DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION OFFICE OF THE DIRECTOR P.O. BOX 942873, MS-49 SACRAMENTO, CA 94273-0001 PHONE (916) 654-5266 FAX (916) 654-6608 TTY 711 www.dot.ca.gov



Flex your power! Be energy efficient!

March 1, 2013

The Honorable Mark Leno, Chair Senate Budget and Fiscal Review Committee State Capitol, Room 5019 Sacramento, CA 95814

The Honorable Bob Blumenfield, Chair Assembly Budget Committee State Capitol, Room 6026 Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Senator Leno and Assembly Member Blumenfield:

I am pleased to transmit the California Department of Transportation's (Caltrans) "Clean Renewable Energy Bonds Program 2013 Annual Report". Caltrans has prepared the report in accordance with Section 157.8 of the California Streets and Highways Code.

The report presents, in detail, the status of the 70 facilities on which Caltrans has installed photovoltaic energy systems as part of the Clean Renewable Energy Bonds Program, an accounting of the costs for each photovoltaic energy system installed, a description of the energy savings Caltrans is projected to achieve by installing a photovoltaic energy system, and a review and analysis of the expected cost savings at the time of issuance of the bonds versus the actual annual savings.

Distribution to the Legislature has been made by Caltrans pursuant to California Government Code section 9795. This report can be found at www.dot.ca.gov/reports.htm.

Sincerely,

MALCOLM DOUGHERTY Director

Enclosure

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION OFFICE OF THE DIRECTOR P.O. BOX 942873, MS-49 SACRAMENTO, CA 94273-0001 PHONE (916) 654-5266 FAX (916) 654-6608 TTY 711 www.dot.ca.gov



Flex your power! Be energy efficient!

March 1, 2013

Ms. Diane Boyer-Vine Legislative Counsel State Capitol, Room 3021 Sacramento, CA 95814

Mr. Gregory Schmidt Secretary of the Senate State Capitol, Room 3044 Sacramento, CA 95814

Mr. E. Dotson Wilson Chief Clerk of the Assembly State Capitol, Room 3196 Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Ms. Boyer-Vine, and Messrs. Schmidt, and Wilson:

I am pleased to transmit the California Department of Transportation's (Caltrans) "Clean Renewable Energy Bonds Program 2013 Annual Report". Caltrans has prepared the report in accordance with Section 157.8 of the California Streets and Highways Code.

The report presents, in detail, the status of the 70 facilities on which Caltrans has installed photovoltaic energy systems as part of the Clean Renewable Energy Bonds Program, an accounting of the costs for each photovoltaic energy system installed, a description of the energy savings Caltrans is projected to achieve by installing a photovoltaic energy system, and a review and analysis of the expected cost savings at the time of issuance of the bonds versus the actual annual savings.

Distribution to the Legislature has been made by Caltrans pursuant to California Government Code section 9795. This report can be found at www.dot.ca.gov/reports.htm.

Sincerely,

MALCOL DOUGHERTY Director

For

Enclosure



Clean Renewable Energy Bonds Program 2013 Annual Report



District 4 Maintenance Station Carquinez Toll Plaza



State Headquarters Materials and Testing Laboratory, Sacramento (Sacramento Translab)



Division of Business, Facilities and Security 1120 N Street Sacramento, California March 2013



Edmund G. Brown Jr., Governor

Clean Renewable Energy Bonds Program 2013 Annual Report March 2013

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Introduction

California Streets and Highway Codes section 157.8 requires the California Department of Transportation (Caltrans) to annually report to the budget committees of each house of the Legislature with regard to the issuance of Clean Renewable Energy Bonds (CREBs) for financing the acquisition and installation of photovoltaic (solar) energy systems until maturity of the bonds.

The 2013 CREBs Annual Report includes the following information:

- The status of each facility on which Caltrans has installed photovoltaic energy systems as part of the CREBs Program. (Exhibit 1)
- An accounting of the costs for each photovoltaic energy system installed or acquired by Caltrans. (Exhibit 1)
- A description of the energy savings Caltrans has achieved by acquiring or installing photovoltaic energy systems. (Exhibit 3)
- A review and analysis of the expected cost savings at the time of issuance of the bonds (Exhibit 2) versus actual annual savings. (Exhibit 3)

Background

The CREBs Program was authorized as part of the Tax Incentives Act of 1995, which was passed by the United States Congress to encourage energy conservation, develop energy infrastructure, increase domestic energy production, and the use of alternative energy sources.

