

For training, resources, and technical assistance that can help with an ATP application, please visit the Active Transportation Resource Center (ATRC) at: <u>http://caatpresources.org/</u>

# **ACTIVE TRANSPORTATION PROGRAM**

IMPLEMENTING AGENCY:

Auto-populated with data from Part A1: Applicant Information

**PROJECT TYPE:** 

Infrastructure - Medium



PROJECT APPLICATION NO.:	Auto-populated with data from Part A1 and A2
PROJECT NAME:	Auto-populated with data from Part A2: General Project Information
PROJECT DESCRIPTION:	Auto-populated with data from Part A2: General Project Information
PROJECT LOCATION:	Auto-populated with data from Part A2: General Project Information

	ATP FUNDED COMPONENTS										
Infrastructure											
	PA&ED		PS&E		R/W		R/W CON		n-Infrastructure		Plan
\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
FY	-	FY	-	FY	-	FY	-	FY	-	FY	-
	PROJECT FUNDING INFORMATION (1,000s)										
	Total Project \$	Tota ATP		Total Non-ATP \$		Past ATP \$	Levera	aging \$	Non-Participati \$	ng	Future Local \$
	-	-		-				-	-		-

ADA Notice For individuals with sensory disabilities, this document is available in alternate formats. For alternate format information, contact the Forms Management Unit at (916) 445-1233, TTY 711, or write to Records and Forms Management, 1120 N Street, MS-89, Sacramento, CA 95814.



For training, resources, and technical assistance that can help with an ATP application, please visit the Active Transportation Resource Center (ATRC) at: <u>http://caatpresources.org/</u>

# **APPLICATION INDEX PAGE**

Part A: General Application Questions	3
Part A1: Applicant Information	3
Part A2: General Project Information4	ŀ
Part A3: Project Type6	3
Part A4: Project Details	7
Part A5: Project Schedule11	
Part A6: Project Funding13	3
Project Program Request (PPR)16	3
Part A7: Funding Criteria	3
Part B: Narrative Questions	19
Part C: Application Attachments	



# Part A1: Applicant Information

**Implementing Agency:** This agency must enter into a Master Agreement with Caltrans and will be financially and contractually responsible for the delivery of the project within all pertinent Federal and State funding requirements, including being responsible and accountable for the use and expenditure of program funds. This agency is responsible for the accuracy of the technical information provided in the application and is required to sign the application.

LOCODE:	IMPLEMENTING AGENCY'S NAME:						
IMPLEMENTING AGENCY'S ADDRESS	СІТҮ		ZIP CODE				
		CA					
IMPLEMENTING AGENCY'S CONTACT PERSON:	CONTACT PERSON'S TITLE:						
CONTACT PERSON'S PHONE NUMBER:	CONTACT PERSON'S EMAIL ADDRES	SS :					
Applicants have the opportunity to insert a project picture, agency seal, or other image on the cover page. If you would like to do this, attach the image (*.jpg, *.bmp, *.png, etc.) by clicking in the box.							
MASTER AGREEMENTS (MAs):							
Does the Implementing Agency currently have a MA with C	Caltrans?						
Implementing Agency's Federal Caltrans MA number	Implementing Agency's Federal Caltrans MA number						
Implementing Agency's State Caltrans MA number							
* Implementing Agencies that do not currently have a MA with Caltrans, must be able to meet the requirements and enter into an MA with Caltrans prior to funds allocation. The MA approval process can take 6 to 12 months to complete and there is no guarantee the agency will meet the requirements necessary for the State to enter into a MA with the agency. Delays could also result in a failure to meeting the CTC Allocation timeline requirements and the loss of ATP funding.							
Project Partnering Agency: The "Project Partnering Agency" is defined as an agency, other than Implementing Agency, that will assume the responsibilities for the ongoing operations and maintenance of the improved facility. The Implementing Agency must: 1) ensure the Partnering Agency agrees to assume responsibility for the ongoing operations and maintenance of the improved facility, 2) provide documentation of the agreement (e.g., letter of intent) as part of the project application, and 3) ensure a copy of the Memorandum of Understanding or Interagency Agreement between the parties is submitted with the first request for allocation. For these projects, the Project Partnering Agency's information shall be provided below.							
PROJECT PARTNERING AGENCY'S NAME:							
PROJECT PARTNERING AGENCY'S CONTACT PERSON:	CONTACT PERSON'S TITLE:						
CONTACT PERSON'S PHONE NUMBER:	CONTACT PERSON'S EMAIL ADDRES	S:					
Attach a "letter of intent" or other documentation.							



DUTCOME/OUTPUT: (Max of 35 Words) his outcome/output will appear on your vote boxes when you allocate for funds with the CTC. (Exa edestrian-scale lighting will provide added safety for pedestrians and/or bicyclists at this busy inter	
TIP PROJECT DESCRIPTION: (Max of 180 Characters)	Characters Remaining:
ROJECT LOCATION: (Max of 180 characters)	Characters Remaining:
addition to the Location Description provided, attach a location map to the application. The location oundaries in relation to the Implementing Agency's boundaries.	ion map needs to show the project
roject Coordinates: (latitude/longitude in decimal format) LatN /longN /	W
Urbanized Zone Area (UZA) Population:	

Part A2: General Project Information

SUMMARY OF PROJECT SCOPE: (Max of 300 Words) (Summary of the Existing Condition, Project Scope, the Expected Benefits)

**PROJECT / APPLICATION NUMBER:** 

PROJECT NAME: (Max of 10 Words) (To be used in the CTC project list)

Yes No If yes, how many previous awards? Words Remaining:

Words Remaining:



Project Number	Past Project Funding	Funded Amount \$	Project Type	Type of overlap/connection with past projects (select only one which matches the best)

Anne Contention	STATE OF CALIFORNIA • DEPARTMENT OF TRA ATP CYCLE 5 APPLICATION FO LAPG 22-U (REV 04/2020)		Auto-populated with	Page 6 of 33 v2.0 data from Part A1 and A2
		Part A3: Project	Туре	
PROJE	CT TYPE: (Use the drop down menu to select.)	Infrastructure - Mediur	n	
Indicate	any of the following plans that your agency	currently has: (Check	all that apply)	
Bic	ycle Plan 🔄 Pedestrian Plan 🔄 Safe	Routes to School Plan	Active Transportation Plan	one
☐ Oth	er plans that include Bicycle and/or Pedestrian	Improvements		
ls your	project in a current Plan?	No		
PROJE	CT SUB-TYPE (check all Project Sub-Types th	at apply):		
	Bicycle Transportation % of P	roject 0 %		
	Pedestrian Transportation % of Plantacian	roject 0 %		
	Safe Routes to School (Also fill out Bid		<i>ub-Type information above)</i>	
	school students to walk and/or bike to sch public school or within the vicinity of a pub Other than traffic education and enforcem Projects with Safe Routes to School elem	ool. Safe Routes to School blic school bus stop and t ent activities, non-infrast ents must fill out "School ts with Safe Routes to S ltrans Active Transportat also fill out Bicycle and Po ts Serve: 0	edestrian Sub-Type information above)	ithin two miles of a es of the project. ction.
	School Name:			
	District Name:			
	District Address:			
	CoDistSchool Code:			
	School Type: to to			
	Project improvements maximum distance from	school 0.00 mile		
	Total student enrollment:		t- 0	
	Approximate # of students living along route por Percentage of students eligible for free or redu		<u> </u>	
	**Refer to the California Department of Educa NOTE: Use the value from Column V only!	tion website: https://www		lsx
	Attach the following: A) a map which clearly s proposed project improvements; and B) the co combined with the signature of the school offic	shows: 1) the student en ontact information/persor	rollment area, 2) the locations and limits of the	



