3 eligible projects, when selected for funding, will be tracked separately due to the FHWA's special requirements. Provide an approximate total cost percentage that is HR3 eligible (rounded to the nearest ten percent).

#### Annual Average Daily Traffic and Year Collected:

Indicate the existing (and most current) Annual Average Daily Traffic (AADT) volume at the project location and the year the data were collected.

- If the proposed improvement is on a road segment, the AADT is the number of vehicles that use that section of roadway, in both directions, on an average day. You may enter the same number for the Major Road and Minor Road.
- If the proposed improvement is at an intersection, separate the AADT volumes approaching the intersection into Major Road and Minor Road.
- If the proposed improvements span a large distance and/or are spread out over several routes/locations, provide the range of AADT volumes with the high-end input in the "Major Road" field and the low-end input in the "Minor Road" field.

#### **Posted Speed Limit (mph):**

Input the highest posted speed within the project limits.

#### **SHSP Challenge Areas:**

The goal of this question is to tie the improvements to California's Strategy Highway Safety Plan (SHSP). Multiple Challenge Areas may be checked. For example, if this project is for pedestrian safety at intersections, both "Intersections" and "Pedestrians" should be checked. Visit https://dot.ca.gov/programs/safety-programs/shsp for more details on the California SHSP Challenge Areas.

#### Is the project focused primarily on "spot location(s)" or "systemic" improvements?

The Local Roadway Safety Manual includes a detailed description of these two approaches. When more than one type of systemic improvements are proposed in one application, applicants need to select a single "primary type".

#### Approximate percentage of project cost going to improvements related to motorized travel:

HSIP projects benefit a mix of roadway users and modes of travel. For statewide tracking purposes, Caltrans needs to approximate the percent of the overall project costs going to improvements for motorized vs. non-motorized roadway users. Please make the best approximation of the percentage related to motorized travel based on the estimated project cost and the primary objectives of the project.

#### State Senate District(s) and State Assembly District(s):

Based on project location(s), provide State Senate District(s) and State Assembly District(s) that will benefit from this project. Use commas to separate if there are multiple districts.

## **Section II: Project Schedule**

The local agency is expected to deliver the project per the HSIP Program Delivery requirements. The delivery requirements for HSIP Cycle 12 projects are: (1) Preliminary Engineering (PE) Authorization by 9/30/2025; and (2) Construction (CON) Authorization by 12/31/2027.

The exceptions are:

- The milestone of PE authorization does not apply if the project will not use the HSIP funds for PE;
- For a project that a consultant is used for the PE work, an additional time of 6 months is allowed for meeting the CON Authorization milestone. The additional time is for the agency to advertise and select the consultant for the work of the PE phase.

Please answer the below two questions:

- Will this project use HSIP funds for Preliminary Engineering (PE) Phase?
- Will an external consultant be hired to do the PE work?

Then specific delivery requirements for your proposed project, if selected for funding, will be displayed.

Please provide your best estimated dates for the following implementation milestones (leave blank if not applicable). Please make sure the proposed schedule will meet the above delivery requirements; if not, please explain in answer to question no. 3 in the application form.

- PE Authorization Date;
- Environmental Clearance Date;
- Right of Way Clearance Date;
- Final PS&E Date;
- CON Authorization Date;
- Construction Contract Award Date;
- Construction Completion Date; and
- Project Close-Out Date.

## Section III: Safety Countermeasures, Crash Data and Project Benefit Calculation

The benefit of an HSIP safety project is achieved by reducing potential future crashes due to the implementation of the proposed safety countermeasures (CMs). In this section, you will need to provide information regarding the proposed CMs and the historical crash data at the project sites.

Please read the below information and explanations regarding CMs and crash data, and make sure the data provided are correct. Past HSIP calls for projects indicated that the most flaws found in disqualified applications are related to misinterpretation and misapplication of CMs and miscounting of crash data.

#### Safety CMs available for use in HSIP Analyzer

A total of 82 CMs are available for the use in the HSIP Analyzer. The available CMs are grouped into three categories: "Signalized Intersection (SI)" CMs, "Non-signalized Intersection (NS)" CMs, and "Roadway (R)" CMs. Appendix A of this document provides a complete list of the CMs. Review Section 4.0 and Appendix B of the California Local Roadway Safety Manual (also included in this manual as Appendix B) before making the final selection of CMs that are utilized in the Benefit Cost Ratio (BCR) calculations. The detailed description of the CMs and guidance on how they can be applied will help applicants ensure utilizing the most appropriate CMs for the project.

Up to three CMs in each category may be used in a single project. When a CM of a <u>major</u> safety improvement is selected, other incidental elements of the major CM should be not used as separate CMs together with the major one. For example: A project location that proposes a new signal shall not include CMs for lighting, signing, striping, or minor median improvements as these are incidental elements of the new signal and do not represent stand-alone improvements.

#### Safety CMs vs. Crash Data Tables

For the use in the HSIP Analysis, there are 5 different crash types: "All" (any type), "Night" (night time), "Ped & Bike" (pedestrians and/or bicyclists involved), "Emergency Vehicle" (emergency vehicle involved) and "Animal" (animal involved). The later four are sub-datasets of the "All" dataset. Different CMs target at different crash types. For example, installing a new signal at an intersection intends to reduce crashes of all types, while installing pedestrian countdown signal heads only reduces crashes related to pedestrians and bicyclists, and adding intersection lighting targets at night crashes only. Among the 82 CMs listed in Appendix A, 59 are for crashes of all types, 18 for Ped & Bike crashes, 3 for night crashes, 1 for crashes with emergency vehicles, and 1 for crashes with animals involved.

Depending on the entire set of the CMs that are proposed for the project, you will be required to fill in one or more crash data tables: "All", "Night", Ped& Bike", "Emergency Vehicle", and "Animal".

#### Specific rules for some particular CMs

Please pay attention to the specific rules and requirements pertaining to CMs NS03, NS23PB and R14 (Refer to Appendix B of the California Local Roadway Safety Manual for more details):

#### 1) NS03, Install signals:

All new signals must meet CA MUTCD "safety" warrants: 4, 5 or 7; No other intersection CMs can be applied to the intersection crashes in conjunction with this CM.

#### 2) NS25PB, Install Pedestrian Signal (including Pedestrian Hybrid Beacon (HAWK)):

For HAWK or other pedestrian signals, the justification may be Warrant 4, 5 and/or 7, or passing the test in Figure 4F-1/4F-2 in Chapter 4F of CA MUTCD. Please refer to Chapter 4F of CA MUTCD for more details.

#### 3) R14, Road Diet (Reduce travel lanes and add a two way left-turn and bike lanes):

Page | 5 April 2024 This CM only applies to crashes occurring within the limits of the new lane striping. Intersection crashes can only be applied when they resulted from turning movements that had no designated turn lanes/phases in the existing condition and the Road Diet will provide turn lanes/phases for these movements. This CM does not apply to roadway sections with left turn lanes or two-way left turn lanes at its existing condition. New bike lanes are also expected to be part of this CM.

In this section, the below two questions are to be answered prior to the CM selection and the crash data entry:

- Please indicate the sources of the crash data. Typical sources include Statewide Integrated Traffic Records System (SWITRS), UCBerkeley SafeTREC TIMS, your locally preferred mapping software (such as Crossroads) or any other data sources.
- Please explain how "incremental approach" has been pursued If CM R15, R16, R17 or R18 is proposed. Please skip this question of none of these CMs are being proposed.

Countermeasure R15 (Widen shoulder), R16 (Curve shoulder widening (outside only)), R17 (Improve horizontal alignment (flatten curves)) and R18 (Flatten crest vertical curve) are not eligible unless they are done as the last step of an "incremental approach". Applicants need to document they have already installed lower cost and lower impact CMs but the crash rate is unacceptably high. What safety improvements have been pursued and installed at the project sites within the last ten years?

Applicants need to demonstrate the use of "incremental approach", i.e. lower cost and lower impact CMs have already installed, such as signing/striping upgrades to MUTCD standards/recommendations, rumble strips, improving pavement friction (High Friction Surface Treatment, or HFST), etc. You have already monitored the crash occurrences after these improvements were installed, and the 'after' crash rate is still unacceptably high. In addition, a summary of the 'before' and 'after' crash analysis is preferred and provided as the last attachment to the HSIP Application Form.

If "incremental approach" has not been pursued while CM R15, R16, R17 or R18 is proposed, please explain why a special exception should be made to your application.

#### Step 1: Select safety countermeasures

Indicate if the application includes:

- Signalized Intersections (SI);
- Non-signalized Intersections (NS); and
- Roadway Segments (R).

If the answer is "Yes" for any location type, a table of all safety CMs for this location type displays. Up to 3 CMs may be selected for each location type. Once the CMs have been selected, click "Hide unselected countermeasures" button to save space. Click "View all countermeasures" button if you need to revisit the entire list.

#### Example: Screenshot for this step

Step 1: Se	elect sa	fety countermeasures							
	Does t	his application include Signalized Intersections (SI)? Yes 🔽							
	Does this application include Non-signalized Intersections (NS)? No								
	Does t	his application include Roadway Segments (R)? No							
applicatio	* Normally a BCR application only includes locations of one of the above 3 categories (SI, NS or R). Multiple categories may be selected if the application proposes corridor safety improvements or uses a systemic approach, or the applicant chooses to bundle multiple locations in the same vicinity together.								
		Signalized intersections (SI): Click the check box in the 1st column to select up to 3 countermeasures.							
		Hide unselected countermeasures         View all countermeasures							
Select	Select No. Countermeasure Name								
✓	5	SI05: Install left-turn lane and add turn phase (signal has no left-turn lane or phase before) (CRF=0.55 for All crashes; Life=20 yrs; FE=90%)							
$\checkmark$	21	SI21PB: Install advance stop bar before crosswalk (Bicycle Box) (CRF=0.15 for Ped & Bike crashes; Life=10 yrs; FE=90%)							

#### Step 2: Enter the project locations and select countermeasures for each location

Enter all the project locations in the table. The table is divided into 3 sub-tables for the 3 categories: Signalized Intersections; Non-signalized Intersections and Roadway Segments. Any category that has been selected in Step 1 has a corresponding sub-table in this section. Enter the locations into their particular sub-tables. Click "+" button to add a new line or click "-" to delete an existing line.

For each location line, enter a location description and check the CMs that apply. The CMs selected in Step 1 are available in this table. Location No. is auto-generated, i.e. SI\_1, SI\_2, ... for signalized intersections, NSI\_1, NSI\_2, ... for non-signalized intersections, and R\_1, R\_2, ... for roadway segments.

The table only allows a maximum of 50 rows. If needed, please combine some locations and enter them into one row, e.g. 10 stop controlled intersections, 5 horizontal curves, etc., as long as they have similar features and the same CMs apply.

If any error messages are displayed in the last column, they must be resolved prior to proceeding to the next step. The possible errors are:

- "No description entered": location description must bet entered.
- "No CMs selected": at least one CM must be selected.
- "SI02 cannot be with SI07": CMs SI07 and SI02 should not be used together.

The work of SIO2 ("Improve signal hardware") is considered as part of CM SIO7 ("Convert signal to mast arm").

 $\circ$  "NS03 must be the only CM": CM NS03 should not be used with any other CM.

CM NS03 ("Install signals") is comprehensive which should cover any other intersection improvements.

 "SI16RA/SI17RA/NS04RA/NS05RA/NS06RA/NS07RA must be the only CM": Roundabout, when selected, should be the only CM.

Installing roundabout is a comprehensive treatment which should cover all improvements at the intersection. It is not allowed to have roundabout and any other safety CMs together for any location.

#### Example: Screenshot for this step

Step 2: Click to generate table for project locations, enter the project locations and select countermeasures for each location. If any of the selections have been changed, you must re-click the below button to refresh.

Click to Generate Table for Project Locations Entry

CMs have been selected. Ok to proceed.

+/- Line	Location No.	n Location Description (Intersection Name or Road Limit or General Description)				Error Messages (must resolve)
		(Signalized Intersect	rsections)			
			SI05	SI21PB		
+	SI_1	Intersection of A St. & B St.	•	•		
+	SI_2	Intersection of A St. & C St.	•			
+	SI_3	Intersection of A St. & D St.	•	•		

#### Step 3: Provide crash data.

1) Crash data time period:

The crash data time period must be a minimum of 3 years and a maximum of 5 years.

You may use one or two time periods. The total time periods must be between 3 and 5 years. The crash data to be entered are combined from both periods if two periods are used. For example, COVID pandemic may have impacted traffic volumes and crash patterns at project sites. Applicants are allowed to use crash data excluding the COVID pandemic time if desired.

- 2) Depending on the entries in Step 2, a maximum of 5 crash data tables may be displayed for data entry:
  - All;
  - Night;
  - Ped & Bike;
  - Emergency Vehicle; and
  - Animal.

The location No. and Description are populated from the data entered in Step 2. Please enter crash data in line with the locations.

Note: Fill in yellow fields only. "Total" fields are calculated. Gray fields (if any) are locked as data are NOT needed for those fields.

3) In each crash data table, there are 5 crash severities: "Fatal", "Severe Injury", "Other Visible Injury", "Complaint of Pain" and "(PDO)" (Property Damage Only).

For the three sub-severities of injury crashes: "Severe Injury", "Other Visible Injury" and "Complaint of Pain": if the injury crashes in your agency's crash database do not have more detailed sub-severities, all the injury crashes must be entered as "Other Visible Injury".

- 4) If a "<u>roundabout</u>" CM, i.e. SI16RA, SI17RA, NS04RA, NS05RA, NS06RA or NS07RA (CM ID), is used, the below information is required as the benefit calculation for roundabouts is different from the other CMs.
  - Project location: "Urban" or "Rural" (select from dropdown list)
  - Intersection type: "Four-leg Intersection" or "T intersection" (select from dropdown list)
  - Roundabout: "1 lane" or "2 lanes" (select from dropdown list)
  - Average Daily Traffic (ADT), Major Road: ADT on the major road of the intersection
  - Average Daily Traffic (ADT), Minor Road: ADT on the cross road of the intersection

#### Important notes for crash data:

- 1) Every occurrence of crash applied to the CMs is counted as one crash, regardless of the number of vehicles and the number of people involved in the crash. For example, if there is one crash which involved three vehicles and caused two injuries and one fatality, the crash would be entered as 1 fatal crash.
- 2) Collision Diagrams and Collision lists:

Applicants are required to provide Collision Diagrams and Collision Lists as supporting documents (attachments) to the application. The Collision Diagrams and the Collision Lists should be organized so application reviewers can easily identify the collision data and their corresponding project locations.

- 3) All crashes applied to a given CM must be within the CM's influence-area. The following are some general criteria to guide the applicants in determining appropriate influence-areas for CMs. Before applying these general criteria, it is the applicant's responsibility to ensure that they are reasonable for their particular application. (More guidance relating to each specific CM is included in Section 4 and Appendix B of the California Local Roadway Safety Manual).
  - a. New Traffic Signals: All crashes within 250 feet of the new signal.
  - b. For intersection improvements, collisions that occurred within 250 feet of the intersection in all directions affected by the improvement may be used. If the distance to the nearest intersection is less than 500 feet, only those collisions that occurred from mid-block may be used.
  - c. Longitudinal Improvements (guardrail, raised median, turn pockets, etc): All crashes potentially effected by the CM and within the limits of the improvement.
  - d. Signage, striping, delineators, or other warning devices: All crashes potentially effected by and within the limits of the driver's potential reaction to the improvements.
  - e. The influence-area may be extended beyond the physical improvements and/or the limits if standard traffic engineering principles, as documented in Caltrans, American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials (AASHTO) or FHWA publications, suggest it would be appropriate to do so. When the influence-area of the project is not obvious and judgment has been used in identifying the influence-area, it is the applicant's responsibility to provide additional documentation showing the reasonableness of the judgment.
- 4) Do not include collisions unreported by law enforcement. Collision summary reports that corroborate the collision numbers must be attached to the application. Do not attach the actual collision reports prepared by the law enforcement officer. For applicants using TIMS Query & Map tool to analyze and summarize SWITRS crash data, applicants may find it necessary to add in known crashes that were not included in the TIMS summaries. These crashes may be added manually as long as the agency's safety managers include supporting documentation and a comment and/or signature attesting to the source of these crashes and the accuracy of the total crash data.
- 5) The safety CMs constructed by the project will not eliminate 100% of the safety risks and future crashes. This is especially true for lower-cost systemic improvements, such as signing and striping projects. Based on this, it is often reasonable for an agency to construct follow-up improvements along a corridor or at a location that has already had an HSIP project constructed. (Example: an agency has completed a striping upgrade project on a corridor. In a later HSIP cycle, the agency proposes a signing project on the same corridor based on an overlapping set of crashes.) For this reason, Caltrans allows agencies to reuse crashes in a current call for projects that have been used in a prior call for projects. It is the agency's responsibility to verify this and document it in the application in answers to the narrative questions or a separate backup documentation.

#### Example: Screenshot for this step

	ck to generate tables for must re-click to refresh.	crash data a	and provide	crash data. If an	y changes ł	nave been n	nade in the	previous two	
	Click to Generate Tables for Crash Data Entry								
	ata Periods: you may use on h data to be entered are con					etween 3 and	l 5 years.		
Crash Da	ata Period 1:	from (MM/	DD/YYYY):		To (MM/I	DD/YYYY):			
Crash Da	ata Period 2 :	from (MM/	DD/YYYY):		To (MM/I	DD/YYYY):			
Combine	d Crash Data Period (years	)= 0							
	he crash data table(s) for th <b>llow fields only. "Total" f</b>			-			-	l for those fields.	
		Crash D	ata Table for	Crash Type: <u>ALL</u>					
No.	Location No : Description (from Step 2)	Fatal (ALL)	Severe Injury <mark>(ALL)</mark>	Other Visible Injury <mark>(ALL)</mark>	Complaint of Pain (ALL)	PDO (ALL)	Total		
1	SI_1: Intersection of A St. & B St.	0	0	0	0	0	0		
2	SI_2: Intersection of A St. & C St.	0	0	0	0	0	0		
3	SI_3: Intersection of A St. & D St.	0	0	0	0	0	0		
	Total	0	0	0	0	0	0		

	Crash Data Table for Crash Type: <u>Pedestrians and Bicyclists Involved (P&amp;B)</u>								
No.	Location No : Description (from Step 2)	Fatal <mark>(P&amp;B)</mark>	Severe Injury (P&B)	Other Visible Injury <mark>(P&amp;B)</mark>	Complaint of Pain (P&B)	PDO (P&B)	Total		
1	SI_1: Intersection of A St. & B St.	0	0	0	0	0	0		
2	SI_2: Intersection of A St. & C St.	0	0	0	0	0	0		
3	SI_3: Intersection of A St. & D St.	0	0	0	0	0	0		
	Total	0	0	0	0	0	0		

### Step 4: Calculate the project benefit.

Click to perform benefit calculation. Errors in crash data entered in Step 3 will be displayed if detected, which must be fixed prior to the next calculation attempt. Possible errors in crash data are:

- Crash data period is not between 3 and 5 years.
- Num of crashes in a sub-dataset > the num in All dataset.

For at least one of the severities, the number of crashes in a subset ("Night", "Ped & Bike", "Emergency Vehicle", or "Animal") is more than that of the corresponding severity in "All" crashes.

• Additional information for Roundabout is not complete. Roundabout is the proposed CM, but roundabout information is not provided.

If the benefit calculation is successful, two tables will show the calculation results:

- Benefit by Locations
- Benefit by Countermeasures

## Section IV: Construction Cost Estimate and Cost Breakdown

The purpose of this section is to:

- Provide a detailed engineer's estimate for construction items. The costs for other phases i.e. Preliminary Engineering (PE), Right of Way (ROW), and Construction Engineering (CE) will be accounted for in the next section.
- Determine the maximum Funding Reimbursement Ratio (FRR) of the project.

### 

#### **IV.1 Detailed Engineer's Estimate for Construction Items:**

#### > Table for Detailed Engineer's Estimate:

Each line is for one construction item. Click + or – buttons to add a new line or delete an existing line.

The gray fields are calculated and read-only. In each line, enter the construction item description, unit, quantity, unit cost, and cost percentages for this project's safety countermeasures (CMs) and "Other Safety (OS)" respectively (e.g. enter 10 for 10%). The percentage for "Non-safety (NS)" is then calculated as 100% - CMs % - OS %. If an item is a general one (such as traffic control, mobilization, etc.), check the "General Item" box and the cost breakdown is not needed. A general item will NOT be used in determining the project's overall percentages of countermeasures, other safety and non-safety costs.

At the bottom of the table, an overall cost percentage will be calculated for CMs, OS and NS.

#### > Contingencies:

In general, not all project construction costs are well defined at the time when the application is being prepared. For this reason, applicants are allowed to include Construction Item Contingencies as a percentage of the known construction costs. This is the only project contingencies allowed in an HSIP application. When applicants calculate their Preliminary Engineering (PE) and Construction Engineering (CE) costs as a percentage of the Total Construction Cost, contingencies should be built within the PE and CE costs.

#### Total Construction Cost:

The total construction cost is the sum of the construction item costs and the contingencies, rounded up to the nearest hundreds.

#### **IV.2 Funding Reimbursement Ratio**

The project's maximum Funding Reimbursement Ratio (FRR) is calculated as the smaller of 90% and (100%-NS %). For example, if the non-safety cost percentage is 5%, the project's maximum FRR is 90%; if the nonsafety cost percentage is 35%, then the project's maximum FRR is then 100% - 35% = 65%. This is the maximum value allowed to be entered in "HSIP/Total (%)" column in Section II (Project Cost Estimate).

Exception: If CM S03 (Improve signal timing) is used in the project, the project's maximum FRR is 50%.

After the completion of this section, the following data will be transferred to Section V (Project Cost Estimate) automatically: (1) Total Construction Cost; and (2) Maximum FRR. The maximum FRR will be used as the maximum "HSIP/Total" percentage allowed in Section V.

## **Section V: Project Cost Estimate**

This section is used for the overall project cost estimate including all applicable phases, i.e. Preliminary Engineering (PE), Right of Way (ROW), Construction (CON), and Construction Engineering (CE). All project costs (all phases and funding sources) must be accounted for in this section.

The costs included in the application represent the total project cost necessary to fully construct the proposed scope. The Total Project Cost from this section will be used in the later Benefit Cost Ratio (BCR) calculation.

The following data are transferred to this section from Section IV:

• Total Construction Cost;

• Maximum Funding Reimbursement Ratio (FRR), i.e. Maximum "HSIP/Total" percentage allowed for this project.

All the grey fields contain formulas and are read-only.

For each line in the table, enter the total cost (rounded up to the nearest hundred dollars) and the desired HSIP/Total Cost ratio. <u>The desired HSIP/Total ratio cannot be more than the project's maximum FRR</u>. You may click the "Set" button on top of the table to set all "HSIP/Total" percentages to the project's maximum FRR. The amounts of HSIP Funds and Local/Other Funds will be calculated by the form.

#### Check Box indicating Agency does NOT request HSIP funds for PE Phase:

If no HSIP funds for the PE Phase are requested, this Check Box will be checked automatically. This information will be used for project delivery tracking.

#### Automatic Data Validation:

Once all costs and ratios are entered, a message will appear if errors are detected, based on the below criteria. Please fix the errors unless justification for exceptions is provided in narrative question no. 3 in the Application Form.

- 1) The "HSIP Funds" for Construction Items must not be zero.
- 2) "HSIP Funds" for Preliminary Engineering may not exceed 25% of the HSIP Construction Cost.

*Exception: for low-cost systematic projects such as Roadway Safety Signing Audits (RSSA), Caltrans anticipates approving PE costs over 25%. For more information on this type of project, see the example document at the HSIP website.* 

- 3) "HSIP Funds" for Right of Way may not exceed 10% of the HSIP Construction Cost.
- 4) "HSIP Funds" for Construction Engineering may not exceed 15% of the HSIP Construction Cost.
- 5) "HSIP Funds" may not exceed \$10,000,000.
- 6) To maintain efficiencies in the overall Program and Project Management, the "Total HSIP Funds" must be \$100,000 or more. If needed, agencies should consider extending the project limits and /or adding another safety improvement in order to increase both the total project Benefits and Costs.

*Exception: (1) Caltrans recognizes that for some rural agencies with extremely small numbers of crashes, this \$100,000 minimum HSIP funding requirement may not be achievable without lowering the BCRs, which may not be fundable. If an agency believes their jurisdiction falls into this category, they may request an exception to this \$100,000 minimum funding requirement through their District Local Assistance Engineer; (2) You may combine multiple applications (if selected for funding) in implementation, so the combined project has more than \$100,000 of HSIP funds.* 

After the completion of the project cost estimate, "Total Project Cost" will be automatically transferred to Section V (Summary).

## **Section VI: Summary**

This section provides a project summary, including the Total Project Cost, the HSIP Funds Requested, the project's maximum Funding Reimbursement Ratio (FRR), the Total Expected Benefit, and the Benefit Cost Ratio (BCR).

## Appendix A: List of Countermeasures (From Local Roadway Safety Manual – Section 4.2)

The list of countermeasures is from Section 4.2 of the Local Roadway Safety Manual.