The CREBs Program is administered by the United States Internal Revenue Service (IRS). CREBs are a type of tax credit bond in which interest on the bonds is paid in the form of tax credits by the United States government. The proceeds for the issuance of the CREBs are available to finance renewable energy and clean coal facilities projects.

On November 13, 2006, the IRS approved 93 CREBs applications submitted by Caltrans, with a total value of \$45.6 million. Caltrans subsequently initiated efforts to re-evaluate and approve facilities for conceptual soundness and adjusted the scope as necessary at each facility. The re-evaluation criteria consisted of the age and condition of the roof and design; the long-term building retention; structural integrity; and a cost-benefit analysis. Through this process, the number of photovoltaic projects was reduced to 70, with construction and installation costs estimated at \$19.9 million.

A Banc of America Bond sale for capital outlay costs was obligated for a total of \$20 million, plus interest of \$2.2 million (1.45% rate) over a 15-year period.

CREBs PROGRAM

Overview

The 70 projects funded under the CREBs Program that have been constructed and have a generating capacity of approximately 2.4 megawatts (MW) solar power (Exhibit 1). The photovoltaic panels have a life expectancy of at least 25 years. The installation of the photovoltaic energy systems will help Caltrans meet energy conservation goals outlined in Executive Order (EO) B-18-12 signed by Governor Edmund G. Brown Jr. on April 25, 2012. This order targets a 20 percent reduction in grid-based energy savings for state-owned buildings by 2018.

A listing of Caltrans' 70 photovoltaic installation projects at various transportation facilities, as well as the dates the photovoltaic systems began generating power, is presented in Exhibit 1. The following table displays the total number of photovoltaic energy system projects by facility type.

Facility Type	Number of Projects
Maintenance Facilities	46
Equipment Shops	9
Safety Roadside Rest Areas	3
Office Buildings	4
Materials Laboratories Transportation Management	2
Centers	2
Toll Bridge Facilities	2
Truck Inspection Facilities	2
TOTAL	70

Status of Projects

As of January 2013, all 70 projects have been completed and are generating electricity. Consequently, the need for managing and measuring energy and production has become increasingly critical. Caltrans has been utilizing traditional track monitoring (manual meter readings) and created a database in which monthly data readings are manually recorded. However, it has been ascertained that the traditional track monitoring has led to the inaccuracy of data collection, the inability to detect breakdowns in a timely manner, and the inability to optimize production. Therefore, Caltrans is working towards the use of telemetry monitoring (online monitoring) at CREBs sites to effectively track the systems performance of energy production and allow instant access to all data captured onsite for export or further analysis. The telemetry monitoring will be installed at 65 of the 70 CREBs sites. The sites not proposed for telemetry monitoring are two truck inspection facilities and three safety roadside rest areas because these sites do not have existing internet capabilities. It is anticipated that the telemetry monitoring will be installed at the 65 sites by the fall of 2013.

BUDGET

Original Cost Benefit Analysis

Caltrans examined the cost effectiveness and viability of each project. Financial factors considered for each project included energy consumption and the average cost of the utility-provided electricity for the facility. This data was compared with industry averages for the cost to install roof-mounted photovoltaic energy systems for the required kilowatt hours of electricity used at each facility. As a result, Caltrans estimated a utility savings of approximately \$24.7 million over 15 years with a bond debt service payment of \$22.8 million (Exhibit 2).

Revised Cost Benefit Analysis

Because some of the sites generating power just recently became operational and due to the inconsistencies of traditional track monitoring, sufficient data for the actual energy generated to accurately calculate the annual avoided cost of energy is not available at this time. Therefore, the cost benefit analysis was prepared utilizing a projection of the energy to be generated in order to estimate the annual avoided cost of energy. It has been found that the actual energy production and cost avoidance are consistent with predicted values for those sites which have been generating energy for over a year.

In the revised cost benefit analysis, the annual avoided cost of energy was changed to reflect the guidelines and assumptions presented by the California Energy Commission (Commission) in the photovoltaic installation guidelines titled, "A Guide to Photovoltaic System Design and Installation," dated June 2001. In addition, Caltrans elected to design, bid, and manage the CREBs projects, which reduced the bond debt service by approximately \$10.2 million to \$12.6 million. The Caltrans' personnel cost to support the CREBs Program was approximately \$4.4 million. As a result, Caltrans estimates a utility savings of approximately \$10.4 million over the 15 years with a bond debt service of \$12.6 million (Exhibit 3). Because the actual construction cost is lower than projected, the CREBs' principal will be partially prepaid from unused bond proceeds on June 10, 2014, which is a schedule prescribed in the CREBs Bond Indenture and the Equipment Sublease, Section E.