# Part A4: Project Details

Indicate the project details included in the project/program/plan.

Note: When quantifying the amount of Active Transportation improvements proposed by the project, <u>do not double-count the</u> <u>improvements</u> that benefit both Bicyclists and Pedestrians (i.e. new RRFB/Signal should only show as a Pedestrian <u>or</u> Bicycle Improvement).

## Bicycle Improvements

What % of the BICYCLE related	ed project cost ar	re going t	owards clo	sing a "Gap'	" in inf	frastructure?	0 %			
(As opposed to cost going tow	vards "improving"	existing	bicycle infr	astructure: i	.e. Cla	ass 2 to Class	s 4)			
New Bike Lanes/Routes:	Class 1:	0	Linear Fe	et		Class 2:	0	Linear Feet		
	Class 3:	0	_ Linear Fe	et		Class 4:	0	Linear Feet		
Signalized Intersections:	New Bike Boxe	s:	0	Number		Timing Imp	rovements:	0	Number	
Un-Signalized Intersections:	New RRFB/Sig	nal:	0	Number		Crossing-S	urface Impro	ovements:	0	Number
Mid-Block Crossing:	New RRFB/Sig	nal:	0	Number		Crossing-S	urface Impro	ovements:	0	Number
Lighting:	Intersection:	0	Num	ber		Roadway S	egments:	0 [	_inear Fee	t
Bike Share Program:	New Station:	0 <sub>N</sub>	umber			New Bikes:	0 <sub>Nu</sub>	mber		
Bike Racks/Lockers:	New Racks:	0 N	umber			New Secure	ed Lockers:	0 <sub>Numb</sub>	er	
Other Bicycle Improvements:	#1:			#:	0	#2:			#:	0

#### Pedestrian Improvements

What % of the PEDESTRIAN related project cost are going towards closing a "Gap" in infrastructure?	0 %
(As opposed to cost going towards "improving" existing pedestrian infrastructure.)	

Sidewalks:	New (4' to 8' wide):0 Linear Fe	et ∶	New (over 8' wide): 0 Line	ar Feet
	Widen Existing: 0 Linear Fe	et	Reconstruct/Enhance Existing:	0 Linear Feet
	New Barrier Protected (Barrier, parking, fu	unctional-pla	anter, etc.): 0 Linear Feet	
ADA Ramp Improvements:	New Ramp (none exist): 0 Numb	ber	Reconstruct Ramp to Standard:	0 Number
Signalized Intersections:	New Crosswalk: 0 Number		Enhance Existing Crosswalk:	0 Number
	Ped-Heads: 0 Number		Shorten Crossing: 0	Number
	Timing Improvements: 0 Nu	Imber		_
Un-Signalized Intersections:	New Traffic Signal: 0 Numb	ber	New Roundabout: 0	Number
	New RRFB/Signal: 0 Numb	ber	Crossing-Surface Improvements:	0 Number
	Shorten Crossing: 0 Numb	ber	_	
Mid-Block Crossing:	New RRFB/Signal: 0 Numb	ber	Crossing-Surface Improvements:	0 Number
Lighting:	Intersection: 0 Number		Roadway Segments: 0	Linear Feet
Pedestrian Amenities:	Benches: 0 Number		Trash Cans: 0 Numb	er
	Shade Trees: 0 Number		Shade Tree Type:	
Other Ped Improvements:	#1:	#: 0	#2:	#: 0
Multi-use Trail Improvem	ients			
Class 1 Trails:	New (8' or less wide): 0 Linear	Feet	New (over 8' wide): 0 Linea	ar Feet
	Widen/Reconstruct Existing: 0	Linear Fe		
Non-Class 1 Trails:	New: 0 Linear Feet			0 Linear Feet
Other Trail Improvements:	#1·	<i>#</i> · 0	#2	<u> </u>

# Vehicular-Roadway Traffic-Calming Improvements

Road Diets:	Remove Travel Lane:	0	Linear Feet	Remove Right-Tu	rn Pocket:	0	Number	r
Speed Feedback Signs:	Speed Feedback Signs:	0	Number					
Signalized Intersections:	Timing Improvements:	0	Number	New Roundabout	0	Number		
Un-Signalized Intersections: Other Traffic-Calming	New Traffic Signal: #1:	0	Number #:0	New Roundabout: #2:	0	_Number	#:	0
Improvements:								

## Non-Infrastructure Components



## STATE OF CALIFORNIA • DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION **ATP CYCLE 5 APPLICATION FORM** LAPG 22-U (REV 04/2020)

NI Program Type:	Indicate the NI program type. If more than one, indicate the percentage split based on cost.
	Regional Initiative   0 %       First Last Mile   0 %
	Community Initiative         0 %
	Safe Routes to School 0 %
Program Activities:	Insert the number of each type of activity included in the program. Do not double count.
	Regional Community Initiatives:
	0 Number of walk or bike audits
	0 Number of bicycle skills/safety classes
	0 Number of pedestrian skills/safety classes
	0 Number of community demonstration projects/pop-ups/open street events
	Number of community encouragement (i.e. bike to work days)
	0 Number of community challenges (i.e. bike to work month challenge)
	0 Number of law enforcement methods (i.e. progressive ticketing, deploying speed trailers, etc.)
	0 Number of community workshops/stakeholder meetings
	Safe Routes to School (SRTS):
	0 Number of classroom/PE classes receiving pedestrian/bicycle safety instruction/education
	0 Number of school assemblies receiving pedestrian/bicycle safety instruction/education
	0 Number of afterschool programs receiving pedestrian/bicycle safety instruction/education
	0 Number of bike rodeos
	0 Number of pedestrian 'mock city' safety skills events
	Number of schools with walking school bus program (defined as planned route with meeting points, a timetable and a schedule of trained volunteers)
	Number of schools with bicycle train program (defined as a planned route with meeting points, a
	0 timetable and a schedule of trained volunteers)
	Number of SRTS encouragement days (i.e. designated monthly bike/walk to school days X number of
	0 school months X number of school involved)
	0 Number of SRTS law enforcement methods (i.e. progressive ticketing, deploying speed trailers, etc.)
	Number of training sessions to implement the SRTS program (i.e training for volunteer walking school bus leaders, crossing guards, etc.)
	Other:
	Number of
	Number of
Communications:	Check the box if the program will include the communication type.
	Traditional media (radio ads, TV ads, Social media (Twitter, Facebook,
	newspaper ads, flyers, etc.) Instagram, etc.)
	Large media (bus-wraps, billboards, etc.)
	Print/electronic publications Other; (newsletters, blogs, etc.)
	What languages, if any, will the selected communications be translated to:
Collaborative	Check all parties that have a committed role in the project beyond submitting a letter of support.
Partnerships:	
	Local Public Health Department
	Law Enforcement Public Works Departments
	Non-Profit Organizations/Community Based Other;
	Organizations



