		Bridge number from			Feature		Sufficiency SD/FO		Deck Area	Particip.	Unit Cost	Federal	Running Fed	Local	Avnning Local	
ls Top Priority) Location	n Agency	Inspection Report	Bridge ID	Facility Carried	Intersected Santiago Creek	Location	Rating Status	Work Description Irepair spalls and seal deck with	(ft²)	Cost	(\$/ft²)	Share	Summary	Share	Share	
1 Orange	City of Orange_	55C0043	Tuslin Street	Tuatin Street	Channel	0.3 ml N/O Route 22 FWY	64.5 SD	repair spails and seal deck with methacrylate frepair spails and seal deck with	20,533.00	135,000	7	119,516	119,516	15,485	15,485	
		55C0046	Memory Lane	Memory Lane		0.1 ml E/O City Drive	71.8 SD	methacrylate	47,043.00	165,000		146,075	265,590	18,928	34,410	
3.Orange	City of Orange	55C0563L	Katella Avenue		Santa Ana River	0.3 ml E/O Route 57 FWY	78.5 SD	Mitigate erosion at NE corner	18,153.00,	8,100		7,171	272,761	929	35,339	
4 Orange	City of Orange	55C0050	Orangewood Avenue	Orangewood Avenue	Santa Ana River	0.1 ml W/O Roule 57 FWY	85.7 SD	repair spalls and seal deck with methacrylate	22,294.00	148,000	7	131,024	403,785	16,976	52,315	
5 Orange	City of Orange	55C0159	Tafi Avenue	Talt Avenue	Santa Ana River	0.1 mi E/O Roule 57 FWY	88.3 SD	repair spalls and remove a minimum of 1.5" of concrete and replace with polyester concrete	27,276.00	1,121,620	41	992,970	1,398,756	128,650,	180,984	
6 Orange	City of Orange	55C0054	Chapman Avenue	Chapman Avenue	Santa Ana River	0.1 mi W/O Route 57 FWY	89.4 SD	repair spalls and seal deck with methacrylale	32,934.00	182,000	€	161,125	1,557,880	20,875	201,840	
7 Orange	City of Orange	55C0057	Chapman Avenue	Chapman Avenue	Santiago Creek Channel	0.1 mi E/O Roule 55 FWY	91.0 SD	repair spalls and add riprap to mitigate scour at pler walls	35,193.00	39,000	1	34,527	1,592,407	4,473	206,313	
8 Orange	City of Orange	55C0016	Glassell St	 Glassell St	Santiago Creek Channel	0.1 mi N/O Roule 22 FWY	93.4 SD	repair spalls and seal deck with methacrylate	12,295.00	117,000	10	103,580	1,695,987	13,420	219,733	
			Local Agencies must develop objective procedures to prioritize their preventive maintenance projects. These procedures must be included in the project files for review in future audits. High priority projects should include the repair of scour countermeasure, embankment erosion control and the repair, restoration, and strengthening of structural elements. Priority should be given to bridges that are not eligible for rehabilitation or replacement under the Federal Highway Bridge Program. The intent of the program is to keep these bridges in structurally good condition to maximize their service life and to conserve limited funds available for bridges that do require major rehabilitation or replacement. (Bridges eligible for rehabilitation or replacement are rated SD or FO and have a sufficiency rating of 80 or less.) Deadlines: Local agency transmits the BPMP with cover letter certifying compliance with these program guidelines. The electronic copy (any spreadsheet format) should be included on a CD with the paper transmittal letter. The DLAE's must receive the BPMPs by September 30th for funds to be obligated in the next FFY year, and January 30th of each year for adjustments. No time extensions will be granted. Accountability: Local Agencies are responsible for understanding and complying with the program requirements defined in the "Bridge Preventive Maintenance Program Guidelines For Local Agencies."													