Comparison of the Original Cost Benefit Analysis and the Revised Cost Benefit Analysis

Due to the Caltrans' limited experience with photovoltaic energy systems, the original cost benefit analysis did not account for all factors that affect the output of a photovoltaic energy system and economic benefits under variable weather conditions over time. Because the intensity of light on a surface varies throughout a day, as well as day to day, the actual output of a photovoltaic energy system can vary substantially. Therefore, to obtain a more realistic expectation of the overall system output and economic benefits, calculations were adjusted in the revised cost benefit analysis utilizing the guidelines provided by the Commission, which consider factors such as standard test conditions, dirt and dust, temperature, sun angle, and building orientation.

The original Cost Benefit Analysis Annual Avoided Cost calculation was based on an average of eight hours of sunlight each day. Following the guidelines of the Commission report, the average time of sunlight each day was revised to approximately five hours each day.

Taking into account the various factors that the Commission has identified as affecting the output of a photovoltaic energy system and the delays to the original CREBs project delivery schedule, the following assumptions identified in the original cost benefit analysis have changed:

- The total annual avoided cost changed from \$24.7 million to \$10.4 million over a 15-year period,
- The total bond debt service of \$22.8 million was reduced to \$12.6 million, and
- It will take and an additional 7 years to fund the bond debt service and cost associated with the photovoltaic systems (15 years revised to 22 years).

CONCLUSION

The CREBs Program was established to increase Caltrans efforts towards grid-based energy conservation as outlined in EO B-18-12. This was to be accomplished by installing photovoltaic energy systems on Caltrans-owned facilities at a cost of \$20 million and financed through a 1.45% interest CREBs. It was Caltrans' anticipation that the CREBs Program would begin generating electricity one year after the sale of the bonds and that the bond debt service be fully paid through avoided energy cost before the maturity of the bond.

Although Caltrans has not met the original projected cost saving of the CREBs Program, after 25 years the bond debt and costs associated with the photovoltaic projects will be paid off. For the life of the system, it is projected that Caltrans will save approximately \$4.3 million (Exhibit 3). The photovoltaic projects increased the departmental efforts towards energy conservation as outlined in EO B-18-12 and support the state's renewable power statutes, "green power," electric grid demand, energy conservation, Leadership in Energy and Environmental Design (LEED), and climate change mandates.

In the 2013 State of the State address, Governor Edmund G. Brown Jr. praised California's renewable energy goals. Furthermore, he applauded the progress that the State continues to

make in the efforts to reduce electricity consumption as well as the efficiency standards in buildings. Caltrans' CREBs Program continues to support the Governor's goal of stimulating investments in green technology, creating new jobs, and promoting energy independence. The 2.4 MW of solar power that the Caltrans' 70 sites are expected to produce can power approximately 500 homes per year.

APPENDIX

Exhibit

1	California Department of Transportation Clean Renewable Energy Bonds Projects
2	CREBs 15-Year Bond Term (Original Cost Benefit Analysis)
3	CREBs 15-Year Bond Term (Revised Cost Benefit Analysis)