The countermeasures listed in the following three tables have been sorted into 3 categories: Signalized Intersection (SI), Non-Signalized Intersection (NS), and Roadway Segment (R). Pedestrian and bicycle related countermeasures have been included in each of these categories, as the consideration of non-motorized travel is important for all roadway classifications and locations. The countermeasures included in these tables are used in the HSIP Analyzer. When selecting countermeasures and CRFs to apply to their specific safety needs, local agency safety practitioners should consider the **availability, applicability**, and **quality** of CMFs, as discussed in section 4.1 of the Local Roadway Safety Manual.

Only Crash Types, CRFs, Expected Lives, and Funding Eligibility of the countermeasures for use in Caltrans local HSIP program are provided. Fields in the countermeasure tables are:

- Crash Types "All", "P & B" (Pedestrian and Bicycle), "Night", "Emergency Vehicle", or "Animal".
- **CRF** Crash Reduction Factor used for HSIP calls-for-projects.
- Expected Life 10 years or 20 years.
- Funding Eligibility the maximum HSIP reimbursement ratio for HSIP Cycle 12 Call-for-projects.
  - Eighty-one (85) countermeasures: 90%
  - One (1) countermeasure: 50% (CM No. SI03: Improve signal timing, as this CM will improve the signal operation rather than merely the safety.)
- Systemic Approach Opportunity Opportunity to Implement Using a Systemic Approach: "Very High", "High", "Medium" or "Low".

Some CM Numbers have two letters at the end – this is used to quickly identity the specific feature of the CM. For example, "NT" - reducing night crashes, "PB" – reducing Pedestrian and Bicycle crashes, "EV" – countermeasure toward Emergency Vehicle involved crashes, "AL"- countermeasure toward Animal involved crashes, and "RA" – roundabout.

No.	Туре	Countermeasure Name	Crash Type	CRF	Expected Life (Years)	HSIP Funding Eligibility	Systemic Approach Opportunity?
SI01NT	Lighting	Add intersection lighting (S.I.)	Night	40%	20	90%	Medium
SI02	Signal Mod.	Improve signal hardware: lenses, back-plates with retroreflective borders, mounting, size, and number	All	15%	10	90%	Very High
SI03	Signal Mod.	Improve signal timing (coordination, phases, red, yellow, or operation)	All	15%	10	50%	Very High
SI04EV	Signal Mod.	Install emergency vehicle pre-emption systems	Emergency Vehicle	70%	10	90%	High
SI05	Signal Mod.	Install left-turn lane and add turn phase (signal has no left-turn lane or phase before)	All	55%	20	90%	Low
SI06	Signal Mod.	Provide protected left turn phase (left turn lane already exists)	All	30%	20	90%	High
SI07	Signal Mod.	Convert signal to mast arm (from pedestal-mounted)	All	30%	20	90%	Medium
SI08	Operation/ Warning	Install raised pavement markers and striping (Through Intersection)	All	10%	10	90%	Very High
SI09	Operation/ Warning	Install flashing beacons as advance warning (S.I.)	All	30%	10	90%	Medium
SI10	Operation/ Warning	Improve pavement friction (High Friction Surface Treatments)	All	55%	10	90%	Medium
SI11	Geometric Mod.	Install raised median on approaches (S.I.)	All	25%	20	90%	Medium
SI12PB	Geometric Mod.	Install pedestrian median fencing on approaches	Р&В	35%	20	90%	Low
SI13	Geometric Mod.	Create directional median openings to allow (and restrict) left-turns and u-turns (S.I.)	All	50%	20	90%	Medium
SI14	Geometric Mod.	Install right - turn lane (S.I.)	All	15%	20	90%	Medium
SI15	Geometric Mod.	Reduced Left-Turn Conflict Intersections (S.I.)	All	50%	20	90%	Medium
SI16RA	Geometric Mod.	Convert intersection to roundabout (from signal)	All	Varies	20	90%	Low
SI17RA	Geometric Mod.	Convert intersection to compact roundabout (from signal)	All	Varies	20	90%	Low
SI18PB	Ped and Bike	Install pedestrian countdown signal heads	Р&В	25%	20	90%	Very High
SI19PB	Ped and Bike	Install pedestrian crossing (S.I.)	Р&В	25%	20	90%	High
SI20PB	Ped and Bike	Pedestrian Scramble	Р&В	40%	20	90%	High
SI21PB	Ped and Bike	Install advance stop bar before crosswalk (Bicycle Box)	Р&В	15%	10	90%	Very High
SI22PB	Ped and Bike	Modify signal phasing to implement a Leading Pedestrian Interval (LPI)	Р&В	60%	10	90%	Very High

## Table 1. Countermeasures for Signalized Intersections

No.	Туре	Countermeasure Name	Crash Type	CRF	Expecte d Life (Years)	HSIP Funding Eligibility	Systemic Approach Opportunity?
NS01NT	Lighting	Add intersection lighting (NS.I.)	Night	40%	20	90%	Medium
NS02	Control	Convert to all-way STOP control (from 2-way or Yield control)	All	50%	10	90%	High
NS03	Control	Install signals	All	30%	20	90%	Low
NS04RA	Control	Convert intersection to roundabout (from all way stop)	All	Varies	20	90%	Low
NS05RA	Control	Convert intersection to roundabout (from stop or yield control on minor road)	All	Varies	20	90%	Low
NS06RA	Control	Convert intersection to compact roundabout (from all way stop)	All	Varies	20	90%	Medium
NS07RA	Control	Convert intersection to compact roundabout (from stop or yield control on minor road)	All	Varies	20	90%	Medium
NS08	Operation/ Warning	Install/upgrade larger or additional stop signs or other intersection warning/regulatory signs	All	15%	10	90%	Very High
NS09	Operation/ Warning	Upgrade intersection pavement markings (NS.I.)	All	25%	10	90%	Very High
NS10	Operation/ Warning	Install Flashing Beacons at Stop-Controlled Intersections	All	15%	10	90%	High
NS11	Operation/ Warning	Install flashing beacons as advance warning (NS.I.)	All	30%	10	90%	High
NS12	Operation/ Warning	Install transverse rumble strips on approaches	All	20%	10	90%	High
NS13	Operation/ Warning	Improve sight distance to intersection (Clear Sight Triangles)	All	20%	10	90%	High
NS14	Operation/ Warning	Improve pavement friction (High Friction Surface Treatments)	All	55%	10	90%	Medium
NS15	Geometric Mod.	Install splitter-islands on the minor road approaches	All	40%	20	90%	Medium
NS16	Geometric Mod.	Install raised median on approaches (NS.I.)	All	25%	20	90%	Medium
NS17	Geometric Mod.	Create directional median openings to allow (and restrict) left-turns and u- turns (NS.I.)	All	50%	20	90%	Medium
NS18	Geometric Mod.	Reduced Left-Turn Conflict Intersections (NS.I.)	All	50%	20	90%	Medium
NS19	Geometric Mod.	Install right-turn lane (NS.I.)	All	20%	20	90%	Low
NS20	Geometric Mod.	Install left-turn lane (where no left-turn lane exists)	All	35%	20	90%	Low
NS21PB	Ped and Bike	Install raised medians / refuge islands (NS.I.)	Р&В	45%	20	90%	Medium
NS22PB	Ped and Bike	Install pedestrian crossing at uncontrolled locations (new signs and markings only)	Р&В	25%	10	90%	High
NS23PB	Ped and Bike	Install/upgrade pedestrian crossing at uncontrolled locations (with enhanced safety features)	Р&В	35%	20	90%	Medium
NS24PB	Ped and Bike	Install Rectangular Rapid Flashing Beacon (RRFB)	Р&В	35%	20	90%	Medium
NS25PB	Ped and Bike	Install Pedestrian Signal (including Pedestrian Hybrid Beacon (HAWK))	Р&В	55%	20	90%	Low

#### Table 2. Countermeasures for Non-Signalized Intersections

No.	Туре	Countermeasure Name	Crash Type	CRF	Expected Life (Years)	HSIP Funding Eligibility	Systemic Approach Opportunity?
R01NT	Lighting	Add segment lighting		35%	20	90%	Medium
R02	Remove/ Shield Obstacles	Remove or relocate fixed objects outside of Clear Recovery Zone		35%	20	90%	High
R03	Remove/ Shield Obstacles	Install Median Barrier	All	25%	20	90%	Medium
R04	Remove/ Shield Obstacles	Install Guardrail	All	25%	20	90%	High
R05	Remove/ Shield Obstacles	Install impact attenuators	All	25%	10	90%	High
R06	Remove/ Shield Obstacles	Flatten side slopes	All	30%	20	90%	Medium
R07	Remove/ Shield Obstacles	Flatten side slopes and remove guardrail	All	40%	20	90%	Medium
R08	Geometric Mod.	Install raised median	All	25%	20	90%	Medium
R09	Geometric Mod.	Install median (flush)		15%	20	90%	Medium
R10PB	Geometric Mod.	Install pedestrian median fencing on approaches	Р&В	35%	20	90%	Low
R11	Geometric Mod.	Install acceleration/ deceleration lanes	All	25%	20	90%	Low
R12	Geometric Mod.	Widen lane (initially less than 10 ft)	All	25%	20	90%	Medium
R13	Geometric Mod.	Add two-way left-turn lane	All	30%	20	90%	Medium
R14	Geometric Mod.	Road Diet (Reduce travel lanes-and add a two way left-turn and bike lanes)	All	35%	20	90%	Medium
R15	Geometric Mod.	Widen shoulder	All	30%	20	90%	Medium
R16	Geometric Mod.	Curve Shoulder widening (Outside Only)	All	45%	20	90%	Medium
R17	Geometric Mod.	Improve horizontal alignment (flatten curves)	All	50%	20	90%	Low
R18	Geometric Mod.	Flatten crest vertical curve		25%	20	90%	Low
R19	Geometric Mod.	Improve curve superelevation		45%	20	90%	Medium
R20	Geometric Mod.	Convert from two-way to one-way traffic	All	35%	20	90%	Medium
R21	Geometric Mod.	Improve pavement friction (High Friction Surface Treatments)	All	55%	10	90%	High

### Table 3. Countermeasures for Roadways

No.	Туре	Countermeasure Name	Crash Type	CRF	Expected Life (Years)	HSIP Funding Eligibility	Systemic Approach Opportunity?
R22	Operation/ Warning	Install/Upgrade signs with new fluorescent sheeting (regulatory or warning)	All	15%	10	90%	Very High
R23	Operation/ Warning	Install chevron signs on horizontal curves	All	40%	10	90%	Very High
R24	Operation/ Warning	Install curve advance warning signs	All	25%	10	90%	Very High
R25	Operation/ Warning	Install curve advance warning signs (flashing beacon)	All	30%	10	90%	High
R26	Operation/ Warning	Install dynamic/variable speed warning signs	All	30%	10	90%	High
R27	Operation/ Warning	Install delineators, reflectors and/or object markers	All	15%	10	90%	Very High
R28	Operation/ Warning	Install edge-lines and centerlines		25%	10	90%	Very High
R29	Operation/ Warning	Install no-passing line		45%	10	90%	Very High
R30	Operation/ Warning	Install centerline rumble strips/stripes	All	20%	10	90%	High
R31	Operation/ Warning	Install edgeline rumble strips/stripes	All	15%	10	90%	High
R32	Operation/ Warning	Speed Safety Cameras	All	20%	10	90%	High
R33PB	Ped and Bike	Install bike lanes	Р&В	35%	20	90%	High
R34PB	Ped and Bike	Install Separated Bike Lanes	Р&В	45%	20	90%	High
R35PB	Ped and Bike	Install sidewalk/pathway (to avoid walking along roadway)	Р&В	80%	20	90%	Medium
R36PB	Ped and Bike	Install/upgrade pedestrian crossing (with enhanced safety features)	Р&В	35%	20	90%	Medium
R37PB	Ped and Bike	Install raised pedestrian crossing	Р&В	35%	20	90%	Medium
R38PB	Ped and Bike	Install Rectangular Rapid Flashing Beacon (RRFB)	P & B	35%	20	90%	Medium
R39AL	Animal	Install animal fencing	Animal	80%	20	90%	Medium

### Table 3. Countermeasures for Roadways (Continued)

Appendix B: Detailed Tables of Countermeasures (From Local Roadway Safety Manual)

## **B.1** Intersection Countermeasures – Signalized

ino intersection righting (signalized intersection = > 5.1.)							
For HSIP Cycle 12 Call-for-projects							
Fui	nding Eligibility	Cra	sh Types Addressed		CRF	Expected Life	
	90%		"night" crashes		40%	20 years	
Notes: This CM only applies to "night" crashes (all types) occurring within limits of the proposed							
	roadway lighting 'en	gineered' area.					
		Ge	neral information				
Where to us	e:						
Signalized intersections that have a disproportionate number of night-time crashes and do not currently provide lighting at the intersection or at its approaches. Crash data should be studied to ensure that safety at the intersection could be improved by providing lighting (this strategy would be supported by a significant number of crashes that occur at night). <b>Why it works:</b> Providing lighting at the intersection itself, or both at the intersection and on its approaches, improves the safety of an intersection during nighttime conditions by (1) making drivers more aware of the surroundings at an intersection, which improves drivers' perception-reaction times, (2) enhancing drivers' available sight distances, and (3) improving the visibility of non-motorists. Intersection lighting is of particular benefit to non-motorized users. Lighting not only helps them navigate the							
,	but also helps drivers see lities (Time, Cost and Effe						
A lighting project can usually be completed relatively quickly, but generally requires at least 1 year to implement because the lighting system must be designed and the provision of electrical power must be arranged. The provision of lighting involves both a fixed cost for lighting installation and an ongoing maintenance and power cost which results in a moderate to high cost. Some locations can result in high B/C ratios, but due to higher costs, these projects often result in medium to low B/C ratios.							
FHWA CMF	Clearinghouse: Crash Ty	pes Addressed:	Night, All	C	CRF: 2	20-74%	

## SI01NT, Add intersection lighting (Signalized Intersection => S.I.)

# SI02, Improve signal hardware: lenses, back-plates with retroreflective borders, mounting, size, and number

For HSIP Cycle 12 Call-for-projects							
Fur	nding Eligibility	Crash Ty	pes Addressed	CRF	Expected Life		
	90%		All	15%	10 years		
Notes: This CM only applies to crashes occurring on the approaches / influence area of the upgraded signals. This CM does not apply to improvements like "battery backup systems", which do not provide better intersection/signal visibility or help drivers negotiate the intersection (unless applying past crashes that occurred when the signal lost power). If new signal mast arms are part of the proposed project, CM "S2" should not be used and the signal improvements would be included under CM "S7".							
		Gei	neral information				
Where to us	se:						
traffic signa include new	ls sufficiently in advance t LED lighting, signal back I heads, relocation of the	o safely negotiate t plates, retro-reflect	he intersection being appr ive tape outlining the back	roached.	g because drivers are unable to see Signal intersection improvements or visors to increase signal visibility,		
Providing be	etter visibility of intersecti	-			upcoming intersection. Visibility and		
clarity of the signal should be improved without creating additional confusion for drivers. General Qualities (Time, Cost and Effectiveness): Installation costs and time should be minimal as these type strategies are classified as low cost and implementation does not typically require the approval process normally associated with more complex projects. When considered at a single location, these low cost improvements are usually funded through local funding by local maintenance crews. However, This CM can be effectively and efficiently implemented using a systematic approach with numerous locations, resulting in low to moderate cost projects that are more appropriate to seek state or federal funding.							
FHWA CMF	Clearinghouse: Crash	Types Addressed:	Rear-End, Angle	CF	RF: 0-46%		

For HSIP Cycle 12 Call-for-projects								
Fur	ding Eligibility	Crash T	ypes Addressed	CRF	Expected Life			
	50%		All	15%	10 years			
Notes:This CM only applies to crashes occurring on the approaches / influence area of the new signal timing. For projects coordination signals along a corridor, the crashes related to side-street movements should not be applied. This CM does not apply to projects that only 'study' the signal network and do not make physical timing changes, including corridor operational studies and improvements to Traffic Operation Centers (TOCs). In Caltrans calls for projects, this CM has a HSIP reimbursement ratio of 50%, considering that it will improve the signal operation rather than merely the safety.								
		Ge	neral information					
Where to us	se:							
		-			rdinating signals at multiple locations. ppropriate strategy for improving			
Why it worl	<b>(S:</b>							
					etimes capacity improvements come			
					occur. Corridor improvements often			
-			-		improvements (without a separate			
	nal timing safety needs)		reduction in future crash	es.				
	alities (Time, Cost and Ef			I				
					mented in a short time. Typically these			
					wever, some projects requiring new te to seek state or federal funding.			
	ed effectiveness of this Cl	-	-		te to seek state of rederar funding.			
		Types Addressed:	All		RF: 0-41%			

### SI03, Improve signal timing (coordination, phases, red, yellow, or operation)

## SI04EV, Install emergency vehicle pre-emption systems

For HSIF	<b>P Cycle 12 Call-f</b>	or-projects			
Funding <b>F</b>	Eligibility	Crash Types	Addressed	CRF	Expected Life
90%		Emergency V	ehicle - only	70%	10 years
Notes:	This CM only app new pre-emption		shes occurring on the	approache	s / influence area of the
		Ge	neral information		
Where to us	se:				
potential for Why it work Providing er any type of out of the p	r erratic maneuvers of v (s: nergency vehicle preen crash could occur as en ath of the emergency v	rehicles moving out of option capability at a nergency vehicles try ehicles. In addition, a	to navigate through interse	ehicles an be a highly e ections and as c can decrease e	effective strategy in two ways; other vehicles try to maneuver mergency vehicle response
	U	0 0			ibining the E.V. pre-emption
			akes significant signal hard		
General Qua	alities (Time, Cost and	Effectiveness):			
Costs for ins	tallation of a signal pre	emption system will	vary from medium to high,	based upon th	e number of signalized
			÷ ,		utfitted with the technology.
					ption system could increase
			plemented on a corridor-ba		
FHWA CMF	Clearinghouse: Cras	h Types Addressed:	Emergency Vehicle - only	CRF: 7	0%

For HSI	P Cycle 12 Ca	ll-for	-projects		
Funding	Eligibility		Crash Types Addressed	CRF	Expected Life
90%			All	55%	20 years
Notes:	This CM only	appli	es to crashes occurring on the appro	oaches / infl	uence area of the new
	left turn lanes	s. This	CM does NOT apply to converting	a single-left	into double-left turn.
			General information		
Where to u	se:				
		,	ve a left turn lane or a related left-turn phase	•	0 0
			blems can be traced to difficulties in accomm	-	
			dation for left turning traffic. A key strategy for		
			s to provide exclusive left-turn lanes and the a ad approaches. Agencies need to document		
-		-	menting protected left-turn phases.		tion of the woreb, section
Why it wor	,				
			t-turn and through-traffic streams, thus reduc		
			ortunity for drivers to make a left-turn. The c		
-	has the potential to	reduce	e many collisions between left-turning vehicle	s and through v	vehicles and/or non-motorized
road users.		//			
	alities (Time, Cost a				
			nonths to years. At some locations, left-turn l		
			tions, widening of the roadway, acquisition of	-	-
			ded. Such projects require a substantial time		
	-	-	w to high. Installing a protected left turn lan en highly effective.	e and phase wr	tere none exists results in a
			ypes Addressed: All	CRF: 1	7 - 58 %

SI05, Install left-turn lane and add turn phase (signal has no left-turn lane or phase before)

	P Cycle 12 C	all-for	- ·					
Funding E	Eligibility		Crash Types	Addressed	(	CRF	Expe	cted Life
90%			All		3	30%	20 ye	ars
Notes:	This CM only	y appli	es to crashes o	ccurring on the a	approa	iches / in	fluence	area of the new
	left turn pha	ises. Th	is CM does NC	T apply to conve	erting a	a single-l	eft into	double-left turn
	(unless the s	single l	eft is unprotec	ted and the prop	osed d	louble lef	t will be	e protected).
			Ge	neral information				
Where to us	se:							
Signalized in	tersections (with	existing	left turns pockets)	that currently have a	a permis	sive left-tur	n or no le	ft-turn protection that
have a high	frequency of ang	le crashe	s involving left tur	ning, opposing throug	gh vehicl	les, and nor	n-motorize	ed road users. A
properly tim	ned protected left	-turn pha	ase can also help r	educe rear-end and si	ideswipe	e crashes be	etween lei	t-turning vehicles and
the through	vehicles as well a	as vehicle	s behind them. Pr	otected left-turn phas	ses are v	warranted b	ased on s	uch factors as turning
volumes, de	lay, visibility, opp	osing ve	hicle speed, distan	ce to travel through t	the inter	section, pre	esence of	non-motorized road
			-		nt their c	onsideratio	n of the N	1UTCD, Section 4D.19
-		olementi	ng protected left-t	urn phases.				
Why it work	(S:							
								cted left-turn phases
				ement) for signalized				
	•			by removing the need			-	
-				oockets are not prote		•		
				ocused on navigating	the gaps	s of oncomi	ng cars ma	ay not anticipate
	eive the non-mot							
	alities (Time, Cos							
				ation to allow for a p		•		
				hort because there is				
-		•		e the proper signal p	-			
	e countermeasur	e is tried	and proven to be e	effective. Has the pot	tential o	t being app	lied on a s	ystemic/systematic
approach.			ypes Addressed:					
	Clearinghouse:	Crack		Rear-End, Sideswip			CRF:	16 - 99%

## SI06, Provide protected left turn phase (left turn lane already exists)

## SI07, Convert signal to mast arm (from pedestal-mounted)

101 11511	Cycle 12 Ca	all-for-projects			
Funding <b>E</b>	ligibility	Crash Types	Addressed	CRF	Expected Life
90%		All		30%	20 years
Notes:	This CM only	applies to crashes o	ccurring on the ap	proaches / ir	nfluence area of the
	converted si	gnal heads that are re	elocated from med	lian and/or o	utside shoulder
	pedestals to	signal heads on mast	er arms over the t	ravel-lanes.	Projects using CM "S7"
	should not a	lso apply "S2" in the	B/C calc.		
		Ge	neral information		
Where to us	e:				
frequency of negotiate th not being at to directly o	f right-angle and in e intersection. In the to stop in time aver the center of	rear-end crashes occurring tersections that have pede	because drivers are un estal-mounted signals n should be taken to plac	able to see traffination average the second se	side shoulder) that have a high c signals in advance to safely ibility and can result in vehicles heads (with back plates) as close
Why it work					
-	•	ntersection signs and signal nal should be improved wit			f the upcoming intersection. istraction for drivers.
General Qua	alities (Time, Cost	and Effectiveness):			
			-		here is usually no right-of-way
	•				e same time, new mast arms
can be expe to low B/C ra		tions can result in high B/C	ratios, but due to mod	erate costs, some	e locations may result in medium
TO IOW B/C 1	atios.				

