



United States Department of the Interior

FISH AND WILDLIFE SERVICE
Ventura Fish and Wildlife Office
2493 Portola Road, Suite B
Ventura, California 93003



IN REPLY REFER TO:
81440-2010-F-0382

May 4, 2011

Rich Krumholz, District Director
California Department of Transportation
50 Higuera Street
San Luis Obispo, California 93401-5415

Subject: Programmatic Biological Opinion for Projects Funded or Approved under the
Federal Highway Administration's Federal Aid Program (8-8-10-F-58)

Dear Mr. Krumholz:

This document transmits the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service's (Service) biological opinion regarding projects funded under the Federal Highway Administration's (FHWA) Federal Aid Program that are likely to adversely affect the federally threatened California red-legged frog (*Rana draytonii*) and its designated critical habitat. This document also contains our programmatic concurrence for projects conducted under the Federal Aid Program that are not likely to adversely affect the California red-legged frog or its critical habitat. The development of this programmatic biological opinion and concurrence are the result of a collaborative effort between the California Department of Transportation (Caltrans) and the Service.

Pursuant to the Safe, Accountable, Flexible, Efficient Transportation Equity Act: A Legacy for Users (SAFETEA-LU), the FHWA assigned and Caltrans assumed responsibilities for consultation and coordination with resource agencies for most projects within the state of California (FHWA 2007). The delegation of authority stipulates that correspondence regarding consultations be addressed to Caltrans, even if the FHWA initiated the consultation. Consequently, we have developed this biological opinion in accordance with this direction.

This biological opinion, which has been prepared in accordance with section 7(a)(2) of the Endangered Species Act of 1973, as amended (16 U.S.C. 1531 *et seq.*) (Act), evaluates the effects of certain activities, authorized by Caltrans, on the California red-legged frog and its critical habitat, within the Ventura Fish and Wildlife Office's area of responsibility in San Benito, Santa Cruz, Monterey, San Luis Obispo, and Santa Barbara, Counties, California. We believe that California red-legged frog populations in Ventura and Los Angeles Counties are so isolated from other California red-legged frog populations, that they do not meet the eligibility criteria described in the Description of the Proposed Action section of this biological opinion (Criterion 4.).



This biological opinion and programmatic concurrence were prepared primarily with information provided by the California Department of Transportation and information in our files. A complete record of this consultation can be made available upon request.

CONSULTATION HISTORY

Since the listing of the California red-legged frog in 1996, the FHWA, in conjunction with Caltrans, consulted with the Service's Ventura Fish and Wildlife Office on numerous projects that the FHWA determined were likely to adversely affect the California red-legged frog. The FHWA, Caltrans, and the Service recognized that many of these projects resulted in minor effects to the California red-legged frog and its habitat. Additionally, many of the protective measures included in our previous biological opinions were very similar. Consequently, the Service, FHWA, and Caltrans determined that a programmatic approach to the consultation process was appropriate. Staff from the Service's Ventura Fish and Wildlife Office, FHWA, and Caltrans coordinated extensively during the preparation of a programmatic biological opinion we issued to FHWA in 2003 (Service 2003).

The Service designated critical habitat for the California red-legged frog, on March 17, 2010, (75 Federal Register (FR) 12816). The 2003 programmatic biological opinion does not address critical habitat for the California red-legged frog, so any biological opinion tiered from the 2003 programmatic and issued after critical habitat was designated must include a complete analysis of the effects of the proposed action on critical habitat for the California red-legged frog. Therefore, to further streamline the consultation process achieved with the 2003 programmatic, a complete analysis of the effects of the proposed actions on critical habitat for the California red-legged frog is included in this biological opinion.

Since 2003, we have issued 26 biological opinions that are tiered off of our programmatic biological opinion (Service 2003). Construction on 16 of those projects is complete and we have included additional information on those projects in the Environmental Baseline section of this biological opinion. Caltrans and the Service consider this biological opinion a reinitiation of formal consultation on the 14 projects that have not been completed, or where the proposed action would adversely affect critical habitat for the California red-legged frog.

Although we have strived to issue biological opinions tiered from the 2003 programmatic in a much shorter timeframe than required by Federal regulation (50 CFR 402), at times the large number of formal consultations to be completed has limited our ability to provide these documents within expedited timeframes. Therefore, Caltrans and the Service recognize that we could further streamline the 2003 programmatic by avoiding tiered biological opinions, resulting in a more efficient process.

ADMINISTRATION OF THE PROGRAMMATIC BIOLOGICAL OPINION

Caltrans will prepare all required environmental documents for individual projects that would be conducted pursuant to this biological opinion, including those needed to satisfy its

responsibilities under the Act, the National Environmental Policy Act, and the California Environmental Quality Act.

For all proposed actions that Caltrans determine are likely to adversely affect the California red-legged frog or its critical habitat, Caltrans will consider whether the action:

1. Meets the suitability criteria, as described in the Description of the Proposed Action section of this biological opinion; and
2. Whether the proposed activities and anticipated effects to California red-legged frogs fall within the scope of this biological opinion.

At least 90 days prior to conducting any activities that it determines are likely to adversely affect the California red-legged frog or its critical habitat, Caltrans will notify the Ventura Fish and Wildlife Office, in writing, of projects they propose to conduct under the auspices of this biological opinion. If the Service determines that use of this programmatic biological opinion is not appropriate for the proposed action, we will notify Caltrans in writing within 30 days, and the standard provisions for section 7 consultation will apply. The regulations which implement section 7 allow the Service up to 90 days to conclude formal consultation and an additional 45 days to prepare our biological opinion. If we require additional information to complete our biological opinion, we will describe our needs in our letter; if additional information is not required, we will consider consultation to have been initiated on the date we received the original notification of Caltrans' intent to conduct their proposed project pursuant to the programmatic consultation.

At a minimum, the following information will accompany Caltrans' project notification to the Service:

1. A 7.5-minute topographic map (and aerial photographs if possible) of the proposed project site, as well as photographs of the project site;
2. A written description of the activity, including, but not limited to, construction methods, time of year the work would occur, a habitat restoration plan, and a construction monitoring plan;
3. One cross-section and a minimum of one plan view indicating water bodies, vegetation types, work areas, roads (including temporary construction access roads), restoration sites, refueling and staging areas that will be located within the existing or proposed public right-of-way or temporary construction easements, and environmentally sensitive areas proposed to protect habitat of the California red-legged frog;
4. The names and credentials of biologists who will conduct surveys for, monitor, and handle California red-legged frogs will be provided to the Service 30 days prior to the start of construction. Once the Service approves a biologist, Caltrans would not need to

provide their credentials for subsequent projects conducted pursuant to this consultation;
and

5. Information resulting from any site visits, surveys, or habitat assessments conducted for the proposed action.

By January 31 of each year this consultation is in effect, Caltrans will provide to the Service's Ventura Fish and Wildlife Office, a list of projects for which it used this consultation. Caltrans will provide sufficient information on the list to identify the projects that occurred in the previous year under the provisions of this biological opinion. The annual list will assist the Ventura Fish and Wildlife Office in ensuring that it has received the required Project Completion Reports that are described later in this document. Caltrans may also use the occasion of providing the list to recommend changes to the consultation that are more protective of the California red-legged frog and its habitat while simplifying compliance with the Act.

ADMINISTRATION OF THE PROGRAMMATIC INFORMAL CONSULTATION

For all proposed actions that Caltrans determines may affect, but are not likely to adversely affect, the California red-legged frog or its critical habitat, Caltrans will determine if the proposed action meets the suitability criteria for our programmatic concurrence, as described in the Description of the Proposed Action section of this biological opinion. If Caltrans determines the proposed action meets the suitability criteria for concurrence, it will notify our office in writing, at least 30 days prior to the start of construction. We will review Caltrans' notification and respond in writing, or via electronic mail, if we have concerns or questions regarding the proposed action, or if we have any additional information that we believe may influence Caltrans' determination.

At a minimum the following information will accompany the notification:

1. Caltrans must include a rationale in its notification to us, as to how adverse effects to the California red-legged frog and its critical habitat will be avoided.
2. A 7.5-minute topographic map and aerial photographs of the project site, as well as photographs of the project site. The location of the project, any restoration sites, and all known locations of California red-legged frogs within 2 miles of the project site will be identified on the map and photographs;
3. A written description of the activity, including, but not limited to, construction methods, avoidance measures in addition to those required under this programmatic biological opinion, time of year the work would occur, habitat restoration plans, and construction monitoring plans;
4. One cross-section and a minimum of one plan view indicating water bodies, vegetation types, work areas, roads (including temporary construction access roads), restoration

sites, refueling and staging areas that will be located within the existing or proposed public right-of-way or temporary construction easements, and Environmentally Sensitive Areas proposed to protect habitat of the California red-legged frog; and

5. The results of information gathered by following the procedures in the Service's guidance for assessing habitat quality and field surveys for the California red-legged frog.

Staff from the Service's Ventura Fish and Wildlife Office will be available to provide technical assistance during all phases of consultation. Technical assistance can include assisting Caltrans with determinations of effects, development of project-specific designs and protective measures, modifications of survey protocols, and any other issues that may arise. Technical assistance may be transmitted by the Service in the form of telephone calls, electronic mail, or written correspondence.

BIOLOGICAL OPINION

ANALYTICAL FRAMEWORK FOR THE JEOPARDY AND ADVERSE MODIFICATION DETERMINATIONS

Jeopardy Determination

The jeopardy analysis in this biological opinion relies on four components: (1) the *Status of the Species*, which evaluates the range-wide condition of the California red-legged frog, the factors responsible for that condition, and the species' survival and recovery needs; (2) the *Environmental Baseline*, which evaluates the condition of the California red-legged frog in the action area, the factors responsible for that condition, and the relationship of the action area to the survival and recovery of the California red-legged frog; (3) the *Effects of the Action*, which determines the direct and indirect impacts of the proposed Federal action and the effects of any interrelated or interdependent activities on the California red-legged frog; and (4) the *Cumulative Effects*, which evaluates the effects of future, non-Federal activities in the action area on the California red-legged frog.

In accordance with policy and regulation, the jeopardy determination is made by evaluating the effects of the proposed federal action in the context of the current status of the California red-legged frog, taking into account any cumulative effects, to determine if implementation of the proposed action is likely to cause an appreciable reduction in the likelihood of both the survival and recovery of the California red-legged frog.

The jeopardy analysis in this biological opinion places an emphasis on consideration of the range-wide survival and recovery needs of the California red-legged frog and the role of the action area in the survival and recovery of the subspecies as the context for evaluation of the significance of the effects of the proposed federal action, taken together with cumulative effects, for purposes of making the jeopardy determination.

Adverse Modification Determination

This biological opinion does not rely on the regulatory definition of “destruction or adverse modification” of critical habitat at 50 CFR 402.02. Instead, we have relied on the statutory provisions of the ESA to complete the following analysis with respect to critical habitat.

In accordance with policy and regulation, the adverse modification analysis in this biological opinion relies on four components: (1) the *Status of Critical Habitat*, which evaluates the range-wide condition of designated critical habitat for the California red-legged frog in terms of primary constituent elements (PCEs), the factors responsible for that condition, and the intended recovery function of the critical habitat overall; (2) the *Environmental Baseline*, which evaluates the condition of the critical habitat in the action area, the factors responsible for that condition, and the recovery role of the critical habitat in the action area; (3) the *Effects of the Action*, which determines the direct and indirect impacts of the proposed Federal action and the effects of any interrelated and interdependent activities on the PCEs and how that will influence the recovery role of the affected critical habitat units; and (4) *Cumulative Effects*, which evaluates the effects of future non-Federal activities in the action area on the PCEs and how that will influence the recovery role of affected critical habitat units.

For purposes of the adverse modification determination, the effects of the proposed federal action on the critical habitat of the California red-legged frog are evaluated in the context of the range-wide condition of the critical habitat, taking into account any cumulative effects, to determine if the critical habitat range-wide would remain functional (or would retain the current ability for the PCEs to be functionally established in areas of currently unsuitable but capable habitat) to serve its intended recovery role for the California red-legged frog.

The analysis in this biological opinion places an emphasis on using the intended range-wide recovery function of critical habitat for the California red-legged frog and the role of the action area relative to that intended function as the context for evaluating the significance of the effects of the proposed Federal action, taken together with cumulative effects, for purposes of making the adverse modification determination.