California Department of Transportation Clean Renewable Energy Bonds Projects

					Project Cost		bar ac	Date Began
m	District	Project	City	Actual	Estimated	Total	kW AC Actual	Gen Power
1	3	Elk Grove Maintenance Station	Elk Grove	\$115,368		\$115,368	15.0	7/20/2
2	3	Willows SRRA	Glenn County	\$29,143		\$29,143	3.0	8/26/2
			State and the second state of the second state			\$231,000	30.0	7/19/2
3	3	Sunrise Maintenance Station	Rancho Cordova	\$231,000				
8	3	District 3 - Maint. Facility 2	Chico	\$155,000		\$155,000	23.0	9/14/2
8	4	District 4 - Maint. Facility 3	Cupertino	\$169,675		\$169,675	20.0	9/21/2
ĝ.	10	John C. Erreca SRRA	Merced County	\$56,800		\$56,800	9.0	8/3/2
	6	Porterville Maintenance Station	Porterville	\$120,362		\$120,362	15.8	7/19/2
	5	District 5 - Maint. Facility 5	Santa María	\$107,300		\$107,300	15.0	8/20/
	5	District 5 - Maint, Facility 2	Monterey	\$55,600		\$55,600	13.0	8/19/
	4	District 4 - Maint. Facility 19	Walnut Creek	\$142,700		\$142,700	20.0	9/7/
						\$239,400	45.0	9/22/
İ	4	Equipment Building #7	San Leandro	\$239,400				
	6	District 6 - Maint, Facility 2	Delano	\$164,025		\$164,025	20.0	10/11/
	6	Lebec Maintenance Station	Lebec	\$133,808		\$133,808	15.8	10/4/
	6	District 6 Office Building	Fresno	\$432,669		\$432,669	89.3	9/22/
		District 6 Office Building - Supplemental Work		\$71,205		\$71,205		
	6	District 6 - Maint. Facility 3	Fresno	\$163,027		\$163,027	22.0	11/10/
	6	Equipment Building #11	Fresno	\$180,723		\$180,723	35.0	11/17/
Į		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Contraction of the Contraction o			\$198,900	30.0	10/26/
Î	2	Burney Maintenance Station	Burney	\$198,900				
	3	Equipment Building #5	Marysville	\$457,631		\$457,631	92.2	11/18/
	6	Equipment Building #12	Bakersfield	\$211,632	1	\$211,632	42.0	12/8/
	11	District 11 - Maint. Facility 4	San Diego	\$178,835		\$178,835	35.7	12/9/
	10	Westley SRRA	Stanislaus County	\$123,869		\$123,869	14.0	11/30/
	4	District 4 - Maint. Facility 8	Hercules	\$109,563		\$109,563	12.0	12/15/
		and the second		\$49,479		\$49,479	7.0	12/16/
1	4	District 4 - Maint. Facility 6	Gilroy					
i	9	District 9 - Maint. Facility 1	Bishop	\$184,190		\$184,190	35.0	12/16/
l	6	District 6 - Maint. Facility 4	Visalia	\$224,754		\$224,754	30.0	1/17/
	9	District 9 Office Building	Bishop	\$441,058		\$441,058	89.3	1/19/
	7	District 7 - Maint. Facility 10	Tarzana	\$64,398		\$64,398	10.0	1/25/
	3	District 3 - Maint, Facility 1	Auburn	\$111,300		\$111,300	20.0	1/26/
	7	District 7 - Maint. Facility 1	Altadena	\$138,668		\$138,668	20.0	1/25/
			Conception and a second co				165.0	4/11/
ł	3	Main Lab Bldg (Translab) (New Warehouse) Phase I	Sacramento	\$887,000		\$887,000	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	
I	1	Bracut Maintenance Station	Eureka	\$255,721		\$255,721	50.0	3/11/
ľ	1	Equipment Building #1 (2101)	Eureka	\$174,892		\$174,892	30.0	2/16/
	1	District 1 - Maint, Facility 1 (Annex)	Eureka	\$139,989		\$139,989	25.0	2/16/
	7	Newhall Maintenance Station	Valencia	\$164,297		\$164,297	33.0	2/9/
	9	Shoshone Maintenance Station	Shoshone	\$99,733		\$99,733	15.8	2/22/
l	8	Equipment Building #15	Barstow	\$192,500		\$192,500	30.0	2/11/
ł				\$379,898		\$379,898	65.0	4/14/
L	11	Equipment Building #18	San Diego					
	7	District 7 - Maint. Facility 5	Monrovia	\$142,408		\$142,408	20.0	3/17/
	12	District 12 - Maint. Facility 1	Orange	\$207,899		\$207,899	42.8	4/13/
	4	District 4 - Maint. Facility 9	Napa	\$84,024	1	\$84,024	8.0	6/8/
	7	District 7 - Maint. Facility 2	Camarillo	\$210,465	1	\$210,465	30.0	3/14/
	1	District 1 Office Building	Eureka	\$372,539		\$372,539	75.0	4/25/
h	12	Costa Mesa Maintenance Station	Costa Mesa	\$212,061		\$212,061	42.8	7/14/
1						\$176,913	30.0	3/27/
	4	District 4 - Maint. Facility 15	San Leandro	\$176,913				
l	11	San Diego - Coronado Bridge	San Diego	\$202,000		\$202,000	47.6	5/27/
L	11	San Onofre SB I-5 Truck Inspection Facility	San Onofre	\$99,000		\$99,000	23.8	5/25/
I	7	District 7 - Maint. Facility 3	Commerce		\$207,120	\$207,120	36.5	1/14/
ŀ	5	Equipment Building #10	San Luis Obispo	\$272,843		\$272,843	48.0	4/23/
ł	4	District 4 - Maint. Facility 7	Hayward	\$158,750		\$158,750	30.0	4/27/
I	4	District 4 - Maint. Facility 2	Crockett	\$184,800	1	\$184,800	25.0	5/10/
L		Street States and States	Character Streams	(c)		\$170,738	30.0	5/23/
	4	South San Jose Maintenance Station	San Jose	\$170,738			100 C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C	
	4	District 4 Maintenance Facility	Petaluma	\$135,497		\$135,497	20.0	6/24/
ļ	5	District 5 - Maint. Facility 4	Santa Barbara	\$99,285		\$99,285	15.0	2/8/
î	1	District 1 - Maint. Facility 3	Ukiah	\$177,489		\$177,489	25.0	9/7/
	4	District 4 - Maint. Facility 1	Benicia	\$185,800		\$185,800	30.0	7/6/
I	10	Stockton Maintenance Station	Stockton	\$214,050	1	\$214,050	30.0	10/18/
1	5	District 5 - Maint, Facility 1	Buellton	\$89,600		\$89,600	15.0	10/20/
I				2.4		\$102,373	15.0	10/12/
ľ	5	Santa Cruz - Maint. Facility 17	Santa Cruz	\$102,373			101000	
	5	District 5 Office Building	San Luis Obispo	\$365,228		\$365,228	73.5	10/19/
	7	Chilao Maintenance Station	La Canada	\$121,569		\$121,569	12.0	8/4/
	2	Quincy Maintenance Station	Quincy	\$172,351		\$172,351	30.0	10/6/
	11	Calexico NB Truck Inspection Facility	Herber	\$108,675		\$108,675	15.0	7/27/
Ł	8	District 8 - Maint. Facility 1	Riverside	\$171,792		\$171,792	30.0	1/18/
		No second se	the second state	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		\$78,931	10.0	7/5/
	4	Antioch Bridge Toll Plaza	Antioch	\$78,931		\$10,551	10.0	1131
L		Main Lab Bldg (Translab) (Exist Geotech & Structure	·2 · · ·		1		-	
	3	Materials) Phase II	Sacramento	\$284,076		\$284,076	44.0	8/11/
Į.	12	TMC #6	Irvine	\$254,395		\$254,395	50.8	3/7/
	12	District 12 Maint. Facility	Orange	\$244,627		\$244,627	43.9	2/15/
	7	District 7 Maint. Facility	Long Beach	\$238,900		\$238,900	45.2	7/1/
	11	TMC #5	San Diego	\$235,292		\$235,292	40.0	3/2/
	3	Division of Equipment Building	Sacramento	\$414,000		\$414,000	100.0	8/2/