For HSIF	<b>P</b> Cycle 12 Ca	all-for	-projects			
Funding E	Eligibility		Crash Types	Addressed	CRF	Expected Life
90%			All		10%	10 years
Notes:	This CM only	y appli	es to crashes o	ccurring in the inters	ection and	l influence areas of the
	new paveme	ent mai	rkers and/or n	narkings.		
			Ge	neral information		
Where to us	se:					
Intersection	s where the lane	designat	ions are not clearly	y visible to approaching mo	torists and/o	r intersections noted as being
complex and	d experiencing cra	ashes tha	t could be attribut	ed to a driver's unsuccessfu	ul attempt to	navigate the intersection.
		0	0 1 1	•	0	not line up. This is especially
			•	ea of the intersection is larg	ge, and multi	ple turning lanes are involved or
-	iliar elements are	e present	ted to the driver.			
Why it work						
				rough complex intersection		
-						euvers. Providing more effective
-	-	tion will	minimize the likeli	hood of a vehicle leaving its	s appropriate	lane and encroaching upon an
adjacent lan						
	alities (Time, Cost					
	-					plying raised pavement markers
				l largely by the material use	•	0 11 1
			0 /1	delineators, an issue of con		
						the local agency is expected to
						nese low cost improvements are
-	-	-	•	ce crews. However, This C		
•	<b>o</b> ,	•••		us locations, resulting in mo	oderate cost	projects that are more
	to seek state or f		<u> </u>			40. 000/
FHWA CMF	Clearinghouse:	Crash T	ypes Addressed:	Wet, Night, All	CRF:	10 - 33%

## SI08, Install raised pavement markers and striping (Through Intersection)

## SI09, Install flashing beacons as advance warning (S.I.)

For HSII	<b>P</b> Cycle 12 Ca	ll-for-p	orojects			
Funding H	Eligibility	C	Crash Types A	Addressed	CRF	Expected Life
90%		A	All		30%	10 years
Notes:	This CM only flashing beac		to crashes o	ccurring on the appro	oaches / in	fluence area of the new
			Gei	neral information		
Where to us	se:					
U U	d intersections with ol device in time to		hat are a result	of drivers being unaware o	of the intersec	tion or are unable to see the
Why it work	(S:					
awareness of when the dr flashing bea	of both downstrear river is unable to pe cons can be used t	n intersect erceive an o supplem	tions and traffic intersection, signent and call driv	intersection and an increa control devices is critical to nal head or the back of a s ver attention to intersectio the issues relating to pow	o intersection topped queue n control sign	safety. Crashes often occur e in time to react. Advance
General Qua	alities (Time, Cost	and Effect	iveness):			
beacons car combined w effectivenes	h be constructed wi with a relatively high s.	ith minima h CRF, can	al design, enviro result in high B,	nmental and right-of-way i /Cs for locations with a hist	ssues and hav ory of crashe	
	-	Crash Typ	es Addressed:	Rear End, Angle	CRF:	36 - 62%

#### SI10, Improve pavement friction (High Friction Surface Treatments)

For HSIF	<b>Cycle 12 Ca</b>	ll-for-projects			
Funding E	Eligibility	Crash Types A	Addressed	CRF	Expected Life
90%		All		55%	10 years
Notes:	overlay. This maintenance	CM is not intended	ccurring within the li to apply to standard o ments of corridors of	chip-seal	or open-graded
		Gei	neral information		
Where to us	se:				
Nationally, t	his countermeasur	e is referred to as "High F	riction Surface Treatments'	' or HFST. Si	gnalized Intersections noted as
having crash	es on wet paveme	nts or under dry conditior	is when the pavement frict	ion availabl	e is significantly less than needed
		•	-		kidding and failure to stop is
determined	to be a problem in	wet or dry conditions and	I the target vehicle is unabl	e to stop dı	ie to insufficient skid resistance.
Why it work	(S:				
Improving th	ne skid resistance a	t locations with high frequent	uencies of wet-road crashes	s and/or fai	ure to stop crashes can result in
reductions of	of 50 percent for we	et-road crashes and 20 pe	rcent for total crashes. App	plying HFST	can double friction numbers, e.g.
low 40s to h	igh 80s. This CM re	epresents a special focus a	area for both FHWA and Ca	ltrans, whic	h means there are extra
resources av	vailable for agencie	s interested in more detai	Is on High Friction Surface	Treatment	projects.
General Qua	alities (Time, Cost a	and Effectiveness):			
This strategy	/ can be relatively i	nexpensive and implemer	nted in a short timeframe. T	The installat	ion would be done by either
agency pers	onnel or contractor	rs and can be done by har	d or machine. In general, 1	This CM can	be very effective and can be
considered of	on a systematic app	proach.			
FHWA CMF	Clearinghouse:	Crash Types Addressed:	Wet, Night, ALL	CRF:	10 - 62 %

## SI11, Install raised median on approaches (S.I.)

## For HSIP Cycle 12 Call-for-projects

	-			ODE	
Funding E	ligibility	Crash Types	Addressed	CRF	Expected Life
90%		All		25%	20 years
Notes:	-		• • • •		nfluence area of the new
					ng should not include the
	removal of th	ne existing roadway s	structural section an	d should b	e doweled into the
	existing road	lway surface. This re	quirement is being i	implement	ed to maximize the
	safety-effecti	veness of the limited	HSIP funding and t	o minimize	e project impacts.
	5	, if included in the pr	0		
		Ge	neral information		
Where to us	se:				
Application of movement.	of this CM should	turning movement crashes be based on current crash			
Why it work					
		rn lanes at intersections of			
	-	ntersections. The raised m	edians prohibit left turns	into and out	of driveways that may be located
		and Effectiveness):			
		ns may be most effective in	retrofit situations where	high volume	s of turning vehicles have
					use of limited right-of-way and
					e considered on a systematic
					encies opt to install landscaping
in conjunctio	on with new raised	d medians, the portion of t	he cost for landscaping ar	nd other non-	safety related items that exceeds
100/ - f +	reject total cost in	and federally narticinated	and must be funded by t	he annlicant	
10% of the p		s not reactally participated	and mast be randed by t	ne applicant.	

## SI12PB, Install pedestrian median fencing on approaches

For HSI	P Cycle 12 Ca	ll-for-projects			
Funding I	Eligibility	Crash Types	Addressed	CRF	Expected Life
90%		Pedestrian ar	nd Bicycle	35%	20 years
Notes:	-	applies to "Ped & Bi edestrian median fen		g on the app	proaches/influence area
	· · ·	Gei	neral information		
Where to u	se:				
0	continuous pedesti	this safety issue cannot be rian barrier in the median	0	ng and should	er/sidewalk treatments, then
Adding ped involving pe	estrian median fen edestrians running/	darting across the roadwa	y outside the intersection	crossings. Ped	noted as being problematic estrian median fencing can gnated pedestrian crossing.
General Qu	alities (Time, Cost	and Effectiveness):			
		togy will yany widely deno	ding on the type and place	amont of the n	
transit and		y need to be considered a	0 // /		nedian fencing. Impacts to ation. In general, this CM can

## SI13, Create directional median openings to allow (and restrict) left-turns and U-turns (S.I.)

For HSIF	P Cycle 12 Call-for	r-projects		
Funding <b>F</b>	Eligibility	Crash Types Addressed	CRF	Expected Life
90%		All	50%	20 years
Notes:	This CM only appli directional openin	es to crashes occurring in the i gs.	intersection / in	nfluence area of the new
		General information		
Where to us	se:			
crashes. If a best way to Why it work	ny of these crash types ar improve the safety of the ks:		n or elimination of t	he turning maneuver may be the
number of a	access points, coupled wit fecting turning movemen	d out of an intersection can help reduc h the speed differential between vehic ts by either allowing them or restricting	les traveling along t	he roadway, contributes to
General Qua	alities (Time, Cost and Ef	ectiveness):		
•	•	ed by closing a median opening can be		,
•		businesses and other land uses must l		
	-	can be very effective and can be consi		
FHWA CMF	Clearinghouse: Crash	Types Addressed: All	CRF:	51%

## SI14, Install right-turn lane (S.I.)

For HSIF	<b>P</b> Cycle 12 Call-f	or-projects			
Funding <b>F</b>	Eligibility	Crash Types	Addressed	CRF	Expected Life
90%		All		15%	20 years
Notes:	This CM only app	olies to crashes o	ccurring on the appr	oaches / inf	luence area of the new
	right-turn lanes.				
		Ge	neral information		
Where to us	se:				
					ear-end collisions on a single
		-	uld be assessed on an indivi		-
signalized in	tersections are related	to right-turn maneu	vers. It is also important to	ensure that the	e right-turn lanes are of
sufficient ler	ngth to allow vehicles t	o decelerate and "qu	eue up" before turning, ide	ally without af	fecting the flow of through
traffic. Whe	n considering new righ	t-turn lanes, potentia	l impacts to non-motorized	l users should b	be considered and mitigated as
appropriate					
Why it work	(S:				
The provisio	n of right-turn lanes ca	n minimize collisions	between vehicles turning r	ight and follow	ving vehicles, particularly on
high-volume	e and high-speed major	roads. Installation of	f a right turn lane at a signa	lized intersection	on is expected to reduce total
crashes and	improve overall interse	ection delay.			
General Qua	alities (Time, Cost and	Effectiveness):			
Implementi	ng this strategy may tal	e from months to ye	ars. At some locations, righ	it-turn lanes ca	n be quickly and simply
installed by	restriping the roadway	. At other locations, w	videning of the roadway, a	cquisition of ad	ditional right-of-way, and
extensive er	vironmental processes	may be needed. Suc	h projects require a substa	ntial time for d	evelopment and construction.
Costs are hig	ghly variable and range	from very low to hig	h. The expected effectivene	ess of this CM n	nust be assessed for each
individual lo	cation.				

## SI15, Reduced Left-Turn Conflict Intersections (S.I.)

	7];_;],;];;_,	ll-for-projects	A d d	CDF	Ermente d Life
	Eligibility	Crash Types	Addressed	CRF	Expected Life
90%		All		50%	20 years
Notes:		applies to crashes o -Turn Conflict.	occurring in the inte	ersection / i	nfluence area of the new
		G	eneral information		
Where to u	se and Why it wor	ks:			
left-turn mo <b>Restricted (</b> The RCUT ir makes a rig direction. The RCUT is routes. It al	wements are know Crossing U-turn (RC Itersection modifie Int turn followed by suitable for a varie so can be used as a a corridor, but also	In as the restricted crossi CUT): Is the direct left-turn and If a U-turn at a designated ety of circumstances, incl In alternative to signaliza	ing U-turn (RCUT) and the I through movements from I location (either signalized Iuding along rural, high-sp	e median U-tur m cross-street a ed or unsignaliz beed, four-lane terchange. RCU	on U-turns to complete certain n (MUT). approaches. Minor road traffic ed) to continue in the desired , divided highways or signalized JTs work well when consistently
make a U-tu modifying t The MUT is multiple int	tersection modifies irn a short distance ne cross-street left an excellent choice ersections along a	e downstream, followed l turns. e for heavily traveled inte	by a right turn at the mai ersections with moderate o-phase signal operation	n intersection. left-turn volun	hrough the main intersection, The U-turns can also be used for nes. When implemented at n reduce delay, improve travel
make a U-tu modifying t The MUT is multiple int times, and o	tersection modifies irn a short distance ne cross-street left an excellent choice ersections along a	e downstream, followed l turns. e for heavily traveled inte corridor, the efficient tw ng opportunities for pede	by a right turn at the mai ersections with moderate o-phase signal operation	n intersection. left-turn volun	The U-turns can also be used for nes. When implemented at
make a U-tu modifying t The MUT is multiple int times, and o	tersection modifies irn a short distance ne cross-street left an excellent choice ersections along a create more crossin	e downstream, followed l turns. e for heavily traveled inte corridor, the efficient tw ng opportunities for pede	by a right turn at the mai ersections with moderate o-phase signal operation	n intersection. left-turn volun	The U-turns can also be used for nes. When implemented at
make a U-tu modifying t The MUT is multiple int times, and o MUT and I	tersection modifies inn a short distance ne cross-street left an excellent choice ersections along a create more crossin RCUT Can Reduce Com MUT Conflict Points	e downstream, followed l turns. e for heavily traveled inte corridor, the efficient tw ng opportunities for pede flict Points by 50%	by a right turn at the mai ersections with moderate o-phase signal operation	n intersection. left-turn volun	The U-turns can also be used for nes. When implemented at
make a U-tu modifying t The MUT is multiple int times, and o MUT and I <u>conventional</u>	tersection modifies urn a short distance ne cross-street left an excellent choice ersections along a create more crossin <b>CUT Can Reduce Com</b> MUT Conflict Points Crossing Omerging O	e downstream, followed i turns. e for heavily traveled inte corridor, the efficient two ng opportunities for pede flict Points by 50%	by a right turn at the mai ersections with moderate o-phase signal operation	n intersection. left-turn volun	The U-turns can also be used for nes. When implemented at
make a U-tu modifying t The MUT is multiple int times, and o MUT and I <u>conventional</u>	tersection modifies urn a short distance the cross-street left an excellent choice ersections along a treate more crossin <b>CUT Can Reduce Com</b> MUT Conflict Points • Crossing • Merging •	e downstream, followed i turns. e for heavily traveled inte corridor, the efficient two ng opportunities for pede flict Points by 50%	by a right turn at the mai ersections with moderate o-phase signal operation estrians and bicyclists.	n intersection. left-turn volun of the MUT car	The U-turns can also be used for nes. When implemented at
make a U-tu modifying t The MUT is multiple int times, and o <u>MUT and I</u> <u>Conventional</u> <u>General Qu</u> Implementi require a su	tersection modifies inn a short distance the cross-street left an excellent choice ersections along a create more crossin <b>CUT Can Reduce Com</b> <b>CUT Can Reduce Com</b> <b>CUT Can Reduce Com</b> <b>CUT Can Reduce Com</b> <b>Conflict Points</b> <b>Conflict Points</b> <b>Confl</b>	e downstream, followed i turns. e for heavily traveled inte corridor, the efficient two ng opportunities for pede flict Points by 50%	by a right turn at the mai ersections with moderate o-phase signal operation estrians and bicyclists. ears, depending on whet uction. Costs are highly v	n intersection. left-turn volun of the MUT car her additional F ariable and ran	The U-turns can also be used for nes. When implemented at n reduce delay, improve travel
make a U-tu modifying t The MUT is multiple int times, and o <u>MUT and I</u> <u>Conventional</u> <u>General Qu</u> Implementi require a su	tersection modifies inn a short distance the cross-street left an excellent choice ersections along a create more crossin <b>CUT Can Reduce Com</b> <b>CUT Can Reduce Com</b> <b>CUT Can Reduce Com</b> <b>CUT Can Reduce Com</b> <b>Conflict Points</b> <b>Conflict Points</b> <b>Confl</b>	e downstream, followed i turns. e for heavily traveled inte corridor, the efficient two ng opportunities for pede flict Points by 50%	by a right turn at the mai ersections with moderate o-phase signal operation estrians and bicyclists.	n intersection. left-turn volun of the MUT car her additional F ariable and ran	The U-turns can also be used for nes. When implemented at n reduce delay, improve travel

## SI16RA, Convert intersection to roundabout (from signal)

	P Cycle 12 Call-fo	or-projects		
Funding	Eligibility	Crash Types Addressed	CRF	Expected Life
90%		All	Varies	20 years
Notes:	CM is not intende The benefit of thi the ADT, project l	lies to crashes occurring in influe d for compact roundabouts (SI1 s CM is calculated using Caltrans ocation (Rural/Urban) and the r m both the reduction in the num	7RA). procedure. The oundabout type	e CRF is dependent on e (1 lane or 2 lanes). The
		General information		
Where to u	ise:			
Signalized in	ntersections that have a	significant crash problem and the only alt v effective at intersections with complex g		
Signalized in itself. Rour movements Why it wor	ntersections that have a ndabouts can also be ver s. <b>:ks:</b>	° , ,	eometry and inters	ections with frequent left-turn
Signalized in itself. Rour movements <b>Why it wor</b> The types o conflicts fro to reduce s reduce the	ntersections that have a ndabouts can also be ver s. <b>ks:</b> of conflicts that occur at r om crossing and left-turn peeds as they proceed th	effective at intersections with complex g oundabouts are different from those occu movements are not present in a roundab rough the intersection. This helps keep th they do occur. Pedestrians only have to o	eometry and inters urring at convention out. The geometry he range of vehicle s	ections with frequent left-turn nal intersections; namely, of a roundabout forces drivers speed narrow, which helps
Signalized in itself. Rour movements Why it wor The types o conflicts fro to reduce sp reduce the roundabout	ntersections that have a ndabouts can also be ver s. <b>ks:</b> of conflicts that occur at r om crossing and left-turn peeds as they proceed th severity of crashes wher	v effective at intersections with complex go oundabouts are different from those occu movements are not present in a roundab rough the intersection. This helps keep th they do occur. Pedestrians only have to o tential for conflicts.	eometry and inters urring at convention out. The geometry he range of vehicle s	ections with frequent left-turn nal intersections; namely, of a roundabout forces drivers speed narrow, which helps
Signalized in itself. Rour movements Why it wor The types o conflicts fro to reduce sp reduce the roundabout General Qu Provision of site to site a variable, bu	ntersections that have a ndabouts can also be ver s. <b>:ks:</b> of conflicts that occur at r om crossing and left-turn peeds as they proceed th severity of crashes when ts, thus reducing their po <b>valities (Time, Cost and E</b> f a roundabout requires and depends upon the ge ut construction of a round	v effective at intersections with complex go oundabouts are different from those occu movements are not present in a roundab rough the intersection. This helps keep th they do occur. Pedestrians only have to o tential for conflicts.	rring at convention out. The geometry ne range of vehicle s cross one direction d to acquire right-o lire up to 4 years on	ections with frequent left-turn nal intersections; namely, of a roundabout forces drivers speed narrow, which helps of traffic at a time at f-way is likely and will vary from longer to implement. Costs are

## SI17RA, Convert intersection to compact roundabout (from signal)

	P Cycle 12 Ca	all-for-projects			
Funding I	Eligibility	Crash Types	Addressed	CRF	Expected Life
90%		All		Varies	20 years
Notes:	new control. dependent o	The benefit of this C n the ADT and the pr	M is calculated using	Caltrans <sub>]</sub> /Urban). 7	d/or influence area of the procedure. The CRF is The benefit comes from s.
		Ge	neral information		
Where to u	se:				
			cases existing curb or sidev	valk can he l	eft in place. As a result, compact
roundabout design vehic Compact ro very low vel issue for thi	s rarely require th cle assumptions, a undabouts are int hicle speeds to ma s type of roundab	e purchase of right of way bility to process traffic vol- ended to be pedestrian an	<ul> <li>Compact roundabouts are umes, and signing.</li> <li>Id bicyclist-friendly because</li> </ul>	similar to si their perpe	
roundabout design vehic Compact ro very low vel issue for thi Why it wor	s rarely require th cle assumptions, a undabouts are int hicle speeds to ma s type of roundab <b>ks:</b>	e purchase of right of way bility to process traffic vol- ended to be pedestrian an ake a distinct right turn into out to be considered.	<ul> <li>Compact roundabouts are umes, and signing.</li> <li>d bicyclist-friendly because</li> <li>o and out of the circulatory</li> </ul>	similar to si their perper roadway. Ca	ngle-lane roundabouts regarding ndicular approach legs require pacity should not be a critical
roundabout design vehic Compact ro very low vel issue for thi <b>Why it worl</b> Compact ro insufficient operational	s rarely require the cle assumptions, a undabouts are int hicle speeds to ma s type of roundab ks: undabouts may be right-of-way for a efficiency, traffic	e purchase of right of way bility to process traffic vol- ended to be pedestrian an ake a distinct right turn into out to be considered. e an optimal solution for a standard roundabout insta safety improvement and to	r. Compact roundabouts are umes, and signing. Id bicyclist-friendly because o and out of the circulatory safety or operational issue allation. The benefits of con	similar to si their perper roadway. Ca at an existin	ngle-lane roundabouts regardin
roundabout design vehic Compact ro very low vel issue for thi Why it worl Compact ro insufficient operational General Qu	s rarely require the cle assumptions, a undabouts are int hicle speeds to ma s type of roundab ks: undabouts may be right-of-way for a efficiency, traffic alities (Time, Cost	e purchase of right of way bility to process traffic vol- ended to be pedestrian an ake a distinct right turn into out to be considered. e an optimal solution for a standard roundabout insta safety improvement and to and Effectiveness):	r. Compact roundabouts are umes, and signing. Id bicyclist-friendly because o and out of the circulatory safety or operational issue allation. The benefits of con raffic Calming.	similar to si their perper roadway. Ca at an existin npact round	pacity should not be a critical g intersection where there is abouts are the Compact size,
roundabout design vehic Compact ro very low vel issue for thi Why it worl Compact ro insufficient operational General Qu Constructio geometric in	s rarely require the cle assumptions, a undabouts are int hicle speeds to ma s type of roundab ks: undabouts may be right-of-way for a efficiency, traffic alities (Time, Cost n costs for compa mprovements and nt widening. Const	te purchase of right of way bility to process traffic vol- ended to be pedestrian an ake a distinct right turn into out to be considered. e an optimal solution for a standard roundabout insta safety improvement and the <b>and Effectiveness):</b> ct roundabouts vary wideh the types of materials use	<ul> <li>Compact roundabouts are umes, and signing.</li> <li>Id bicyclist-friendly because o and out of the circulatory</li> <li>safety or operational issue allation. The benefits of con raffic Calming.</li> <li>y depending upon the exterted. In most cases, compact r</li> </ul>	similar to si their perper roadway. Ca at an existin npact round nt of sidewal roundabouts	ngle-lane roundabouts regarding ndicular approach legs require spacity should not be a critical g intersection where there is abouts are the Compact size,

## SI18PB, Install pedestrian countdown signal heads

For HSIF	P Cycle 12 Ca	ll-for	-projects			
Funding <b>E</b>	Eligibility		Crash Types .	Addressed	CRF	Expected Life
90%			Pedestrian a	nd Bicycle	25%	20 years
Notes:	This CM only	appli	es to "Ped & Bi	ke" crashes occurring	g in the inte	rsection/crossing with
	the new coun	tdow	n heads.			
			Ge	neral information		
Where to us	se:					
Signals that	have signalized pe	destriar	n crossing with wal	k/don't walk indicators and	d where there h	nave been pedestrian vs.
vehicle crash	nes.					
Why it work	(S:					
				and counts down the numb		
						OON'T WALK" interval appears
				gnals begin counting down		
-			•			terval. These signals also have
	-			oushbutton rather than jayv	walk.	
General Qua	alities (Time, Cost	and Eff	ectiveness):			
Costs and ti	me of installation v	vill vary	based on the num	ber of intersections include	ed in this strate	egy and if it requires new
signal contro	ollers capable of ac	commo	odating the enhand	ement. When considered a	at a single locat	ion, these low cost
improvemen	nts are usually fund	ded thro	ough local funding	by local crews. However, T	his CM can be	effectively and efficiently
implemente	d using a systemat	ic appro	bach with numero	us locations, resulting in mo	oderate cost pr	ojects that are more
appropriate	to seek state or fe	deral fu	ınding.			
FHWA CMF	Clearinghouse:	Crash T	ypes Addressed:	Pedestrian, Bicycle	CRF: 2	5%

#### SI19PB, Install pedestrian crossing (S.I.)

For HSII	P Cycle 12 Call-for	-projects		
Funding I	Eligibility	Crash Types Addressed	CRF	Expected Life
90%		Pedestrian and Bicycle	25%	20 years
Notes:	This CM only appli	es to "Ped & Bike" crashes occurrir	ig in the inte	rsection/crossing with
		This CM is not intended to be used	•	, .
		ntersection crosswalks (i.e. stampe	•	
		General information		
Where to us	se:			
Signalized Ir	ntersections with no mark	ed crossing and pedestrian signal heads, whe	ere pedestrians	are known to be crossing
	Ũ	urning movements. They are especially impo		
-		and split phases, (2) school crossings, and (		
signalized in	tersections, pedestrian cr	ossings are often safer when the left turns h	ave protected p	hases that do not overlap the
pedestrian v	walk phase.			
Why it worl				
		pportunity to enhance pedestrian safety at I		
one-third of	all pedestrian-related cra	shes occur at or within 50 feet of an intersed	tion. Of these, 3	30 percent may involve a
-	•	f pedestrian crashes involve a pedestrian eit	-	-
		as blocked just prior to the impact. Finally, 1		
		ation (e.g., failure to yield right-of-way). Wh		
		Iks like stamped concrete/asphalt, the proje		
		ations, these costs must be accounted for in		
		e tracked separately and are not federally re	imbursable and	will increase the agency's
	g share for the project cos			
	alities (Time, Cost and Eff			
		I vary widely, depending if curb ramps and s		
		e location, these low cost improvements ma		
		ctively and efficiently implemented using a s		bach with numerous locations
resulting in	moderate to high cost pro	jects that are appropriate to seek state or fe	deral funding.	
-		ypes Addressed: Pedestrian, Bicycle		5%

## SI20PB, Pedestrian Scramble

For HSII	P Cycle 12 Ca	ll-for-projects			
Funding I	Eligibility	Crash Types	Addressed	CRF	Expected Life
90%		Pedestrian ar	nd Bicycle	40%	20 years
Notes:	This CM only pedestrian cr		ke" crashes occurrin	g in the in	tersection with the new
		Gei	neral information		
Where to us	se:				
Scramble m district.	ay be considered a		-		cluding diagonally. Pedestrian nes, e.g. in an urban business
Why it worl Pedestrian S		shown to reduce injury ris	sk and increase bicycle ride	ership due to	its perceived safety and comfort.
General Qu	alities (Time, Cost	and Effectiveness):			
	d reasonably soon		hould not require a long do y be used in implementing	•	process and should be ulting in cost efficiency with low
FHWA CMF	Clearinghouse:	Crash Types Addressed:	Pedestrian, Bicycle	CRF:	-10% to 51%

For HSI	P Cycle 12 Ca	all-for-projec	ts				
Funding l	Eligibility	Crash T	'ypes Addr	essed	CRF	Expecte	ed Life
90%		Pedesti	ian and Bi	cycle	15%	10 year	rs
Notes:	This CM only	v applies to "Pe	d & Bike" o	rashes occurrin	g in the in	tersection-	-crossing with
	the new adv	anced stop bars	5.				
			General	information			
Where to u	se:						
Signalized In	ntersections with a	a marked crossing,	where signific	ant bicycle and/or p	edestrians vo	lumes are kno	own to occur.
Why it wor	ks:						
Adding adva	ance stop bar befo	re the striped cross	walk has the	opportunity to enha	nce both pe	lestrian and b	icycle safety.
Stopping ca	rs well before the	crosswalk provides	a buffer betw	veen the vehicles an	d the crossin	g pedestrians.	. It also allows for a
dedicated s	pace for cyclists, n	naking them more v	isible to drive	ers (This dedicated s	bace is often	referred to as	s a bike-box.)
General Qu	alities (Time, Cost	and Effectiveness	:				
Costs and ti	me of installation	will vary based on t	he number o	f intersections incluc	led in this st	ategy and if it	requires new
signal contr	ollers capable of a	ccommodating the	enhancemer	t. When considered	at a single lo	cation, these l	low cost
improveme	nts are usually fun	ded through local f	unding by loc	al crews. However,	This CM can	be effectively	and efficiently
implemente	ed using a systema	tic approach with r	iumerous loca	ations, resulting in m	oderate cost	projects that	are more
appropriate	to seek state or f	ederal funding.					
FHWA CMF	Clearinghouse:	Crash Types Addre	essed: Ped	estrian, Bicycle	CRF:	35%	