DESCRIPTION OF THE PROPOSED ACTION

Eligibility Criteria for the Programmatic Biological Opinion

To make use of this programmatic biological opinion, the Caltrans must ensure that a proposed project satisfies the following criteria:

Criterion 1: Actions that would be appropriately considered in this biological opinion are likely to result in adverse effects to the California red-legged frog and its critical habitat, but would not affect the long-term viability of the population in the action area. Caltrans and the Service have previously consulted on numerous projects that met these criteria. These projects include: retrofitting of bridges to reduce damage that may be caused by earthquakes; repair, widening,

and replacement of bridges; repair of stream bank protection; replacement of low-flow stream crossings with bridges; small-scale stabilization of stream slopes; minor improvement of drainage; replacement of culverts; rehabilitation of highway surfaces; and improvement of the safety and operation of highways.

Criterion 2: To qualify for use of this programmatic biological opinion, the measures to reduce or avoid adverse effects to the California red-legged frog and its critical habitat, provided herein, must be implemented; these measures may be modified on a project-specific basis upon the agreement of the Caltrans and the Service.

Criterion 3: The projects must be single and complete, and not part of larger actions or associated with other development projects including, but not limited to, housing subdivisions, commercial or industrial developments, or golf courses.

Criterion 4: The projects must not, in the Service's view, take place in areas where populations of California red-legged frogs are so isolated that even the small effects described in this biological opinion may have substantial impacts.

Minimization of Adverse Effects

Caltrans will ensure that projects implemented in accordance with this biological opinion will be designed to avoid or minimize adverse effects to the California red-legged frog and its critical habitat. At a minimum, the following measures will be incorporated into the projects:

1. Only Service-approved biologists will participate in activities associated with the capture, handling, and monitoring of California red-legged frogs. Biologists authorized under this biological opinion do not need to re-submit their qualifications for subsequent projects conducted pursuant to this biological opinion, unless we have revoked their approval at any time during the life of this biological opinion.
2. Ground disturbance will not begin until written approval is received from the Service that the biologist is qualified to conduct the work, unless the individual(s) has/have been approved previously and the Service has not revoked that approval.
3. A Service-approved biologist will survey the project site no more than 48 hours before the onset of work activities. If any life stage of the California red-legged frog is found and these individuals are likely to be killed or injured by work activities, the approved biologist will be allowed sufficient time to move them from the site before work begins. The Service-approved biologist will relocate the California red-legged frogs the shortest distance possible to a location that contains suitable habitat and that will not be affected by activities associated with the proposed project. The relocation site should be in the same drainage to the extent practicable. Caltrans will coordinate with the Service on the relocation site prior to the capture of any California red-legged frogs.

4. Before any activities begin on a project, a Service-approved biologist will conduct a training session for all construction personnel. At a minimum, the training will include a description of the California red-legged frog and its habitat, the specific measures that are being implemented to conserve the California red-legged frog for the current project, and the boundaries within which the project may be accomplished. Brochures, books, and briefings may be used in the training session, provided that a qualified person is on hand to answer any questions.
5. A Service-approved biologist will be present at the work site until all California red-legged frogs have been relocated out of harm's way, workers have been instructed, and disturbance of habitat has been completed. After this time, the State or local sponsoring agency will designate a person to monitor on-site compliance with all minimization measures. The Service-approved biologist will ensure that this monitor receives the training outlined in measure 4 above and in the identification of California red-legged frogs. If the monitor or the Service-approved biologist recommends that work be stopped because California red-legged frogs would be affected in a manner not anticipated by Caltrans and the Service during review of the proposed action, they will notify the resident engineer (the engineer that is directly overseeing and in command of construction activities) immediately. The resident engineer will either resolve the situation by eliminating the adverse effect immediately or require that all actions causing these effects be halted. If work is stopped, the Service will be notified as soon as possible.
6. During project activities, all trash that may attract predators will be properly contained, removed from the work site, and disposed of regularly. Following construction, all trash and construction debris will be removed from work areas.
7. All refueling, maintenance, and staging of equipment and vehicles will occur at least 60 feet from riparian habitat or water bodies and in a location from where a spill would not drain directly toward aquatic habitat (e.g., on a slope that drains away from the water). The monitor will ensure contamination of habitat does not occur during such operations. Prior to the onset of work, Caltrans will ensure that a plan is in place for prompt and effective response to any accidental spills. All workers will be informed of the importance of preventing spills and of the appropriate measures to take should a spill occur.
8. Habitat contours will be returned to their original configuration at the end of project activities. This measure will be implemented in all areas disturbed by activities associated with the project, unless the Service and Caltrans determine that it is not feasible or modification of original contours would benefit the California red-legged frog.
9. The number of access routes, size of staging areas, and the total area of the activity will be limited to the minimum necessary to achieve the project goals. Environmentally Sensitive Areas will be delineated to confine access routes and

construction areas to the minimum area necessary to complete construction, and minimize the impact to California red-legged frog habitat; this goal includes locating access routes and construction areas outside of wetlands and riparian areas to the maximum extent practicable.

10. Caltrans will attempt to schedule work activities for times of the year when impacts to the California red-legged frog would be minimal. For example, work that would affect large pools that may support breeding would be avoided, to the maximum degree practicable, during the breeding season (November through May). Isolated pools that are important to maintain California red-legged frogs through the driest portions of the year would be avoided, to the maximum degree practicable, during the late summer and early fall. Habitat assessments, surveys, and coordination between Caltrans and the Service during project planning will be used to assist in scheduling work activities to avoid sensitive habitats during key times of the year.
11. To control sedimentation during and after project implementation, Caltrans, and the sponsoring agency will implement best management practices outlined in any authorizations or permits issued under the authorities of the Clean Water Act that it receives for the specific project. If best management practices are ineffective, Caltrans will attempt to remedy the situation immediately, in coordination with the Service.
12. If a work site is to be temporarily dewatered by pumping, intakes will be completely screened with wire mesh not larger than 0.2 inch to prevent California red-legged frogs from entering the pump system. Water will be released or pumped downstream at an appropriate rate to maintain downstream flows during construction. Upon completion of construction activities, any diversions or barriers to flow will be removed in a manner that would allow flow to resume with the least disturbance to the substrate. Alteration of the stream bed will be minimized to the maximum extent possible; any imported material will be removed from the stream bed upon completion of the project.
13. Unless approved by the Service, water will not be impounded in a manner that may attract California red-legged frogs.
14. A Service-approved biologist will permanently remove any individuals of non-native species, such as bullfrogs (*Rana catesbeiana*), signal and red swamp crayfish (*Pacifastacus leniusculus*; *Procambarus clarkii*), and centrarchid fishes from the project area, to the maximum extent possible. The Service-approved biologist will be responsible for ensuring his or her activities are in compliance with the California Fish and Game Code.
15. If Caltrans demonstrates that disturbed areas have been restored to conditions that allow them to function as habitat for the California red-legged frog, these areas will not be included in the amount of total habitat permanently disturbed.

16. To ensure that diseases are not conveyed between work sites by the Service-approved biologist, the fieldwork code of practice developed by the Declining Amphibian Populations Task Force will be followed at all times. A copy of the code of practice is enclosed.
17. Project sites will be re-vegetated with an assemblage of native riparian, wetland, and upland vegetation suitable for the area. Locally collected plant materials will be used to the extent practicable. Invasive, exotic plants will be controlled to the maximum extent practicable. This measure will be implemented in all areas disturbed by activities associated with the project, unless the Service and Caltrans determine that it is not feasible or practical.
18. Caltrans will not use herbicides as the primary method used to control invasive, exotic plants. However, if Caltrans determines the use of herbicides is the only feasible method for controlling invasive plants at a specific project site, it will implement the following additional protective measures for the California red-legged frog:
 - a. Caltrans will not use herbicides during the breeding season for the California red-legged frog;
 - b. Caltrans will conduct surveys for the California red-legged frog immediately prior to the start of any herbicide use. If found, California red-legged frogs will be relocated to suitable habitat far enough from the project area that no direct contact with herbicides would occur;
 - c. Giant reed and other invasive plants will be cut and hauled out by hand and the painted with glyphosate or glyphosate-based products, such as Aquamaster® or Rodeo®;
 - d. Licensed and experienced Caltrans staff or a licensed and experienced contractor will use a hand-held sprayer for foliar application of Aquamaster® or Rodeo® where large monoculture stands occur at an individual project site;
 - e. All precautions will be taken to ensure that no herbicide is applied to native vegetation.
 - f. Herbicides will not be applied on or near open water surfaces (no closer than 60 feet from open water).
 - g. Foliar applications of herbicide will not occur when wind speeds are in excess of 3 miles per hour.
 - h. No herbicides will be applied within 24 hours of forecasted rain.

- i. Application of all herbicides will be done by a qualified Caltrans staff or contractors to ensure that overspray is minimized, that all application is made in accordance with label recommendations, and with implementation of all required and reasonable safety measures. A safe dye will be added to the mixture to visually denote treated sites. Application of herbicides will be consistent with the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency's Office of Pesticide Programs, Endangered Species Protection Program county bulletins.
 - j. All herbicides, fuels, lubricants, and equipment will be stored, poured, or refilled at least 60 feet from riparian habitat or water bodies in a location where a spill would not drain directly toward aquatic habitat. Caltrans will ensure that contamination of habitat does not occur during such operations. Prior to the onset of work, Caltrans will ensure that a plan is in place for a prompt and effective response to accidental spills. All workers will be informed of the importance of preventing spills and of the appropriate measures to take should a spill occur.
19. Upon completion of any project for which this programmatic consultation is used, Caltrans will ensure that a Project Completion Report is completed and provided to the Ventura Fish and Wildlife Office. A copy of the form is enclosed. Caltrans should include recommended modifications of the protective measures if alternative measures would facilitate compliance with the provisions of this consultation. In addition, Caltrans will reinstitute formal consultation in the event any of the following thresholds are reached as a result of projects conducted under the provisions of this consultation:

Caltrans will reinstitute consultation when, as a result of projects conducted under the provisions of this consultation:

- a. 10 California red-legged frog adults or juveniles have been killed or injured in any given year. (For this and all other standards, an egg mass is considered to be one California red-legged frog.);
- b. 50 California red-legged frogs have been killed or injured in total;
- c. 20 acres of critical habitat for the California red-legged frog that include the primary constituent elements of aquatic breeding and non-breeding aquatic habitat and upland and dispersal habitat have been permanently lost in any given year;
- d. 100 acres of critical habitat for the California red-legged frog that include the primary constituent elements of aquatic breeding and non-breeding aquatic habitat and upland and dispersal habitat have been permanently lost in total;
- e. 100 acres of critical habitat for the California red-legged frog that include the primary constituent elements of aquatic breeding and non-breeding aquatic habitat and upland and dispersal habitat have been temporarily disturbed in any given year; or

- f. 500 acres of critical habitat for the California red-legged frog that include the primary constituent elements of aquatic breeding and non-breeding aquatic habitat and upland and dispersal habitat have been temporarily disturbed in total.

Total acreages of dispersal habitat that may be adversely affected would be confined to the Caltrans or County rights-of-way that occur adjacent to roads, and would be linear in nature. Dispersal habitat for the California red-legged frog adjacent to roads and highways, within these rights-of-way, is generally less ecologically valuable to the California red-legged frog than larger blocks of habitat. Road corridors and associated disturbances may lead to reduced habitat quality resulting in decreased abundance or density of breeding individuals (Forman et al. 2003).

PROGRAMMATIC INFORMAL CONSULTATION

In addition to the numerous formal consultations we have conducted with Caltrans, we have also conducted many informal consultations and concurred that many of Caltrans' proposed projects are not likely to adversely affect the California red-legged frog or its critical habitat. Many of these projects are very similar to the type of projects we are considering in the subject formal consultation (e.g., bridge and culvert replacements). Because many of the avoidance measures associated with our previous concurrences are very similar, and we are often working on multiple concurrence letters simultaneously, Caltrans and the Service believe a programmatic approach to projects that are not likely to adversely affect the California red-legged frog or its critical habitat is appropriate.

