Apportionment Status Report CMAQ and RSTP as of March 31, 2020

AB 1012 Balances entering the 3rd Year (from FFY 2017*) Rural Report Summary

*Previously referred to as Cycle 20

Unobligated 3/31/2020	Fleviously lefelled to as Cycle 20				
Alpine 696,951 Calaveras	Region	Unobligated 3/31/2020 Delivery	Subject to AB 1012 Reprogramming	Unobligated 3/31/2020 Delivery	
Alpine 696,951 Calaveras 696,951 Calaveras 696,951 Colusa	Rural County Information:				
Amador³ - - 696,951 Calaveras 478,481 - 1,158,000 Colusa - - - Del Norte - - - El Dorado - - - Glenn - - - Humboldt - - - Imperial (SCAG) 3,363,302 - 7,816,159 384,0 Inyo - - - - Lake - - - - Lake - - - - Lake - - - - Mariposa 1,195,621 - - - Mendocino - - - - Modoc - - - - Mono - - - - Nevada 2,091,766 - - - Placer - - - - Plumas - - - -		_	-	_	_
Calaveras 478,481 - 1,158,000 Colusa - - - Del Norte - - - El Dorado - - - Glenn - - - Humboldt - - - Imperial (SCAG) 3,363,302 - 7,816,159 384,0 Inyo - - - - Lake - - - - Lassen - - - - Mariposa 1,195,621 - - - Mendocino - - - - Modoc - - - - Mono - - - - Nevada 2,091,766 - - - Placer - - - - Plumas - - - - Sierra - - - - Siskiyou - - - - </td <td></td> <td>_</td> <td>_</td> <td>696 951</td> <td>_</td>		_	_	696 951	_
Colusa - <td></td> <td>178 181</td> <td>_</td> <td></td> <td>_</td>		178 181	_		_
Del Norte - - - El Dorado - - - Glenn - - - Humboldt - - - Imperial (SCAG) 3,363,302 - 7,816,159 384,0 Inyo - - - - Lake - - - - Lassen - - - - Mariposa 1,195,621 - - - Mendocino - - - - Modoc - - - - Mono - - - - Nevada 2,091,766 - - - Placer - - - - Plumas - - - - Sierra - - - - Siskiyou - - - - Trinity <td< td=""><td></td><td>4/0,401</td><td>-</td><td>1,136,000</td><td>-</td></td<>		4/0,401	-	1,136,000	-
El Dorado Glenn					_
Glenn		+		<u> </u>	
Humboldt		_	_	_	_
Imperial (SCAG) 3,363,302 - 7,816,159 384,0 Inyo - - - Lake - - - Lassen - - - Mariposa 1,195,621 - - Mendocino - - - Mono - - - Nevada 2,091,766 - - Placer - - - Plumas - - - Shasta - - - Sierra - - - Siskiyou - - - Tehama 1,810,393 - - Trinity - - -		_	_	_	_
Case - - - - - - - - -		3,363,302	_	7.816.159	384,027
Lake - - - Lassen - - - Mariposa 1,195,621 - - Mendocino - - - Mono - - - Nevada 2,091,766 - - Placer - - - Plumas - - - Shasta - - - Sierra - - - Siskiyou - - - Tehama 1,810,393 - - Trinity - - -		-	-	-	-
Mariposa 1,195,621 - - Mendocino - - - Mono - - - Nevada 2,091,766 - - Placer - - - Plumas - - - Shasta - - - Sierra - - - Siskiyou - - - Tehama 1,810,393 - - Trinity - - -		-	-	-	-
Mendocino - - - Mono - - - Nevada 2,091,766 - - Placer - - - Plumas - - - Shasta - - - Sierra - - - Siskiyou - - - Tehama 1,810,393 - - Trinity - - -	Lassen	_	-	-	-
Mendocino - - - Mono - - - Nevada 2,091,766 - - Placer - - - Plumas - - - Shasta - - - Sierra - - - Siskiyou - - - Tehama 1,810,393 - - Trinity - - -	Mariposa	1,195,621	-	-	-
Mono - - - Nevada 2,091,766 - - Placer - - - Plumas - - - Shasta - - - Sierra - - - Siskiyou - - - Tehama 1,810,393 - - Trinity - - -		-	-	-	-
Nevada 2,091,766 - - Placer - - - Plumas - - - Shasta - - - Sierra - - - Siskiyou - - - Tehama 1,810,393 - - Trinity - - -	Modoc	-	-	-	-
Placer - - - Plumas - - - Shasta - - - Sierra - - - Siskiyou - - - Tehama 1,810,393 - - Trinity - - -	Mono	-	-	-	-
Plumas - - Shasta - - Sierra - - Siskiyou - - Tehama 1,810,393 - - Trinity - - -	Nevada	2,091,766	-	-	-
Shasta - - - Sierra - - - Siskiyou - - - Γehama 1,810,393 - - Γrinity - - -	Placer	-	-	-	-
Sierra - - - Siskiyou - - - Γehama 1,810,393 - - Γrinity - - -	Plumas	-	-	-	-
Fiskiyou				-	
Гената 1,810,393		-	-	-	-
Trinity		-	-	-	-
		1,810,393	-	-	-
Гиоlumne ³ 1,011,732 14,258 -		-	-	-	-
	Γuolumne ³	1,011,732	14,258	-	-
Rural Combined Totals: 9,951,295 14,258 9,671,110 384,0	Rural Combined Totals:	9 951 295	14 258	9 671 110	384,027

Footnotes:

Balances in the 3rd year (October 1, 2018) are subject to reprogramming on November 1, 2019. These balances include the Federal Fiscal Year (FFY) 2019 "Actual" apportionments (dated October 18, 2019) and FFY 2020 "Estimated" apportionments (dated November 8, 2019).

¹ Indicates all apportionments not yet obligated.

² Totals reflect balances in the third year.

³ Due to the 2015 Ozone factor, these Regions are back in non-attainment areas at the beginning of FFY 2019, and consequently receive FFY 2020 CMAQ funding. These Regions were previously in air quality attainment as of the beginning of FFY 2016 and did not receive any CMAQ funding.