Memorandum

Flex your power!
Be energy efficient!

To: DEPUTY DISTRICT DIRECTORS ENVIRONMENTAL

Date: March 3, 2008

File:

From:

JAY NORVELL

Chief

Division of Environmental Analysis

Subject: "Blanket" Categorical Exclusion for approval of design exceptions

It has come to our attention that FHWA Headquarters has determined that the approval of design exceptions for highway improvement projects on the National Highway System (NHS) and the Interstate System is a federal administrative action as specified in 23 CFR 771.107 and therefore requires compliance with NEPA. This determination applies to projects on these systems regardless of whether federal-aid highway funding is involved. FHWA has also concluded that design exceptions in and of themselves meet the criteria to be classified as a Categorical Exclusion under 23 CFR 771.117.

Normally, approval of design exceptions is subsumed within the process of developing and approving a federal-aid highway project and would be considered in the project's NEPA documentation. However, many highway improvement projects in California now are funded exclusively with state funds and may not otherwise require NEPA compliance.

We have developed a "blanket" Categorical Exclusion (attached) to account for those situations where the only federal administrative action on the project is the approval of the design exception. We are currently working with the Division of Design to revise their "Fact Sheet Exceptions to Mandatory Design Standards" report requirements to include a reference to the CE. The Fact Sheet is included in the project file and documents that the project conforms to the conditions of the CE. In the interim, districts may use the CE by including a memo in the project file referencing it.

If you have any questions, please do not hesitate to call Kelly Dunlap, Chief, Environmental Management, at 916 651-8164. Thank you.

Attachment

"BLANKET" CATEGORICAL EXCLUSION DETERMINATION FOR APPROVAL OF DESIGN EXCEPTIONS

Various Locations on the National Highway System in California

,			
DistCoRte. (or Local Agency)	P.M/P.M.	E.A. (State project)	Federal-Aid Project No. (Local project)/ Proj. No.
ACTION DESCRIPTION:			
analyzed, reviewed and approved specified in 23 CFR 771.107 and it result in a change in the scope of a	by the FHWA. As thus subject to a project or typic we unusual circu	Approval of design except NEPA. The FHWA has of ally cause significant envi Imstances (23 CFR 771.7	way System, regardless of the source of funding, be ions constitutes a federal administrative action as concluded that design exceptions by themselves do not ronmental impacts. FHWA's experience with design 71(b)) or result in any significant environmental impacts
Under the SAFETEA-LU NEPA Pilot Program (23 CFR 327) MOU and the Memorandum of Understanding Pursuant to Section 600 of the Safe, Accountable, Flexible and Efficient Transportation Equity Act: A Legacy for Users, Caltrans assumed responsibility for the determination of categorical exclusions.			
This form documents the Caltrans' determination that the action of approving a design exception, of itself, on the National Highway System in California meets the criteria for a categorical exclusion under 23 CFR 771.117.			
determined that this action: does not individually or cumulate requirements to prepare an Envente has considered unusual circum (http://www.fhwa.dot.gov/hep/2:	ively have a significanmental Assestances pursuan Bodfr771.htm - secares for Federa	nificant impact on the envi esment (EA) or Environm It to 23 CFR 771.117(b) c.771.117). al air quality standards, the	roposal and supporting information, the State has ronment as defined by NEPA and is excluded from the ental Impact Statement (EIS), and action is either exempt from all conformity in C 7506(c) and 40 CFR 93.
determination pursuant to Ch (MOU) dated June 7, 2007, e Categorical Exclusion under: 23 CFR 771 activity (c)(_ 23 CFR 771 activity (d)(_ Activity listed in the M	s been assigned apter 3 of Title 2 xecuted between	3, United States Code, Son the FHWA and the State	it has carried out, the responsibility to make this action 326 and a Memorandum of Understanding a. The State has determined that the project is a
is a CE under Section 6005 of Section 6005 of Section 6005 of Environmental	f 23 U.S.C. 327.	This proposal and supporting the Date	ng information, the State has determined that the action