## **FAST ACT Programmatic Apportionment Distribution Proposal**

The Apportionments contained in this spreadsheet are POST-Takedown

(\$ millions)	Califo	rnia	State	Local
NHFP		104	TBD	TBD
MILLE	Freight Program - To be discussed	104	טטו	טטו
NHPP		,810	1.506	
	Caltrans Safety Projects at OTS - Sec 164 penalty (not formula funds)	72	1,596 72	
	Local HBP Program	12	72	214
	NET after S	waps	1,668	214
CTD (CT			,	
STP (ST	Caltrans	875	354	
	Off-System Bridges		334	75
	RTPA Distribution			446
	Swap for HSIP		(21)	21
	NET after S	waps	333	542
TAP (S	TBGP Set-Aside)	75		
., (3	TAP	, 5		69
	Recreational Trails			6
	NET after S	waps		75
CMAQ		453		
Civirio	CMAQ	155		453
	·	100		
HSIP	Natural Split	183	91	91
	HSIP use for ATP (Use of HSIP for ATP projects, see note below)		(21)	21
	SWAP for STP		21	(21)
	NET after S	waps		91
DyD Cu	assings	24		
RxR Cr	RxR Crossings	24	12	12
	TKIN CI OSSIIIgS		12	12
SP&R		73		1.0
	State Planning & Research		63	10
MP		50		
	Metropolitan Planning			50
Total:	3	,615	2,168	1,447
Totall		,010	2,100	
			60.0%	40.0%
NOTES:				
NHPP	Local HBP held steady at post-takedown amount of \$214M			
	Includes \$72 million Section 164 penalties under the auspices of the Office of Traffic Safe	ty. The	ese are not for	mula
	apportionments, but are included in the 60/40 split proposal.			
NHFP	Freight program to be discussed.			• 1
STP	Caltrans STP amount determined by taking 49% of post-takedown amount, and then sub	tractin	ig off-system b	ridges.
	Off-System bridges held steady at pre-takedown amount of \$75M Includes swap of \$21M HSIP for local STP projects.			
TAP	TAP/ATP and Recreational Trails split 60/40 consistent with statewide competitive ATP p	rogran	n managed hy	CTC (60%)
	and regional competitive ATP program managed by large MPOs (40%)	0' 4''		(/-)
CMAQ	For CMAQ and local STP the Caltrans Local Assistance oversite is included within the local amount and deducted later			
HSIP HSIP split 50-50 in accordance with state statute. HSIP to provide the additional \$21M of no				
	ATP, effectively increasing local share of HSIP to \$112M. The state would then swap \$21M of HSIP for an additional \$21M			
	STP to locals. This brings HSIP back to a 50/50 split, with \$21M of the local HSIP dedicated to the ATP, leaving \$70M for			
	traditional HSIP projects. The HSIP funding for ATP may be exchanged for STP at the federal level, to allow for ease of			
	implementation, while maintaining a focus on safety for alternative transportation.	= •	,	-
RxR	RxR Crossing split 50-50			
SPR	Added SPR distribution, which came "off-the-top" of Core Programs in the original propo	sal.		<u></u>