Criteria for the Programmatic Concurrence

Projects that are not likely to adversely affect the California red-legged frog, or its critical habitat, must have only discountable, insignificant, or completely beneficial effects to the subspecies and its critical habitat. The Services (1998) defines the term discountable as extremely unlikely and unexpected; the term insignificant relates to the size of the impact (i.e., unable to meaningfully measure, detect, or evaluate). To make use of this programmatic informal consultation for actions that may affect, but are not likely to adversely affect the California red-legged frog or its critical habitat, Caltrans must demonstrate that the project satisfies the following criteria:

Criterion 1: California red-legged frogs are not known to occur at the proposed project site and were not found during surveys following the Guidelines for surveys and habitat assessments (Service 2007); however, the potential may exist for individuals to occur at the proposed project site because no barriers exist to preclude dispersal of California red-legged frog from nearby suitable habitat.

Criterion 2: Any effects to critical habitat must be discountable, insignificant, or completely beneficial to the California red-legged frog.

Criterion 3: The measures to avoid adverse effects to the California red-legged frog and its critical habitat, provided herein, must be implemented; these measures may be modified on a

project-specific basis to achieve avoidance of adverse effects upon the agreement of Caltrans and the Service.

Measures to Avoid Adverse Effects

For projects to qualify for the programmatic concurrence, at a minimum Caltrans will ensure that the following measures are implemented to avoid adverse effects to the California red-legged frog and its critical habitat:

1. A biologist with experience in the identification of all life stages of the California red-legged frog, and its critical habitat (75 FR 12816), will survey the project site no more than 48 hours before the onset of work activities. If any life stage of the California red-legged frog is detected the Service will be notified prior to the start of construction. If Caltrans and the Service determine that adverse effects to the California red-legged frog or its critical habitat cannot be avoided, the proposed project will not commence until the Caltrans completes the appropriate level of consultation with the Service.
2. Work activities will take place during the dry season, between April 1 and November 1, when water levels are typically at their lowest, and California red-legged frogs are likely to be more detectable. Should activities need to be conducted outside of this period, Caltrans may conduct or authorize such activities after obtaining the Service's written approval.
3. Before work begins on any proposed project, a biologist with experience in the ecology of the California red-legged frog, as well as the identification of all its life stages, will conduct a training session for all construction personnel, which will include a description of the California red-legged frog, its critical habitat, and specific measures that are being implemented to avoid adverse effects to the subspecies during the proposed project.
4. If any life stage of the California red-legged frog is detected in the project area during construction, work will cease immediately and the resident engineer, authorized biologist, or biological monitor will notify the Ventura Fish and Wildlife Office via telephone or electronic mail. If Caltrans and the Service determine that adverse effects to California red-legged frogs cannot be avoided, construction activities will remain suspended until Caltrans and the Service complete the appropriate level of consultation.
5. During project activities, all trash that may attract predators will be properly contained, removed from the work site, and disposed of regularly. Following construction, all trash and construction debris will be removed from work areas.
6. Prior to the onset of work, Caltrans will ensure that a plan is in place for prompt and effective response to any accidental spills. All workers will be informed of the importance of preventing spills and of the appropriate measures to implement should a spill occur.

7. All refueling, maintenance, and staging of equipment and vehicles will occur at least 60 feet from aquatic or riparian habitat and not in a location from where a spill would drain directly toward aquatic habitat. The monitor will ensure contamination of aquatic or riparian habitat does not occur during such operations by implementing the spill response plan described in measure 6.

8. Plants used in re-vegetation will consist of native riparian, wetland, and upland vegetation suitable for the area. Locally collected plant materials will be used to the extent practicable. Invasive, exotic plants will be controlled to the maximum extent practicable. This measure will be implemented in all areas disturbed by activities associated with the project, unless Caltrans and the Service determine that it is not feasible or practical.

9. Habitat contours will be returned to their original configuration at the end of project activities in all areas that have been temporarily disturbed by activities associated with the project, unless Caltrans and the Service determine that it is not feasible or modification of original contours would benefit the California red-legged frog.

10. The number of access routes, size of staging areas, and the total area of the activity will be limited to the minimum necessary to achieve the project goals. Environmentally Sensitive Areas will be delineated to confine access routes and construction areas to the minimum area necessary to complete construction, and minimize the impact to habitat for the California red-legged frog; this goal includes locating access routes and construction areas outside of aquatic habitat and riparian areas to the maximum extent practicable.

11. To control sedimentation during and after project implementation, Caltrans will implement best management practices outlined in any authorizations or permits, issued under the authorities of the Clean Water Act that it receives for the specific project. If best management practices are ineffective, Caltrans will attempt to remedy the situation immediately, in coordination with the Service.

12. If a work site is to be temporarily dewatered by pumping, the intake will be screened with wire mesh not larger than 0.2 inch to prevent any California red-legged frogs not initially detected from entering the pump system. If California red-legged frogs are detected during dewatering, and adverse effects to California red-legged frogs cannot be avoided, construction activities will remain suspended until Caltrans and the Service complete the appropriate level of consultation.

13. Upon completion of construction activities, any diversions or barriers to flow will be removed in a manner that would allow flow to resume with the least disturbance to the substrate. Alteration of the creek bed will be minimized to the maximum extent possible; any imported material will be removed from the stream bed upon completion of the project.

14. Unless approved by the Service, water will not be impounded in a manner that may attract California red-legged frogs.

15. A qualified biologist will permanently remove any individuals of exotic species, such as bullfrogs, crayfish, and centrarchid fishes from the project area, to the maximum extent possible. The biologist will be responsible for ensuring his or her activities are in compliance with the California Fish and Game Code.

16. To ensure that diseases are not conveyed between work sites by the Service-approved biologist, the enclosed fieldwork code of practice developed by the Declining Amphibian Populations Task Force will be followed at all times.

This concurrence is based on the proposed avoidance measures, as well as the other criteria that a specific project must meet to qualify for use of this informal consultation. This concurrence does not authorize capture, handling, or relocation of California red-legged frogs. If at any time Caltrans determines: 1) their proposed action is likely to adversely affect the California red-legged frog or its critical habitat; and 2) the proposed project meets criteria for the programmatic biological opinion, Caltrans should notify our office immediately. If Caltrans is able to adhere to the protective measures described previously in the programmatic biological opinion, the work may continue and Caltrans will notify the Service in writing that they are proceeding with the project under the programmatic biological opinion. If at any time Caltrans or the Service conclude that the proposed action does not meet the suitability criteria for the programmatic biological opinion, all work must cease until the appropriate level of consultation has been completed.

STATUS OF THE SPECIES/CRITICAL HABITAT

California red-legged frog

The California red-legged frog was federally listed as threatened on May 23, 1996 (61 FR 25813). The Service has published a recovery plan (Service 2002).

The historical range of the California red-legged frog extended coastally from southern Mendocino County and inland from the vicinity of Redding, California, southward to northwestern Baja California, Mexico (Jennings and Hayes 1985; Storer 1925). The California red-legged frog has been extirpated or nearly extirpated from 70 percent of its former range. Historically, this species was found throughout the Central Valley and Sierra Nevada foothills. Four additional occurrences have been recorded in the Sierra Nevada foothills since listing, bringing the total to five extant populations, compared to approximately 26 historical records (71 FR 19244). Currently, California red-legged frogs are only known from 3 disjunct regions in 26 California counties and 1 disjunct region in Baja California, Mexico (Grismer 2002; Fidenci 2004; R. Smith and D. Krofta, in litt. 2005).

California red-legged frogs have been found at elevations that range from sea level to about 5,000 feet. In the Sierra Nevada Mountains, California red-legged frogs typically occur below 4,000 feet and occurrences above this elevation are atypical for the subspecies (71 FR 19244).

The California red-legged frog uses a variety of habitat types, including various aquatic systems, riparian, and upland habitats. The diet of California red-legged frogs is highly variable. Hayes and Tennant (1985) found invertebrates to be the most common food item of adults. Vertebrates, such as Pacific chorus frogs (*Pseudacris regilla*) and California mice (*Peromyscus californicus*), represented over half of the prey mass eaten by larger frogs (Hayes and Tennant 1985). Feeding activity occurs along the shoreline and on the surface of the water. Hayes and Tennant (1985) found juveniles to be active diurnally and nocturnally, whereas adults were largely nocturnal.

California red-legged frogs breed from November through March; earlier breeding has been recorded in southern localities (Storer 1925). Males appear at breeding sites from 2 to 4 weeks before females (Storer 1925). Female California red-legged frogs deposit egg masses on emergent vegetation so that the masses float on the surface of the water (Hayes and Miyamoto 1984). Egg masses contain about 2,000 to 5,000 moderate-sized, dark reddish brown eggs (Storer 1925; Jennings and Hayes 1985). Eggs hatch in 6 to 14 days (Storer 1925). Larvae undergo metamorphosis 3.5 to 7 months after hatching (Storer 1925; Wright and Wright 1949). Sexual maturity can be attained at 2 years of age by males and 3 years of age by females (Jennings and Hayes 1985); adults may live 8 to 10 years (Jennings et al. 1992) although the average life span is considered to be much lower. The California red-legged frog is a relatively large aquatic frog ranging from 1.5 to 5 inches from the tip of the snout to the vent (Stebbins 1985).

California red-legged frogs breed in aquatic habitats. Larvae, juveniles and adults have been collected from streams, creeks, ponds, marshes, plunge pools and backwaters within streams, dune ponds, lagoons, and estuaries. California red-legged frogs frequently breed in artificial impoundments, such as stock ponds, if conditions are appropriate. Although California red-legged frogs successfully breed in streams and riparian systems, high spring flows and cold temperatures in streams often make these sites risky environments for eggs and tadpoles. The importance of riparian vegetation for this species is not well understood. When riparian vegetation is present, California red-legged frogs spend considerable time resting and feeding in it; the moisture and camouflage provided by the riparian plant community likely provide good foraging habitat and may facilitate dispersal in addition to providing pools and backwater aquatic areas for breeding.

Juvenile and adult California red-legged frogs may disperse long distances from breeding sites throughout the year. They can be encountered living within streams at distances exceeding 1.8 miles from the nearest breeding site, and have been found up to 400 feet from water in adjacent dense riparian vegetation (Bulger et al. 2003). During periods of wet weather, starting with the first rains of fall, some individuals may make overland excursions through upland habitats. Most of these overland movements occur at night. Bulger et al. (2003) found marked California red-legged frogs in Santa Cruz County making overland movements of up to 2 miles over the course

of a wet season. These individual frogs were observed to make long-distance movements that are straight-line, point to point migrations over variable upland terrain rather than using riparian corridors for movement between habitats. For the California red-legged frog, suitable habitat is potentially all aquatic and riparian areas within the range of the species and includes any landscape features that provide cover and moisture (61 FR 25813).

Habitat loss and alteration, combined with over-exploitation and introduction of exotic predators, were important factors in the decline of the California red-legged frog in the early to mid-1900s. Continuing threats to the California red-legged frog include direct habitat loss due to stream alteration and loss of aquatic habitat, indirect effects of expanding urbanization, competition or predation from non-native species including the bullfrog, catfish (*Ictalurus* spp.), bass (*Micropterus* spp.), mosquito fish (*Gambusia affinis*), red swamp crayfish, and signal crayfish. Chytrid fungus (*Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis*) is a waterborne fungus that can decimate amphibian populations, and is considered a threat to California red-legged frog populations.

Critical Habitat for the California Red-legged Frog

On March 17, 2010, the Service designated critical habitat for the California red-legged frog (75 FR 12816). In total, 1,636,609 million acres was designated as critical habitat for the California red-legged frog in 27 California counties. The current designation better reflects the lands containing those essential habitat features necessary for the conservation of the California red-legged frog than did earlier designations that had been subject to litigation. A detailed discussion of the methods used in developing proposed critical habitat can be found in the final rule (75 FR 12816).

We have identified the physical or biological features essential to the conservation of the species, the Primary Constituent Elements (PCEs), that may require special management considerations or protection. Because not all life-history functions require all the PCEs, not all areas designated as critical habitat will contain all the PCEs. Based on our current knowledge of the life-history, biology, and ecology of the California red-legged frog, we determined the California red-legged frog's PCEs to consist of: 1) aquatic breeding habitat; 2) aquatic non-breeding habitat; 3) upland habitat; and 4) dispersal habitat. Detailed descriptions of these PCEs can be found in the final rule (75 FR 12816). The following is a brief summary of the PCEs:

- 1) Aquatic breeding habitat consists of standing bodies of fresh water (with salinities less than 4.5 part per thousand), including natural and manmade (stock) ponds, slow moving streams or pools within streams and other ephemeral or permanent water bodies that typically become inundated during winter rains and hold water for a minimum of 20 weeks in all but the driest of years.
- 2) Aquatic non-breeding habitat consists of the freshwater habitats as described for aquatic breeding habitat but which may or may not hold water long enough for the subspecies to complete the aquatic portion of its lifecycle but which provide for shelter, foraging,

predator avoidance, and aquatic dispersal habitat of juvenile and adult California red-legged frogs.