#### SI21PB, Install advance stop bar before crosswalk (Bicycle Box)

# SI22PB, Modify signal phasing to implement a Leading Pedestrian Interval (LPI)

For HSIF	Cycle 12 Call	l-for-projects			
Funding <b>E</b>	Eligibility	Crash Types	Addressed	CRF	Expected Life
90%		Pedestrian a	nd Bicycle	60%	10 years
Notes:	This CM only a	pplies to "Ped & Bi	ke" crashes occurri	ng in the inte	ersections with
	signalized ped (LPI).	estrian crossing wi	th the newly imple	mented Lead	ing Pedestrian Interval
		Ge	neral information		
Where to us	se:				
Intersection crashes.	s with signalized peo	destrian crossing that ha	ve high turning vehicles v	volumes and hav	e had pedestrian vs. vehicle
Why it work	s:				
01	•		,		seconds before vehicles are
					he crosswalk before vehicles educed conflicts between
	-				nanced safety for pedestrians
•	slower to start into				fanced safety for pedestitalis
	alities (Time, Cost a				
Costs for im	plementing LPIs are	very low, since only min	or signal timing alteratio	n is required. Th	is makes it an easy and
inexpensive	countermeasure the	at can be incorporated in	nto pedestrian safety act	ion plans or polic	cies and can become routine
agency prac	tice. When consider	ed at a single location, t	ne LPI is usually local-fun	ded. However,	This CM can be effectively and
			ch numerous locations, re	esulting in mode	rate cost projects that are more
appropriate	to seek state or fed	eral funding.			
FHWA CMF	Clearinghouse: C	rash Types Addressed:	Pedestrian, Bicycle	CRF: 5	59%

## **B.2** Intersection Countermeasures – Non-signalized

For HSI	P Cycle 12 Ca	all-for	-projects			
Funding <b>F</b>	Eligibility		Crash Types	Addressed	CRF	Expected Life
90%			Night		40%	20 years
Notes:	This CM only	/ applie	es to "night" cr	ashes (all types) occı	urring wit	hin limits of the proposed
	roadway ligł	nting 'e	ngineered' are	ea.		
			Ge	neral information		
Where to us	se:					
-				-		not currently provide lighting at
					-	intersection could be improved
		tegy wo	uld be supported b	by a significant number of c	rashes that o	occur at night).
Why it work						
	-			e intersection and on its ap		
				rivers more aware of the su		
-						d (3) improving the visibility of
			•	fit to non-motorized users	as lighting n	ot only helps them navigate the
	, but also helps dr					
General Qua	alities (Time, Cost	and Eff	ectiveness):			
						ear to implement because the
lighting syst	em must be desig	ned and	the provision of el	ectrical power must be arra	anged. The p	rovision of lighting involves both
a fixed cost	for lighting install	ation and	d an ongoing main	tenance and power cost. F	or rural inter	sections, studies have shown
the installat	ion of streetlights	reduced	I nighttime crashes	at unlit intersections and o	can be more	effective in reducing nighttime
crashes than	n either rumble st	rips or o	verhead flashing b	eacons. Some locations car	n result in hi	gh B/C ratios, but due to higher
costs, these	projects often res	sult in m	edium to low B/C r	atios.		
FHWA CMF	Clearinghouse:	Crash T	ypes Addressed:	Night, All	CRF:	25- 50%

## NS01NT, Add intersection lighting (NS.I.)

## NS02, Convert to all-way STOP control (from 2-way or Yield control)

For HSI	P Cycle 12 Call-for	-projects			
Funding H	Eligibility	Crash Types Addressed		CRF	Expected Life
90%		All		50%	10 years
Notes:		es to crashes occurring in IUTCD warrant must be n		ection and/	or influence area of the
		General inform	ation		
Where to us	se:				
approaches behavior. M Why it work All-way stop movement a	Under other conditions, 1 1UTCD warrants should alw (s: control can reduce right- at an intersection, reducin	intersections with moderate an the use of all-way stop control m ways be followed. angle and turning collisions at ur g through and turning speeds, an ance public notification of the ch	ay create un signalized in nd minimizing	necessary delay tersections by g the safety eff	ys and aggressive driver providing more orderly ect of any sight distance
General Qu	alities (Time, Cost and Eff	ectiveness):			
multiple inte considered a crews. How resulting in	ersections with just a char at a single location, these ever, This CM can be effe moderate cost projects th	way stop control are relatively l ge in signing on intersection app low cost improvements are usua ctively and efficiently implement at are more appropriate to seek ypes Addressed: Left-turn, An	roaches, and Ily funded th ed using a sy state or fede	l typically are v rough local fun stematic appro ral funding.	ery quick to implement. When ding by local maintenance

## NS03, Install signals

For HSI	P Cycle 12 Call-for	-projects			
Funding H	Eligibility	Crash Types	Addressed	CRF	Expected Life
90%		All		30%	20 years
Notes:	This CM only appli	es to crashes o	ccurring in the inters	ection an	d/or influence area of the
	new signals. All n	<u>ew signals mu</u>	st meet MUTCD "sa	<u>fety" wai</u>	rrants: 4, 5 or 7. Given
	the over-arching o	perational chai	iges that occur when	an inters	ection is signalized, no
	other intersection	CMs can be app	lied to the intersection	on crashe	es in conjunction with this
	CM.				
		Gei	neral information		
Where to us	se:				
unsignalized installation	l intersection should only of a traffic signal often lea and (2) signal warrants ha	be given after (1) le		fic control h end) on ma	-
Why it worl	<b>(S:</b>				
-	•			•	n increase in rear-end collisions. A
			nefit of traffic signal install	ation.	
	alities (Time, Cost and Eff				
					ype of signal and right-of-away
					means of correction have been
evaluated. B/C ratios.	some locations can result	. in high B/C ratios,	but due to higher costs, the	ese projects	often result in medium to low
	Clearinghouse: Crash	Types Addressed:	All	CRF:	0 - 74%

# NS04RA/NS05RA, Convert intersection to roundabout

Funding l	Eligibility	Crash Types A	Addressed	CRF	Expected Life
90%		All		Varies	20 years
Notes:	new control. The benefit of this the ADT, project lo	CM is calculate cation (Rural/I	d using Caltrans proc	cedure. The labout type	/or influence area of the e CRF is dependent on e (1 lane or 2 lanes). The perity of the crashes
	benefit comes iron		eral information		entry of the crushes.
Where to u	se:				
crash patte	rns or not, a roundabout p	provides an alternat	ive to signalization. The pri	mary target l	
crash patter should be n urban settir	rns or not, a roundabout p noderate-volume unsignal ngs where right-of-way is l	provides an alternat ized intersections.	ive to signalization. The pri	mary target l	_
crash patter should be n urban settir Why it wor Roundabou from traditi way to traff intersection	rns or not, a roundabout p noderate-volume unsignal ngs where right-of-way is I ks: ts provide an important al onal traffic circles in that t ic already in it. Roundabous and provide fewer confl	provides an alternat ized intersections. imited. ternative to signali they operate in succ uts can serve mode ict points. Crashes	ive to signalization. The pri Roundabouts may not be a red and stop/yield-controll n a manner that traffic enter rate traffic volumes with le	mary target I a viable altern ed intersectio ering the rour ass delay than	ocations for roundabouts ative in many suburban and ons. Modern roundabouts differ idabout must yield the right-of- all-way stop-controlled
crash patter should be n urban settir Why it wor Roundabou from traditi way to traff intersection and elimina	rns or not, a roundabout p noderate-volume unsignal ngs where right-of-way is I ks: ts provide an important al onal traffic circles in that t ic already in it. Roundabou	provides an alternat ized intersections. imited. ternative to signali they operate in suc- uts can serve mode ict points. Crashes angle movements.	ive to signalization. The pri Roundabouts may not be a red and stop/yield-controll n a manner that traffic enter rate traffic volumes with le	mary target I a viable altern ed intersectio ering the rour ass delay than	ocations for roundabouts ative in many suburban and ons. Modern roundabouts differ idabout must yield the right-of-
crash patter should be n urban settir Why it wor Roundabou from traditi way to traffi intersection and elimina General Qu Constructio acquisition,	rns or not, a roundabout p noderate-volume unsignal ngs where right-of-way is I ks: ts provide an important al onal traffic circles in that t ic already in it. Roundabou is and provide fewer confl tion of left-turn and right- alities (Time, Cost and Eff n of roundabouts are usua	provides an alternat ized intersections. imited. ternative to signali they operate in such uts can serve mode ict points. Crashes angle movements. <b>fectiveness):</b> ally relatively costly er an agency's long-	ive to signalization. The pri Roundabouts may not be a red and stop/yield-controll n a manner that traffic enter rate traffic volumes with le at roundabouts tend to be and major projects, requir	mary target I a viable altern ed intersection ering the rour less delay than less severe bo	ocations for roundabouts ative in many suburban and ons. Modern roundabouts differ idabout must yield the right-of- all-way stop-controlled

1
### NS06RA/NS07RA, Convert intersection to compact roundabout

	-	all-for-projects			
Funding	Eligibility	Crash Type	s Addressed	CRF	Expected Life
90%		All		Varies	20 years
Notes:	This CM only	y applies to crashes	occurring in the inters	ection and	/or influence area of the
	new control	. The benefit of this	CM is calculated using	Caltrans p	rocedure. The CRF is
			project location (Rural/	-	
			er and the severity of th		
		G	eneral information		
Where to u	ıse:				
roundabou design veh	its rarely require the icle assumptions, a bundabouts are int shicle speeds to ma	ne purchase of right of wa ability to process traffic ve ended to be pedestrian a ake a distinct right turn ir	ay. Compact roundabouts are	similar to sin their perpene	
issue for th	/1	out to be considered.			
issue for th Why it wo	rks:		-		
issue for th Why it wo Compact re	r <b>ks:</b> oundabouts may b	e an optimal solution for	a safety or operational issue a	-	
issue for th Why it wo Compact ro insufficient	r <b>ks:</b> oundabouts may b right-of-way for a	e an optimal solution for standard roundabout ins	stallation. The benefits of com	-	
issue for th Why it wo Compact re insufficient operationa	r <b>ks:</b> oundabouts may b right-of-way for a l efficiency, traffic	e an optimal solution for standard roundabout ins safety improvement and	stallation. The benefits of com	-	
issue for th Why it wo Compact ro insufficient operationa General Q	rks: oundabouts may b right-of-way for a l efficiency, traffic ualities (Time, Cost	e an optimal solution for standard roundabout ins safety improvement and t and Effectiveness):	stallation. The benefits of com traffic Calming.	npact rounda	bouts are the Compact size,
issue for th Why it wo Compact ro insufficient operationa General Qu Constructio	rks: oundabouts may b right-of-way for a l efficiency, traffic ualities (Time, Cost on costs for compa	e an optimal solution for standard roundabout ins safety improvement and t and Effectiveness): ct roundabouts vary wide	stallation. The benefits of com traffic Calming. ely depending upon the exten	npact rounda	modifications or other
issue for th Why it wo Compact ro insufficient operationa General Qu Construction geometric	rks: oundabouts may b right-of-way for a l efficiency, traffic ualities (Time, Cost on costs for compa improvements and	e an optimal solution for standard roundabout ins safety improvement and t and Effectiveness): ct roundabouts vary wide I the types of materials u	stallation. The benefits of com traffic Calming. ely depending upon the exten sed. In most cases, compact r	npact rounda t of sidewalk oundabouts l	bouts are the Compact size,
issue for th Why it wo Compact ro insufficient operationa General Qu Construction geometric	rks: Dundabouts may be right-of-way for a l efficiency, traffic Jalities (Time, Cost on costs for compa improvements and ent widening. Const	e an optimal solution for standard roundabout ins safety improvement and t and Effectiveness): ct roundabouts vary wide I the types of materials u	stallation. The benefits of com traffic Calming. ely depending upon the exten sed. In most cases, compact r	npact rounda t of sidewalk oundabouts l	modifications or other have been installed with little o

# NS08, Install/upgrade larger or additional stop signs or other intersection warning/regulatory signs

signs					
For HSII	P Cycle 12 Ca	all-for-projects			
Funding I	Eligibility	Crash Types .	Addressed	CRF	Expected Life
90%		All		15%	10 years
Notes:			_		of the new signs. The
	influence ar	ea must be determine	ed on a location by loc	cation bas	sis.
		Ge	neral information		
Where to u	se:				
The target f	or this strategy sh	ould be approaches to uns	ignalized intersections with	patterns of	rear-end, right-angle, or turning
collisions re	lated to lack of dr	iver awareness of the prese	ence of the intersection.		
Why it wor	ks:				
The visibility	y of intersections	and, thus, the ability of app	roaching drivers to perceiv	e them can	be enhanced by installing larger
regulatory a	and warning signs	at or prior to intersections.	A key to success in applyin	g this strate	gy is to select a combination of
regulatory a	and warning sign t	echniques appropriate for	the conditions on a particul	ar unsignali	zed intersection approach.
General Qu	alities (Time, Cos	t and Effectiveness):			
Signing imp	rovements do not	require a long developme	nt process and can typically	be impleme	ented quickly. Costs for
implementi	ng this strategy ar	re nominal and depend on t	he number of signs. When	considered	at a single location, these low
cost improv	ements are usual	ly funded through local fun	ding by local maintenance o	crews. How	ever, This CM can be effectively
and efficien	tly implemented	using a systematic approacl	n with numerous locations,	resulting in	moderate cost projects that are
more appro	priate to seek sta	te or federal funding.			
FHWA CMF	Clearinghouse:	Crash Types Addressed:	All	CRF:	11 - 55%

## NS09, Upgrade intersection pavement markings (NS.I.)

For HSII	P Cycle 12 Cal	l-for-projects			
Funding l	Eligibility	Crash Types	Addressed	CRF	Expected Life
90%		All		25%	10 years
Notes:	-	••	ccurring on the appro ot intended to be used		luence area of the new al maintenance
	activities (i.e.	the replacement of	existing pavement ma	ırkings in-k	ind) and must include
	upgraded safe	ety features over the	e existing pavement m	arkings and	d striping.
		Ge	neral information		
Where to u	se:				
Unsignalize	d intersections that	are not clearly visible to	approaching motorists, part	icularly approa	aching motorists on the majo
	- · ·		•		le, or turning crashes related
					nere conditions allow the stop
bar to be se	en by an approachir	ng driver at a significant o	distance from the intersection	on. Typical im	provements include "Stop
	-	ion of Centerlines and Sto	op Bars.		
Why it wor					
			proaching drivers to perceive		, .
	•		intersections will provide ap		
			rs on minor road approache	-	•
				e more aware t	that the intersection is comin
		ecisions as they approach	n the intersection.		
	alities (Time, Cost a				
		•			implemented quickly. Costs
					ered at a single location, the
			I funding by local maintenar		
		<b>e</b> .	tic approach with numerous		-
			ral funding. Note: When fee		
installations		ons, the local agency is e Crash Types Addressed:	xpected to maintain the imp		
			All		3 - 60%

#### NS10, Install Flashing Beacons at Stop-Controlled Intersections

# For HSIP Cycle 12 Call-for-projects

101 1151	Cycle 12 Cal	ii-ioi-pi ojects			
Funding I	Eligibility	Crash Types	Addressed	CRF	Expected Life
90%		All		15%	10 years
Notes:	This CM only area of the ne	••	ccurring on the stop	p-controlle	d approaches / influence
		Gei	neral information		
Where to u	se:				
0 0	top-controlled inter	top sign violations. Post- rsections to supplement a		0	r overhead flashing beacons can
		ble signal to the presence intersections as well as l			ective in rural areas where there intersections is an issue.
General Qu	alities (Time, Cost a	and Effectiveness):			
Flashing bea	acons can be constr	ucted with minimal desig	n, environmental and rig	ht-of-way issu	es and have relatively low costs.
		gency needs to confirm th ffective and can be consid			solar may be an option). In
FHWA CMF	Clearinghouse:	Crash Types Addressed:	Angle, Rear-End	CRF:	5-34%

# NS11, Install flashing beacons as advance warning (NS.I.)

Funding	Eligibility	Crash Types	Addressed	CRF	Expected Life
90%		All		30%	10 years
Notes:	This CM only appli beacons placed in	es to crashes o		B.	nfluence area of the new
		Gei	neral information		
Where to u	ise:				
-	zed Intersections with part or controls at a downstro		at could be related to lack	of a driver's a	awareness of approaching
Why it wor	ks:				
intended to	o reinforce driver awarene sign violations. Most adva	ss of the stop or yie	ld signs and to help mitiga	ate patterns o	ontrol signs. Flashing beacons ar of crashes related to intersection us reducing the issues relating to
	ce.				
power sour	ce. Ialities (Time, Cost and Ef	fectiveness):			
power sour General Qu Use of flash period. Bef	ialities (Time, Cost and Ef ning beacons requires min ore choosing this CM, the	mal development p agency needs to co		e power to th	e installed within a short time le site (solar may be an option).

For HSIF	Cycle 12 Call-	or-projects			
Funding <b>E</b>	Eligibility	Crash Types	Addressed	CRF	Expected Life
90%		All		20%	10 years
Notes:	This CM only ap rumble strips.	plies to crashes c	occurring on the appro	oaches / inf	luence area of the new
		Ge	neral information		
Where to us	se:				
Transverse r	umble strips are insta	led in the travel lane	for the purposes of providir	ng an auditory a	and tactile sensation for each
					n, often in combination with
advance sign	ning to warn of the int	ersection ahead. Due	to the noise generated by v	ehicles driving	over the rumble strips, care
must be tak	en to minimize disrup	ion to nearby residen	ces and businesses.		
Why it work	(S:				
	-		re sometimes unaware they		•
· ·		•	ies indicating an intersectio	n ahead. Trans	verse rumble strips warn
	<b>0</b> 1		/ need to pay attention to.		
General Qua	alities (Time, Cost and	Effectiveness):			
		•			e strips to be installed within a
short time p	eriod. In general, This	CM can be very effect	tive and can be considered	on a systemati	c approach, although care
			ederal safety funding is used		allations in high-wear-
locations, th	e local agency is expe	cted to maintain the i	mprovement for a minimum	n of 10 years.	
FHWA CMF	Clearinghouse: Cra	sh Types Addressed:	All	CRF: 0	- 35%

# NS13, Improve sight distance to intersection (Clear Sight Triangles)

For HSII	P Cycle 12 Cal	l-for-projects			
Funding I	Eligibility	Crash Types	Addressed	CRF	Expected Life
90%		All		20%	10 years
Notes:	This CM only a	applies to crashes o	ccurring on the appr	oaches / i	nfluence area of the
	significantly ir	nproved new sight	distance. Minor/inci	dental im	provements to sight
	0	1 0	n the CRF shown belo		C C
			neral information		
Where to u	se:				
Unsignalized	d intersections with	restricted sight distance	and patterns of crashes re	lated to lack	of sight distance where sight
distance car	n be improved by cle	earing roadside obstruction	ons without major reconst	ruction of th	e roadway.
Why it worl	ks:				
Adequate si	ght distance for driv	vers at stop or yield-contr	rolled approaches to inters	sections has	long been recognized as among
the most im	portant factors cont	tributing to overall safety	at unsignalized intersection	ons. By rem	oving sight distance restrictions
(e.g., vegeta	tion, parked vehicle	es, signs, buildings) from	the sight triangles at stop	or yield-cont	rolled intersection approaches,
		0	n line, without obstruction	and therefo	re make better decisions about
0	e intersection safely.				
	alities (Time, Cost a				
		_			mplished quickly, assuming the
-					ne for discussions with the
	-		-		oved are within the right-of-way
-					taff and/or implemented on a
•	•••		-		ederal Safety Funding. Note:
		-	on that has the potential to	o grow back,	the local agency is expected to
		a minimum of 10 years.	A 11	CDF	11 5.0/
FHWA CIVIE	Clearinghouse:	Crash Types Addressed:	All	CRF:	11 - 56%

# NS14, Improve pavement friction (High Friction Surface Treatments)

		For HSIP C	Cycle 12 Call-for-projects	;	
Fur	nding Eligibility	Crash T	ypes Addressed	CRF	Expected Life
	90%		All	55%	10 years
Notes:	This CM only applies	to crashes occurr	ing within the limits of th	he improved	friction overlay. This CM is
	not intended to app	y to standard chip	o-seal or open-graded ma	aintenance p	projects for long segments of
	corridors or structur	e repaving project	ts intended to fix failed p	avement.	
		Ge	neral information		
Where to u	se:				
stop is dete resistance.	rmined to be a problem		ions and the target vehicle i		where skidding and failure to top due to insufficient skid
Why it wor					
• •					re to stop crashes can result in
	-	•	area for both FHWA and Ca		an double friction numbers, e.g.
	-		ils on High Friction Surface		
	alities (Time, Cost and E			in each each each each each each each each	
			nted in a short timeframe.	The installation	on would be done by either
					be very effective and can be
considered	on a systematic approac	າ.	•		
FHWA CMF	Clearinghouse: Crash	Types Addressed:	Wet, Night, ALL	CRF:	10 - 62 %

#### NS15, Install splitter-islands on the minor road approaches

		For HSIP C	cycle 12 Call-for-projects	;	
Fur	nding Eligibility	Crash T	ypes Addressed	CRF	Expected Life
	90%		All	40%	20 years
Notes:	This CM only applies on the minor road ar		ing on the approaches /	influence a	rea of <u>the new splitter island</u>
	<u>on the miller rout up</u>		neral information		
Where to u	se:				
to approach high. In cre Why it worl The installat	ing motorists. The strateg ation of a splitter island a ks: tion of splitter islands allo	y is particularly ap llows for an additio ws for the addition	propriate for intersections nal stop sign to be placed in of a stop sign in the media	where the sp n the median in to make th	ne intersection more
•	s. Additionally, the splitte road and vehicles stoppe			ive separation	on between turning vehicles on
General Qu	alities (Time, Cost and Ef	ectiveness):			
•	U		y be installed with minimal n be considered on a syste	•	
FHWA CMF	Clearinghouse: Crash	Types Addressed:	Angle, Rear-End	CRF:	35 - 100 %

# NS16, Install raised median on approaches (NS.I.)

		For HSIP C	ycle 12 Call-for-project	S	
Fun	ding Eligibility	Crash Ty	pes Addressed	CRF	Expected Life
	90%		All	25%	20 years
Notes:	median. All new the existing road requirement is b	raised medians funded way structural section eing implemented to r	and should be dowele	ding should n d into the exi ectiveness of	ot include the removal of sting roadway surface. This the limited HSIP funding
		Ger	neral information		
key to impro differential l upstream ar <b>Why it work</b> Raised medi at higher vo	ed or nearby turning oving safety at, and a between vehicles tra ad downstream of ar is: ans with left-turn la	adjacent to, intersections weling along the roadwa n intersection are genera nes at intersections offer The raised medians also	3. The number of intersect y often contributes to cra Ily undesirable.	ion access poi shes. Any acce or reducing cra	Effective access management is nts coupled with the speed ss points within 250 feet shes and improving operations vays that may be located too
Raised medi degraded op and the con providing all systematic a for landscap	perations and safety, straints of the built e ernative access way pproach. When age	may be most effective in , and where more extens environment. Because ra vs should be considered. ncies opt to install landso afety related items that o	ised medians limit proper In general, This CM can b caping in conjunction with	too expensive ty access to rig pe very effective new raised m	of turning vehicles have because of limited right-of-way ght turns only, the need for ve and can be considered on a edians, the portion of the cost not federally participated and
	Clearinghouse: C	rash Types Addressed:	All	CRF:	20 - 39 %

# NS17, Create directional median openings to allow (and restrict) left-turns and u-turns (NS.I.)

		For HSIP (	Cycle 12 Call-for-projects		
Fur	nding Eligibility	Crash T	ypes Addressed	CRF	Expected Life
	90%		All	50%	20 years
Notes:	This CM only appli	es to crashes occurr	ring in the intersection / i	nfluence area	a of the new directional
	openings.				
		Ge	neral information		
Where to u	se:				
crashes. If a best way to should be u Why it worl Agencies ar conflicts exp directional	ny of these crash types improve the safety of sed in conjunction with ks: e increasingly using acc perienced at an interse median openings, or clu	are an issue at an int he intersection. Bec efforts to provide alt ess management tecl ction. A key element se median openings	ir-end, pedestrian, and sides ersection, restriction or elir ause raised medians limit p ternative access ways and p hniques on urban and subur of access management is to that are deemed too close t	nination of the roperty access romote drivew rban arterials to restrict certai	e turning maneuver may be the to right turns only, they yay spacing objectives.
	alities (Time, Cost and				
			dian opening can usually be		
					iring access or constructing sinesses and other land uses
			ementation. In general, Th		
	on a systematic approa		<b>0</b>		-
FHWA CMF	Clearinghouse: Cra	h Types Addressed:	All	CRF: 5	1%