## Plan Type (only intended for Plans)

Plan Type:
------------

- Check which type of Plan:
  - Bicycle Plan

] Active Transportation Plan

Safe Routes to School Plan



#### Right of Way (R/W) Impacts (Check all that apply)

Project is 100% within the Implementing Agency's R/W and/or is within their control <u>at the time of this application</u> submittal. (This includes temporary construction easements)

Project will likely require R/W in fee ownership, permanent easements and/or temporary construction easements from private owners and/ or will require utility relocations from utility companies outside that implementing agency's governmental control.

The federal R/W process involving private property acquisitions and/or private utility relocations can often take 18 to 24 months after environmental document approval. The project schedule in the application for R/W needs to reflect the necessary time to complete the federal R/W process.

What is the total number of private R/W parcels expected to be impacted?

What is the total number of utility companies expected to be impacted?

What is the total additional months needed (all project phases) for the expected R/W acquisitions and/or utility relocations?

Has the project schedule been developed to account for this time?

Project will likely encroach into Caltrans R/W requiring easements, encroachment permits and/or other approvals.

Is Caltrans the "Implementing Agency"?

\*See the application instructions for more details on the required coordination, documentation and approval from Caltrans.

The applicant must attach the approved and signed Caltrans Checklist for ATP projects impacting Caltrans R/W.

#### The following project details must match the information shown in the approved Caltrans Checklist attached above:

What % of the project (by area) is within Caltrans R/W?

What % of the project (by total project cost) is within Caltrans R/W?

What is the total cost (all project phases) of all the project elements within Caltrans R/W?

What level of Caltrans project development oversite has been determined to be needed by Caltrans?

Is the project expected to be tracked by Caltrans as a "Local Assistance" or "Capital" project?

What is the total additional months needed (all project phases) for Caltrans to complete its required oversite responsibilities?

Has the project schedule been developed to account for this time?

Project will likely require R/W, Easements, encroachment and/or approval involving Governmental (excluding Caltrans - as Caltrans impacts are documented above), Environmental, or Railroad owner's property.

\*See the application instructions for more details on the required coordination and documentation from these agencies.

Attach a letter of support or neutrality from each separate agency. Combine all letters in one pdf attachment.

The following information should be based on specific prior coordination and agreement between the agencies:

What is the total additional months needed (all project phases) for all of these agencies to complete their required oversite responsibilities and to complete any required actions that are necessary based on the expected R/W impacts?

Has the project schedule been developed to account for this time?

Program/Plan will likely have an open street/demonstration on state highway.



# Part A5: Project Schedule

- NOTES: 1) Per CTC Guidelines, all project applications must be submitted with the expectation of receiving federal funding and therefore the schedule below must account for the extra time needed for federal project delivery requirements and approvals, including a NEPA environmental clearance and for each CTC allocation there must also be a Notice to Proceed with Federally Reimbursable work. 2) Prior to estimating the durations of the project delivery tasks (below), applicants are highly encouraged to review the appropriate
  - chapters of the Local Assistance Procedures Manual and work closely with District Local Assistance Staff.
  - 3) The proposed CTC Allocation dates must be between July 1, 2021 and June 30, 2024 to be consistent with the available ATP funds for Cycle 5.

#### **INFRASTRUCTURE PROJECTS:**

#### PA&ED Project Delivery Phase:

	· · ·
	Will ATP funds be used in this phase of the project? 🗌 Yes 🗌 No
	Proposed CTC "PA&ED Allocation" Date:
	Notice to Proceed with Federally Reimbursable ATP Work:
	Expected or Past Start Date for PA&ED activities:
	Time to complete the separate CEQA & NEPA studies/approvals: [Months (See note #2, above)
	Expected or Past Completion Date for the PA&ED Phase:
	* Applications showing the PA&ED phase as complete, must include/attach the signature pages for the CEQA and NEPA documents, which include project descriptions covering the full scope.
PS&E F	Project Delivery Phase:
	Will ATP funds be used in this phase of the project? 🗌 Yes 🗌 No
	Proposed CTC "PS&E Allocation" Date:
	Notice to Proceed with Federally Reimbursable ATP Work:
	Expected or Past Start Date for PS&E activities:
	Time to complete the final Plans, Specification & Estimate: months
	Expected or Past Completion Date for the PS&E Phase:
	* Applications showing the PS&E phase as complete, must include/attach the signed & Stamped Title Sheet for the plans and approval page of the specifications.
Right o	f Way Project Delivery Phase:
	Will ATP funds be used in this phase of the project? 🛛 Yes 🗌 No
	Proposed CTC "R/W Allocation" Date:
	Notice to Proceed with Federally Reimbursable ATP Work:
	Expected or Past Start Date for R/W activities:
	Time to complete the R/W Engineering, Acquisition, and Utilities: months
	Expected or Past Completion Date for the R/W Phase:
	* PS&E and Right of Way phases can be allocated at the same CTC meeting.

\* Applications showing the R/W phase as complete, must include/attach the Caltrans approved R/W Certification.