- 3) Upland habitat consists of upland areas adjacent to or surrounding breeding and non-breeding aquatic and riparian habitat up to a distance of one mile in most cases (i.e., depending on surrounding landscape and dispersal barriers) including various vegetation types such as grassland, woodland, forest, wetland, or riparian areas that provide shelter, forage, and predator avoidance for the California red-legged frog. Upland habitat should include structural features such as boulders, rocks and organic debris (e.g., downed trees, logs), small mammal burrows, or moist leaf litter.
- 4) Dispersal habitat consists of accessible upland or riparian habitat within and between occupied or previously occupied sites that are located within one mile of each other, and that support movement between such sites. Dispersal habitat includes various natural habitats, and altered habitats such as agricultural fields, that do not contain barriers (e.g., heavily traveled roads without bridges or culverts) to dispersal. Dispersal habitat does not include moderate- to high-density urban or industrial developments with large expanses of asphalt or concrete, nor does it include large lakes or reservoirs over 50 acres in size, or other areas that do not contain those features identified in PCE 1, 2, or 3 as essential to the conservation of the species.

ENVIRONMENTAL BASELINE

The implementing regulations for section 7(a)(2) of the Act define the “action area” as all areas to be affected directly or indirectly by the Federal action and not merely the immediate area involved in the action (50 CFR 402.02). For the purposes of this biological opinion, we consider the action area to include the areas within Santa Cruz, San Benito Monterey, San Luis Obispo, Santa Barbara Counties that support the California red-legged frog, or its critical habitat, and that have the potential to be affected directly or indirectly by federally-funded projects. Caltrans projects that would be appropriately conducted pursuant to this biological opinion would occur within the Caltrans or County rights-of-way. Based on the anticipated impacts of the 26 projects we have consulted on and the documented effects of the 15 projects that Caltrans has completed under our previous programmatic biological opinion (Service 2003), we are not aware of any indirect effects which extend beyond the Caltrans or County right-of-way. Therefore, we assume the area within the right-of-way of each of the projects conducted pursuant to this programmatic biological opinion will encompass the direct and indirect effects of the proposed action.

All or portions of the following three recovery units (as defined in the Recovery Plan for the California red-legged frog (Service 2002) are included in the action area:

The Central Coast Recovery Unit includes, generally, the coastal portions of Santa Cruz, Monterey, and San Luis Obispo Counties. This recovery unit supports the greatest number of drainages currently occupied by the California red-legged frog.

The Diablo Range and Salinas Valley Recovery Unit includes, generally, San Benito County and the inland portions of Santa Cruz, Monterey, and San Luis Obispo Counties. This recovery unit supports “no more than 10 percent of the historic localities (of the California red-legged frog) within the Salinas basin and inner Coast Ranges” (Service 2002). Santa Barbara County and portions of San Luis Obispo Counties are within the Northern Transverse Ranges and Tehachapi Mountains Recovery Unit. California red-legged frogs are patchily distributed in the interior portion of this recovery unit and occur in numerous coastal streams in Santa Barbara County.

From April 2003 through June 2010, we issued 26 biological opinions that were tiered off of our previous programmatic biological opinion with FHWA (Service 2003). Under those 26 biological opinions we authorized the incidental take of 34 California red-legged frogs in the form of injury or mortality. Five tiered biological opinions authorized the incidental take of two California red-legged frogs, one biological opinion authorized the incidental take of four California red-legged frogs, and 20 biological opinions authorized the incidental take of one California red-legged frog.

Based on the information contained in the requests for consultation, we calculated the amount of aquatic and upland habitats that we estimate will be permanently lost and temporarily disturbed when construction of these projects has been completed (Appendix 1).

Construction has been completed on 15 projects (Appendix 2) that were conducted under the programmatic biological opinion (Service 2003). No California red-legged frogs were injured or killed during construction of these 15 projects. Five of the Project Completion Reports for these 15 projects did not include the amount of wetland or upland habitat impacts. Of the 10 other completed projects, none exceeded the reinitiation thresholds identified in our 2003 programmatic biological opinion (Service 2003).

Critical Habitat

Because our previous programmatic biological opinion (Service 2003) did not address critical habitat, the Project Completion Reports associated with that biological opinion do not include the amount of critical habitat affected by each completed project in terms of the PCEs. Instead, the Project Completion Reports require that the amount of wetland and riparian habitat temporarily and permanently affected by a project be reported. We interpret the amount of wetland habitat affected by a project to include either breeding, non-breeding habitat, or both, and the riparian habitat component to include upland habitat and/or dispersal habitat. The amount of critical habitat for the California red-legged frog that has been adversely affected as a result of the 15 completed projects consists of: 0.033-acre of aquatic habitat for the California red-legged frog that was permanently lost and 0.1-acre that was temporarily disturbed; 0.20-acre of upland habitat that were permanently lost and 0.12-acre that were temporarily disturbed.

Nineteen critical habitat units may be adversely affected by actions conducted pursuant to this biological opinion. These critical habitat units occur in Santa Cruz, San Benito, Monterey, San Luis Obispo, and Santa Barbara Counties. The physical and biological features important to the

conservation of the California red-legged frog are included in the following descriptions from the final rule 75(FR) 12816:

SCZ-1, North Coastal Santa Cruz County

This unit consists of approximately 72,249 acres of land and is located along the coastline of northern Santa Cruz County, plus a small area in southern San Mateo County, from approximately Green Oaks Creek to Wilder Creek. The unit includes the following watersheds: Green Oaks Creek, Waddell Creek, East Waddell Creek, Scott Creek, Big Creek, Little Creek, San Vicente Creek, Laguna Creek, and Majors Creek. The unit is mapped from occurrences recorded at the time of listing and subsequent to the time of listing and is currently occupied. SCZ-1 contains the features that are essential for the conservation of the species. The unit also contains aquatic habitat for breeding and non-breeding activities (PCE 1 and PCE 2), and upland habitat for foraging and dispersal activities (PCE 3 and PCE 4). SCZ-1 provides connectivity between occupied sites along the coast and farther inland. In addition, it contains high-quality habitat, indicated by high density of extant occurrences, permanent and ephemeral aquatic habitat suitable for breeding, and accessible upland areas for dispersal, shelter, and food. The unit represents one of two areas designated for critical habitat in Santa Cruz County and is the northern extent of the central coast recovery unit.

The physical and biological features essential to the conservation of California red-legged frog in the SCZ-1 unit may require special management considerations or protection due to water diversions, which may alter aquatic habitats and thereby result in the direct or indirect loss of egg masses, juveniles, or adults.

SCZ-2, Watsonville Slough

This unit consists of approximately 4,057 acres of land and is located along the coastal plain in southern Santa Cruz County, north of the mouth of the Pajaro River and seaward of California Highway 1. It includes locations in the Watsonville Slough system, including all or portions of Gallighan, Hanson, Harkins, Watsonville, Struve, and the West Branch of Struve sloughs. The unit includes portions of the Corralitos Lagoon and Mouth of the Pajaro River watersheds. The unit is mapped from occurrences recorded at the time of listing and subsequent to the time of listing. SCZ-2 contains the features that are essential for the conservation of the species. This unit is currently occupied, and contains permanent and ephemeral aquatic habitat for breeding and non-breeding activities (PCE 1 and PCE 2), and contains upland habitat for foraging, dispersal activities, and shelter (PCE 3 and PCE 4). SCZ-2 also provides connectivity between occupied sites along the coast and farther inland.

The physical and biological features essential to the conservation of California red-legged frog in the SCZ-2 unit may require special management considerations or protection due to predation by nonnative species, and due to urbanization and the presence of introduced invasive plants, both of which may alter aquatic or upland habitats and thereby result in the direct or indirect loss of egg masses or adults.

MNT-1, Elkhorn Slough

This unit consists of approximately 519 acres of land and is located along the coastal plain in northern Monterey County, inland from the town of Moss Landing, and it is mapped from occurrences recorded at the time of listing and subsequent to the time of listing. This unit is currently occupied. The unit includes the eastern edge of the Elkhorn Slough watershed and the western edge of the Strawberry Canyon watershed. MNT-1 contains the features that are essential for the conservation of the species. This unit contains aquatic habitat for breeding and non-breeding activities (PCE 1 and PCE 2), and upland habitat for foraging and dispersal activities (PCE 3 and PCE 4). The designation of MNT-1 is expected to prevent further fragmentation of habitat in this portion of the species' range, contains permanent and ephemeral aquatic habitats suitable for breeding, and contains upland areas for dispersal, shelter, and food. We have determined that these attributes are essential to the conservation of the species. Elkhorn Slough is unique in that it is a large estuary/freshwater slough system not typically found on the California coast. The unit consists entirely of private land.

The physical and biological features essential to the conservation of California red-legged frog in the MNT-1 unit may require special management considerations or protection due to pesticide exposure, trematode infestation, disease, and predation by nonnative species, which may affect aquatic or upland habitats and thereby result in the direct or indirect loss of egg masses or adults.

MNT-2, Carmel River

This unit consists of approximately 119,492 acres of land, is located south and southeast of the city of Monterey, and includes locations in the Carmel River drainage and nearby San Jose Creek. The unit includes the following watersheds and portions of watersheds: the southern portion of Carmel Bay, Carmel Valley, Robinson Canyon, San Jose Creek, Las Garces Creek, Hitchcock Canyon, the western portion of Lower Tularcitos Creek, Klondike Canyon, Black Rock Creek, Pine Creek, Danish Creek, Cachagua Creek, Lower Finch Creek, Bear Canyon, Bruce Fork, and Miller Canyon. It is mapped from occurrences recorded at the time of listing and subsequent to the time of listing. MNT-2 contains the features that are essential for the conservation of the species. The unit is currently occupied and contains permanent and ephemeral aquatic habitat for breeding and non-breeding activities (PCE 1 and PCE 2), and upland habitat for foraging, dispersal activities, and shelter (PCE 3 and PCE 4). The unit is the largest designated within Monterey County.

The physical and biological features essential to the conservation of California red-legged frog in the MNT-2 unit may require special management considerations or protection due to predation by nonnative species, urbanization, and water pumping and diversions, which may alter aquatic or upland habitats and thereby result in the direct or indirect loss of egg masses or adults.

MNT-3, Big Sur Coast

This unit consists of approximately 27,542 acres of land; is located along the Big Sur coastline in Monterey County, approximately from the mouth of the Little Sur River south to McWay Canyon; and includes locations in and around the Big Sur River drainage. The unit includes the following watersheds: Point Sur, Big Sur River, Ventana Creek, Sycamore Canyon, and Partington Creek. This unit was not known to be occupied at the time of listing, but surveys conducted subsequent to the time of listing show that this unit is currently occupied. Based on life history and population dynamics of the species we have determined that the area was most likely occupied at the time of listing. MNT-3 is essential for the conservation of the species because it contains the largest coastal habitat within Monterey Bay region and provides for connectivity to more interior units further north. MNT-3 also contains permanent and ephemeral aquatic habitat for breeding and non-breeding activities (PCE 1 and PCE 2), and upland habitat for foraging, dispersal activities, and shelter (PCE 3 and PCE 4). MNT-3 is currently occupied by the species.

The physical and biological features essential to the conservation of California red-legged frog in the MNT-3 unit may require special management considerations or protection due to predation by non-native species, urbanization, and water pumping and diversions, which may alter aquatic or upland habitats and thereby result in the direct or indirect loss of egg masses or adults.