#### NS18, Reduced Left-Turn Conflict Intersections (NS.I.)

	P Cycle 12 Ca	all-for-projects			
Funding I	Eligibility	Crash Types	Addressed	CRF	Expected Life
90%		All		50%	20 years
Notes:		y applies to crashes o t-Turn Conflict.	ccurring in the inters	section / i	nfluence area of the new
			neral information		
	se and Why it wo				ents occur in order to simplify
left-turn mo Restricted C The RCUT in makes a righ direction. The RCUT is routes. It als used along a Median U-t	vements are know crossing U-turn (R tersection modifi at turn followed b suitable for a var so can be used as a corridor, but also urn (MUT)	wn as the restricted crossin (CUT): es the direct left-turn and t y a U-turn at a designated l iety of circumstances, inclu an alternative to signalizati o can be used effectively at	g U-turn (RCUT) and the m hrough movements from d location (either signalized ding along rural, high-spee on or constructing an inte individual intersections.	redian U-tur cross-street or unsignaliz ed, four-lane rchange. RC	approaches. Minor road traffic ed) to continue in the desired , divided highways or signalized UTs work well when consistently
make a U-tu modifying tl The MUT is multiple inte	Irn a short distand ne cross-street lef an excellent choid ersections along a	e downstream, followed by t turns. e for heavily traveled inter corridor, the efficient two-	y a right turn at the main in sections with moderate le phase signal operation of	ntersection. ft-turn volur	hrough the main intersection, The U-turns can also be used fo nes. When implemented at n reduce delay, improve travel
make a U-tu modifying tl The MUT is multiple into times, and c	Irn a short distand ne cross-street lef an excellent choid ersections along a	e downstream, followed by t turns. e for heavily traveled inter o corridor, the efficient two- ing opportunities for pedes	y a right turn at the main in sections with moderate le phase signal operation of	ntersection. ft-turn volur	The U-turns can also be used for nes. When implemented at
make a U-tu modifying tl The MUT is multiple into times, and c	Irn a short distand ne cross-street lef an excellent choid ersections along a reate more crossi	e downstream, followed by t turns. e for heavily traveled inter o corridor, the efficient two- ing opportunities for pedes	y a right turn at the main in sections with moderate le phase signal operation of	ntersection. ft-turn volur	The U-turns can also be used for nes. When implemented at
make a U-tu modifying th The MUT is multiple into times, and c MUT and R	Irn a short distance ne cross-street lef an excellent choic ersections along a reate more crossi CUT Can Reduce Con	ee downstream, followed by it turns. the for heavily traveled inter to corridor, the efficient two- ing opportunities for pedes <b>offlict Points by 50%</b>	y a right turn at the main in sections with moderate le phase signal operation of	ntersection. ft-turn volur	The U-turns can also be used fo nes. When implemented at
make a U-tu modifying tl The MUT is multiple inte times, and c MUT and R	erre a short distance ne cross-street lef an excellent choic ersections along a reate more crossi CUT Can Reduce Con MUT Conflict Points Conflict Points Conflict Points	ee downstream, followed by it turns. se for heavily traveled inter o corridor, the efficient two- ing opportunities for pedes <b>offlict Points by 50%</b>	y a right turn at the main in sections with moderate le phase signal operation of	ntersection. ft-turn volur	The U-turns can also be used fo nes. When implemented at
make a U-tu modifying ti The MUT is multiple inter- times, and co MUT and R Conventional General Qu Implemention require a su	Inn a short distance the cross-street left an excellent choice ersections along a reate more crossing CUT Can Reduce Con MUT Conflict Points Conflict Points Conflict Points Conflict Points Conflict Points Conflict Points Merging Conflict Points Conflict Points	t urns. te downstream, followed by t turns. te for heavily traveled inter o corridor, the efficient two- ing opportunities for pedes <b>offlict Points by 50%</b> Recur Recur Diverging t and Effectiveness): ay take from months to year	y a right turn at the main in sections with moderate ler -phase signal operation of trians and bicyclists. ars, depending on whether tion. Costs are highly vari	tersection. ft-turn volur the MUT ca	The U-turns can also be used fo nes. When implemented at

#### NS19, Install right-turn lane (NS.I.)

	For HSIP Cycle 12 Call-for-projects							
Fur	nding Eligibility		Crash T	ypes Addressed	CRF	Expected Life		
90% All 20% 20 ye			20 years					
Notes: This CM only applies to crashes occurring on the approaches / influence area of the new right-tu					a of the new right-turn			
	lanes. This CN	/l is not	eligible for use a	t existing all-way stop in	tersections.			
			Ge	neral information				
Where to us	se:							
· ·	0			0	, 0,	or minimizing such collisions is		
	-					roaches. When considering		
-				d users should be consider	-			
	-	nes, pote	ential impacts to n	on-motorized users should	be considered	and mitigated as appropriate.		
Why it worl					<b>6</b> 10 - 1			
	-					ween vehicles turning right		
						e cross street. Right-turn lanes		
						s reducing the potential for		
	-			gth of the intersection cros	ssing and create	e an additional potential		
-	nt for non-motoriz							
	alities (Time, Cos							
				ars. At some locations, righ				
		-		videning of the roadway, a				
						levelopment and construction.		
		range fro	om very low to hig	<ul> <li>The expected effectiver</li> </ul>	ness of this CM	must be assessed for each		
individual lo				r				
FHWA CMF	Clearinghouse:	Crash 1	ypes Addressed:	All	CRF: 1	4 - 26 %		

# NS20, Install left-turn lane (where no left-turn lane exists)

		For HSIP (	Cycle 12 Call-for-projects	5		
Funding Eligibility         Crash Types Addressed         CRF         Expected Life						
	90%		All	35%	20 years	
Notes:	Notes: This CM only applies to crashes occurring on the approaches / influence area of the new left-turn					
	lanes. This CM doe	s NOT apply to con	verting a single-left into	double-left t	urn. This CM is not eligible	
	for use at existing a	ll-way stop interse	ctions.			
		Ge	neral information			
Where to us	se:					
left-turn lan Why it worl Adding left- end collision encourage o	es, potential impacts to <b>(s:</b> turn lanes remove vehi ns. Because they provid	cles waiting to turn le e a sheltered location tive in choosing a ga	s should be considered and eft from the through-traffic n for drivers to wait for a ga p to complete the left-turn	I mitigated as a stream, thus r	educing the potential for rear- traffic, left-turn lanes may	
	alities (Time, Cost and					
					be quickly and simply installed	
			•		right-of-way, and extensive	
					ent and construction. Costs are assessed for each individual	
FHWA CMF	Clearinghouse: Cras	h Types Addressed:	All	CRF: 9	9 -55 %	

#### NS21PB, Install raised medians (refuge islands)

For HSIP Cycle 12 Call-for-projects								
Funding Eligibility         Crash Types Addressed         CRF         Expected Life								
	90%	Pedestri	an and Bicycle	45%	20 years			
Notes: This CM only applies to "Ped & Bike" crashes occurring in the crossing with the new islands. All new raised medians funded with federal HSIP funding should not include the removal of the existing roadway structural section and should be doweled into the existing roadway surface. This requirement is being implemented to maximize the safety-effectiveness of the limited HSIP funding and to minimize project impacts. Landscaping, if included in the project, is considered non-participating.								
		Gen	eral information					
Where to use:         Intersections that have a long pedestrian crossing distance, a higher number of pedestrians, or a crash history. Raised medians decrease the level of exposure for pedestrians and allow pedestrians to concentrate on (or cross) only one direction of traffic at a time.         Why it works:         Raised pedestrian refuge islands, or medians at crossing locations along roadways, are another strategy to reduce exposure between pedestrians and motor vehicles. Refuge islands and medians that are raised (i.e., not just painted) provide pedestrians								
		-			st painted) provide pedestrians			
more secur		ne street crossing.			e			
more secur in traffic be General Qu Median and improveme This CM car conjunction	e places of refuge during t fore completing their cross alities (Time, Cost and Eff I pedestrian refuge areas a nts or if it is a new constru- b be very effective and can	ne street crossing. sing. ectiveness): re a low-cost count ction project, imple be considered on a the portion of the	They can stop partway a rermeasure to implemen ementing this counterme systematic approach. V cost for landscaping and	t. This cost can asure is even n Vhen agencies other non-safe	st painted) provide pedestrians and wait for an adequate gap			

# NS22PB, Install pedestrian crossing at uncontrolled locations (signs and markings only)

Funding Eligibility         Crash Types Addressed         CRF         Expected Life           90%         Pedestrian and Bicycle         25%         10 years           Notes:         This CM only applies to "Ped & Bike" crashes occurring in the intersection/crossing with the new crossing. This CM is not intended to be used for high-cost aesthetic enhancements to intersection crosswalks (i.e. stamped concrete or stamped asphalt).           General information         General information           Where to use:         Non-signalized intersections without a marked crossing, where pedestrians are known to be crossing intersections that involve significant vehicular traffic. They are especially important at school crossings and intersections with right and/or left turns pockets. See Zegeer study (Safety Effects of Marked vs. Unmarked Crosswalks at Uncontrolled Locations) for additional guidance regarding when to install a marked crosswalk.           Why it works:         Adding pedestrian crossings has the opportunity to enhance pedestrian safety at locations noted as being problematic. Pavement markings delineate a portion of the roadway that is designated for pedestrian. Safety at locations noted as being problematic. Pavement markings delineate a portion of the increased exposure at the crossing. Incorporating advanced "stop" or "yield" markings provides an extra safety buffer and can be effective in reducing the "multiple-threat' danger to pedestrians. Nearly one-third of all pedestrian-related crasshes cocur at or within 50 feet of an intersection. Of these, 30 percent may involve a turning vehicle. There are several types of pedestrian crosswalks, including: contriental, ladder, zebra, and standard. When agencies opt to install aesthetic enhancement to intersection cross			For HSIP Cycle 12 Call-for-projects	;			
Notes:         This CM only applies to "Ped & Bike" crashes occurring in the intersection/crossing with the new crossing. This CM is not intended to be used for high-cost aesthetic enhancements to intersection crosswalks (i.e. stamped concrete or stamped asphalt).           General information           Where to use:           Non-signalized intersections without a marked crossing, where pedestrians are known to be crossing intersections that involve significant vehicular traffic. They are especially important at school crossings and intersections with right and/or left turns pockets. See Zegeer study (Safety Effects of Marked vs. Unmarked Crosswalks at Uncontrolled Locations) for additional guidance regarding when to install a marked crosswalk.           Why it works:           Adding pedestrian crossings has the opportunity to enhance pedestrian safety at locations noted as being problematic. Pavement markings delineate a portion of the roadway that is designated for pedestrian. Crossing: These markings will often be different for controlled verses uncontrolled locations. The use of "ladder", "zebra" or other enhanced markings at uncontrolled crossings can increase both pedestrian and driver awareness to the increased exposure at the crossing. Incorporating advanced "stop" or "yield" markings provides an extra safety buffer and can be effective in reducing the "multiple-threat' danger to pedestrian. Nearly one-third of all pedestrian-related crosswalks like stamped concrete/asphalt, the project design and construction costs can significantly increase. For HSIP applications, these costs must be accounted for in the B/C calculation, but these costs (over standard crosswalk markings) must be tracked separately and are not federally reimbursable and will increase the agency's local-funding share for the project costs.	Fur	nding Eligibility	Crash Types Addressed	CRF	Expected Life		
crossing. This CM is not intended to be used for high-cost aesthetic enhancements to intersection crosswalks (i.e. stamped concrete or stamped asphalt).         General information         Where to use:         Non-signalized intersections without a marked crossing, where pedestrians are known to be crossing intersections that involve significant vehicular traffic. They are especially important at school crossings and intersections with right and/or left turns pockets. See Zegeer study (Safety Effects of Marked vs. Unmarked Crosswalks at Uncontrolled Locations) for additional guidance regarding when to install a marked crosswalk.         Why it works:         Adding pedestrian crossings has the opportunity to enhance pedestrian safety at locations noted as being problematic. Pavement markings delineate a portion of the roadway that is designated for pedestrian crossing. These markings will often be different for controlled verses uncontrolled locations. The use of "ladder", "zebra" or other enhanced markings at uncontrolled crossings can increase both pedestrian and driver awareness to the increased exposure at the crossing. Incorporating advanced "stop" or "yield" markings provides an extra safety buffer and can be effective in reducing the "multiple-threat' danger to pedestrians. Nearly one-third of all pedestrian-cleated crashes occur at or within 50 feet of an intersection. Of these, 30 percent may involve a turning vehicle. There are several types of pedestrian crosswalks, including: contrient/asphalt, the project design and construction costs can significantly increase. For HSIP applications, these costs must be accounted for in the B/C calculation, but these costs (over standard crosswalk markings) must be tracked separately and are not federally reimbursable and will increase the agency's local-funding share for the project costs.		90%	% Pedestrian and Bicycle 25% 10 years				
crosswalks (i.e. stamped concrete or stamped asphalt).         General information         Where to use:         Non-signalized intersections without a marked crossing, where pedestrians are known to be crossing intersections that involve significant vehicular traffic. They are especially important at school crossings and intersections with right and/or left turns pockets. See Zegeer study (Safety Effects of Marked vs. Unmarked Crosswalks at Uncontrolled Locations) for additional guidance regarding when to install a marked crosswalk.         Why it works:         Adding pedestrian crossings has the opportunity to enhance pedestrian safety at locations noted as being problematic. Pavement markings delineate a portion of the roadway that is designated for pedestrian crossing. These markings will often be different for controlled verses uncontrolled locations. The use of "ladder", "zebra" or other enhanced markings at uncontrolled crossings can increase both pedestrian and driver awareness to the increased exposure at the crossing. Incorporating advanced "stop" or "yield" markings provides an extra safety buffer and can be effective in reducing the 'multiple-threat' danger to pedestrians. Nearly one-third of all pedestrian-related crashes occur at or within 50 feet of an intersection. Of these, 30 percent may involve a turning vehicle. There are several types of pedestrian crosswalks, lincluding: continental, ladder, zebra, and standard. When agencies opt to install aesthetic enhancement to intersection crosswalks, lincluding: contreet/asphalt, the project design and construction costs can significantly increase. For HSIP applications, these costs must be accounted for in the B/C calculation, but these costs (over standard crosswalk markings) must be tracked separately and are not fede	Notes:	Notes: This CM only applies to "Ped & Bike" crashes occurring in the intersection/crossing with the new					
General information           Where to use:         Non-signalized intersections without a marked crossing, where pedestrians are known to be crossing intersections that involve significant vehicular traffic. They are especially important at school crossings and intersections with right and/or left turns pockets. See Zegeer study (Safety Effects of Marked vs. Unmarked Crosswalks at Uncontrolled Locations) for additional guidance regarding when to install a marked crosswalk.           Why it works:         Adding pedestrian crossings has the opportunity to enhance pedestrian safety at locations noted as being problematic. Pavement markings delineate a portion of the roadway that is designated for pedestrian crossing. These markings will often be different for controlled verses uncontrolled locations. The use of "ladder", "zebra" or other enhanced markings at uncontrolled crossings can increase both pedestrian and driver awareness to the increased exposure at the crossing. Incorporating advanced "stop" or "yield" markings provides an extra safety buffer and can be effective in reducing the 'multiple-threat' danger to pedestrians. Nearly one-third of all pedestrian-related crashes occur at or within 50 feet of an intersection. Of these, 30 percent may involve a turning vehicle. There are several types of pedestrian crosswalks, including: continental, ladder, zebra, and standard. When agencies opt to install aesthetic enhancement to intersection crosswalks like stamped concrete/asphalt, the project design and construction costs can significantly increase. For HSIP applications, these costs must be accounted for in the B/C calculation, but these costs (over standard crosswalk markings) must be tracked separately and are not federally reimbursable and will increase the agency's local-funding share for the project costs.           General Qualities (Time, Cost and Effectiveness):		crossing. This CM is no	ot intended to be used for high-cost aestl	netic enhance	ments to intersection		
Where to use:         Non-signalized intersections without a marked crossing, where pedestrians are known to be crossing intersections that involve significant vehicular traffic. They are especially important at school crossings and intersections with right and/or left turns pockets. See Zegeer study (Safety Effects of Marked vs. Unmarked Crosswalks at Uncontrolled Locations) for additional guidance regarding when to install a marked crosswalk.         Why it works:         Adding pedestrian crossings has the opportunity to enhance pedestrian safety at locations noted as being problematic. Pavement markings delineate a portion of the roadway that is designated for pedestrian crossing. These markings will often be different for controlled verses uncontrolled locations. The use of "ladder", "zebra" or other enhanced markings at uncontrolled crossings can increase both pedestrian and driver awareness to the increased exposure at the crossing. Incorporating advanced "stop" or "yield" markings provides an extra safety buffer and can be effective in reducing the 'multiple-threat' danger to pedestrians. Nearly one-third of all pedestrian-related crashes occur at or within 50 feet of an intersection. Of these, 30 percent may involve a turning vehicle. There are several types of pedestrian crosswalks, including: continental, ladder, zebra, and standard. When agencies opt to install aesthetic enhancement to intersection crosswalks, like stamped concrete/asphalt, the project design and construction costs can significantly increase. For HSIP applications, these costs must be accounted for in the B/C calculation, but these costs (over standard crosswalk markings) must be tracked separately and are not federally reimbursable and will increase the agency's local-funding share for the project costs.         General Qualities (Time, Cost and Effectiveneness):       Costs associated with		crosswalks (i.e. stamp	ed concrete or stamped asphalt).				
Non-signalized intersections without a marked crossing, where pedestrians are known to be crossing intersections that involve significant vehicular traffic. They are especially important at school crossings and intersections with right and/or left turns pockets. See Zegeer study (Safety Effects of Marked vs. Unmarked Crosswalks at Uncontrolled Locations) for additional guidance regarding when to install a marked crosswalk. Why it works: Adding pedestrian crossings has the opportunity to enhance pedestrian safety at locations noted as being problematic. Pavement markings delineate a portion of the roadway that is designated for pedestrian crossing. These markings will often be different for controlled verses uncontrolled locations. The use of "ladder", "zebra" or other enhanced markings at uncontrolled crossings can increase both pedestrian and driver awareness to the increased exposure at the crossing. Incorporating advanced "stop" or "yield" markings provides an extra safety buffer and can be effective in reducing the "multiple-threat' danger to pedestrians. Nearly one-third of all pedestrian-related crashes occur at or within 50 feet of an intersection. Of these, 30 percent may involve a turning vehicle. There are several types of pedestrian crosswalks, including: continental, ladder, zebra, and standard. When agencies opt to install aesthetic enhancement to intersection crosswalks like stamped concrete/asphalt, the project design and construction costs can significantly increase. For HSIP applications, these costs must be accounted for in the B/C calculation, but these costs (over standard crosswalk markings) must be tracked separately and are not federally reimbursable and will increase the agency's local-funding share for the project costs. General Qualities (Time, Cost and Effectivenees): Costs associated with this strategy will vary widely, depending upon if curb ramps and sidewalk modifications are required with the crossing. When considered at a single location, these low cost improvements are			General information				
significant vehicular traffic. They are especially important at school crossings and intersections with right and/or left turns pockets. See Zegeer study (Safety Effects of Marked vs. Unmarked Crosswalks at Uncontrolled Locations) for additional guidance regarding when to install a marked crosswalk.  Why it works:  Adding pedestrian crossings has the opportunity to enhance pedestrian safety at locations noted as being problematic. Pavement markings delineate a portion of the roadway that is designated for pedestrian crossing. These markings will often be different for controlled verses uncontrolled locations. The use of "ladder", "zebra" or other enhanced markings at uncontrolled crossings can increase both pedestrian and driver awareness to the increased exposure at the crossing. Incorporating advanced "stop" or "yield" markings provides an extra safety buffer and can be effective in reducing the 'multiple-threat' danger to pedestrians. Nearly one-third of all pedestrian-related crashes occur at or within 50 feet of an intersection. Of these, 30 percent may involve a turning vehicle. There are several types of pedestrian crosswalks, including: continental, ladder, zebra, and standard. When agencies opt to install aesthetic enhancement to intersection crosswalks like stamped concrete/asphalt, the project design and construction costs can significantly increase. For HSIP applications, these costs must be accounted for in the B/C calculation, but these costs (over standard crosswalk markings) must be tracked separately and are not federally reimbursable and will increase the agency's local-funding share for the project costs.  General Qualities (Time, Cost and Effectiveness): Costs associated with this strategy will vary widely, depending upon if curb ramps and sidewalk modifications are required with the crossing. When considered at a single location, these low cost improvements are usually funded through local funding by local crews. However, This CM can be effectively and efficiently implemented using a system	Where to u	se:					
pockets. See Zegeer study (Safety Effects of Marked vs. Unmarked Crosswalks at Uncontrolled Locations) for additional guidance regarding when to install a marked crosswalk. Why it works: Adding pedestrian crossings has the opportunity to enhance pedestrian safety at locations noted as being problematic. Pavement markings delineate a portion of the roadway that is designated for pedestrian crossing. These markings will often be different for controlled verses uncontrolled locations. The use of "ladder", "zebra" or other enhanced markings at uncontrolled crossings can increase both pedestrian and driver awareness to the increased exposure at the crossing. Incorporating advanced "stop" or "yield" markings provides an extra safety buffer and can be effective in reducing the 'multiple-threat' danger to pedestrians. Nearly one-third of all pedestrian-related crashes occur at or within 50 feet of an intersection. Of these, 30 percent may involve a turning vehicle. There are several types of pedestrian crosswalks, including: continental, ladder, zebra, and standard. When agencies opt to install aesthetic enhancement to intersection crosswalks like stamped concrete/asphalt, the project design and construction costs can significantly increase. For HSIP applications, these costs must be accounted for in the B/C calculation, but these costs (over standard crosswalk markings) must be tracked separately and are not federally reimbursable and will increase the agency's local-funding share for the project costs. General Qualities (Time, Cost and Effectiveness): Costs associated with this strategy will vary widely, depending upon if curb ramps and sidewalk modifications are required with the crossing. When considered at a single location, these low cost improvements are usually funded through local funding by local crews. However, This CM can be effectively and efficiently implemented using a systematic approach with numerous locations, resulting in moderate cost projects that are more appropriate to seek state	Non-signaliz	ed intersections without a	marked crossing, where pedestrians are kno	wn to be cross	ing intersections that involve		
regarding when to install a marked crosswalk. Why it works: Adding pedestrian crossings has the opportunity to enhance pedestrian safety at locations noted as being problematic. Pavement markings delineate a portion of the roadway that is designated for pedestrian crossing. These markings will often be different for controlled verses uncontrolled locations. The use of "ladder", "zebra" or other enhanced markings at uncontrolled crossings can increase both pedestrian and driver awareness to the increased exposure at the crossing. Incorporating advanced "stop" or "yield" markings provides an extra safety buffer and can be effective in reducing the 'multiple-threat' danger to pedestrians. Nearly one-third of all pedestrian-related crashes occur at or within 50 feet of an intersection. Of these, 30 percent may involve a turning vehicle. There are several types of pedestrian crosswalks, including: continental, ladder, zebra, and standard. When agencies opt to install aesthetic enhancement to intersection crosswalks like stamped concrete/asphalt, the project design and construction costs can significantly increase. For HSIP applications, these costs must be accounted for in the B/C calculation, but these costs (over standard crosswalk markings) must be tracked separately and are not federally reimbursable and will increase the agency's local-funding share for the project costs. <b>General Qualities (Time, Cost and Effectiveness):</b> Costs associated with this strategy will vary widely, depending upon if curb ramps and sidewalk modifications are required with the crossing. When considered at a single location, these low cost improvements are usually funded through local funding by local crews. However, This CM can be effectively and efficiently implemented using a systematic approach with numerous locations, resulting in moderate cost projects that are more appropriate to seek state or federal funding.	significant v	ehicular traffic. They are e	specially important at school crossings and in	tersections wit	h right and/or left turns		
Why it works:Adding pedestrian crossings has the opportunity to enhance pedestrian safety at locations noted as being problematic. Pavement markings delineate a portion of the roadway that is designated for pedestrian crossing. These markings will often be different for controlled verses uncontrolled locations. The use of "ladder", "zebra" or other enhanced markings at uncontrolled crossings can increase both pedestrian and driver awareness to the increased exposure at the crossing. Incorporating advanced "stop" or "yield" markings provides an extra safety buffer and can be effective in reducing the 'multiple-threat' danger to pedestrians. Nearly one-third of all pedestrian-related crashes occur at or within 50 feet of an intersection. Of these, 30 percent may involve a turning vehicle. There are several types of pedestrian crosswalks, including: continental, ladder, zebra, and standard. When agencies opt to install aesthetic enhancement to intersection crosswalks like stamped concrete/asphalt, the project design and construction costs can significantly increase. For HSIP applications, these costs must be accounted for in the B/C calculation, but these costs (over standard crosswalk markings) must be tracked separately and are not federally reimbursable and will increase the agency's local-funding share for the project costs.General Qualities (Time, Cost and Effectiveness): Costs associated with this strategy will vary widely, depending upon if curb ramps and sidewalk modifications are required with the crossing. When considered at a single location, these low cost improvements are usually funded through local funding by local crews. However, This CM can be effectively and efficiently implemented using a systematic approach with numerous locations, resulting in moderate cost projects that are more appropriate to seek state or federal funding.	pockets. See	e Zegeer study (Safety Effe	cts of Marked vs. Unmarked Crosswalks at Ur	ncontrolled Loc	ations) for additional guidance		
Adding pedestrian crossings has the opportunity to enhance pedestrian safety at locations noted as being problematic. Pavement markings delineate a portion of the roadway that is designated for pedestrian crossing. These markings will often be different for controlled verses uncontrolled locations. The use of "ladder", "zebra" or other enhanced markings at uncontrolled crossings can increase both pedestrian and driver awareness to the increased exposure at the crossing. Incorporating advanced "stop" or "yield" markings provides an extra safety buffer and can be effective in reducing the 'multiple-threat' danger to pedestrians. Nearly one-third of all pedestrian-related crashes occur at or within 50 feet of an intersection. Of these, 30 percent may involve a turning vehicle. There are several types of pedestrian crosswalks, including: continental, ladder, zebra, and standard. When agencies opt to install aesthetic enhancement to intersection crosswalks like stamped concrete/asphalt, the project design and construction costs can significantly increase. For HSIP applications, these costs must be accounted for in the B/C calculation, but these costs (over standard crosswalk markings) must be tracked separately and are not federally reimbursable and will increase the agency's local-funding share for the project costs.  General Qualities (Time, Cost and Effectiveness):  Costs associated with this strategy will vary widely, depending upon if curb ramps and sidewalk modifications are required with the crossing. When considered at a single location, these low cost improvements are usually funded through local funding by local crews. However, This CM can be effectively and efficiently implemented using a systematic approach with numerous locations, resulting in moderate cost projects that are more appropriate to seek state or federal funding.	regarding w	hen to install a marked cro	osswalk.				
delineate a portion of the roadway that is designated for pedestrian crossing. These markings will often be different for controlled verses uncontrolled locations. The use of "ladder", "zebra" or other enhanced markings at uncontrolled crossings can increase both pedestrian and driver awareness to the increased exposure at the crossing. Incorporating advanced "stop" or "yield" markings provides an extra safety buffer and can be effective in reducing the 'multiple-threat' danger to pedestrians. Nearly one-third of all pedestrian-related crashes occur at or within 50 feet of an intersection. Of these, 30 percent may involve a turning vehicle. There are several types of pedestrian crosswalks, including: continental, ladder, zebra, and standard. When agencies opt to install aesthetic enhancement to intersection crosswalks like stamped concrete/asphalt, the project design and construction costs can significantly increase. For HSIP applications, these costs must be accounted for in the B/C calculation, but these costs (over standard crosswalk markings) must be tracked separately and are not federally reimbursable and will increase the agency's local-funding share for the project costs. <b>General Qualities (Time, Cost and Effectiveness):</b> Costs associated with this strategy will vary widely, depending upon if curb ramps and sidewalk modifications are required with the crossing. When considered at a single location, these low cost improvements are usually funded through local funding by local crews. However, This CM can be effectively and efficiently implemented using a systematic approach with numerous locations, resulting in moderate cost projects that are more appropriate to seek state or federal funding.	Why it worl	ks:					
Costs associated with this strategy will vary widely, depending upon if curb ramps and sidewalk modifications are required with the crossing. When considered at a single location, these low cost improvements are usually funded through local funding by local crews. However, This CM can be effectively and efficiently implemented using a systematic approach with numerous locations, resulting in moderate cost projects that are more appropriate to seek state or federal funding.	delineate a p uncontrolled driver awarer and can be ef 50 feet of an continental, I concrete/asp in the B/C cal will increase	ortion of the roadway that is of locations. The use of "ladder ness to the increased exposur fective in reducing the 'multip intersection. Of these, 30 per adder, zebra, and standard. A halt, the project design and co culation, but these costs (ove the agency's local-funding sha	designated for pedestrian crossing. These markings ", "zebra" or other enhanced markings at uncontro e at the crossing. Incorporating advanced "stop" or ole-threat' danger to pedestrians. Nearly one-third cent may involve a turning vehicle. There are seve When agencies opt to install aesthetic enhancemen onstruction costs can significantly increase. For HS r standard crosswalk markings) must be tracked se re for the project costs.	will often be diff lled crossings car "yield" markings of all pedestrian ral types of pede t to intersection IP applications, tl	Ferent for controlled verses in increase both pedestrian and s provides an extra safety buffer -related crashes occur at or within estrian crosswalks, including: crosswalks like stamped hese costs must be accounted for		
the crossing. When considered at a single location, these low cost improvements are usually funded through local funding by local crews. However, This CM can be effectively and efficiently implemented using a systematic approach with numerous locations, resulting in moderate cost projects that are more appropriate to seek state or federal funding.							
local crews. However, This CM can be effectively and efficiently implemented using a systematic approach with numerous locations, resulting in moderate cost projects that are more appropriate to seek state or federal funding.							
locations, resulting in moderate cost projects that are more appropriate to seek state or federal funding.	-		-	•			