Constr	ruction Project Delivery Phase:	
	Will ATP funds be used in this phase of the project? $\Box$ Yes $\Box$ No	
	Proposed CTC "CON Allocation" Date:	
	Notice to Proceed with Federally Reimbursable ATP Work:	
	Expected Start Date for Construction activities:	
	Time to complete the Construction activities:	months
	Expected or Past Completion Date for the CON Phase:	



# NON-INFRASTRUCTURE (NI) AND "PLAN" PROJECTS: (This includes combined "I" and "NI" projects)

Will ATP funds be used in this phase of the project? Yes

## Proposed CTC "CON Allocation" Date:

# Notice to Proceed with Federally Reimbursable ATP Work:

Expected Start Date for "NI" or "Plan" Construction activities:

Time to complete the CON-Phase activities:

**Expected Completion Date for the CON Phase:** 

No		
	month	າຣ



# Part A6: Project Funding (1.000s)

				(1,0000)				
Project Phase	Total Project Costs	Total ATP Funding	ATP Allocation Year *	Total Non-ATP Funding **	Non- Participating Funding	"Prior" ATP Funding	Leveraging Funding	Future Local Identified Funding
PA&ED	-	-		-	-	-	-	-
PS&E	-	-		-	-	-	-	-
R/W	-	-		-	-	-	-	-
CON	-	-		-	-	-	-	-
NI-CON/ PLAN	-	-		-	-	-	-	-
TOTAL	-	-		-	-	-	-	-

The CTC Allocation-Year is calculated based on the information entered into the "Project Schedule" section.

Applicants must ensure that the "Total Non-ATP Funding" values show in this table match the overall Non-ATP Funding values they enter into Page 2 of the PPR (later in this form)

## ATP FUNDING TYPE REQUESTED:

If "

Per the CTC Guidelines, all ATP projects must be eligible to receive federal funding. Most ATP projects will receive federal funding; however, it is the intent of the Commission to consolidate the allocation of federal funds to as few projects as practicable. Therefore, the smallest projects may be granted State Funding from the State Highway Account (SHA) for all or part of the project. Agencies with projects under \$1M, especially ones being implemented by agencies who are not familiar with the federal funding process, are encouraged to request State funding.

#### Do you believe your project warrants receiving state-only funding?

If "Yes", provide a brief explanation.	. (Max of 50 Words)
----------------------------------------	---------------------

Yes", provide a brief explanation. (Max of 50 Words)	Words Remaining:
Yes", applicants requesting SHA must also attach an " <u>Exhibit 22-F</u> "	

Yes No

#### ATP PROJECT PROGRAMMING REQUEST (PPR):

Using the Project Schedule, Project Funding, and General Project information provided, this electronic form has automatically prepared the following PPR pages. Applicants must review the information in the PPR to confirm it matches their expectations.



Amendment (Ex	kisting Project)	Υ	N					Date	
District	EA		Project	ID	PPNO	MPO ID		Alt Project	
									ATP
County	Route/Corrid	lor	PM Bk	PM Ahd		Project Spon	sor/Lead Agen	CV	,,,,,,
ocumy						110,000 0.001	Join Loua Agoin		
						MPO		Element	
Project M	anager/Contact		Ph	one		E-mail	Address		
	-								
Ducio et Title									
Project Title									
Location (Proje	ct Limits), Descr	ription	(Scope	of Work)					
Component					In	plementing Agency			
PA&ED									
PS&E									
Right of Way									
Construction									
Legislative Dist	ricts							T	
Assembly:			5	Senate:		Co	ngressional:		
<b>Project Benefits</b>	s (If more space	is nee	ded, use	e the Add	itional Informatio	n field on the next pa	ge.)		
Purpose and Ne	eed								
C	ategory			(	Outputs/Outcome	6	Unit		Total
ADA Improveme	ents: Y 🗌 N	]		Bike/Ped	Improvements: Y		Reversible La	ane Analysis:	Y 🗌 N 🗌
Inc. Sustainable	Communities Str	ategy (	Goals: Y	<u>N</u>	Redu	ices Greenhouse Gas	Emissions: Y [	N	
Project Milesto	ne				-		Existing	Pr	oposed
Project Study Re							•		•
Begin Environme	ental (PA&ED) Pr	1260							
Circulate Draft E		1030							
	Environmental Do		t (Docum	nent Type	)				
Draft Project Re	Environmental Doo port	cument		ient Type	)				
Draft Project Re End Environmer	nvironmental Doo port ntal Phase (PA&E	cument		nent Type	)				
Draft Project Re End Environmer Begin Design (P	nvironmental Doo port ntal Phase (PA&E 'S&E) Phase	cument	stone)						
Draft Project Re End Environmer Begin Design (P End Design Pha	nvironmental Doo port ntal Phase (PA&E S&E) Phase se (Ready to List	cument	stone)						
Draft Project Re End Environmer Begin Design (P End Design Pha Begin Right of V	Environmental Doo port Intal Phase (PA&E S&E) Phase Ise (Ready to List Vay Phase	D Miles	stone) vertisem	ent Milest	one)				
Draft Project Re End Environmer Begin Design (P End Design Pha Begin Right of W End Right of Wa	Invironmental Doo port Intal Phase (PA&E S&E) Phase Ise (Ready to List Vay Phase Iny Phase (Right of	D Miles	stone) vertisem	ent Milest	one)				
Draft Project Re End Environmer Begin Design (P End Design Pha Begin Right of W End Right of Wa Begin Construct	nvironmental Doo port tal Phase (PA&E S&E) Phase se (Ready to List Vay Phase ay Phase (Right of ion Phase	D Miles	stone) vertisem	ent Milest	one)				
Draft Project Re End Environmer Begin Design (P End Design Pha Begin Right of W End Right of Wa Begin Construct End Constructio	nvironmental Doo port S&E) Phase S&E) Phase ise (Ready to List Vay Phase iy Phase (Right of ion Phase n Phase	D Miles	stone) vertisem	ent Milest	one)				
Draft Project Re End Environmer Begin Design (P End Design Pha Begin Right of W End Right of Wa Begin Construct End Constructio Begin Closeout	nvironmental Doo port S&E) Phase S&E) Phase ise (Ready to List Vay Phase iy Phase (Right of ion Phase n Phase	D Miles for Ad	stone) vertisem	ent Milest	one)				



**Additional Information** 

Date:



## Exhibit 22-G Project Programming Request (PPR)

				,	j		Nequest (	,	Date:
				Pro	oject Info	rmation:			
Project Title:									
District	Co	unty	Ro	ute	E	A	Proje	ect ID	PPNO
					iding Info				
			-	-	L IN ANY	SHADED	) AREAS		
		Propose	ed Total Pro	oject Cost (	\$1,000s)	1			Notes:
Component	Prior	20/21	21/22	22/23	23/24	24/25	25/26+	Total	
E&P (PA&ED)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
PS&E	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
R/W	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
CON	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
TOTAL	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
TP Funds	Infrastruct	ture Cycle 5							Program Code
				Allocation	(\$1,000s)				20.30.720
Component	Prior	20/21	21/22	22/23	23/24	24/25	25/26+	Total	Funding Agency
E&P (PA&ED)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Caltrans
PS&E	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Notes:
R/W	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
CON	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
TOTAL	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
TP Funds	Non-Infras	structure Cy	cle 5						Program Code
		Propose	d Funding	Allocation (	(\$1,000s)				20.30.720
Component	Prior	20/21	21/22	22/23	23/24	24/25	25/26+	Total	Funding Agency
E&P (PA&ED)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Caltrans
PS&E	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Notes:
R/W	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
CON	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
TOTAL	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
TP Funds	Plan Cycle	ə 5							Program Code
		Propose	d Funding	Allocation (	(\$1,000s)				20.30.720
Component	Prior	20/21	21/22	22/23	23/24	24/25	25/26+	Total	Funding Agency
E&P (PA&ED)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Caltrans
PS&E	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Notes:
R/W	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
CON	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
TOTAL	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
TP Funds	Previous (				<b>44 665</b>				Program Code
0				Allocation (		04/07	05/001	Tat	Funding: A second
Component	Prior	20/21	21/22	22/23	23/24	24/25	25/26+	Total	Funding Agency
E&P (PA&ED)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Caltrans
PS&E	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Notes:
R/W	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
CON	0	0		0	0		0	0	
TOTAL	U	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	



## Exhibit 22-G Project Programming Request (PPR)

					Date:
		Pro	oject Information:		
Project Title:					
District	County	Route	EA	Project ID	PPNO
The I	Non-ATP fundina	•	y of Non-ATP Fu	•	oject Funding table.
Fund No. 2:					Program Code

Fund No. 2:									Program Code
		Propose		Allocation (	(\$1,000s)				
Component	Prior	20/21	21/22	22/23	23/24	24/25	25/26+	Total	Funding Agency
E&P (PA&ED)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
PS&E	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Notes:
R/W	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
CON	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
TOTAL	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Fund No. 3:									Program Code
		Propose	d Funding	Allocation (	(\$1,000s)				
Component	Prior	20/21	21/22	22/23	23/24	24/25	25/26+	Total	Funding Agency
E&P (PA&ED)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
PS&E	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Notes:
R/W	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
CON	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
TOTAL	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Fund No. 4:									Program Code
		Propose	d Funding	Allocation (	(\$1.000s)				
Component	Prior	20/21	21/22	22/23	23/24	24/25	25/26+	Total	Funding Agency
E&P (PA&ED)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
PS&E	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Notes:
R/W	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
CON	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
TOTAL	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Fund No. 5:									Program Code
		Propose	d Funding	Allocation	(\$1.000c)				Flogran Code
Component	Prior	20/21	21/22	22/23	23/24	24/25	25/26+	Total	Funding Agency
E&P (PA&ED)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
PS&E	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Notes:
R/W	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	10000
CON	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
TOTAL	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
			-		-		-	-	Due survey O e de
Fund No. 6:		Duomooo	d Eurodina.		(\$4.000-)				Program Code
Component	Prior	20/21	21/22	Allocation ( 22/23	23/24	24/25	25/26+	Total	Funding Agency
Component E&P (PA&ED)	0	0	0	0	0	0	<b>25/26</b>	Total 0	
PS&E	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Notes:
R/W	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Notes.
CON	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
TOTAL	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	•	0	0	0	U		0	•	
Fund No. 7:									Program Code
-				Allocation					
Component	Prior	20/21	21/22	22/23	23/24	24/25	25/26+	Total	Funding Agency
E&P (PA&ED)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	N /
PS&E	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Notes:
R/W	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
CON	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
TOTAL	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	



1.

2.

# Part A7: Funding Criteria

emonstrated fiscal needs of the applicant:		
Is all or part of the project currently (or has it ever been) formally programmed in an Caltrans funding program?	n RTPA, MPO and/or	Yes I
If "Yes", explain why the project is not considered "fully funded". (Max of 200 Words)		Words Remaining:
Are any elements of the proposed project <u>directly or indirectly</u> related to the intender past or future development or capital improvement project?	ed improvements of	a 🗌 Yes 🗌
If "Yes", explain why the other project cannot fund the proposed project. (Max of 200 Wor	rds)	Words Remaining:
		Yes
Are adjacent properties undeveloped or under-developed where standard "condition could be placed on future adjacent redevelopment to construct the proposed project. If "Yes", explain why the development cannot fund the proposed project. (Max of 200 Wor	ct improvements?	Ves
could be placed on future adjacent redevelopment to construct the proposed project If "Yes", explain why the development cannot fund the proposed project. (Max of 200 Wor	has been developed	Words Remaining:
If "Yes", explain why the development cannot fund the proposed project. (Max of 200 Wor onsistency with an adopted regional transportation plan: Is the project consistent with the relevant adopted regional transportation plan that I updated pursuant to Government Code Section 65080? If "Yes", the applicant must provide that portion of Regional Transportation Plan showing t a copy of ONLY the following elements of the plan: cover page and pages linking the prop mark the attachment to clearly identify the connection.	has been developed	Words Remaining:
could be placed on future adjacent redevelopment to construct the proposed project If "Yes", explain why the development cannot fund the proposed project. (Max of 200 Wor consistency with an adopted regional transportation plan: Is the project consistent with the relevant adopted regional transportation plan that is updated pursuant to Government Code Section 65080? If "Yes", the applicant must provide that portion of Regional Transportation Plan showing to a copy of ONLY the following elements of the plan: cover page and pages linking the prop	has been developed	Words Remaining:

Note: Projects not providing proof will be disqualified and not be evaluated.



#### 3. Is the Implementing Agency Caltrans?

Yes No

Per the CTC, Caltrans must document the need to address this project with ATP funds, versus other funding streams available for complete streets through existing Caltrans funding. Attached the necessary documentation:

Per the CTC Guidelines, Caltrans nominated projects must illustrate coordination with the corresponding local and regional agencies. Caltrans is required to show assurance that local communities are supportive of and have provided feedback on the proposed Caltrans ATP project. Attached the necessary documentation:



# Question #1

## QUESTION #1

**DISADVANTAGED COMMUNITIES (0-10 POINTS)** 

This project does not qualify as a Disadvantaged Community.

#### A. Map of Project Boundaries, Access and Destination (0 points): Required

Provide a scaled map showing the boundaries of the proposed project, the geographic boundaries of the disadvantaged community, and disadvantaged community access point(s) and destinations that the project is benefiting.

#### B. Identification of Disadvantaged Community: (0 points)

Select one of the following 5 options. Must provide information for all Census Tract/Block Group/Place Number that the project affects.