SNB-1, Hollister Hills/San Benito River

This unit consists of approximately 36,294 acres of land and is located in northwestern San Benito County in the San Benito River drainage. The unit includes the following watersheds and portions of watersheds: the southern portions of San Justo Reservoir, Northeast Hollister Hills, and Upper Bird Creek; Left Fork Bird Creek; Sulfur Canyon; and the western portions of Arroyo Hondo, Willow Grove School, Paicines Ranch, and Lower Pescadero Creek. It is mapped from occurrences recorded at the time of listing and subsequent to the time of listing near Saint Frances Retreat, San Juan Oaks, Azalea Canyon, Bird Creek, Hollister Hills State Vehicle Recreation Area, Paicines Reservoir, and Tres Pinos Creek. SNB-1 contains the features that are essential for the conservation of the species. The unit contains aquatic habitat for breeding and non-breeding activities (PCE 1 and PCE 2), and upland habitat for foraging and dispersal activities (PCE 3 and PCE 4). SNB-1 also provides essential connectivity between sites on the coast plain and inner Coast Range. SNB-1 is occupied by the species, is expected to prevent further fragmentation of habitat in this portion of the species' range, and contains permanent and ephemeral aquatic habitats suitable for breeding and accessible upland areas for dispersal, shelter, and food.

The physical and biological features essential to the conservation of California red-legged frog in the SNB-1 unit may require special management considerations or protection due to predation by nonnative species, and habitat disturbance, which may alter aquatic and upland habitats and thereby result in the direct or indirect loss of egg masses or adults.

SNB-2, Antelope Creek/Upper Tres Pinos Creek

This unit consists of approximately 17,356 acres of land and is located in central San Benito County along the Tres Pinos Creek drainage within the Antelope Creek watershed. This unit was not known to be occupied at the time of listing, but surveys conducted subsequent to the time of listing show that this unit is currently occupied, and based on life history and population dynamics of the species we have determined that the area was most likely occupied at the time of listing. It is mapped from occurrence records in and along Tres Pinos Creek between the confluences of Boulder and Willow Springs Creeks. SNB-2 is essential for the conservation of the species because it provides aquatic habitat for breeding and non-breeding activities (PCE 1 and PCE 2), and upland habitat for foraging and dispersal activities (PCE 3 and PCE 4). SNB-2 is occupied by the species, is expected to prevent fragmentation of habitat in this portion of the species' range, and contains permanent and ephemeral aquatic habitats suitable for breeding and accessible upland areas for dispersal, shelter, and food. The unit consists entirely of private land. The physical and biological features essential to the conservation of California red-legged frog in the SNB-2 unit may require special management considerations or protection due to predation by nonnative species, overgrazing and trampling of aquatic and upland habitat by feral pigs, and recreational activities, which may alter aquatic and upland habitats and thereby result in the direct or indirect loss of egg masses or adults.

SNB-3, Pinnacles National Monument

This unit consists of approximately 63,753 acres of land; is located in the Gabilan Range at Pinnacles National Monument, about 3.5 miles west of the town of San Benito in southern San Benito County; and is mapped from occurrences recorded at the time of listing and subsequent to the time of listing. The unit includes the following watersheds: Gloria Lake, Bickmore Canyon, Sulfur Creek, and George Hansen Canyon. SNB-3 contains the features that are essential for the conservation of the species. The unit contains aquatic habitat for breeding and non-breeding activities (PCE 1 and PCE 2), and upland habitat for foraging and dispersal activities (PCE 3 and PCE 4). SNB-3 is expected to prevent further fragmentation of habitat in this portion of the species' range; contains permanent and ephemeral aquatic habitat suitable for breeding; contains accessible upland areas for dispersal, shelter, and food; and is occupied by the species.

The physical and biological features essential to the conservation of California red-legged frog in the SNB-3 unit may require special management considerations or protection due to predation by nonnative species, overgrazing and trampling of aquatic and upland habitat by feral pigs, and recreational activities, which may alter aquatic and upland habitats and thereby result in the direct or indirect loss of egg masses or adults.

SLO-1, Cholame

This unit consists of approximately 18,018 acres of land; and is located in northeastern San Luis Obispo, northwestern Kern, and southwestern Kings Counties; includes locations in the Cholame Creek drainage; and is mapped from occurrences recorded at time of listing and subsequent to

the time of listing. The unit includes portions of the following watersheds: the southern portion of Blue Point, the western portion of Jack Canyon, and the eastern portion of Palo Prieto Canyon. SLO-1 contains the features that are essential for the conservation of the species. The unit contains aquatic habitat for breeding and non-breeding activities (PCE 1 and PCE 2), and upland habitat for foraging and dispersal activities (PCE 3 and PCE 4). SLO-1 contains permanent and ephemeral aquatic habitats suitable for breeding; contains accessible upland areas for dispersal, shelter, and food; and is occupied by the species.

The physical and biological features essential to the conservation of California red-legged frog in the SLO-1 unit may require special management considerations or protection due to highway construction, overgrazing, and water diversions, which may alter aquatic or upland habitats and thereby result in the direct or indirect loss of egg masses or adults.

SLO-2, Piedras Blancas to Cayucos Creek

This unit consists of approximately 82,673 acres of land and is located along the coast in northwestern San Luis Obispo County from approximately Arroyo de Los Chinos southward to just before but not including Whale Rock Reservoir. The unit includes the following watersheds: Arroyo de los Chinos, Lower Arroyo de la Cruz, Arroyo del Corral, Oak Knoll Creek, Broken Bridge Creek, Pico Creek, Upper San Simeon Creek, Lower San Simeon Creek, Steiner Creek, Upper Santa Rosa Creek, Lower Santa Rosa Creek, and Lower Green Valley Creek. The unit is mapped from occurrences recorded at the time of listing and subsequent to the time of listing. SLO-2 contains the features that are essential for the conservation of the species. The unit contains aquatic habitat for breeding and non-breeding activities (PCE 1 and PCE 2), and upland habitat for foraging and dispersal activities (PCE 3 and PCE 4). SLO-2 provides connectivity within the Santa Lucia Range, and between this range and the inner Coast Range in San Luis Obispo County. This unit is occupied by the species. The unit contains high-quality habitat, indicated by high density of extant occurrences, permanent and ephemeral aquatic habitats suitable for breeding, and accessible upland areas for dispersal, shelter, and food.

The physical and biological features essential to the conservation of California red-legged frog in the SLO-2 unit may require special management considerations or protection due to predation by nonnative species, water diversion, overgrazing, and urbanization, which may alter aquatic or upland habitats and thereby result in the direct or indirect loss of egg masses or adults due to habitat modification.

SLO-3, Willow and Toro Creeks to San Luis Obispo

This unit consists of approximately 116,517 acres of land and is located near the coast in central San Luis Obispo County and extends about 1.9 miles north of the town of Morro Bay southward to just north and east of the city of San Luis Obispo. The unit includes the following watersheds: Old Creek, Whale Rock Reservoir, the southern portion of Hale Creek, Morro Bay, San Luisito Creek, the western and southern portions of Santa Margarita Creek, Choro Reservoir, Stenner Lake, Reservoir Canyon, Trout Creek, and Big Falls Canyon. The unit is mapped from

occurrences recorded at the time of listing and subsequent to the time of listing. SLO-3 contains the features that are essential for the conservation of the species. The unit is currently occupied and contains permanent and ephemeral aquatic habitat for breeding and non-breeding activities (PCE 1 and PCE 2), and upland habitat for foraging, dispersal, and shelter (PCE 3 and PCE 4). SLO-3 provides connectivity within the Santa Lucia Range, and between this range and the inner Coast Range in San Luis Obispo County.

The physical and biological features essential to the conservation of California red-legged frog in the SLO-3 unit may require special management considerations or protection due to predation by nonnative species, water diversion, overgrazing, and urbanization, which may alter aquatic or upland habitats and thereby result in the direct or indirect loss of egg masses or adults due to habitat modification.

SLO-4, Upper Salinas River

This unit consists of approximately 34,463 acres of land, is located at the base of Garcia Mountain about 17 miles east of the City of San Luis Obispo, is mapped from occurrences recorded subsequent to the time of listing, and is currently occupied by the species. Based on the life history and population dynamics of the species we have determined that the area was most likely occupied at the time of listing. The unit includes the following watersheds: Horse Mesa, Douglas Canyon, American Canyon, and Coyote Hole. This unit is essential for the conservation of the species because it is the only unit in San Luis Obispo County entirely within the interior Coast Range and provides connectivity between populations in the coastal areas and populations farther inland. SLO-4 also contains permanent and ephemeral aquatic habitats consisting of natural and manmade ponds surrounded by emergent vegetation and marshland with upland dispersal habitat comprised of riparian areas for dispersal, shelter, and foraging.

The physical and biological features essential to the conservation of California red-legged frog in the SLO-4 unit may require special management considerations or protection due to predation by nonnative species, and due to water diversion, overgrazing, and urbanization, which may alter aquatic or upland habitats and thereby result in the direct or indirect loss of egg masses or adults due to habitat modification.

STB-1, La Brea Creek

This unit consists of approximately 25,164 acres of land, is located in Los Padres National Forest in northern Santa Barbara County, and is mapped from occurrences recorded at the time of listing and subsequent to the time of listing. The unit includes the following watersheds: Bear Canyon, the southern portion of Smith Canyon, Rattlesnake Canyon, Lower South Fork La Brea Creek, and the eastern portion of Lower La Brea Creek. STB-1 contains the features that are essential for the conservation of the species. The unit contains aquatic habitat for breeding and non-breeding activities (PCE 1 and PCE 2), and upland habitat for foraging and dispersal activities (PCE 3 and PCE 4).

The physical and biological features essential to the conservation of California red-legged frog in the STB-1 unit may require special management considerations or protection due to recreational activities, which may alter aquatic or upland habitats and thereby result in the direct or indirect loss of egg masses or adults.

STB-2, San Antonio Terrace

This unit consists of approximately 12,066 acres of land, is located in northwestern Santa Barbara County near the coast, extends from about Casmalia south to the Santa Lucia Canyon near the Purisima Hills, and is mapped from occurrences recorded subsequent to the time of listing. Based on the life history and population dynamics of the species we have determined that the area was most likely occupied at the time of listing. The unit includes the following watersheds: Graciosa Canyon and Lions Head. STB-2 provides connectivity between coastal populations and populations in the Transverse Ranges. STB-2 also contains aquatic habitat for breeding and non-breeding activities (PCE 1 and PCE 2), and upland habitat for foraging and dispersal activities (PCE 3 and PCE 4). This unit is currently occupied by the species.

The physical and biological features essential to the conservation of California red-legged frog in the STB-2 unit may require special management considerations or protection due to recreational activities, which may alter aquatic or upland habitats and thereby result in the direct or indirect loss of egg masses or adults.

STB-3, Sisquoc River

This unit consists of approximately 47,559 acres of land and is located in northern Santa Barbara County and includes locations in the Sisquoc River drainage and is mapped from occurrences recorded at the time of listing and subsequent to the time of listing. The unit contains the following watersheds: the southern portion of Tunnel Canyon, Burro Canyon, Sulphur Creek, Lower Manzano Creek, Middle Manzano Creek, Fir Canyon, Upper Cachuma Creek, and the northern portion of Happy Canyon. STB-3 contains the features that are essential for the conservation of the species. The unit contains aquatic habitat for breeding and non-breeding activities (PCE 1 and PCE 2), and upland habitat for foraging and dispersal activities (PCE 3 and PCE 4). STB-3 is occupied by the species, provides connectivity between locations along the coast and the Transverse Ranges, and is essential in stabilizing populations of the species in tributaries to the Santa Ynez River.

The physical and biological features essential to the conservation of California red-legged frog in the STB-3 unit may require special management considerations or protection due to predation by nonnative species, recreational activities, and poor water management practices which may alter aquatic or upland habitats and thereby result in the direct or indirect loss of egg masses or adults.

STB-4, Jalama Creek

This unit consists of approximately 7,685 acres of land and is located along the coast in southwestern Santa Barbara County about 4.4 miles south of the City of Lompoc, and is mapped from occurrences recorded at the time of listing and subsequent to the time of listing. The unit includes the Casper Creek watershed. STB-4 contains the features that are essential for the conservation of the species. The unit includes aquatic habitat for breeding and non-breeding activities (PCE 1 and PCE 2), and upland habitat for foraging and dispersal activities (PCE 3 and PCE 4). STB-4 is occupied by the species and provides connectivity between locations along the coast and the Santa Ynez River watershed.

The physical and biological features essential to the conservation of California red-legged frog in the STB-4 unit may require special management considerations or protection due to predation by nonnative species and habitat disturbance, which may alter aquatic and upland habitats and thereby result in the direct or indirect loss of egg masses or adults.