# NS23PB, Install/upgrade pedestrian crossing at uncontrolled locations (with enhanced safety features)

For HSIP Cycle 12 Call-for-projects							
Fur	nding Eligibility	Crash Types Addressed	CRF	Expected Life			
	90%	Pedestrian and Bicycle	35%	20 years			
Notes:	Notes: This CM only applies to "Ped & Bike" crashes occurring in the new crossing (influence area) with						
	enhanced safety features. This CM is not intended to be used for high-cost aesthetic enhancements t						
	intersection crosswalk	s (i.e. stamped concrete or stamped asp	halt).				
		General information					
Where to us	se:						
Non-signaliz	ed intersections where pe	destrians are known to be crossing intersect	ions that involv	e significant vehicular traffic.			
They are es	pecially important at school	ol crossings and intersections with turn pocke	ets. Based on th	e Zegeer study (Safety Effects			
of Marked v	s. Unmarked Crosswalks a	t Uncontrolled Locations) at many locations,	a marked cross	walk alone may not be			
		notorized users. In these cases, <u>flashing beac</u>					
		<b>atures</b> should be added to complement the s	tandard crossir	ng elements.			
Why it worl							
	-	de enhances safety features has the opportu	•				
		The enhanced safety elements help delineat					
		advanced "yield" markings provide an extra s	•	-			
•	<b>0</b> 1	rians. Nearly one-third of all pedestrian-relat stall aesthetic enhancement to intersection c					
	0 1	can significantly increase. For HSIP application					
	-	standard crosswalk markings) must be tracke					
		ency's local-funding share for the project cost	• •				
	alities (Time, Cost and Eff						
		l vary widely, depending upon the types of er	nhanced featur	es that will be combined with			
	• •	The need for new curb ramps and sidewalk					
may be effe	ctively and efficiently impl	emented using a systematic approach with n	nore than one l	ocation and can have relatively			
high B/C rat	ios based on past non-mo	torized crash history.					
FHWA CMF	Clearinghouse: Crash T	ypes Addressed: Pedestrian and Bicycle	CRF: 3	7%			

#### NS24PB, Install Rectangular Rapid Flashing Beacon (RRFB)

For HSIP Cycle 12 Call-for-projects								
Funding Eligibility Crash Types Addressed CRF Expected Life								
	90% Pedestrian and Bicycle 35% 20 years							
Notes:			rashes occurring in the in ng which includes the RR		ea (expected to be a			
		Ge	neral information					
Where to us	se:							
visibility of r	marked crosswalks and flashers on police vehic	alert motorists to pe	destrian crossings. It uses a	n irregular f	litional signage that enhance the lash pattern that is similar to d-block pedestrian crossings.			
vehicles and	d pedestrians at unsigna	lized intersections ar	ss of potential pedestrian co nd mid-block pedestrian cro uch as crossing warning sign	ossings. The	addition of RRFB may also			
General Qu	alities (Time, Cost and	ffectiveness):						
	lower cost alternative t ed using a systematic ap	0	hybrid signals. This CM can us locations.	often be eff	ectively and efficiently			
FHWA CMF	Clearinghouse: Cras	h Types Addressed:	Pedestrian, Bicycle	CRF:	7 – 47.4%			

# NS25PB, Install Pedestrian Signal (including Pedestrian Hybrid Beacon (HAWK))

		For HSIP C	Cycle 12 Call-for-proje	ects	
Fur	nding Eligibility	Crash T	ypes Addressed	CRF	Expected Life
	90%	Pedesti	rian and Bicycle	55%	20 years
Notes: This CM only applies to "Ped & Bike" crashes occurring in the intersection/crossing with the new signal. For HAWK or other pedestrian signals, the justification may be Warrant 4, 5 and/or 7, or passing the test in Figure 4F-1/4F-2 in Chapter 4F of CA MUTCD. Please refer to Chapter 4F of CA MUTCD for more details					
		Ge	neral information		
Where to u	se:				
	e needed to provide an a	•		0 /	Activated crossWalK beacon swalk.
Adding a pe Nearly one- better guida	edestrian signal has the op third of all pedestrian-rel ance signs and markings f	ated crashes occur or non-motorized a	at or within 50 feet of a nd motorized roadway	n intersection. users should be	ns noted as being problematic. In combination with this CM, e considered, including: sign and
-	uses of the roadway that			a signs and mai	rkings warning motorists of non-
	alities (Time, Cost and Ef				
The cost of	improvements are generation	ally high, but can va	iry dependent on the ty	pe of signal and	l overall scope of the project. In
most cases location.	the project duration can	be short. The expe	cted effectiveness of thi	is CM must be a	ssessed for each individual
FHWA CMF	Clearinghouse: Crash	Types Addressed:	Pedestrian and Bicycl	e CRF:	15 - 69%

# **B.3** Roadway Countermeasures

R01NT, Add Segment Lighting

		For HSIP Cy	cle 12 Call-for-projects	1			
Funding Eligibility Crash Types Addressed CRF Expected Life							
90% Night 35% 20 years							
Notes: This CM only applies to "night" crashes (all types) occurring within limits of the proposed roadway lighting 'engineered' area.							
		Gene	eral information				
Where to u	ise:						
0	r <b>ks:</b> oadway lighting improves t	, , ,	, , , ,	0	rs more aware of the le sight distances to perceive		
Why it wor Providing ro surrounding	r <b>ks:</b> oadway lighting improves t	perception-reaction	n times, (2) enhancing driv	vers' availab	le sight distances to perceive		
Why it wor Providing ro surrounding roadway ch	<b>ks:</b> oadway lighting improves t gs, which improves drivers	perception-reaction the change, and (3) ir	n times, (2) enhancing driv	vers' availab	le sight distances to perceive		
Why it wor Providing ro surrounding roadway ch General Qu It expected costs assoct for the lum	ks: oadway lighting improves t gs, which improves drivers haracteristic in advance of t alities (Time, Cost and Eff that projects of this type r iated with providing lightin inaire supports (i.e., poles)	Perception-reaction the change, and (3) in ectiveness): may be constructed in g, including the cost , and the cost for rou	n times, (2) enhancing driv mproving non-motorist's n a year or two and are re of providing a permanen utinely replacing the bulb	vers' availab visibility and elatively cos t source of p s and maint	le sight distances to perceive		

#### R02, Remove or relocate fixed objects outside of Clear Recovery Zone

		For HSIP C	ycle 12 Call-for-pro	ojects		
Fur	nding Eligibility	Crash T	/pes Addressed	CRF	Expected Life	
	90%		All	35%	20 years	
Notes:	Notes: This CM only applies to crashes occurring within the limits of the new clear recovery zone (per					
	Caltrans' HDM).					
		Ge	neral information			
Where to u	se:					
	, .				es, drainage structures, trees, and	
	-				clear recovery zone should be	
-				right-of-way is li	mited, steps should be taken to	
	stance from property ov	ners, as appropriate	2.			
Why it wor						
		-			nism to reduce the severity of a	
-					to stop safely or regain control of	
		Removing or moving	fixed objects, flatten	ing slopes, or pro	oviding recovery areas reduces the	
likelihood o						
General Qu	alities (Time, Cost and E	ffectiveness):				
Projects inv	olving removing fixed ob	jects from highway	ight-of-way can typic	ally be accomplia	shed quickly, assuming the objects	
are readily r	noveable. Clearing object	ts on private proper	ty requires more time	e for discussions	with the property owner. Costs	
will general	ly be low, assuming that	in most cases the ob	jects to be removed	are within the rig	sht-of-way. This CMs can be very	
	•				stematic approach. High-cost	
removals or	removals implemented	using a systematic a	pproach would be go	od candidates fo	r Caltrans Federal Safety Funding.	
FHWA CMF	Clearinghouse: Crash	Types Addressed:	Fixed Object	CRF:	17 - 100 %	

#### R03, Install Median Barrier

		For HSIP Cy	cle 12 Call-for-projec	ts				
Funding Eligibility Crash Types Addressed CRF Expected Life								
	90% All 25% 20 years							
Note: For Caltrans' statewide Calls-for-Projects, this CM only applies to crashes occurring within the limits of the new barrier.								
		Gen	eral information					
Where to u	se:							
safety from	this countermeasure is co	nnected more to re-	ducing the severity of cr	ashes not the	number of crashes. It is			
recommend install medi <b>Why it wor</b> This strateg median bar of the crash	an barriers. <b>ks:</b> y is designed to prevent h	ead-on collisions by sier to choose a site- uld be in selecting au	ter 7 of the Caltrans Tra providing a barrier betv specific solution. The m	ffic Manual w veen opposing ain advantage	hen considering whether to lanes of traffic. The variety of is the reduction of the severity			
recommend install medi Why it worl This strateg median bar of the crash maintenand	an barriers. <b>ks:</b> y is designed to prevent h riers available makes it ea: es. The key to success wo	ead-on collisions by sier to choose a site- Ild be in selecting au h.	ter 7 of the Caltrans Tra providing a barrier betv specific solution. The m	ffic Manual w veen opposing ain advantage	hen considering whether to lanes of traffic. The variety of is the reduction of the severity			
recommend install medi Why it worl This strateg median bar of the crash maintenanc General Qu This strateg on the type part of a rec	an barriers. ks: y is designed to prevent h riers available makes it ea es. The key to success wo the needs, and median widt alities (Time, Cost and Eff y would in many cases be of median barrier selected	ead-on collisions by sier to choose a site- uld be in selecting an h. ectiveness): possible to impleme d and whether the sign effort. Maintenand	ter 7 of the Caltrans Tra providing a barrier betw specific solution. The m n appropriate barrier ba nt within a short perioc trategy is implemented te costs and worker exp	ffic Manual w veen opposing ain advantage sed on the site after site sele as a stand-aloo osure will also	hen considering whether to lanes of traffic. The variety of is the reduction of the severity e, previous crash history, ction. Costs will vary depending he project or incorporated as vary depending on the type of			

#### R04, Install Guardrail

		For HSIP Cycle 12 Call-for-projects					
Fur	nding Eligibility	Crash Types Addressed	CRF	Expected Life			
	90%	All	25%	20 years			
Notes:	Notes: This CM only applies to crashes occurring within the limits of the new guardrail. This CM is not						
	intended to be used	for general maintenance activities (i.e. the	e replacemen <sup>-</sup>	t of existing damaged rail).			
	For projects proposi	ng to upgrade existing guardrail to current	standards, th	is CM and corresponding			
	CRF should only be a	applied to locations where past crash data	or engineerin	g judgment applied to the			
	existing rail conditio	ns suggests the upgraded guardrail may re	sult in fewer	or less severe crashes			
	(justifying the use of	the 25% CRF for this CM).					
		General information					
Where to u	se:						
Guardrail is	installed to reduce the s	everity of lane departure crashes. However, gu	ardrail can red	uce crash severity only for			
		guardrail is less severe than going down an emb					
		lear that crash severity will be reduced, or ther					
-		severe crashes. New and upgraded guardrail ar					
		safety Hardware (MASH) for more information to be considered and documented.	h. Caltrans (or	other national accepted			
Why it wor		to be considered and documented.					
		om embankment slopes or fixed objects and dis	ssipates the en	ergy of an errant vehicle.			
	······						
General Qu	alities (Time, Cost and E	ffectiveness):					
Strategies r	ange from relatively inex	pensive too costly. Costly projects may include	those that upg	rade existing guardrail			
		rigid barrier systems over extended distances.		CMs can be effective and can			
		enance staff and/or implemented on a systema	1 1				
FHWA CMF	Clearinghouse: Crash	Types Addressed: Fixed Object, Run-off Roa	d CRF: 1	1 - 78 %			

# R05, Install impact attenuators

For HSIP Cycle 12 Call-for-projects								
Funding Eligibility Crash Types Addressed CRF Expected Life								
90% All 25% 10 years					10 years			
Notes: This CM only applies to crashes occurring within the limits of the new attenuators. This CM is not intended to be used for general maintenance activities (i.e. the replacement of existing damaged attenuators). For projects proposing to upgrade existing attenuators to current standards, this CM and corresponding CRF should only be applied to locations where past crash data or engineering judgment applied to the existing attenuator conditions suggests the upgraded attenuators may result in fewer or less severe crashes (justifying the use of the 25% CRF for this CM).								
	•	Ge	neral information					
Where to u	se:							
bridge pillar	s from oncoming auto	mobiles. Attenuators	should only be installed whe	ere it is imp	nds, steel guardrail ends and ractical for the objects to be MASH for more information.			
Why it wor	ks:							
effective at	Attenuators bring an errant vehicle to a more-controlled stop or redirect the vehicle away from a rigid object. Attenuators are effective at absorbing impact energy and increasing occupant safety. They also tend to draw attention to the fixed object, which helps drivers steer clear of the fixed objects.							
General Qu	alities (Time, Cost and	Effectiveness):						
	nding on the scope of t site is identified.	he project, type(s) use	d, and associated ongoing m	naintenance	e costs. Time to install is fairly			
FHWA CMF	Clearinghouse: Cra	sh Types Addressed:	Fixed Object, Run-off Road	CRF:	5 - 50 %			

# R06, Flatten side slopes

		For HSIP C	cycle 12 Call-for-project	S	
Fun	ding Eligibility	Crash T	ypes Addressed	CRF	Expected Life
90% All 30% 20 years					
Notes:	flattening of sid	-	-		e slopes. Minor/incidental nd may not be appropriate for
		Ge	neral information		
Where to us	se:				
of lane depa Why it worl Flattened slu hazardous d result in sev	arture crashes with <b>(s:</b> opes provide a gre lrops-offs adjacent er crashes.	out installing a barrier sys ater area for a driver to re to a travel lane offer little	tem that could result in in gain control of a vehicle.	creased nun Steep slopes	
		and Effectiveness):			
none exists potential fo	can be moderately r high environmen	expensive based on the s tal and right-of-way impac	cope of the project and th ts is high which can take s	e associated several years	e creating safer side slopes where I clearing, grading, etc. The to clear. In other cases This CM on a systematic approach.
FHWA CMF	Clearinghouse:	Crash Types Addressed:	Fixed Object, Run-off Ro	ad CRF:	5 - 62 %

## R07, Flatten side slopes and remove guardrail

		For HSIP C	cycle 12 Call-for-projects				
Funding Eligibility Crash Types Addressed CRF Expected Life							
90% All 40% 20 yea							
Notes: This CM only applies to crashes occurring within the limits of both the removed guardrail and the new side slopes.							
		Ge	neral information				
Where to us	se:						
	-	-			th guardrail or a fixed object		
located on t are generall	he side slope shielde y installed to reduce	d by guardrail. The gua	rdrail may or may not meet	current sta			
located on t are generall Why it worl Flattened sid existing gua	the side slope shielde by installed to reduce <b>ks:</b> de slopes and an uno rdrail may help prote	d by guardrail. The gua the severity of departur bstructed clear zone pr	rdrail may or may not meet re crashes, they still can res ovide a greater area for a d ed objects, or unprotected h	current sta ult in sever river to rega	indards. Even though guardrails		
located on t are generall Why it worl Flattened sid existing gua lane, but ren	the side slope shielde by installed to reduce <b>ks:</b> de slopes and an uno rdrail may help prote	d by guardrail. The gua the severity of departur bstructed clear zone pr ct the steep slopes, fixe ostacles generally impro	rdrail may or may not meet re crashes, they still can res ovide a greater area for a d ed objects, or unprotected h	current sta ult in sever river to rega	indards. Even though guardrails e crashes in some locations. ain control of a vehicle. The		
located on t are generall Why it work Flattened sid existing gua lane, but ren General Qua Roadside manone exists	the side slope shielde y installed to reduce ks: de slopes and an uno rdrail may help prote moving all of these of alities (Time, Cost an odifications range fro can be moderately ex	d by guardrail. The gua the severity of departur bstructed clear zone pro- ct the steep slopes, fixe ostacles generally impro- d Effectiveness): m relatively inexpensiv spensive based on the s	rdrail may or may not meet re crashes, they still can res ovide a greater area for a d ed objects, or unprotected h oves safety.	current sta ult in seven river to rega nazardous d that include associated	indards. Even though guardrails e crashes in some locations. ain control of a vehicle. The rops-offs adjacent to a travel e creating safer side slopes where clearing, grading, etc. The		

#### R08, Install raised median

#### For HSIP Cycle 12 Call-for-projects

Fur	nding Eligibility	Crash Ty	pes Addressed	CRF	Expected Life		
90% All 25% 20 years							
Notes:	This CM only applies to crashes occurring within the limits of the new raised median. All new raised medians funded with federal HSIP funding should not include the removal of the existing roadway						
			-		. This requirement is being		
				-	ing and to minimize project		
	· · ·	-	project, is considered r				
			eral information				
Where to u	se:						
Areas exper	riencing head-on collisions	that may be affect	ed by both the number of	vehicles that c	ross the centerline and by the		
speed of on	coming vehicles. Installing	a raised median is	a more restrictive approad	ch in that it rep	resents a more rigid barrier		
between op	posing traffic. Application	n of raised medians	on roadways with higher s	speeds is not a	dvised - instead a median		
barrier shou	uld be considered. Includi	ng landscaping in ne	w raised medians can be	counterproduc	tive to the HSIP safety goals		
	only be done in ways that				_		
	eds throughout the life of		caping. Agencies need to	consider and o	document impacts of		
	urning movements at nea	arby intersections.					
Why it wor							
-				-	ross section to incorporate a		
	een the opposing travel la ing movements along a ro		the limits of the travel land	e. Raised med	ian may also be used to limit		
General Qu	alities (Time, Cost and Eff	ectiveness):					
In some cas	es this strategy may be a r	etrofit into the exis	ting roadway by utilizing a	a portion of the	existing paved shoulder.		
These raise	d medians can be installed	directly over the e	sisting pavement. Cost an	d time to imple	ement could significantly		
increase if t	he paved area is not suffic	ient to include a m	dian. The surface treatm	ent of the raise	ed median also significantly		
affects their	r cost-effectiveness: stand	ard concrete or oth	er hardscape surfaces are	usually more c	ost effective than landscaped		
medians. W	hen agencies opt to instal	I landscaping in con	junction with new raised r	medians, the p	roject design and construction		
costs can sig	gnificantly increase due to	excavation, backfil	/top-soil, water-connectio	on, irrigation, p	lanting, maintenance needed		
for the land	scaping. When agencies of	opt to install landsca	ping in conjunction with r	new raised med	dians, the portion of the cost		
for landscap	oing and other non-safety	related items that e	xceeds 10% of the project	total cost is no	ot federally participated and		
	ided by the applicant.						