- Median Household Income
- CalEnviroScreen
- Free or Reduced Priced School Meals Applications using this measure must demonstrate how the project benefits the school students in the project area.
- Healthy Places Index
- Other

#### Select Option:

The <u>Median Household Income</u> (Table ID B19013) is less than 80% of the statewide median based on the most current Census Tract (ID 140) level data from the 2014-2018 American Community Survey (ACS) (<\$56,982). Communities with a population less than 15,000 may use data at the Census Block Group (ID 150) level. Unincorporated communities may use data at the Census Place (ID 160) level. Data is available at: <u>https://data.census.gov/cedsci/?intcmp=aff\_cedsci\_banner</u>

Census Tract/Block Group/Place #	Population	МНІ

Lowest median household income from above (autofill): \$ (to be used for qualifying as benefiting a DAC only) Median household income by census tract for the community(ies) benefited by the project: \$

(to be used for severity calculation only)

Must attach a copy of FactFinder ACS page for each census tract listed above. Attach all pages as one pdf.

An area identified as among the most disadvantaged 25% in the state according to the CalEPA and based on the California Communities Environmental Health Screening Tool 3.0 (CalEnviroScreen 3.0) scores (score must be greater than 39.34). This list can be found at the following link under SB 535 List of Disadvantaged Communities:

https://oehha.ca.gov/media/downloads/calenviroscreen/document/ces3results.xlsx

NOTE: Use the CES 3.0 Score value from Column H only! The Census Tract number is in Column A, the Population is in Column B.

Census Tract/Block Group/Place #	Population	CalEnviroScreen Score

Highest California Communities Environmental Health Screening Tool (CalEnviroScreen) score from above (autofill):

(to be used for qualifying as benefiting a DAC only)

California Communities Environmental Health Screening Tool (CalEnviroScreen) score for the community benefited by the project:

(to be used for severity calculation only)

Must attach a copy of CalEnviroScreen page for each census tract listed above. Attach all pages as one pdf.



LAPG 22-U (REV 04/2020)

School Name	School Enrollment	% of Students Eligible for FRPM	
At least 75% of public school students in the project area are eligible to receive free or reduced-price meals under the			
National School Lunch Program. Data is available at: <u>https://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/sd/documents/frpm1819.xlsx</u> (auto			
filled from Part A). Applicants using this measure must demonstrate how the project benefits the school students in the			
project area. Project must be located within two miles of the school(s) represented by this criteria.			
NOTE: Use the value from Column V only! The Sc	chool Name is in Column G, t	he Enrollment is in Column R.	

School Name	School Enrollment	% of Students Eligible for FRPM
	0	
Highest percentage of students eligible from above	e (autofill): (to be	used for qualifying as benefiting a DAC only)

Highest percentage of students eligible from above (autofill): \_\_\_\_\_ (to be used for qualifying as benefiting a DAC only) Percentage of students eligible for the Free or Reduced Price Meals Programs:

(to be used for severity calculation only)

The Healthy Places Index (HPI) includes a composite score for each census tract in the state. The higher the score, the healthier the community conditions based on 25 community characteristics. The scores are then converted to a percentile to compare it to other tracts in the state. A census tract must be in the 25th percentile or less to qualify as a disadvantaged community. Data and maps found can be found at California Healthy Places Index at <a href="https://healthyplacesindex.org">https://healthyplacesindex.org</a>. Access the map directly at <a href="https://map.healthyplacesindex.org/">https://healthyplacesindex.org</a>. Access the map directly at <a href="https://map.healthyplacesindex.org/">https://map.healthyplacesindex.org/</a>. View step-by-step HPI tutorial videos at: <a href="https://https://healthyplacesindex.org/">https://healthyplacesindex.org/</a>.

Census Tract/Block Group/Place #	Population	HPI Percentile
The Lowest HPI Percentile from above (autofill):	(to be used for qualifying as benefiting a DAC only)	

HPI percentile for the community benefited by the project: (to be used for severity calculation only)

Must attach a copy of the HPI page for each census tract listed above. Attach all pages as one pdf.

#### **Other**

• Projects located within Federally Recognized Tribal Lands (typically within the boundaries of a Reservation or Rancheria?

Yes No

If a project applicant believes a project benefits a disadvantaged community but the project does not meet the aforementioned criteria due to a lack of accurate Census data or CalEnviroScreen data that represents a small neighborhood or unincorporated area, the applicant must submit for consideration a quantitative assessment to demonstrate that the community's median household income is at or below 80% of that state median household income. (Max of 100 Words)

<u>Regional definition</u>: For the statewide and small urban & rural competitive portions of the Active Transportation Program a regional definition of disadvantaged communities must be adopted as part of a regular 4-year cycle adoption of a Regional Transportation Plan (RTP)/Sustainable Communities Strategy (SCS) by an MPO or RTPA per obligations with Title VI of the Federal Civil Rights Act of 1964. Any regional definition, such as "environmental justice communities" or "communities of concern," must document a robust public outreach process that includes the input of community stakeholders, and be stratified based on severity. If the applicant believes a project benefits a disadvantaged community based on an adopted regional definition, the applicant must submit for consideration the regional definition, as well as how their specific community qualifies under that definition. (Max of 200 Words)

Words Remaining:

#### C. Direct Benefit: (0 - 4 points)

 Explain how the project closes a gap, provides connections to, or addresses a deficiency in an active transportation network or meets an important community need. Address any issues of displacement that may occur as a result of this project, if applicable. (Max of 500 Words)
 Words Remaining:



- 2. Explain how the disadvantaged community residents will have physical access to the project. (Max of 500 Words)
- Words Remaining:
- Illustrate and provide documentation for how the project was requested or supported by the disadvantaged community residents. (Max of 500 Words)
   Words Remaining:

Attach Documentation

## D. Project Location: (0 - 2 points)

Is your project located within a disadvantaged community?

E. Severity: (0 - 4 points)

Auto calculated



# **Question #2**

#### **QUESTION #2**

POTENTIAL FOR INCREASED WALKING AND BICYCLING, ESPECIALLY AMONG STUDENTS, INCLUDING THE IDENTIFICATION OF WALKING AND BICYCLING ROUTES TO AND FROM SCHOOLS, TRANSIT FACILITIES, COMMUNITY CENTERS, EMPLOYMENT CENTERS, AND OTHER DESTINATIONS; AND INCLUDING INCREASING AND IMPROVING CONNECTIVITY AND MOBILITY OF NON-MOTORIZED USERS. (0-40 POINTS)

**<u>Safe Routes to School projects</u>**: The following information related to the Safe Routes to School Projects data was already entered in part 3 of the application.