STB-5, Gaviota Creek

This unit consists of approximately 12,888 acres of land, is located along the coast in southern Santa Barbara County about 3 miles southwest of the town of Buellton, and is mapped from occurrences recorded at the time of listing and subsequent to the time of listing. The unit includes the following watersheds: Cañada de las Cruces and Cañada de la Gavota. STB-5 contains the features that are essential for the conservation of the species. The unit contains aquatic habitat for breeding and non-breeding activities (PCE 1 and PCE 2), and upland habitat for shelter, foraging and dispersal activities (PCE 3 and PCE 4). STB-5 is occupied by the species and provides connectivity between locations along the coast and the Santa Ynez River watershed.

The physical and biological features essential to the conservation of California red-legged frog in the STB-5 unit may require special management considerations or protection due to predation by nonnative species and poor water management practices, which may alter aquatic or upland habitats and thereby result in the direct or indirect loss of egg masses or adults. Populations in this unit may also require special management or protection due to their potential importance in stabilizing California red-legged frog populations in tributaries to the Santa Ynez River.

STB-6, Arroyo Quemado to Refugio Creek

This unit consists of approximately 11,985 acres of land, is located along the coast in southern Santa Barbara County about 5 miles south of the town of Solvang, and is mapped from occurrences recorded at the time of listing and subsequent to the time of listing. The unit includes the Tajiguas Creek watershed. STB-6 contains the features that are essential for the conservation of the species. The unit contains aquatic habitat for breeding and non-breeding activities (PCE 1 and PCE 2), and upland habitat for foraging and dispersal activities (PCE 3 and PCE 4). STB-6 is occupied by the species, provides connectivity between locations along the

coast and the Santa Ynez River watershed, and contains permanent and ephemeral aquatic habitats suitable for breeding, and upland areas for dispersal, shelter, and food.

The physical and biological features essential to the conservation of California red-legged frog in the STB-6 unit may require special management considerations or protection due to predation by nonnative species and poor water management practices, which may alter aquatic or upland habitats and thereby result in the direct or indirect loss of egg masses or adults. Populations in this unit may also require special management or protection due to their potential importance in stabilizing California red-legged frog populations in tributaries to the Santa Ynez River.

STB-7, Upper Santa Ynez River and Matilija Creek

This unit consists of approximately 145,121 acres of land, is located in southeastern Santa Barbara County about 5 miles north of the City of Santa Barbara, and extends into western Ventura County at Matilija Creek. It is mapped from occurrences recorded at the time of listing and subsequent to the time of listing. The unit includes the following watersheds: Los Lauveles Canyon, Redrock Canyon, Oso Canyon, Buckhorn Creek, Camuesa Creek, Devils Canyon, Indian Creek Campground, Upper Mono Creek, Lower Mono Creek, Blue Canyon Upper Agua Caliente Canyon, Diablo Canyon, Lower Agua Caliente Canyon, Juncal Canyon, Lower Matilija Creek, North Fork Matilija Creek, and Cozy Dell Canyon. STB-7 contains the features that are essential for the conservation of the species. This unit contains aquatic habitat for breeding and non-breeding activities (PCE 1 and PCE 2), and upland habitat for foraging and dispersal activities (PCE 3 and PCE 4). STB-7 is occupied by the species and provides connectivity between locations along the coast, in the Sierra Madre Mountains, and in the Ventura River watershed. It is important to species conservation and the persistence of the species in the Matilija watershed because it contains permanent and ephemeral aquatic habitats suitable for breeding, and upland areas for dispersal, shelter, and food in that portion of the unit, which will provide connectivity between populations within the Transverse Ranges and will prevent further isolation of breeding locations near the limit of the geographic range of the species. The unit as a whole contains high-quality habitat, indicated by the high density of extant occurrences, permanent and ephemeral aquatic habitat suitable for breeding, and accessible upland areas for dispersal, shelter, and food.

The physical and biological features essential to the conservation of California red-legged frog in the STB-7 unit may require special management considerations or protection due to predation by nonnative species, flood control activities, road maintenance, and recreational activities, which may alter aquatic and upland habitats and thereby result in the direct or indirect loss of egg masses or direct death of adults.

EFFECTS OF THE ACTION

California Red-legged Frog

Activities that are evaluated under this biological opinion are those that would not cause ecosystem-scale changes and are not likely to contribute to the decline of the California red-legged frog. These activities would also not preclude any of the potentially affected critical habitat units from providing the primary constituent elements necessary to support the essential life history functions (i.e., reproduction, feeding, and sheltering) of the California red-legged frog.

Direct impacts to adults, sub-adults, tadpoles, and eggs of the California red-legged frog in the footprint of projects evaluated by this biological opinion may include injury or mortality from being crushed by earth moving equipment, construction debris, and worker foot traffic. These impacts will be reduced by minimizing and clearly demarcating the boundaries of the project areas and equipment access routes and locating staging areas outside of riparian areas or other water bodies. Scheduling work activities to avoid sensitive areas, such as breeding pools during the breeding season and isolated aquatic refuges during dry periods, as proposed by Caltrans, would substantially reduce adverse effects.

The capture and handling of California red-legged frogs to move them from a work area may result in injury or mortality. Mortality may occur as a result of improper handling, containment, or transport of individuals or from releasing them into unsuitable habitat. Improper handling, containment, or transport of individuals would be reduced or prevented by use of a Service-approved biologist. California red-legged frogs may attempt to return to the capture site, especially if it contains suitable breeding habitat and the relocation site is a different pond or creek than the capture site. California red-legged frogs attempting to return to capture sites are likely to be more susceptible to predation, exposure to the elements, and vehicle strikes if they attempt to return to the original capture site. Relocating California red-legged frogs within the same drainage or water body, if possible, will reduce this threat. Overall, relocation as proposed by Caltrans is intended to reduce the risk of injury or mortality from the direct effects described above.

Construction activities, including noise and vibration, may cause California red-legged frogs to temporarily abandon habitat adjacent to work areas. This disturbance may increase the potential for predation and desiccation when California red-legged frogs leave shelter sites.

Tadpoles may be entrained by pump intakes if such devices are used to dry out work areas. However, Caltrans will ensure that pump intakes are covered with wire mesh not larger than 0.2 inch to preclude juvenile California red-legged frogs and tadpoles from entering pump intakes.

Some potential also exists for disturbance of habitat to cause the spread or establishment of non-native invasive species, such as giant reed (*Arundo donax*) or salt cedar (*Tamarix* spp.). Once established, these species degrade habitat values through several mechanisms (Service 1999).

Breeding pools surrounded by large amounts of salt cedar and giant reed may dry faster because their rates of evapotranspiration are generally greater than those of native riparian species. The abundance and diversity of prey species are generally less in dense stands of giant reed and salt cedar than in areas dominated by native plants. Additionally, these invasive species can eventually out-compete native plant species and displace them; dense aggregations of salt cedar can cause soils to become hypersaline because these plants concentrate salt from water and then excrete it onto the surrounding ground. Caltrans has proposed measures to prevent the spread or introduction of these species, such as minimizing the number of access routes, size of staging areas, and the total area of the activity; restoring disturbed areas with native species. These measures should reduce or eliminate this adverse effect.

Some actions proposed by Caltrans may involve the use of herbicides to control or eliminate non-native plant species. There are currently 66 pesticides not approved for use in habitat for the California red-legged frog (Center for Biological Diversity v. Johnson and Nastri; case number C-02-1580-JSW). Caltrans has been exempted from this injunction for upland and riparian projects and projects that are 60 feet or more from bodies of water (G. Ruggerone pers. comm. 2007). However because California red-legged frogs may occur in upland habitat up to one mile from suitable aquatic habitat, there is still a potential for California red-legged frogs to be adversely affected by Caltrans' use of herbicides in uplands.

If Caltrans uses herbicides, Glyphosate (formulated as Rodeo[®] or Aquamaster[®]) is probably the most likely herbicide to be used. Glyphosate is the active ingredient in a variety of herbicides including Roundup[®], Rodeo[®], Aquamaster[®], Buccaneer[®], Glyfos[®], Honcho[®], Touchdown[®], Vision[®], Duramax[®], Rattler[®], and others. Glyphosate is a systemic herbicide that will kill broadleaf and grass species by inhibiting the production of aromatic amino acids in plants and some microorganisms that are necessary to build proteins (Devine et al. 1993). Because many animals lack the synthesis pathway that glyphosate disrupts, it is considered to have low potential to cause toxicity in animals (Devine et al. 1993). Most glyphosate products are formulated to contain surfactants that allow the active ingredients to spread over and penetrate the plant cuticles. Surfactants can be the most toxic portion of a pesticide product. The surfactant associated with many glyphosate products is a polyethoxylated tallowamine (POEA) surfactant.

California red-legged frog eggs, tadpoles, juveniles and adults can be exposed to glyphosate products and POEA surfactants in aquatic habitats through direct overspray of wetlands, drift from treated areas, or contaminated runoff from treated areas. The half-life of glyphosate in pond water ranges between 12 days and 10 weeks (Exttoxnet 1996). Additionally, juvenile and adult California red-legged frogs can also be exposed to glyphosate in terrestrial habitats that have been treated. Glyphosate and POEA readily binds to soil particles and can be degraded by microbes in 7 to 70 days depending on soil conditions (Giesy et al. 2000). The half-life of glyphosate in soil can range from three to 249 days and the POEA surfactant in Roundup has a soil half-life of less than one week (Forest Service 1997).

No information is available regarding the toxicity of glyphosate products specifically to California red-legged frogs. Studies exploring the lethal and sublethal effects of glyphosate products on other amphibians, including similar frog species classified in the same genus as the California red-legged frog (*Rana*) are available but are largely focused on aquatic life stages of the species and formulations of glyphosate that include surfactants. Roundup Original Max[®], a glyphosate product with POEA surfactant, was demonstrated to be moderately to highly toxic to nine species of frog and toad tadpoles including five *Rana* species: wood frog (*Rana sylvatica*), leopard frog (*Rana pipiens*), Cascades frog (*Rana cascadae*), green frog (*Rana clamitans*), and American bullfrog (*Rana catesbeiana*) (Relyea and Jones 2009). Because the biology of these species is very similar to the California red-legged frog, we assume the effects of POEA surfactants and glyphosate formulations containing POEA, would be the same on the California red-legged frog. Mann and Bidwell (1999) also found evidence of acute toxicity to four Australian frog species exposed to Roundup[®] while the isopropylamine (IPA) salt of glyphosate (the active constituent in Roundup[®]) was found to be non-toxic. The mortality of tadpoles is hypothesized to be caused by the lysis of gill cells from exposure to surfactants (Lajmanovich et al. 2003, Edington et al. 2004) resulting in either to asphyxiation or loss of osmotic stability (Able 1974) indicating that the life stage during which frogs and toads have gills may be particularly vulnerable. Glyphosate products containing POEA surfactants have also been shown to have sub-lethal effects to amphibians including decreased size, increased time to metamorphosis, tail malformations, and gonadal abnormalities (Govindarajulu 2008, Howe et al. 2004).

Several studies suggest that the toxicity of glyphosate products is linked with the surfactant, and not the glyphosate. Howe et al. (2004) compared the toxicity of glyphosate alone, to glyphosate with POEA surfactant, and POEA alone, on green frogs. Results indicated that the toxicity of glyphosate with POEA surfactant was similar to the POEA surfactant alone, which was much greater than glyphosate alone, indicating that the POEA was responsible for the toxic effects. In a comprehensive review of studies involving the effects of glyphosate on amphibians Govindarajulu (2008) concluded that the toxic effect of glyphosate products containing POEA are due to the POEA rather than the active glyphosate ingredient.

These studies indicate that glyphosate products formulated with POEA surfactants will likely kill or injure California red-legged frogs in aquatic habitats, with tadpoles being particularly vulnerable. Because glyphosate and POEA readily bind to soil and sediments, these chemicals may be less available to California red-legged frogs in terrestrial habitats; however, research is needed to determine toxicity mechanisms and thresholds from terrestrial exposure. Based on the literature (Howe 2004, Govindarajulu 2008), adverse effects to California red-legged frogs from the use of glyphosate products can be minimized through the use of products that do not contain a surfactant. Formulations that lack a surfactant include Rodeo and Aquamaster, which have been approved by the Environmental Protection Agency, through their registration process, for aquatic use.