CREBs 15-Year Bond Term (Original Cost Benefit Analysis)

Fiscal Year	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	Total (Yr 1-8)
Annual Avoided Cost	\$403,457	\$1,237,411	\$1,389,299	\$1,444,871	\$1,502,666	\$1,562,772	\$1,625,283	\$1,690,295	\$10,856,054
DOT Cost (Maint.)	\$0	so	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	S 0	so	\$0
State Highway Acct	(\$925,000)	so	\$0	\$0	\$0	S 0	\$0	so	(\$925.000
Bond Debt Payment	(\$1,781,111)	(\$1.624.000)	(\$1.604,667)	(\$1,585,333)	(\$1,566,000)	(\$1.546.667)	(\$1.527.333)	(\$1.508,000)	(\$12,743,111
Net Avoided Cost	(\$2,302,654)	(\$386,589)	(\$215.368)	(\$140,462)	(\$63,334)	\$16,106	\$97,950	\$182,295	(\$2,812,057
Fiscal Year	2017-18	2018-19	2019-20	2020-21	2021-22	2022-23	2023-24		Total (Yr 1-15)
Annual Avoided Cost	\$1,757,906	\$1,828,223	\$1,901,352	\$1,977,406	\$2,056,502	\$2,138,762	\$2,224,312		\$24,740,517
DOT Cost (Maint.)	so	(\$300,000)	\$0	\$0	\$0	S 0	\$0		(\$300.000
State Highway Acct	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		(\$925,000
		101 400 0001	(\$1,450,000)	(\$1.430,668)	(\$1,411,333)	(\$1,392,000)	(\$1,372,667)		(\$22,757,779
Bond Debt Payment	(\$1,488,667)	(\$1,469,333)	(31,430,000)	(01,100,000)	(a				