School	Total Student Enrollment	Approx. # of Students Living Along School Route Proposed
	0	0
Total	0	0

A. Statement of project need. Describe the issue(s) that this project will address. How will the proposed project benefit the non-motorized users? What is the project's desired outcome and how will the project best deliver that outcome? (0-21 points)

#### **Discuss:**

- Destinations and key connectivity the project will achieve
- · How the project will increase walking and/or biking
- The lack of mobility if applicable Does the population have limited access to cars? bikes? and transit?
  - Does the project have an unserved or underserved demand?
- The local health concern responses should focus on:
  - Specific local public health concerns, health disparity, and/or conditions in the built and social environment that affect the project community and can be addressed through the proposed project. Please provide detailed and locally relevant answers instead of general descriptions on the health benefits of walking and biking (i.e. "walking and biking increase physical activity").
  - Local public health data demonstrating the above public health concern or health disparity. Data should be at the smallest geography available (state or national data is not sufficient). One potential source is the Healthy Places Index (HPI) (<u>http:// healthyplacesindex.org</u>)
- For combined I/NI projects: Discuss need for an encouragement, education, and/or enforcement program.

(Max of 750 Words)

Words Remaining:

#### B. Describe how the proposed project will address the active transportation need: (0-22 points)

- Close a gap?
- Creation of new routes?
- Removal of barrier to mobility?
- · Other improvements to existing routes?

No. of gaps:

Total length of gap(s) (feet):

Gap closure = Construction of a missing segment of an existing facility in order to make that facility continuous.

New route = Construction of a new facility that did not previously exist for non-motorized users that provides a course or way to get from one place to another.

Type of barrier:



a. Describe how the project links or connects, or encourages use of existing routes to transportation-related and community identified destinations, including but not limited to: schools, school facilities, transit facilities, community, social service or medical centers, employment centers, high density or affordable housing, regional, State or national trail system, recreational and visitor destinations or other community identified destinations. Specific destination must be identified. And/or describe the existing negative effects of barrier to be removed and how the project addresses the existing barrier. (Max of 750 Words)

Words Remaining:

b. Must provide a map of each gap closure identifying the gap and connections, and/or of the new route location, and/or the barrier location and improvement.



# **Question #3**

#### **QUESTION #3**

POTENTIAL FOR REDUCING THE NUMBER AND/OR RATE OF PEDESTRIAN AND BICYCLIST FATALITIES AND INJURIES, INCLUDING THE IDENTIFICATION OF SAFETY HAZARDS FOR PEDESTRIANS AND BICYCLISTS. (0-25 POINTS)

A. Describe project location's history of pedestrian and bicycle collisions resulting in fatalities and injuries to non-motorized users, which this project will mitigate. (12 points max)

Applicants are encouraged to use the new UC Berkeley SafeTREC TIMS tool which was specifically designed for the ATP to produce these documents in an efficient manner. Applicants with access to alternative collision data tools and training can utilize their choice of methods/tools. Applicants must respond to question 1 or 2, and have the option to respond to both.

- 1. For applications using the TIMS ATP tool, attach the following:
  - a. Collision Heat-map of the area surrounding the project limits demonstrating the relative collision history of the project limits in relation to the overall jurisdiction/community's collision history
  - b. Project Area Collision Map identifying the past crash locations within the project limits
  - c. Collision Summaries and collision lists/reports demonstrating collision trends, collision types, and collision details
  - d. For a Combined I/NI project If the NI project area is different than the infrastructure portion, the applicant may attach NI related heat-maps, etc in Attachment J

Combine the various maps/summaries into one PDF file and attach it in the field below.

 Applications that do not have the collision data above OR that prefer to provide additional collision data and/or safety in a different format can provide this data below. (Examples include: Collision Rates, Community Observations, surveys, Street Story (<u>https://</u> <u>streetstory.berkeley.edu/</u>), Crowd Source, etc.)

The data and corresponding methodologies can be included in written/text form and/or via a separate attachment in the field below.

(Max of 200 Words) (optional)

Words Remaining:

Data and methodologies Attachment (optional)

3. From the project-area collision summaries/data provided in questions 1 and/or 2, enter the total reported pedestrian and/or bicycle collisions using the most recent 5 to 11 years of available data:

How many years of collision data were used in the Heat Maps and collision summaries:

# of Crashes	Pedestrian	Bicycle	Total	Average Per Year
Fatalities			0	
Injuries			0	
Total	0	0	0	



. Referencing the project-area collision summaries/data provided in questions 1 and/or 2, discuss the extent to which the proposed project limits represents one of the agency's top priorities for addressing ongoing safety and discuss how the proposed safety improvements correspond to the types and locations of the past collisions. (e.g. sidewalks, bike lanes, lighting, bulb-outs, signals/ barriers, etc.)

For Projects with Non-Infrastructure elements (Combined I/NI projects):

- As appropriate, describe how the NI program elements:
- educates bicyclists, pedestrians, and/or drivers about safety hazards for pedestrians and bicyclists; and
- encourages safe behavior, including through enforcement.

(Max of 700 Words)

Words Remaining:

#### B. Safety Countermeasures (13 points max)

Describe how the project improvements will remedy (one or more) potential safety hazards that contribute to pedestrian and/or bicyclist injuries or fatalities. Referencing the information you provided in Part A, demonstrate how the proposed countermeasures directly address the underlying factors that are contributing to the occurrence of pedestrian and/or bicyclist collisions.

- a. Reduces speed or volume of motor vehicles in the proximity of non-motorized users? Discuss current speed and volume and anticipated speed and volume.
- **b.** Improves sight distance and visibility between motorized and non-motorized users? Discuss current sight distance and/or visibility issue(s) and anticipated issue resolution.
- c. Eliminates potential conflict points between motorized and non-motorized users, including creating physical separation between motorized and non-motorized users? Discuss current conflict point description and anticipated issue resolution.
- d. Improves compliance with local traffic laws for both motorized and non-motorized users? Discuss which law(s) and how the project will improve compliance.
- Addresses inadequate vehicular traffic control devices?
   Discuss which devices are inadequate, how they are inadequate and how the project will address the issues.
- f. Inadequate or unsafe bicycle facilities, trails, crosswalks and/or sidewalks? Identify which facilities are inadequate, how they are inadequate and how the project will address the issues.
- g. Eliminates or reduces behaviors that lead to collisions involving non-motorized users? Identify the behaviors and how the project will address them.

(Max of 1500 Words)

Words Remaining:



## Question #4

QUESTION #4 PUBLIC PARTICIPATION and PLANNING (0-10 POINTS)

Describe the community based public participation process that culminated in the project

A. What is/was the process of defining designs to prepare for future needs of users of this project? How did the applicant analyze the alternatives and impacts on the transportation system to influence beneficial outcomes? (0-6 Points) (Max of 500 words)

Words Remaining:

B. Describe who was/will be engaged in the identification and development of this project. Describe how stakeholders will continue to be engaged in the implementation of the project. If applicable, describe the feedback received during the stakeholder engagement process. (0-4 Points) (Max of 500 words)
Words Remaining:

words Kemaining:

Attach any applicable Public Participation & Planning documents



# **Question #5**

#### QUESTION #5 CONTEXT SENSITIVE BIKEWAYS/WALKWAYS and INNOVATIVE PROJECT ELEMENTS (0-5 POINTS)

# A. How are the "recognized best" solutions employed in this project appropriate to maximize user comfort and for the local community context?