A low-toxicity, non-POEA surfactant that works well with Rodeo[®] or Aquamaster[®] is Agri-Dex[®], produced by Helena Chemicals. We are not aware of any information regarding the

toxicity of Agri-Dex[®] on amphibians, but based on the data available, Monheit et al. (2004) concluded crop oil-based surfactants (i.e. Agri-Dex[®]) are probably less acutely toxic to fish, aquatic invertebrates and one frog species tested, than some other types of surfactants. The amount of Agri-Dex[®] that resulted in acute toxicity (i.e., >1000 parts per million (ppm) (Helena Chemical Company 2004, Washington State Department of Ecology and Agriculture 2004) was levels of magnitude higher than other surfactants tested including POEA (1.6 to 0.65ppm in Haller and Stocker 2003, Giesy et al. 2000, Folmar et al. 1979). It is important to note that so called crop oil-based surfactants, which suggest these products are vegetable-based, are actually petroleum products (Forest Service 1997). There could be sub-lethal adverse effects or long-term adverse effects to California red-legged frogs, from chronic exposure to these chemicals, that have not been documented. Overall, Agri-Dex[®] may be less toxic than other surfactants, but the use of glyphosate without a surfactant is probably even less toxic to the California red-legged frog.

The protective measures proposed by Caltrans, including surveys prior to the application of herbicides, capture and relocation of California red-legged frogs out of harm's way and restricting the use of herbicides to the non-breeding season (dry summer months) will greatly reduce the potential for injury or mortality of the California red-legged frog as a result of herbicide use.

If water that is impounded during or after work activities creates favorable habitat conditions for non-native predators, such as bullfrogs, crayfish, and centrarchid fishes, California red-legged frogs may suffer abnormally high rates of predation. Additionally, any time California red-legged frogs are concentrated in a small area at unusually high densities, native predators such as herons, egrets, opossums (*Didelphis virginiana*), and raccoons (*Procyon lotor*) may feed on them opportunistically. Finally, if impoundments occupied by California red-legged frogs were to dry out as a result of construction activity, California red-legged frogs may die of desiccation or be eaten by predators as they attempt to find other suitable habitat. Caltrans' proposal to avoid creating impoundments of water within project areas is likely to reduce these effects.

Trash left during or after project activities could attract predators to work sites, which could, in turn, prey on California red-legged frogs. For example, raccoons are attracted to trash and also prey opportunistically on California red-legged frogs. This potential impact will be reduced or avoided by careful control of waste products at all work sites as proposed by Caltrans.

Chytridiomycosis is an infectious disease that affects amphibians worldwide, and is caused by the chytrid fungus. Chytrid fungus is a water-borne fungus that can be spread through direct contact between aquatic animals and by a spore that can move short distances through the water. The fungus only attacks the parts of a frog's skin that have keratin (thickened skin), such as the mouthparts of tadpoles and the tougher parts of adults' skin, such as the toes. The fungus can decimate amphibian populations, causing fungal dermatitis which usually results in death in 1 to 2 weeks, but not before infected animals may have spread the fungal spores to other ponds and streams. Once a pond or waterway has become infected with chytrid fungus, the fungus stays in the water for an undetermined amount of time. Chytrid fungus could be spread if infected

California red-legged frogs are relocated and introduced into areas with healthy California red-legged frogs. It is also possible during the relocation of California red-legged frogs that infected equipment or clothing could introduce chytrid fungus into areas where it did not previously occur. Caltrans proposes to implement the fieldwork code of practice developed by the Declining Amphibian Populations Task Force which should reduce or eliminate the potential for movement of chytrid fungus.

Accidental spills of hazardous materials or careless fueling or oiling of vehicles or equipment could degrade aquatic or upland habitat to a degree where California red-legged frogs are adversely affected or killed. The potential for this impact to occur will be reduced by Caltrans' proposal to require: all refueling, maintenance, and staging of equipment and vehicles to occur at least 60 feet from riparian habitat or water bodies and not in a location from where a spill would drain directly toward aquatic habitat; the monitor to ensure contamination of habitat does not occur during such operations; that a plan is in place for prompt and effective response to any accidental spills; and all workers to be informed of the importance of preventing spills and of the appropriate measures to take should a spill occur.

Workers may intentionally or unintentionally disturb, injure, or kill California red-legged frogs. The potential for this impact to occur will be reduced by Caltrans' proposal to conduct pre-construction training informing workers of the presence and protected status of this species and the measures that are being implemented to protect it during project activities.

Work in streams or in floodplains could cause unusually high levels of siltation downstream. This siltation could smother eggs of the California red-legged frog and alter the quality of habitat to the extent that use by individuals of the species is precluded. Implementing best management practices and reducing the area to be disturbed to the minimum necessary, as proposed by Caltrans, will likely assist in reducing the amount of sediment that is washed downstream, as a result of project activities.

Caltrans has proposed that consultation would be reinitiated if 10 California red-legged frogs or 20 tadpoles are killed or injured in any given year, or if 50 California red-legged frogs are killed or injured in total. However, because of the measures that Caltrans has proposed to reduce the level of injury or mortality, we expect that few California red-legged frogs would be killed or injured in any given year. Additionally, based on reproductive biology the subspecies, loss of 10 California red-legged frogs or 20 tadpoles in any given year, throughout the seven counties covered by this consultation, is not likely to compromise the conservation of the subspecies because this number represents a very small portion of the total breeding individuals assumed to be present in this region.

Critical Habitat for the California Red-legged Frog

Actions conducted pursuant to this biological opinion may be located within any one of the 19 aforementioned critical habitat units in five counties. The PCEs of critical habitat for the

California red-legged frog include: (1) aquatic breeding habitat, (2) aquatic non-breeding habitat, (3) upland habitat, and (4) dispersal habitat.

The PCEs associated with individual project sites may be permanently or temporarily altered as a result of projects conducted pursuant to this biological opinion. However, we anticipate that the effects of those projects, which must meet the criteria for use of this biological opinion, will be of such a small scale that they will not preclude the PCEs from supporting the essential life history functions of the California red-legged frog. For example, a bridge retrofitted for earthquake safety may have slightly larger footings as a result of the project. Such a minor permanent loss of aquatic habitat is not likely to compromise the ability of a stream to support the aquatic life stages of the California red-legged frog.

The reinitiation thresholds that Caltrans has proposed will ensure that the conservation of the California red-legged frog is not compromised within the affected critical habitat units. These upper limits for permanent loss of aquatic, upland, and dispersal habitat (20 acres in any given year or 100 acres in total) and upland habitat (20 acres in any given year or 100 acres in total), and temporary disturbance (100 in any given year, or 500 acre total over the life of the biological opinion) would be spread across the 19 critical habitat units, in which the activities covered by this biological opinion would be implemented. Given the wide distribution of a relatively minor amount of disturbance or loss of aquatic, upland, and dispersal habitat, and the high potential that most disturbance would recover within a few years, we expect the PCEs in each of the affected critical habitat units to continue to provide the life history functions essential to the conservation of the California red-legged frog.

The protective measures included in the Description of the Proposed Action section of this biological opinion would minimize adverse effects to the PCEs of critical habitat for the California red-legged frog. Based on the suitability criteria to qualify for use of this biological opinion, and the protective measures Caltrans would implement, we anticipate that any effects to critical habitat for the California red-legged frog would be temporary or minor. We do not expect such minor or temporary effects to preclude a critical habitat unit from supporting the PCEs and associated life history functions (i.e., reproduction, dispersal, feeding, and sheltering) of critical habitat for the California red-legged frog.

CUMULATIVE EFFECTS

Cumulative effects include the effects of future State, tribal, local, or private actions that are reasonably certain to occur in the action area considered in this biological opinion. Future Federal actions unrelated to the proposed action are not considered in this section because they require separate consultation pursuant to section 7 of the Act.

At this time, we do not know the specific locations of future projects that may be conducted pursuant to this biological opinion, other than that they would be sited within the Caltrans rights-of-way in San Benito, Santa Cruz, Monterey, San Luis Obispo, and Santa Barbara Counties. We

are unaware of any future non-Federal actions that are reasonably certain to occur within the action area.

CONCLUSION

After reviewing the current status of the California red-legged frog, its critical habitat, the environmental baseline, the effects of the action, projects that could be authorized under the provisions of this programmatic biological opinion, and the cumulative effects, it is the Service's biological opinion that the Caltrans' proposed action is not likely to jeopardize the continued existence of the California red-legged frog or destroy or adversely modify its critical habitat.

We have reached this conclusion because:

1. The notification process described previously allows us to review each proposed action to determine if it meets falls within the scope of this programmatic biological opinion, and to ensure the effects are not likely to be outside of the limited levels we anticipate;
2. Few California red-legged frogs are likely to be killed or injured during project activities;
3. Caltrans has established a threshold that will trigger reinitiation of formal consultation (based on a finite number of California red-legged frogs that would be injured or killed), which would not result in population level impacts to this species;
4. In comparison with the amount of critical habitat available to the California red-legged frog in San Benito, Santa Cruz, Monterey, San Luis Obispo, and Santa Barbara Counties, a relatively small amount of critical habitat would be permanently lost within each critical habitat unit and relative to the entire critical habitat designation;
5. Although we anticipate that some minor or temporary adverse effects to the PCEs in each of the 19 affected critical habitat units may occur, we do not anticipate effects of this nature to preclude those PCEs from providing the essential life history functions (i.e., reproduction, dispersal, feeding, and sheltering) necessary to ensure the conservation of the California red-legged frog because Caltrans has established a threshold of affected acres of habitat types that comprise the PCEs, that will trigger reinitiation of formal consultation; and
6. Caltrans has proposed numerous measures to reduce the adverse effects of the proposed activities on the California red-legged frog and its critical habitat.

INCIDENTAL TAKE STATEMENT

Section 9 of the Act and Federal regulation pursuant to section 4(d) of the Act prohibit the take of endangered and threatened species, respectively, without special exemption. Take is defined as to harass, harm, pursue, hunt, shoot, wound, kill, trap, capture or collect, or to attempt to engage in any such conduct. Harm is further defined by the Service to include significant habitat

modification or degradation that results in death or injury to listed species by significantly impairing essential behavioral patterns, including breeding, feeding, or sheltering. Harass is defined by the Service as intentional or negligent actions that create the likelihood of injury to listed species by annoying it to such an extent as to significantly disrupt normal behavioral patterns which include, but are not limited to, breeding, feeding or sheltering. Incidental take is defined as take that is incidental to, and not the purpose of, the carrying out of an otherwise lawful activity. Under the terms of section 7(b)(4) and section 7(o)(2), taking that is incidental to and not intended as part of the agency action is not considered to be prohibited taking under the Act provided that such taking is in compliance with the terms and conditions of an incidental take statement contained in a biological opinion.

The measures described below are non-discretionary and Caltrans must make them binding conditions of any contract, permit, or funding to contractors or County Governments for the exemption in 7(o)(2) to apply. Caltrans has a continuing duty to regulate the activities covered by this incidental take statement. If Caltrans fails to adhere to the terms and conditions of the incidental take statement, the protective coverage of section 7(o)(2) may lapse. To monitor the impact of incidental take, Caltrans must report the progress of the action and its impact on the species to the Service as specified in the incidental take statement [50 CFR 402.14(i)(3)].

This biological opinion evaluates the effects of a certain scope and scale of actions that Caltrans may undertake in San Benito, Santa Cruz, Monterey, San Luis Obispo, and Santa Barbara Counties on the California red-legged frog, and its critical habitat. Because of the protective measures that Caltrans has proposed, we expect that few California red-legged frogs would be killed in any given year. All California red-legged frogs found within project areas that meet the suitability criteria described in this biological opinion may be captured and relocated. However, because capture and relocation is intended to reduce the potential for injury or mortality, and Caltrans will use biologists experienced in the capture and handling of California red-legged frogs, we anticipate that few, if any, California red-legged frogs will be injured or killed as a result of capture and relocation efforts. Finally, there is a potential for a number of California red-legged frogs to be taken as a result of exposure to herbicides, during which some may be killed or injured. The protective measures Caltrans has proposed, including conducting surveys prior to the application of herbicides, capture and relocating California red-legged frogs out of harm's way, and restricting the use of herbicides to the non-breeding season (dry summer months) of the California red-legged frog will greatly reduce the potential for injury or mortality as a result of herbicide use.