#### R09, Install median (flush)

For HSIP Cycle 12 Call-for-projects								
Fur	Funding Eligibility Crash Types Addressed CRF Expected Life							
90% All 15% 20 years								
Notes:	Notes: This CM only applies to crashes occurring within the limits of the new flush median. The new median							
	must be a minimun	n of 4 feet wide (or	"wider" if a narrow med	ian exists bef	ore the proposed project).			
General information								
Where to us	se:							
	0	•			ross the centerline and by the			
	-			o restripe the	roadway to reduce the lanes			
	widths and use the ext	a width for the med	an.					
Why it worl								
U U		0,		0	ction to incorporate a narrow			
			ng a greater opportunity to					
		••		ilable cross sec	ction and intended application.			
Additional s	afety can be provided b	y combining this CM	with rumble strips.					
General Qu	alities (Time, Cost and	Effectiveness):						
In some cas	es this strategy may be	retrofitted into the e	xisting roadway by utilizing	a portion of th	ne existing paved shoulder and			
can ultimate	ely be as simple as restr	iping the roadway. C	osts and time to implement	could signification	antly increase if the paved area			
is not suffici	ent to include a media	l.						
FHWA CMF	Clearinghouse: Cras	h Types Addressed:	All	CRF: 1	5 - 78 %			

# R10PB, Install pedestrian median fencing

		For HSIP Cycle 12 Call-for-projects						
Fur	Funding Eligibility Crash Types Addressed CRF Expected Life							
	90% Pedestrian and Bicycle 35% 20 years							
Notes:	Notes: This CM only applies to "Ped & Bike" crashes occurring on the approaches/influence area of the new							
	pedestrian median fe	ncing.						
	General information							
Where to u	se:							
Roadway segments with high pedestrian-generators and pedestrian-destinations nearby (e.g. transit stops) may experience a high volume of pedestrians J-walking across the travel lanes at mid-block locations instead of walking to the nearest intersection or designated mid-block crossing. When this safety issue cannot be mitigated with shoulder, sidewalk and/or crossing treatments, then installing a continuous pedestrian barrier in the median may be a viable solution. Why it works: Adding pedestrian median fencing has the opportunity to enhance pedestrian safety at locations noted as being problematic								
01	0, 0	across the roadway outside designated pede	0	J. J				
can significantly reduce this safety issue by creating a positive barrier, forcing pedestrians to the designated pedestrian crossing. General Qualities (Time, Cost and Effectiveness):								
transit and be effective	General Qualities (Time, Cost and Effectiveness): Costs associated with this strategy will vary widely depending on the type and placement of the median fencing. Impacts to transit and other land uses may need to be considered and controversy can delay the implementation. In general, this CM can be effective as a spot-location approach.							
FHWA CMF	Clearinghouse: Crash 1	ypes Addressed: Pedestrian, Bicycle	CRF: 2	5 - 40%				

#### R11, Install acceleration/ deceleration lanes

Notes: Th ro us Where to use: Areas proven to the desired road movement. Thi Why it works:		ies to crashes occurr cant improvements t	ypes Addressed All ing within the limits of t to the merge length for l		Expected Life 20 years el/decel lanes on high speed
Notes: Th ro us Where to use: Areas proven to the desired roac movement. Thi Why it works:	his CM only appl badways. Signific	cant improvements t	ing within the limits of t	he new acc	el/decel lanes on high speed
Where to use: Areas proven to the desired road movement. Thi Why it works:	adways. Signific	cant improvements t	-		
Areas proven to the desired road movement. Thi Why it works:		•			cations is also an acceptable
Areas proven to the desired road movement. Thi Why it works:		Ge	neral information		
the desired road movement. Thi Why it works:					
movement. Thi Why it works:	b have crashes tha	t are the result of drive	ers not being able to turn o	onto a high sp	eed roadway to accelerate until
Why it works:	dway speed is read	ched and areas that do	not provide the opportun	ity to safety o	lecelerate to negotiate a turning
	is CM can also be ι	used to improve the sa	fety of merging vehicles at	a lane-drop	ocation.
A lane that does					
up into the adja speed-change la traffic lanes of a	acent through lane ane that allows ve a highway. Additio	. This can contribute to hicles to accelerate to	o rear-end and sideswipe o highway speeds (high spee y entering traffic takes plac	rashes. An a d roadways)	hay cause the turn queue to back cceleration lane is an auxiliary or before entering the through- the traveled way, it may disrupt
General Qualiti	es (Time, Cost and	d Effectiveness):			
Costs are highly	variable. Where s	sufficient median or she	oulder space exists it may	be possible to	) provide
acceleration/de	eceleration lanes a	t a moderate cost. Whe	ere the roadway must be v	videned and	additional right-of-way must be
	-	hy time-to-construct a	re likely. The expected eff	ectiveness of	this CM must be assessed for
each individual	location.	ash Types Addressed:	Sideswipe, Rear-End	CRF:	10 - 75 %

#### R12, Widen lane (initially less than 10 ft)

Notes: Not Iim Where to use: Horizontal curves	its of the widened	Crash Types Addressed All atewide Calls-for-Projects, this ( lanes. Widening must a minimu General informati	CM only ap m of 1 foo		Expected Life 20 years hes occurring within the
Notes: Not lim Where to use: Horizontal curves	te: For Caltrans' st its of the widened	atewide Calls-for-Projects, this ( lanes. Widening must a minimu General informati	m of 1 foo	pplies to cras	,
Where to use: Horizontal curves	its of the widened	lanes. Widening must a minimu General informati	m of 1 foo		hes occurring within the
Where to use: Horizontal curves	or tangents and low	General informati		ot.	
Horizontal curves	0		on		
Horizontal curves	0	concerned or high concerned reading with idea			
	0	coood or high coood roadways ide			
head-on crashes	that can be attribute	d to an existing pavement width les		0 1	arture crashes, sideswipe or
Why it works:					
		almost all crash types. A common			-
		on curves comparable to those on ta			-
01	nead-on or cross-cen	of lane width on safety. On high-sp terline sideswipe crashes is a conce		0	
General Qualities	s (Time, Cost and Eff	ectiveness):			
		econstruction necessary and on whe		-	
0	0	commended, but it can also be very			<i>,</i> ,
treatment, one o roadways.	f the keys to creating	a cost effective project with at leas	st a mediun	n B/C ratio is t	argeting higher-hazard
FHWA CMF Clear	inghouse: Crash 1	ypes Addressed: All		CRF: 5	- 70 %

#### R13, Add two-way left-turn lane

		For HSIP (	Cycle 12 Call-for-projects	i		
Fui	nding Eligibility	Crash T	ypes Addressed	CRF	Expected Life	
90% All 30% 20 years						
Notes:	This CM only applie did not already exis		ing within the limits of th	ne new lane	, where an existing median	
		Ge	neral information			
Where to u	se:					
Also can be	effective for drivers cro	0	ended while attempting to of an undivided multilane ro		urn across oncoming traffic. vertently.	
Why it wor					the second s	
traffic. The disruption of	y can also help to allow of flow of through-traffic	vehicles to begin to a and reducing rear-e	accelerate before entering t nd and sideswipe collisions	he through-t . For some i	t turning traffic from through raffic lanes. They reduce the oadways the option of ane and bike lanes should be	
considered	(See nodu Diet eivi.)					
	alities (Time, Cost and I	ffectiveness):				
General Qu In some cas can ultimat is not suffic	alities (Time, Cost and I es this strategy may be ely be as simple as restri ient to include a mediar	retrofitted into the e ping the roadway. Co , requiring new right	osts and time to implement	could signifi ant environr	the existing paved shoulder and cantly increase if the paved are nental impacts. The expected from low to high.	

# R14, Road Diet (Reduce travel lanes and add a two way left-turn and bike lanes) For HSIP Cycle 12 Call-for-projects

		For HSIP Cycle 12 Call-for-project	S					
Fur	nding Eligibility	Crash Types Addressed	CRF	Expected Life				
	90% All 35% 20 years							
Notes: This CM only applies to crashes occurring within the limits of the new lane striping. "Intersection"								
	crashes can only be applied when they resulted from turning movements that had no designated turn							
	lanes/phases in the ex	kisting condition and the Road Diet will p	orovide turn la	nes/phases for these				
	movements. This CM	does not apply to roadway sections that	already inclue	led left turn lanes or two				
	way left turn lanes be	fore the lane reductions. New bike lane	s are also expe	ected to be part of these				
		ent is planned to be removed for the pu	•	-				
	boxes, or other non-re	padway user features, the cost should be	e non-particip	ating.				
		General information						
Where to u	se:							
		ncy of head-on, left-turn, and rear-end crash						
		s strategy in locations with traffic volumes th	-					
	utes less safe than the orig	inal four-lane design. It may also result in co	ngestion levels	that contribute to other				
crashes. Why it wor	ke.							
		reduces the roadway segment speeds and	serious head-on	crashes In many cases the				
• •		the installation of bike lanes. In addition to						
•	e safety of on-street parkir		5 5 5 5 5 7					
General Qu	alities (Time, Cost and Eff	ectiveness):						
•		ime than in other low-cost treatments to co	•					
		quire new lane markings and minor signalization						
	•	be considered on a systematic approach. The		-				
		and not an additional CM. (If additional signa		-				
		then the Improve Signal Hardware CM may lly remove the old striping. These seal coats						
		rlays should not be considered part of this C						
	ornia Local HSIP.							
		ypes Addressed: All	CRF: 2	6 - 43 %				

1

#### R15, Widen shoulder

		For HSIP (	Cycle 12 Call-for-projects		
Fur	nding Eligibility	Crash T	ypes Addressed	CRF	Expected Life
90%			All	30%	20 years
Notes:	feet width must h CM is not eligible documents that: signing/striping u already monitore crash rate is still u program manage	be added and the new unless it is done as t 1) they have already pgrades to MUTCD si d the crash occurren unacceptably high. T r) must be document	v/resulting shoulders mus he last step of an "increm pursued and installed low tandards/recommendatio ces after these improvem his 'incremental approach	t be a min ental app rer cost ar ns, rumbl ents were ' (or a spe ions in th	e strips, etc.), 2) they have installed, and 3) the 'after' ecial exception from the HSIP e application and a summary
	of the before an		neral information		011.
Where to u	se:				
					uccessful attempt to reenter the
initiate such	a recovery.	e recovery is increased	if an errant vehicle is provid	ed with an	increased paved area in which to
initiate such Why it wor	ks:		·		·
initiate such Why it work Based on the of a vehicle, disabled vehicle, roadway, are benefits for	a recovery. ks: e best available resea as well as lateral cle nicles to stop or drive ind in some cases redu adding or widening a	arch, adding shoulder o arance to roadside obje slowly, provide increas uce passing conflicts be an existing shoulder ger	r widening an existing should ects such as guardrail, signs a sed sight distance for throug tween motor vehicles and bio	der provide nd poles. T h vehicles a cyclists and ing width i	es a greater area to regain control hey may also provide space for and for vehicles entering the d pedestrians. The likely safety ncreases - practitioners should
initiate such Why it worl Based on th of a vehicle, disabled vel roadway, ar benefits for refer to NCI General Qu	a recovery. ks: e best available resea as well as lateral cle nicles to stop or drive nd in some cases redu adding or widening a IRP Report 500 Serie alities (Time, Cost an	arch, adding shoulder o arance to roadside obje slowly, provide increas ice passing conflicts be an existing shoulder ger s, the CMF Clearinghous d Effectiveness):	r widening an existing should ects such as guardrail, signs a sed sight distance for throug tween motor vehicles and bi- nerally increase as the widen se or other references for mo	der provide nd poles. T n vehicles cyclists and ing width i pre details	es a greater area to regain control They may also provide space for and for vehicles entering the d pedestrians. The likely safety ncreases - practitioners should
initiate such Why it worl Based on th of a vehicle, disabled vel roadway, ar benefits for refer to NCI General Qu Shoulder wi	a recovery. ks: e best available resea as well as lateral cle nicles to stop or drive ad in some cases redu adding or widening a IRP Report 500 Serie alities (Time, Cost an dening costs would c	arch, adding shoulder o arance to roadside obje slowly, provide increas ice passing conflicts be an existing shoulder ger s, the CMF Clearinghou d Effectiveness): lepend on whether new	r widening an existing should ects such as guardrail, signs a sed sight distance for throug tween motor vehicles and bi- nerally increase as the widen se or other references for mo v right-of-way is required and	der provide nd poles. T n vehicles cyclists and ing width i pre details d whether	es a greater area to regain control They may also provide space for and for vehicles entering the d pedestrians. The likely safety ncreases - practitioners should extensive roadside modification is
initiate such Why it worl Based on th of a vehicle, disabled vel roadway, ar benefits for refer to NCH General Qu Shoulder win needed. Sin	a recovery. ks: e best available resea as well as lateral cle nicles to stop or drive ad in some cases redu adding or widening a IRP Report 500 Serie alities (Time, Cost an dening costs would c ce shoulder widening	arch, adding shoulder o arance to roadside obje slowly, provide increas are passing conflicts bet an existing shoulder ger s, the CMF Clearinghou d Effectiveness): lepend on whether new g can be a relatively exp	r widening an existing should ects such as guardrail, signs a sed sight distance for throug tween motor vehicles and bi- nerally increase as the widen se or other references for mo- v right-of-way is required and pensive treatment, one of the	der provide nd poles. T n vehicles cyclists and ing width i pre details d whether	es a greater area to regain control They may also provide space for and for vehicles entering the d pedestrians. The likely safety ncreases - practitioners should
initiate such Why it worl Based on th of a vehicle, disabled vel roadway, ar benefits for refer to NCH General Qu Shoulder win needed. Sin	a recovery. ks: e best available resea as well as lateral cle nicles to stop or drive ad in some cases redu adding or widening a IRP Report 500 Serie alities (Time, Cost an dening costs would c ce shoulder widening	arch, adding shoulder o arance to roadside obje slowly, provide increas ice passing conflicts be an existing shoulder ger s, the CMF Clearinghou d Effectiveness): lepend on whether new	r widening an existing should ects such as guardrail, signs a sed sight distance for throug tween motor vehicles and bi- nerally increase as the widen se or other references for mo- v right-of-way is required and pensive treatment, one of the	der provide nd poles. T n vehicles cyclists and ing width i ore details I whether keys to cr	es a greater area to regain control They may also provide space for and for vehicles entering the d pedestrians. The likely safety ncreases - practitioners should extensive roadside modification is

# R16, Curve Shoulder widening (Outside Only)

		For HSIP Cycle 12 Call-for-projects	;				
Funding Eligibility Crash Types Addressed CRF Expected Life							
90% All 45% 20 years							
Notes: This CM only applies to crashes occurring within the limits (or influence area) of the new shoulder widening at curves. A minimum of 2-4 feet width must be added to the outside of horizontal curves and the new traversable shoulder must be a minimum of 4 feet wide.							
		General information					
Where to u	se:						
•	urves noted as having frequ ul attempt to reenter the re	uent lane departure crashes due to inadequat badway.	e or no should	ers, resulting in an			
Why it wor	ks:						
-	ulders (outside only) create o roadside objects.	es a recovery area in which a driver can regair	n control of a ve	ehicle, as well as lateral			
General Qu	alities (Time, Cost and Effe	ectiveness):					
	To minimize the R/W needs and the cost, only outside shoulder at curves is to be widened. This CM can be implemented in a relatively short timeframe.						
FHWA CMF	Clearinghouse: NA						

#### R17, Improve horizontal alignment (flatten curves)

		For HSIP Cycle 12 Call-for-projects						
Fur	nding Eligibility	Crash Types Addressed	CRF	Expected Life				
	90%	All	50%	20 years				
Notes:	This CM only applies to crashes occurring within the limits (or influence area) of the improved							
	alignment. This CM is not eligible unless it is done as the last step of an "incremental approach",							
	including: the agency documents that: 1) they have already pursued and installed lower cost and lower							
	impact CMs (i.e. signir	ng/striping upgrades to MUTCD standard	s/recomment	dations, rumble strips, etc.),				
	<ol><li>they have already n</li></ol>	nonitored the crash occurrences after the	ese improven	ents were installed, and 3)				
		s still unacceptably high. This 'increment						
		nager) must be documented in the Narra						
	summary of the agend	y's 'before' and 'after' crash analysis mus	st be attached	to the application.				
		General information						
Where to u	se:							
		have experienced lane departure crashes as a						
•		This strategy should generally be considered	•					
-	specific sight obstructions of	or modifying traffic control devices have been	tried and have	e failed to ameliorate the crash				
patterns.								
Why it wor		urve can be very effective in improving the sa	fatu parfarman	ca of the curve Curve				
-		a vehicle leaving its lane, crossing the roadwa						
		dverse consequences of leaving the roadway.	•	•				
		roved superelevation elements, which should						
additional C								
General Qu	alities (Time, Cost and Effe	ectiveness):						
-		st alternative for improving the safety of a ho						
		may also require acquisition of additional rig						
-		that increasing the radius of curvature can sig						
	· ·	ectiveness of this CM must be assessed for ea ypes Addressed: All		4 - 90%				
	Cieaningilouse. Crash i	ypes Addressed. All	UNF. 24	+- 30/0				

#### R18, Flatten crest vertical curve

		For HSIP C	ycle 12 Call-for-project	s					
Funding Eligibility Crash Types Addressed CRF Expected Life									
90% All 25% 20 years									
Notes:	res: This CM only applies to crashes occurring within the limits (or influence area) of the improved								
	alignment. This CM is	not eligible unle	ss it is done as the last	step of an "	incremental approach",				
	including: the agency	documents that:	1) they have already p	ursued and	installed lower cost and low				
	impact CMs (i.e. signir	ng/striping upgra	des to MUTCD standar	ds/recomm	endations, rumble strips, e				
					ements were installed, and				
				•	h' (or a special exception fr				
					ions in the application and				
		•	after' crash analysis mu						
		-	neral information						
Where to u	se:								
The target f	or this strategy is usually u	insignalized interse	ections with restricted sig	nt distance di	ue to vertical geometry and w				
					pensive methods. This strateg				
should gene	erally be considered only w	hen less expensive	e strategies involving clear	ring of specifi	ic sight obstructions or modify				
traffic contr	ol devices have been tried	and have failed to	ameliorate the crash pat	terns.					
Why it worl	ks:								
Adequate si	ght distance for drivers at	stopped approach	es to intersections has lor	ng been recog	gnized as among the most				
•	-			•	projects are expected to inclu				
	nproved superelevation ele		uld be considered part of	this CM and	not an additional CM.				
	alities (Time, Cost and Eff								
					ance are quite extensive and				
					al impacts are expected, these				
					one of the keys to creating a c				
	oject with at least a mediu	m B/C ratio is targ ypes Addressed:	eting higher-hazard locati	ons. CRF:	20 - 51 %				
	Clearinghouse: Crash T								

#### R19, Improve curve superelevation

		For HSIP C	ycle 12 Call-for-projects	;			
Fur	Funding Eligibility Crash Types Addressed CRF Expected Life						
	90%		All	45%	20 years		
Notes: This CM only applies to crashes occurring within the limits (or influence area) of the improved superelevation. This CM does not apply to sections of roadways where the horizontal or vertical alignments are changing via another CM.							
		Ger	neral information				
Where to u	se:						
	evation is improved or re	•	nes and inadequate or no s where the actual supereley	•	. Safety can be enhanced when han the optimal.		
Superelevat cornering. N designed fo	ion works with friction be Aany curves may have ina	dequate supereleva	tion because of vehicles tr	aveling at hig	he vehicle associated with her speeds than were originally in design policy after the curve		
0	alities (Time, Cost and Ef	fectiveness):					
This strategy can be a higher-cost alternative for improving the safety of a curve because it involves reconstruction to some degree. Other projects may be able to be constructed by simple overlays and minimal reconstruction of roadways features. When simple overlay fixes are pursued, a systematic installation approach may be appropriate. The expected effectiveness of this CM must be assessed for each individual location.							
FHWA CMF	Clearinghouse: Crash	Types Addressed:	Run-off Road, All	CRF: 4	40 - 50 %		

### R20, Convert from two-way to one-way traffic

		For HSIP (	Cycle 12 Call-for-projects	5				
Funding Eligibility Crash Types Addressed CRF Expected Life								
	90%		All	35%	20 years			
Notes:	Notes: This CM only applies to crashes occurring within the limits of the new one-way sections.							
	1	Ge	neral information					
Where to u	ise:							
				-	ets tend to have higher speeds			
Why it wor Studies hav While studi streets tend	<b>ks:</b> re shown a 10 to 50-per res have shown that con d to have higher speeds	cent reduction in tota version of two-way s which creates new p	al crashes after conversion streets to one-way generall roblems. At the same time	of a two-wa y reduces p , this strate				
Why it wor Studies hav While studi streets tend significantly	ks: re shown a 10 to 50-per es have shown that con d to have higher speeds y and (2) can have safet	cent reduction in tota -version of two-way s which creates new p related drawbacks i	al crashes after conversion of streets to one-way general	of a two-wa y reduces p , this strate	y street to one-way operation. edestrian crashes, one-way gy (1) increases capacity			
Why it wor Studies hav While studi streets tend significantly General Qu The costs w be high to b likely that t	ks: ve shown a 10 to 50-per ves have shown that con d to have higher speeds y and (2) can have safet valities (Time, Cost and vill vary depending on le puild "crossovers" wher hese types of modificat	ent reduction in tota -version of two-way s which creates new p /-related drawbacks i Effectiveness): ngth of treatment an the one-way streets ons will require publi	al crashes after conversion streets to one-way general roblems. At the same time including pedestrian confus d if the conversion requires	of a two-wa y reduces p , this strate ion and mir s modificatio streets and t gnificantly a	y street to one-way operation. edestrian crashes, one-way gy (1) increases capacity for sideswipe crashes. On to signals. Conversion costs car to rebuild traffic signals. It's also add to the time it takes to			

# R21, Improve pavement friction (High Friction Surface Treatments)

		For HSIP (	Cycle 12 Call-for-project	S		
Funding Eligibility Crash Types Addressed CRF Expected Life						
	90%		All	55%	10 years	
Notes:	This CM only ap	plies to crashes occurr	ing within the limits of t	the improve	d friction overlay. This CM is	
					projects for long segments of	
	corridors or stru	ucture repaving project	ts intended to fix failed	pavement.		
		Ge	neral information			
Where to u	se:					
wet pavem including bu treatment i	ents or under dry co ut not limited to cur s intended to target	onditions when the paver ves, loop ramps, intersec t locations where skidding	nent friction available is sig tions, and areas with shor	gnificantly les t stopping or oblem, in wet	or dry conditions and the target	
Why it wor	ks:					
a reduction e.g. low 40s	of 50 percent for w to high 80s. This C	vet-road crashes and 20 p M represents a special fo	ercent for total crashes. A	opplying HFST nd Caltrans, v	ure to stop crashes can result in can double friction numbers, vhich means there are extra projects.	
General Qu	alities (Time, Cost a	and Effectiveness):				
This strateg	y can be relatively i	nexpensive and impleme	nted in a short timeframe.	The installat	on would be done by either	
			nd or machine. In general,	, This CM can	be very effective and can be	
	on a systematic app		1			
FHWA CMF	Clearinghouse:	Crash Types Addressed:	Wet, Rear-End, All	CRF:	17 - 68 %	

### R22, Install/Upgrade signs with new fluorescent sheeting (regulatory or warning)

For HSIP Cycle 12 Call-for-projects

Funding Eligibility Crash Types Addressed CRF Expected Life									
	90% All 15% 10 years								
Notes:	Notes: This CM only applies to crashes occurring within the influence area of the new/upgraded signs. This								
	CM is not intende	d for maintenance u	pgrades of street-name,	parking, gu	ide, or any other signs				
					it is done as part of a larger				
			of: 1) the existing signs' lo						
					oreflectivity. The overall sign				
			m the HSIP program man	• ·					
			•	he project,	audit, it may be appropriate				
	to combine other	CMs in the B/C calcu	ilation.						
		Ge	neral information						
Where to us									
					, non-intersection, run-off road,				
			ss of the presence of a speci						
			mbined with other sign eva ation of existing signs per M						
Why it work		is, beacons, and reloca	ation of existing signs per wi		aius. <i>j</i>				
		crashes caused by lack	of driver awareness (or con	npliance) roa	adway signing. It is intended to				
					r other retroreflective material).				
General Qua	alities (Time, Cost and	Effectiveness):							
Signing impl	rovements do not req	uire a long developme	nt process and can typically	be impleme	nted quickly. Costs for				
	• • • •	•	-		at a single location, these low				
		-	•		ever, This CM can be effectively				
					moderate cost projects that are				
more appropriate to seek state or federal funding. When considering any type of federally funded sign upgrade project, California local agencies are encouraged to consider "Roadway Safety Signing Audit (RSSA) and Upgrade Projects". Including									
	-	-			TCD) sign features and missing				
			on on RSSA is available on th						
-		sh Types Addressed:	Head on, Run-off road, Sideswipe, Night	CRF:	18 - 35%				

# R23, Install chevron signs on horizontal curves

		For HSIP Cycle 12 Call-for-projects				
Funding Eligibility Crash Types Addressed CRF Expected Life						
	90%	All	40%	10 years		
Notes:	This CM only applies t the curve).	o crashes occurring within the influence	area of the ne	ew signs. (i.e. only through		
	the curve).	General information				
Where to us	se:	Scherarmonnation				
this type of	safety CM would be comb	level of crashes on relatively sharp curves dur ined with other sign evaluations and upgrade ns per MUTCD standards.)				
Why it work						
the drivers.	While they are intended to	to warn drivers of an approaching curve and po act as a warning, it should also be remember	ered that the po	osts, placed along the		
		with which an errant vehicle can crash into. I iderations to be made when selecting these t		to minimize damage and		
	alities (Time, Cost and Effe		reatments.			
implementin cost improve and efficient more appro California lo RSSAs in the	ng this strategy are nomina ements are usually funded tly implemented using a sy priate to seek state or fed cal agencies are encourag e development phase of sig	a long development process and can typically al and depend on the number of signs. When I through local funding by local maintenance stematic approach with numerous locations, eral funding. When considering any type of fe ed to consider "Roadway Safety Signing Audit on projects are expected to identify non-stand d. More information on RSSA is available on t	considered at crews. Howeve resulting in mo ederally funded (RSSA) and Up dard (per MUTC	a single location, these low er, This CM can be effectively oderate cost projects that are d sign upgrade project, grade Projects". Including CD) sign features and missing		
-		ypes Addressed: Run-off Road, All		- 64 %		

#### R24, Install curve advance warning signs

		For HSIP Cycle 12 Call-for-project	S				
Funding Eligibility Crash Types Addressed CRF Expected Life							
	90%	All	25%	10 years			
Notes: This CM only applies to crashes occurring within the influence area of the new signs. (i.e. only through the curve)							
		General information					
Where to u	se:						
and relocati Why it worl This strateg	on of existing signs per M k <b>s:</b> y primarily addresses prol	lem curves, and serves as an advance warnir	ng of an unexpe	cted or sharp curve. It			
This strategy primarily addresses problem curves, and serves as an advance warning of an unexpected or sharp curve. It provides advance information and gives drivers a visual warning that their added attention is needed. General Qualities (Time, Cost and Effectiveness): Signing improvements do not require a long development process and can typically be implemented quickly. Costs for implementing this strategy are nominal and depend on the number of signs. When considered at a single location, these low cost improvements are usually funded through local funding by local maintenance crews. However, This CM can be effectively and efficiently implemented using a systematic approach with numerous locations, resulting in moderate cost projects that are more appropriate to seek state or federal funding. When considering any type of federally funded sign upgrade project, California local agencies are encouraged to consider "Roadway Safety Signing Audit (RSSA) and Upgrade Projects". Including RSSAs in the development phase of sign projects are expected to identify non-standard (per MUTCD) sign features and missing signs that may otherwise go unnoticed. More information on RSSA is available on the Local Assistance HSIP webpage.							
FHWA CMF	Clearinghouse: Crash	ypes Addressed: Run-off Road, All	CRF: 2	0 - 30 %			

For HSIP Cycle 12 Call-for-projects								
Funding Eligibility Crash Types Addressed CRF Expected Life								
90% All 30% 10 years								
Notes: This CM only applies to crashes occurring within the influence area of the new signs. (i.e. only through the curve)								
		Ge	neral informatior	า				
Where to u	ise:							
			, ,		0	-	0	
This strateg	y primarily addresses p advance information ar cation that a curve may	d gives drivers a visua	al warning that thei		-	•	•	
General Qu	alities (Time, Cost and	Effectiveness):						
Use of flashing beacons requires minimal development process, allowing flashing beacons to be installed within a short time period. Before choosing this CM, the agency needs to confirm the ability to provide power to the site (solar may be an option). In general, This CM can be very effective and can be considered on a systematic approach.								
period. Bef	0,	0 /		• •		e site (solar may	/ be an option).	