As you address this question consider the following:

- The posted speed limits and actual speed,
- The existing and future motorized and non-motorized traffic volume,
- The widths for each facility,
- The amount of physical separation from vehicular traffic,
- The adjacent land use, and
- How the project is advancing a low(er) stress environment on each facility or a low stress network
  - What is the current stress level? (low, medium, or high?)
  - If the stress level is medium or high, is the project going beyond minimum design standards to maximize potential users of all ages and abilities?

(Max of 500 words)

Words Remaining:

#### **B. Innovative Project Elements**

Does this project propose any solutions that are new to their region? Were any innovative elements considered, but not selected? Explain why they were not selected. (Max of 500 words) Words Remaining:



# **Question #6**

## QUESTION #6

#### LEVERAGING FUNDS (0-5 POINTS)

Projects on Tribal Lands will get the full Leveraging points for both Medium and Large Infrastructure Applications.

This project is on Tribal Lands

#### A. The application funding plan will show all federal, state and local funding for the project: (5 points max)

Based on the project funding information provided earlier in the application (Part 6: Project Funding), the following Leveraging amounts are designated for this project. If these numbers do not match the applicant's expectations, the numbers shown earlier need to be revised.

Non-ATP funding can only be considered "Leveraging" funding if it goes towards ATP eligible costs. If the project includes ineligible costs, the application must confirm the leveraging funding shown below does not include the non-ATP funds for ineligible items.

PA&ED Phase Pr	<u>oject Delivery Costs:</u>		
Leveraging	Funding: \$0	Designate the Funding Type:	
PS&E Phase Proj	ject Delivery Costs:		
Leveraging	Funding: \$0	Designate the Funding Type:	
Right of Way Pha	ase Project Delivery Costs:		
Leveraging	Funding: \$0	Designate the Funding Type:	
	ase Project Delivery Costs:		
Leveraging	Funding: <u>\$0</u>	Designate the Funding Type:	
Projects with NO	N-INFRASTRUCTURE (NI) eleme	ents:	
Leveraging	Funding: \$0	Designate the Funding Type:	
OVERALL TOTAL	LS FOR PROJECT/APPLICATION	<u>N:</u>	
Total Projec	t Costs: \$0		
Leveraging	Funding:		
% of Total F	Project		
Total Points received for "leveraging funding": (Auto-calculated)			
1 Point	At least 1% to 5% of total project	t cost	
2 Points	More than 5% to less than 10% of	of total project cost	
3 Points	At least 10% to 15% of total proje	ect cost	
4 Points	More than 15% to 20% of the pro	oject cost	
5 Points	More than 20% of the total project	ct cost	
Leverage Justi	fication Attachment		

**Optional:** If desired, clarifications can be added to explain the leveraging funding and its intended use on the ATP project. (Max of 100 Words)

Words Remaining:



# Question #7

#### QUESTION #7 SCOPE AND PLAN CONSISTENCY (0 - 5 points)

A. The evaluators will consider the following: (5 points max)

- · Consistency between the Layouts/maps, Engineer's estimate and Proposed scope
- Compliance with the Engineer's Checklist and cost effectiveness
- Complete project schedule



## **Question #8**

<u>QUESTI</u> USE OF (0-5 POI	CALIFORNIA CONSERVATION CORPS (CCC) OR CERTIFIED LOCAL COMMUNITY CONSERVATION CORPS (CALCC)
	Applicant has not coordinated with both corps, or Tribal Corps (if applicable) (-5 points)
	Applicant contacted the corps; but does not intend to partner with any corps (-5 points)
	Applicant is not requesting Construction funds (0 points)
Step 1:	The applicant must submit the ATP Corps Consultation Form to both the CCC and CALCC at least ten (10) business days prior to the application submittal to Caltrans. The CCC and CALCC will respond within ten (10) business days from receipt of the information. Links to the ATP Corps Consultation Form, instructions and contact information for submission or questions can be found at:
	California Conservation Corps ATP webpage
	Or
	Certified Local Conservation Corps ATP webpage
	The applicant must also attach any email correspondence from the CCC and CALCC or Tribal Corps (if applicable) to the application verifying communication/participation. Failure to attach their email responses will result in a loss of 5 points. Attach submittal email, response email and any attachment(s) from the CCC:
	Attach submittal email, response email and any attachment(s) from the CALCC:
	Attach submittal email, response email and any attachment(s) from the Tribal Corps (If applicable):
Step 2:	The applicant has coordinated with the CCC AND with the CALCC, or the Tribal Corps and determined the following: (check appropriate box)  Applicant intends to utilize the CCC, CALCC, or the Tribal Corps on the following items listed below. (0 points) (Max of 100 Words)
	Words Remaining:
	No corps can participate in the project. (0 points)
	At the time that the application was submitted, the applicant had not received a response from the following corps: (0 points)
	☐ the CCC ☐ the CALCC ☐ the Tribal Corps (if applicable)



Question #9

## APPLICANT'S PERFORMANCE ON PAST ATP FUNDED PROJECTS (0 to -10 points)

For CTC use only.



# Part C: Application Attachments

Applicants must ensure all data in this part of the application is fully consistent with the other parts of the application. See the Application Instructions and Guidance document for more information and requirements related to Part C.

## List of Application Attachments

The following attachment names and order must be maintained for all applications. Depending on the Project Type (I, NI or Plans) some attachments will be intentionally left blank. All non-blank attachments must be identified in hard-copy applications using "tabs" with appropriate letter designations.

Application Signature Page (Required for all applications)

Engineer's Checklist (Required for Infrastructure & Combo Projects)

**Project Location Map** (Required for all applications)

**Project Map/Plans showing existing and proposed conditions** (Required for all Infrastructure Projects; Optional for 'Non-Infrastructure' and 'Plan' Projects)

Photos of Existing Conditions (Required for all applications)

Project Estimate (Required for all Infrastructure Projects)

Non-Infrastructure Work Plan (Form 22-R)

(Required for all projects with Non-Infrastructure Elements)

#### Plan Scope of Work (Form 22-PLAN)

(Required for all Plan Projects)

## Letters of Support (10 maximum) and Support Documentation

(Required or recommended for all projects as designated in the instructions) (All letters must be scanned into one document.)

Exhibit 22-F State Funding

#### Additional Attachments

(Additional attachments may be included. They should be organized in a way that allows application reviews easy identification and review of the information.) (All additional attachments must be scanned into one document.)

#### Attachment K

Attachment J

Attachment D

Attachment A

Attachment B

Attachment C

Attachment E

Attachment F

Attachment G

Attachment H

Attachment I