

Active Transportation Program – Technical Advisory Committee

Meeting Minutes

Thursday, January 25, 2018

9:00AM to 4:00PM

CSU Sacramento, Alumni Center

**Attendees:** April Nitsos, Tamy Quigley, Eileen Housteau, Mary Hartegan, James Stanger, Kate White, Jeanie Ward-Waller, Jim Townsend, Victoria Custodio, Stephen Patchan, Jaime Espinoza, Jerry Barton, Kevan Shafizadeh, Linda Khamoushian, Emily Abrahams, Robert Nelson, Lonora Graves, Teresa McWilliam, Richard Rendon, Cesar Hernandez, Laurie Waters, Paul Keener, Jonathan Matz, Oona Smith, Esther Postiglione, Adam Fukushima, Aaron Hunt, Jacob Lieb

**By Phone:** Rob Chavez, Kevin Jensen

Topic	Speaker
<p><b>Programmed vs. Allocated Report</b>                      Cycle 1 is advancing well with 14/15 at 99% and 15/16 at 58% with time extensions that should request allocation by February 2018 (bulk should be in by June).                      Cycle 2 is at 45% with 74 projects that haven't requested an allocation yet but progressing faster than previous cycle.</p>	Jaime Espinoza
<p><b>Active Transportation Resource Center (ATRC) Webpage Update</b>                      New webpage: <a href="http://www.caatpresources.org">www.caatpresources.org</a> will go live by March 3<sup>rd</sup> and then will be reviewed by CDPH for updates.                      More training and guidance will be added to the website, i.e. "Yes We Can".                      Contact Mary Hartegan with suggestions for the webpage.</p>	Tracy Coan, CSUS
<p><b>Information Sharing: L.A. Co Local Jurisdiction Survey Results</b>                      Those who didn't apply for funding didn't have the resources and were afraid of timelines.                      Those who did apply dislike the hard and electronic copy requirements, requested more time for awards and application preparation.                      LA Metro would like feedback from transit operators to mitigate conflicts between modes.</p>	Patricia Chen
<p><b>Cycle 4 Guidelines Discussion</b>                      More time for evaluation and delivery is needed.                      More workshops to discuss the application and evaluation processes are needed, with need of support to implement.                      Intend to schedule more TAC meetings(s)/teleconference(s) to discuss 1) determining the most important areas to focus on and 2) preparing preliminary ideas to present to the workgroup.</p>	Laurie Waters
<p><b>Future Agenda Topics</b>                      Application Process                      Suggestions for Cycle 4 workgroup workshops                      Increased timeline between application submittal and staff recommendations</p>	Mitch Weiss