Assumptions:

1. CREBs anticipated to be sold by December 2008.

2. CREBs debt service payments begin in Fiscal Year 2009-2010 (Calendar Year 2009)

3. Year 1 is Fiscal Year 2009-10.

4. Photovoltaic maintenance cost estimated at \$300K every 10 years.

5. Bond costs will be funded either through rebates, bond proceeds or the California Department of Transportation.

CREBs 15-Year Bond Term (Revised Cost Benefit Analysis)

Fiscal Year	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	Total (Yr 1-8)
Annual Avoided Cost	\$0	\$190,783	\$498,819	\$641,881	\$672,673	\$699,580	\$727,563	\$756,666	\$4,187,965
DOT Cost (Support)	(\$1,980,000)	(\$1,720,000)	(\$660,000)	(\$40.000)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	(\$4,400,000)
DOT Cost (Maint.)	so	\$0	S 0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	SO
State Highway Acct	(\$925,000)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	(\$925.000)
Bond Debt Payment	(\$1,482,361)	(\$1,604,000)	(\$1.584.667)	(\$1,565,333)	(\$1,546,000)	(\$600,036)	(\$495,717)	(\$489,359)	(\$9,367,473)
Net Avoided Cost	(\$4.387.361)	(\$3,133,217)	(\$1,745,848)	(\$963,452)	(\$873.327)	\$99,544	\$231,846	\$267,307	(\$10,504,508)

Fiscal Year	2017-18	2018-19	2019-20	2020-21	2021-22	2022-23	2023-24
Annual Avoided Cost	\$786,932	\$818,410	\$851,146	\$885,192	\$920,599	\$957,423	\$995,720
DOT Cost (Support)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	50	\$0	\$0
DOT Cost (Maint.)	so	\$0	\$0	(\$150,000)	(\$150,000)	so	\$0
State Highway Acct	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	50	\$0	\$0
Bond Debt Payment	(\$483,001)	(\$476,642)	(\$470.284)	(\$463.926)	(\$457,568)	(\$451,210)	(\$444.852)
Net Avoided Cost	\$303,932	\$341,767	\$380,862	\$271,266	\$313,031	\$506,214	\$550,869

Fiscal Year	2024-25	2025-26	2026-27	2027-28	2028-29
Annual Avoided Cost	\$1,035,549	\$1,076,971	\$1,120,050	\$1,164,852	\$1,211,446
DOT Cost (Support)	50	\$0	\$0	50	\$0
DOT Cost (Maint.)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
State Highway Acct	50	\$0	\$0	50	\$0
Bond Debt Payment	50	\$0	so	\$0	\$0
Net Avoided Cost	\$1,035,549	\$1,076,971	\$1,120,050	\$1,164,852	\$1,211,446

Fiscal Year	2029-30	2030-31	2031-32	2032-33	2033-34
Annual Avoided Cost	\$1,259,904	\$1,310,300	\$1,362,712	\$1,417,221	\$1,473,909
DOT Cost (Support)	50	\$0	so	\$0	\$0
DOT Cost (Maint.)	S 0	(\$150.000)	(\$150,000)	\$0	\$0
State Highway Acct	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Bond Debt Payment	\$0	\$0	S 0	\$0	\$0
Net Avoided Cost	\$1,259,904	\$1,160,300	\$1,212,712	\$1,417,221	\$1,473,909

Assumptions:

1. CREBs sold June 10, 2009.

2. CREBs debt service payments began in Fiscal Year 2009-2010 (December 15, 2009).

3. Photovoltaic maintenance cost estimated at \$150K every 10 years.

4. Bond costs will be funded either through rebates, bond proceeds or the California Department of Transportation.

5. Photovoltaic Construction Estimated Cost = \$13.75 million

Total (Yr 1-20)
\$16,012,256
(\$4,400.000)
(\$300,000)
(\$925,000)
(\$12,614,956)
(\$2,227.700)

Total (Yr 1-15) \$10,403,387 (\$4,400,000) (\$300,000) (\$925,000) (\$12,614,956) (\$7,836,568)

Total (Yr 1-25)
\$22,836,302
(\$4,400,000)
(\$600.000)
(\$925,000)
(\$12,614,956)
\$4,296,346

Ex	hi	bi	t 3