#### R25, Install curve advance warning signs (flashing beacon)

#### R26, Install dynamic/variable speed warning signs

		For HSIP C	Cycle 12 Call-for-proj	ects				
Fur	Funding Eligibility Crash Types Addressed CRF Expected Life							
	90%		All	30%		10 years		
90%       All       30%       10 years         Notes:       This CM only applies to crashes occurring within the influence area of the new signs. (i.e. through the curve) {This CM does not apply to dynamic regulatory speed warning signs. There are currently no nationally accepted CRFs for dynamic regulatory signs (also known as Radar Speed Feedback Signs). CRFs are being developed and Caltrans hopes to include these CMs and CRFs in future calls for projects.}         General information         Where to use:								
Curvilinear Why it worl	roadways that have an u	nacceptable level of	crashes due to excessi	ve speeds on r	elativ	vely sharp curves.		
		ashes caused by mot	orists traveling too fast	t around sharp	curv	es. It is intended to get the		
-			-			d speed for the approaching		
curve. Care	e should be taken to lim	t the placement of tl	hese signs to help mair	ntain their effe	ctive	ness.		
General Qu	alities (Time, Cost and I	ffectiveness):						
period. Befo	1 0 0	e agency needs to co	nfirm the ability to pro	ovide power to		nstalled within a short time ite (solar may be an option).		
FHWA CMF	Clearinghouse: Cras	n Types Addressed:	All	CRF:	0	- 41 %		

# R27, Install delineators, reflectors and/or object markers

For HSIP Cycle 12 Call-for-projects										
Fur	Funding Eligibility Crash Types Addressed CRF Expected Life									
	90%			All	15%		10 years			
Notes:	Notes: This CM only applies to crashes occurring within the limits / influence area of the new features. {This is									
	not a striping-related CM}									
			Ge	neral information						
Where to u	se:									
Roadways t	hat have an unacce	eptable l	evel of crashes or	n curves (relatively flat to sh	harp) during	periods	of light and darkness.			
		•		idate for this treatment, as	• •	•	-			
				ed object cannot be reloca						
				Ideally this type of safety						
evaluations	and upgrades (inst	tall warn	ing signs, chevror	ns, beacons, and relocation	of existing s	igns per	MUTCD standards.)			
Why it wor	ks:									
Delineators	reflectors and/or	object n	narkers are intend	led to warn drivers of an ap	oproaching o	urve or f	fixed object that cannot			
easily be rea	moved. They are i	ntendec	l to provide tracki	ng information and guidan	ce to the dri	vers. Th	ey are generally less			
costly than	Chevron Signs as th	ney don'	t require posts to	place along the roadside, a	avoiding an a	dditiona	l object with which an			
	le can crash into.									
	alities (Time, Cost									
		•		t process and can typically	•	•	•			
			•	the number of locations. V			-			
				I funding by local maintena						
effectively a	nd efficiently impl	emente	d using a systemat	tic approach with numerou	is locations,	resulting	; in low to moderate cost			
projects that are more appropriate to seek state or federal funding. When considering any type of federally funded sign										
	•	-	-	ed to consider "Roadway Sa						
				sign projects are expected						
		t may ot	herwise go unnot	iced. More information on	n RSSA is ava	ilable on	the Local Assistance			
HSIP webpa	ge.			-						
FHWA CMF	Clearinghouse:	Crash T	ypes Addressed:	All	CRF:	0 - 30 %	%			

# R28, Install edge-lines and centerlines

		For HSIP Cycle 12 Call-for-projects				
Fur	nding Eligibility	Crash Types Addressed	CRF	Expected Life		
90% All 25% 10 years						
Notes: This CM only applies to crashes occurring within the limits of the new centerlines and/or edge-lines.						
		ed to be used for general maintenance ac				
		kind) and must include upgraded safety fe				
		owing passing, a striping audit must be d				
		. Both the centerline and edge-lines are				
		Caltrans staff in writing and attached to	-			
	approvario Srancea by	General information				
Where to u	se:	General mornation				
Any road w	ith a history of run-off-road	d right, head-on, opposite-direction-sideswipe	e. or run-off-ro	ad-left crashes is a candidate		
		existing lane delineation is not sufficient to ass				
		ling on the width of the roadway, various com				
pavement r	narkings may be the most	appropriate. Incorporating raised/reflective p	avement mark	ers (RPMs) into centerlines		
(and edge-l	ines) should be considered	as it has been shown to improve safety.				
Why it wor						
-	•	here none exists or making significant upgrad	-			
		rmoplastic stripes, or adding RPMs) are inten				
		ability to see the edge of the roadway along the		•		
	-	o oncoming traffic. New pavement marking p		o be more durable, are all-		
		ner retroreflectivity than traditional pavement	t markings.			
	alities (Time, Cost and Eff	-		-		
		long development process and can typically b				
•		al and depend on the number and length of lo		•		
-		natic approach with numerous and long locat	-			
		seek state or federal funding. When consider				
		cies are encouraged to consider "Roadway Saf				
-		the development phase of striping projects ar				
		p-passing zone limits needing adjustment, and				
		ation on this concepts is available on the Loca				
		ral safety funding is used for these installation	is in nign-wear	-iocations, the local agency is		
		nt for a minimum of 10 years. ypes Addressed: Head-on, Run-off Road, A	II CRF: 0	- 44 %		
FRIVA CIVIF	clearinghouse: Crash I	ypes Addressed: Head-on, Run-off Road, A		- 44 70		

# R29, Install no-passing line

For HSIP Cycle 12 Call-for-projects								
Funding Eligibility Crash Types Addressed CRF Expected Life								
	90%		All	45%	10 years			
Notes:	This CM only applies	to crashes occurr	ing within the limits	of the new or ex	xtended no-passing zones.			
		Ge	neral information					
Where to us	se:							
maneuvers. vertical obst zones limits passing zon drivers may	Roadways that have a high percentage of head-on crashes suggesting that many head-on crashes may relate to failed passing maneuvers. No-passing lines should be installed where drivers "passing sight distance" is not available due to horizontal or vertical obstructions. General restriping projects can be good opportunities to reevaluate and incorporate new no-passing zones limits. The incorporation 'No Passing Zone' pennants should also be considered when reevaluating the limits of no-passing zones. Installing no-passing limits in areas that are not warranted may reduce the overall safety of the corridor as drivers may become frustrated and attempt passing maneuvers at other locations without the necessary sight distance.							
Why it worl								
determining	enterline markings do no y where passing maneuve ge drivers to wait patien	rs can be complete	d safely. Providing clea	ar and engineered	passing and no-passing areas			
	alities (Time, Cost and E							
These improvements do not require a long development process and can typically be implemented quickly. Costs for implementing this strategy are nominal and depend on the number and length of locations. When considered at a single location, these low cost improvements are usually funded through local funding by local maintenance crews. However, This CM can be effectively and efficiently implemented using a systematic approach with numerous and long locations, resulting in low to moderate cost projects that are more appropriate to seek state or federal funding.								
FHWA CMF	Clearinghouse: Crash	Types Addressed:	Head-on, Side-swipe	CRF:	40 - 53%			

# R30, Install centerline rumble strips/stripes

For HSIP Cycle 12 Call-for-projects							
Funding Eligibility			n Types Addressed	CRF	Expected Life		
90% All 20% 10 y					10 years		
Notes:	Notes: This CM only applies to crashes occurring within the limits of the new rumble strips/stripes.						
			General information				
Where to us	se:						
recommend rumble strip considering Why it worl Rumble strip	Center Line rumble strips/stripes can be used on virtually any roadway – especially those with a history of head-on crashes. It is recommended that rumble strips/stripes be applied systematically along an entire route instead of only at spot locations. For all rumble strips/stripes, pavement condition should be sufficient to accept milled rumble strips. Care should be taken when considering installing rumble strips in locations with residential land uses or in areas with high bicycle volumes. Why it works: Rumble strips provide an auditory indication and tactile rumble when driven on, alerting drivers that they are drifting out of						
	their travel lane, giving them time to recover before they depart the roadway or cross the center line. Additionally, rumble stripes (pavement marking in the rumble itself) provide an enhanced marking, especially in wet dark conditions.						
General Qu	General Qualities (Time, Cost and Effectiveness):						
implementin efficiently in are more ap	ng this strategy are nplemented using a ppropriate to seek s	nominal and depend of a systematic approach tate or federal funding	ζ.	ocations. This (			
FHWA CMF	Clearinghouse:	Crash Types Addressed	d: Head-on, Side-swipe, All	CRF: 1	5 - 68%		

# R31, Install edgeline rumble strips/stripes

For HSIP Cycle 12 Call-for-projects							
Funding Eligibility         Crash Types Addressed         CRF         Expected Life							
90% All 15% 10 years					10 years		
Notes:	Notes: This CM only applies to crashes occurring within the limits of the new rumble strips/stripes.						
		Ge	neral information				
Where to u	se:						
rumble strip	os/stripes, pavement c ould be taken when co mes.	ondition should be sui	fficient to accept milled ru	umble strips. Sp	of only at spot locations. For all pecial requirements may apply and uses or in areas with high		
their travel	Rumble strips provide an auditory indication and tactile rumble when driven on, alerting drivers that they are drifting out of their travel lane, giving them time to recover before they depart the roadway or cross the center line. Additionally, rumble stripes (pavement marking in the rumble itself) provide an enhanced marking, especially in wet dark conditions.						
General Qu	alities (Time, Cost and	Effectiveness):		-			
implementi efficiently in	ng this strategy are no	minal and depend on stematic approach wi	-	locations. This	ted quickly. Costs for s CM can be effectively and g in moderate cost projects that		
FHWA CMF	Clearinghouse: Cra	sh Types Addressed:	Run-off Road	CRF:	10 - 41%		

# R32, Speed Safety Cameras

For HSIP Cycle 12 Call-for-projects							
Fur	nding Eligibility	Crash Ty	ash Types Addressed CRF		Expected Life		
90%			All	20%	20 years		
Notes:	InterpretationThis CM only applies to crashes occurring within the limits of the road sections that Speed Safety Cameras are newly installed.Agencies should conduct a legal and policy review to determine if Speed Safety Cameras (SSCs) are authorized within a jurisdiction and how the authorization and other traffic laws will affect an SSC program. Please refer to Speed Safety Camera Program Planning and Operations Guide. FHWA, (2023).						
		Ge	neral information				
Where to u	se:						
can include zones), road crashes (e.g Fixed units- Point-to-Po	scope (e.g., widespi dway types (e.g., exp ., pedestrians, bicyc –a single, stationary int (P2P) units—mul	read, localized), location pressways, arterials, local clists). SSCs can be deploy y camera targeting one lo	ypes (e.g., urban/suburba streets), times of day, and ed as: cation. average speed over a certa	n/rural, worł   road users r	implement SSCs. The analysis czones, residential, school nost affected by speed-related		
safe speeds speeds. Age methods of	is a core principle c has been challengir ncies can use speec enforcement, engir	ng; however, with more in I safety cameras (SSCs) as neering measures, and ed	nformation and tools comn an effective and reliable t ucation to alter the social i	nunities can echnology to norms of spe	ive high-speed crashes. Enforcing make progress in reducing o supplement more traditional reding. SSCs use speed es that are violating a set speed		
FHWA CMF	Clearinghouse:	Crash Types Addressed:	All	CRF:	-46 - 61 %		

#### R33PB, Install bike lanes

For HSIP Cycle 12 Call-for-projects						
Funding Eligibility			Crash T	ypes Addressed	CRF	Expected Life
90%			Pedesti	rian and Bicycle	35%	20 years
Notes: This CM only applies to "Ped & Bike" crashes occurring within the limits of the Class II (not Class III						the Class II (not Class III)
	bike lanes. Wher	n an off-	street bike-pa	th is proposed that is no	t adjacent to	the roadway, the applicant
	must document	the engi	ineering judgn	nent used to determine v	which "Ped &	& Bike" crashes to apply.
			Ge	neral information		
Where to us	se:					
Roadway se	gments noted as ha	ving crasl	hes between bi	cycles and vehicles or crash	es that may b	e preventable with a
buffer/shou	lder. Most studies s	suggest th	nat bicycle lanes	s may provide protection ag	gainst bicycle,	motor vehicle collisions.
Striped bike	lanes can be incorp	porated in	ito a roadway w	hen is desirable to delineat	te which avail	able road space is for exclusive
or preferent	ial use by bicyclists.					
Why it work						
	•		•	e protection against bicycle		•
•			-		•	movements for both bicyclist
						chances of collision with a
						with this CM, better guidance
-	-			adway users should be con: igns and markings warning		
	at should be expected		ivel patris and s	igns and markings warning		ion-motorized uses of the
1	alities (Time, Cost a		iveness):			
			·····	estriping the roadway and	minor signing	to projects that require
		-		pacts. It is most cost efficie		
•				nal construction. The expe		-
		-	-			ctive and can be considered on
a systematio	approach.					
FHWA CMF	Clearinghouse: C	Crash Typ	es Addressed:	Pedestrian, Bicycle	CRF:	0 - 53 %

# R34PB, Install Separated Bike Lanes

For HSIP Cycle 12 Call-for-projects						
Fur	ding Eligibility	Crash Ty	/pes Addressed	CRF	Expected Life	
	90%	Pedestr	ian and Bicycle	45%	20 years	
Notes:	This CM only applies	o "Ped & Bike" c	rashes occurring within t	he limits of	the separated bike lanes.	
	When an off-street bi	ke-path is propos	sed that is not adjacent t	o the roadv	vay, the applicant must	
	document the engine	ering judgment u	sed to determine which	"Ped & Bik	e" crashes to apply.	
		Gei	neral information			
Where to us	se:					
Separated b	ikeways are most approp	riate on streets wit	h high volumes of bike traf	fic and/or hig	gh bike-vehicle collisions,	
presumably	in an urban or suburban	area. Separation ty	pes range from simple, pair	nted buffers	and flexible delineators, to more	
substantial s	separation measures inclu	ding raised curbs,	grade separation, bollards,	planters, and	d parking lanes. These options	
0		,	ble space, and cost. In som	,	, , , ,	
additional s	pace in areas where pede	strian and bicyclists	s may interact, such as the p	parking buffe	er, or loading zones, or extra bike	
	or cyclists to pass one and	other.				
Why it worl						
•	•	•	ort for bicyclists beyond cor			
•			•	-	vel of comfort and are attractive	
	•		•	lesigned to p	romote safety and facilitate left-	
	cyclists from the primary of					
		-	-		zed roadway users should be	
				el paths and	signs and markings warning	
motorists of non-motorized uses of the roadway that should be expected.						
	alities (Time, Cost and Eff					
					r roadway widening, right-of-	
-					g street reconstruction, street	
-	or at the time of original	construction. The	expected effectiveness of the	his CM must	be assessed for each individual	
location.						
FHWA CMF	Clearinghouse: Crash	Types Addressed:	Pedestrian, Bicycle	CRF:	3.7 - 100 %	

# R35PB, Install sidewalk/pathway (to avoid walking along roadway)

		For HSIP C	cycle 12 Call-for-projects	;			
Fur	nding Eligibility	Crash T	Types Addressed CRF		Expected Life		
90% Pedest			ian and Bicycle	80%	20 years		
Notes:	Notes: This CM only applies to "Ped & Bike" crashes occurring within the limits of the new walkway. This CM is not intended to be used where an existing sidewalk is being replaced with a wider one, unless prior Caltrans approval is included in the application. When an off-street multi-use path is proposed that is not adjacent to the roadway, the applicant must document the engineering judgment used to determine which "Ped & Bike" crashes to apply.						
		Ge	neral information				
Why it worl Sidewalks a vehicles. Th "walking ald 90 percent o motorized a	nd walkways provide peo e presence of sidewalks o ong roadway" pedestrian of these types of pedestri nd motorized roadway us	ple with space to tr n both sides of the crash risk compare an crashes. In coml sers should be cons	avel within the public right street has been found to b d to locations where no side pination with this CM, bette idered, including: sign and	e related to s ewalks or wa er guidance si markings dire	is separated from roadway ignificant reductions in the Ikways exist. Reductions of 50 to gns and markings for non- ecting pedestrians and cyclists ses of the roadway that should		
be expected		· 、					
Costs for sic Asphalt curl assessed for	os and walkways are less	ng upon factors su expensive, but requ These projects ca		e expected ef	rb, gutter and drainage. fectiveness of this CM must be estrian volumes with a past		
,		Types Addressed:	Pedestrian, Bicycle	CRF:	65 - 89 %		

## R36PB, Install/upgrade pedestrian crossing (with enhanced safety features)

		For HSIP C	ycle 12 Call-for-proje	cts		
Fur	nding Eligibility	Crash Ty	pes Addressed	CRF	Expected Life	
	90%	Pedestr	ian and Bicycle	35%	20 years	
Notes:	This CM only applies	to "Ped & Bike" ci	ashes occurring in the	e influence ar	ea (expected to be a	
maximum of within 250') of the new crossing which includes new enhanced safety features. Note:						
					n crossing" when calculating	
				-	cost aesthetic enhancements	
	(i.e. stamped concret			U		
		Gei	neral information			
Where to u	se:					
Roadway se	gments with no controlle	d crossing for a sigr	ificant distance in high-	use midblock c	rossing areas and/or multilane	
roads locati	ons. Based on the Zegeer	study (Safety Effec	ts of Marked vs. Unmar	ked Crosswalks	at Uncontrolled Locations) at	
many locati	ons, a marked crosswalk a	alone may not be su	fficient to adequately p	rotect non-mo	torized users. In these cases,	
flashing bea	acons, curb extensions, m	edians and pedestri	an crossing islands and/	or other safety	features should be added to	
complemen	t the standard crossing el	ements. For multi-	ane roadways, advance	"yield" markin	gs can be effective in reducing	
	e-threat' danger to pedes	trians.				
Why it wor						
					ons noted as being problematic.	
					ossing islands, beacons, and	
					ated for pedestrian crossing.	
					nhanced improvements added to	
-			-		ith this CM, better guidance signs	
	-				: sign and markings directing	
					all aesthetic enhancement to	
-	e stamped concrete/aspha			-		
					Indard crosswalk markings) must Inding share for the project costs.	
	alities (Time, Cost and Ef			gency's local-to	inding share for the project costs.	
			nding on the extent of t	he curh extens	ions, raised medians, flashing	
					idered at a single location, these	
				-	is CM can often be effectively	
•					moderate to high cost projects	
	propriate to seek state or					
	Clearinghouse: Crash	-				

#### R37PB, Install raised pedestrian crossing

		For HSIP Cy	cle 12 Call-for-projects	;		
Funding Eligibility         Crash Types Addressed         CRF         Expected Life						
90% Pedestrian and Bicycle 35%					20 years	
Notes: This CM only applies to "Ped & Bike" crashes occurring in the area with the new raised crossing.					e new raised crossing. Note	
	This CM is not intende	ed to be combined	with the "Install pedes	strian cross	ing (with enhanced safety	
	features)" when calcu	lating the improve	ment's B/C ratio.			
		Gene	eral information			
Where to u	se:					
on the Zegeer study (Safety Effects of Marked vs. Unmarked Crosswalks at Uncontrolled Locations) at many locations, a marked crosswalk alone, may not be sufficient to adequately protect non-motorized users. In these cases, raised crossings can be added to complement the standard crossing elements. Special requirements may apply and extra care should be taken when considering installing raised crossings to ensure unintended safety issues are not created, such as: emergency vehicle access or truck route issues.						
considering truck route	installing raised crossings issues.					
considering truck route Why it wor	installing raised crossings issues. <b>ks:</b>	to ensure unintende	ed safety issues are not cr	eated, such	as: emergency vehicle access or	
considering truck route Why it wor Adding a ra problematio of the road non-motori	installing raised crossings issues. <b>ks:</b> ised pedestrian crossing ha c. The raised crossing enco way that is designated for	to ensure unintende as the opportunity to urages motorists to pedestrian crossing. ny users should be co	ed safety issues are not cr enhance pedestrian safe reduce their speed and p In combination with this	eated, such ety at locatic rovides impr CM, better g		
considering truck route Why it wor Adding a ra problematic of the roady non-motori cyclists on a	installing raised crossings issues. <b>ks:</b> ised pedestrian crossing ha c. The raised crossing enco way that is designated for zed and motorized roadwa	to ensure unintende as the opportunity to urages motorists to pedestrian crossing. by users should be co ths.	ed safety issues are not cr enhance pedestrian safe reduce their speed and p In combination with this	eated, such ety at locatic rovides impr CM, better g	as: emergency vehicle access or ns noted as being especially oved delineation for the portion guidance signs and markings for	
considering truck route Why it wor Adding a ra problematic of the road non-motori cyclists on a General Qu Costs assoc	installing raised crossings issues. ks: ised pedestrian crossing ha c. The raised crossing enco way that is designated for zed and motorized roadwa appropriate/legal travel pa alities (Time, Cost and Eff iated with this strategy wil	to ensure unintende as the opportunity to urages motorists to pedestrian crossing. y users should be co ths. ectiveness): I vary widely, depend	ed safety issues are not cr enhance pedestrian safe reduce their speed and p In combination with this onsidered, including: sign ding upon the elements c	eated, such ety at locatic rovides impr CM, better g and marking	as: emergency vehicle access or ins noted as being especially roved delineation for the portion guidance signs and markings for gs directing pedestrians and crossing and the need for new	
considering truck route Why it wor Adding a ra problematic of the road non-motori cyclists on a General Qu Costs assoc curb ramps	installing raised crossings issues. ks: ised pedestrian crossing ha c. The raised crossing enco way that is designated for zed and motorized roadwa appropriate/legal travel pa alities (Time, Cost and Effi iated with this strategy will and sidewalk modification	to ensure unintende as the opportunity to urages motorists to pedestrian crossing. y users should be co ths. ectiveness): I vary widely, depend s. This CM may be e	ed safety issues are not cr enhance pedestrian safe reduce their speed and p In combination with this onsidered, including: sign ding upon the elements co effectively and efficiently	eated, such ety at locatic rovides impr CM, better g and marking of the raised implemente	as: emergency vehicle access or ins noted as being especially roved delineation for the portion guidance signs and markings for gs directing pedestrians and crossing and the need for new d using a systematic approach	
considering truck route Why it wor Adding a ra problematic of the road non-motori cyclists on a General Qu Costs assoc curb ramps with more t	installing raised crossings issues. ks: ised pedestrian crossing has c. The raised crossing enco way that is designated for zed and motorized roadwa appropriate/legal travel pa alities (Time, Cost and Eff iated with this strategy wil and sidewalk modification han one location and can	to ensure unintende as the opportunity to urages motorists to i pedestrian crossing. y users should be co ths. ectiveness): I vary widely, depend s. This CM may be en nave medium to high	ed safety issues are not cr enhance pedestrian safe reduce their speed and p In combination with this onsidered, including: sign ding upon the elements co effectively and efficiently	eated, such ety at locatic rovides impr CM, better g and marking of the raised implemente	as: emergency vehicle access or ins noted as being especially roved delineation for the portion guidance signs and markings for gs directing pedestrians and crossing and the need for new d using a systematic approach	

#### R38PB, Install Rectangular Rapid Flashing Beacon (RRFB)

For HSIP Cycle 12 Call-for-projects							
Funding Eligibility Crash Types Addressed CRF Expected Life							
90% Pedestrian and Bicycle 35% 20 years							
Notes:	Notes: This CM only applies to "Ped & Bike" crashes occurring in the influence area (expected to be a maximum of within 250') of the crossing which includes the RRFB.						
		Ge	neral information				
Where to u	se:						
visibility of I	marked crosswalks and al flashers on police vehicle	ert motorists to peo	destrian crossings. It uses a	n irregular fl	itional signage that enhance the ash pattern that is similar to d-block pedestrian crossings.		
RRFBs can enhance safety by increasing driver awareness of potential pedestrian conflicts and reducing crashes between vehicles and pedestrians at unsignalized intersections and mid-block pedestrian crossings. The addition of RRFB may also increase the safety effectiveness of other treatments, such as crossing warning signs and markings.							
General Qu	General Qualities (Time, Cost and Effectiveness):						
	lower cost alternative to d using a systematic app	0	nybrid signals. This CM can us locations.	often be effe	ectively and efficiently		
FHWA CMF	Clearinghouse: Crash	Types Addressed:	Pedestrian, Bicycle	CRF:	7 – 47.4%		

#### R39AL, Install Animal Fencing

For HSIP Cycle 12 Call-for-projects							
Funding Eligibility Crash Types Addressed CRF Expected					Expected Life		
90% Animal 80%					20 years		
Notes:	Notes: This CM only applies to "animal" crashes occurring within the limits of the new fencing.						
		Ge	neral information				
Where to u	se:						
At locations	with high percent of	of vehicular/animal crash	es (reactive) or where ther	e is a known h	high percent of animals crossing		
due to migr	atory patterns (proa	active).					
Why it wor	<b>(S:</b>						
					ninating the conflict between		
			is typically installed at a br	ridge location	with its "run of need"		
	on the surrounding						
	alities (Time, Cost a	· · · · · ·					
	0	0,1	0		ts and agreed upon solution to		
0 01	, ,	,	•	0	re will be minimal reoccurring		
maintenanc	e costs on keeping t	the fence intact. The expe	ected effectiveness of this	CM must be a	ssessed for each individual		
location.							
FHWA CMF	Clearinghouse:	Crash Types Addressed:	Animal	CRF:	70 - 90 %		