Based on the triggers for reinitiation of formal consultation that Caltrans has identified in their proposed action, we anticipate that no more than 10 adult or subadult California red-legged frogs, 10 egg masses, or 20 tadpoles would be injured or killed in a given year, or 50 California red-legged frogs during the life of this biological opinion, will be injured or killed as a result of the proposed action.

Incidental take of California red-legged frog adults, subadults, or tadpoles may be difficult to detect for the following reasons: (1) the California red-legged frog is generally difficult to detect

due to its small body size; (2) finding a dead or impaired specimen is unlikely; (3) losses may be masked by seasonal fluctuations in hydrology unrelated to the project. However, the maximum number of individuals proposed to be killed or injured each year is a relatively small portion of the population of California red-legged frogs in the action area. We do not expect the loss of these few California red-legged frog adults, subadults, egg masses, or tadpoles to compromise the ability of the species to survive and recover. Given the reproductive biology of the species, described in the Status of the Species section of this biological opinion, this number also represents a very small portion of the total number of individuals assumed to be present throughout the sub species' range. Given the wide distribution of a relatively minor amount of disturbance or temporary loss of habitat, the high potential that most disturbed areas would recover within a few years, and the ability of the California red-legged frog to survive in varying conditions, we expect the overall effect on the habitat of the California red-legged frog by the proposed activities to be minor.

This biological opinion does not exempt any activity from the prohibitions against take contained in section 9 of the Act that is not incidental to the action as described in this biological opinion. Take that occurs outside of demarcated work areas or from any activity not described in this biological opinion is not exempted from the prohibitions against take described in section 9 of the Act.

REASONABLE AND PRUDENT MEASURES

The Service believes the following reasonable and prudent measures are necessary and appropriate to minimize the take of California red-legged frogs:

1. Biologists must be authorized by the Service before they survey for, capture, and relocate California red-legged frogs from work areas.
2. Caltrans must further minimize the potential for transmitting Chytrid fungus to new locations.

The Service's evaluation of the effects of the proposed action includes consideration of the measures to minimize the adverse effects of the proposed action on the California red-legged frog that were developed by Caltrans and the Service and repeated in the Description of the Proposed Action portion of this biological opinion. Any subsequent changes in these measures proposed by Caltrans may constitute a modification of the proposed action and may warrant reinitiation of formal consultation, as specified at 50 CFR 402.16. These reasonable and prudent measures are intended to supplement the protective measures that were proposed by Caltrans as part of the proposed action.

TERMS AND CONDITIONS

To be exempt from the prohibitions of section 9 of the Act, Caltrans must comply with the following terms and conditions, which implement the reasonable and prudent measures described above. These terms and conditions are non-discretionary.

1. The following terms and conditions implement reasonable and prudent measure 1:
 - 1.1 Chuck Cesena, Mitch Dallas, Tom Edell, Jennifer Moonjian, Morgan Robertson, Lisa Schicker, Nancy Siepel, Jim Walth, Lisa Schicker, Cathy Stettler, and Sarah Paulson are authorized to capture, handle, relocate, survey and monitor for California red-legged frogs. Paul Holmes is authorized to independently survey and monitor for California red-legged frogs, and may capture, handle, and relocate California red-legged frogs under the direct supervision of the biologists authorized above. If Caltrans wishes to use additional biologists, it must provide their qualifications to the Service at least 30 days before they are to begin work. Additional biologists must not capture, handle, or monitor California red-legged frogs (unless under the direct, on-site supervision of the biologists authorized above) without written approval from the Service.
 - 1.2 Prior to the onset of grading and construction activities, Service-approved biologists must identify appropriate areas to receive translocated California red-legged frog adults and tadpoles in the action area. These areas must be in proximity to the capture site, outside of any area likely to be adversely impacted by construction activities, provide suitable habitat, and be free of exotic predatory species (e.g., bullfrogs, crayfish) to the best of the Service-approved biologist's knowledge.
 - 1.3 If the affected aquatic habitat includes a creek or river system, the relocation site must be within the same drainage.
 - 1.4 If the affected aquatic habitat includes a pond or other isolated water body, Caltrans must receive the Services approval, in writing, prior to relocating any California red-legged frogs.

If Chytrid fungus is known to occur in the drainage or pond where the proposed action would occur, California red-legged frogs must not be relocated into different drainages or ponds, without prior written approval from the Service.

REPORTING REQUIREMENTS

In addition to the pre-project notification, Caltrans must submit an annual list of projects they conducted under this programmatic concurrence and programmatic biological opinion, as described in the Description of the Proposed Action section of this document. In addition, the

enclosed Project Completion form describes the information that Caltrans must provide to the Ventura Fish and Wildlife Office upon the completion of each specific project conducted under this programmatic concurrence and programmatic biological opinion.

DISPOSITION OF DEAD OR INJURED SPECIMENS

Within 3 days of locating any dead or injured California red-legged frogs, Caltrans must notify the Ventura Fish and Wildlife Office by telephone [(805) 644-1766] and in writing (2493 Portola Road, Suite B, Ventura, California 93003). The report must include the date, time, and location of the carcass, a photograph, cause of death, if known, and any other pertinent information.

Care must be taken in handling dead specimens to preserve biological material in the best possible state for later analysis. Should any injured California red-legged frogs survive, the Service must be contacted regarding their final disposition.

The remains of California red-legged frogs found in San Benito, Santa Cruz, or Monterey Counties must be placed with the California Academy of Sciences Herpetology Department (Contact: Jens Vindum, Senior Collections Manager, California Academy of Sciences Herpetology Department (herpetology@calacademy.org), 55 Music Concourse Drive, San Francisco, California 94118).

The remains of California red-legged frogs found in San Luis Obispo, Santa Barbara, Ventura, or Los Angeles Counties must be placed with the Santa Barbara Natural History Museum (Contact: Paul Collins, Santa Barbara Natural History Museum, Vertebrate Zoology Department, 2559 Puesta Del Sol, Santa Barbara, California 93460, (805) 682-4711, extension 321). Caltrans must make arrangements regarding proper disposition of potential museum specimens prior to implementation of any actions conducted pursuant to this biological opinion.

CONSERVATION RECOMMENDATIONS

Section 7(a)(1) of the Act directs Federal agencies to use their authorities to further the purposes of the Act by carrying out conservation programs for the benefit of endangered and threatened species. Conservation recommendations are discretionary agency activities to minimize or avoid adverse effects of a proposed action on listed species or critical habitat, to help implement recovery plans, or to develop information.

1. We recommend that Caltrans expand its regional planning efforts for the California red-legged frog to further facilitate an ecosystem approach to conservation while attempting to recognize, at an early stage of planning, where conflicts between conservation of the California red-legged frog and future transportation projects may arise.
2. We encourage Caltrans, biological consultants, and/or other researchers to participate in research on California red-legged frogs. Research topics could include, but are not limited to: metapopulation dynamics, dispersal and migration studies, and the effects of

predation and habitat quality on California red-legged frogs. We encourage Caltrans to coordinate with the Service and the California Department of Fish and Game to develop research proposals under the Service's Endangered Species Conservation Grants (Section 6 Traditional) Program.

The Service requests notification of the implementation of any conservation recommendations, so we may be kept informed of actions that minimize or avoid adverse effects to or benefit the California red-legged frog and its habitat.

REINITIATION NOTICE

This concludes formal consultation on projects funded under the Federal Highway Administration's Federal Aid program that are likely to adversely affect the California red-legged frog, its critical habitat, or its proposed critical habitat. As provided in 50 CFR 402.16, reinitiation of formal consultation is required where discretionary Federal agency involvement or control over the action has been maintained (or is authorized by law), and if (1) the amount or extent of incidental take is exceeded, (2) new information reveals effects of the agency action may affect listed species or critical habitat in a manner or to an extent not considered in this opinion, (3) the agency action is subsequently modified in a manner that causes an effect on listed species or critical habitat that was not considered in this opinion, or (4) a new species is listed or critical habitat is designated that may be affected by the action.

If you have any questions, please contact Steve Kirkland of my staff at (805) 644-1766, extension 267.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in dark ink, appearing to read "Diane K. Noda". The signature is fluid and cursive, with the first name "Diane" written in a larger, more prominent script than the last name "Noda".

Diane K. Noda
Field Supervisor

Enclosures
Caltrans Project Completion Report
The Declining Amphibian Populations Task Force Fieldwork Code of Practice

| Project | Biological Opinion | Permanent Aquatic | Permanent Upland | Temp Aquatic | Temp. Upland | Critical Habitat |
|---|--------------------|-------------------|------------------|--------------|--------------|------------------|
| Picachio Road Bridge | 2006 | .5 | 0.18 | | .39 | no |
| Bob Jones Bike | 2007 | 0 | 0 | | 0.39 | no |
| Chittendon Pass | 2006 | 0 | 0.27 | 0 | 0.25 | no |
| Harkin Slough Br. Over Struve slough | 2006 | 0.004 | 0.12 | .08 | 1.16 | no |
| Harkin Slough Br. Over Watsonville Slough | 2004 | 0.25 | 0.22 | 0 | 0.71 | no |
| Cienega Rd. Bridge | 2006 | 0.404 | 0.404 | 0 | 1.19 | no |
| San Benito River Bridge | 2006 | 0 | 0 | 0.002 | 0.159 | no |
| Salinas Rd. Interchange | 2006 | 0.9 | 0.09 | 0 | 0.43 | no |
| Pfiefer Big Sur Left Turn Lane | 2006 | 0.002 | 0.26 | 0.002 | 1.2 | no |
| Hwy 101 widening-SR 135-166 | 2006 | 0 | 0.22 | 0.25 | 0 | no |
| San Simeon Creek Bridges | 2006 | 0.3 | 1.8 | 0.4 | 0.25 | yes* |
| San Luis Bay Drive | 2005 | 0 | 0.25 | 0.005 | 3 | no |
| Hollister Ave. Interchange | 2005 | 0 | 0.21 | 0 | 0.084 | no |
| Lone Tree Rd. Bridge | 2005 | 0.005 | 0.19 | 0.005 | 0.27 | no |
| Breaker Point CURE | 2004 | 0.06 | 0 | 0.006 | 0 | no |
| Jalama Creek Bridge | 2004 | 0 | 0 | 0.24 | 0 | yes* |
| Murphy Rd. Bridge | 2004 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.22 | no |
| Paulsen-Whiting Bridge | 2004 | 0 | 0.09 | 0.06 | 0.03 | no |
| Hollister Road Bridge | 2004 | 0.04 | 0.03 | 0.16 | 0.3 | yes(proposed) |
| Amesti Road Repair (lost funding) | 2003 | 0.04 | 0.03 | 0.16 | 0.323 | no |
| Main Street Bridge Replacement, Cambria | 2007 | 0.19 | 1.13 | .03 | 0.03 | yes* |
| Harmony Left turn lane | 2007 | 0.1 | 0.8 | 0.029 | 0.28 | no |
| Gilardi Road Bridge Replacement | 2009 | 0 | 0.1 | 0.035 | 0.333 | yes* |
| Los Osos Valley Road Widening | 2008 | 0.35 | 1.75 | 0.5 | 4.2 | yes* |
| California Coastal Trail Gaviota Segment | 2009 | 0 | 0.15 | 0 | 0.5 | yes* |
| Guadalupe Ditches Project | 2010 | 0 | 0 | 3.42 | 0 | no |

Appendix 1. Amount of California red-legged frog habitat anticipated to be permanently lost and temporarily disturbed.

*Construction not completed and project within March 17, 2010 critical habitat designation

| Project | Biological Opinion | Construction completed | Perm. Aquatic | Temp. Aquatic | Perm. Upland | Temp. Upland |
|--|--------------------|------------------------|---------------|---------------|---------------------------------|---------------|
| Picachio Road Bridge | 2006 | 2007 | Not reported | Not reported | Not reported | Not reported |
| Bob Jones Bike Path #3 | 2007 | 2008 | None reported | None reported | None reported | 0.138 |
| Chittendon Pass | 2006 | 2009 | None reported | Not reported | Not reported | Not reported |
| Harkin Slough Road over Struve slough | 2006 | 2008 | 0.004 | 0.61 | 0.44 | 0.71 |
| Harkin Slough Road over Watsonville Slough | 2004 | 2007 | 0.007 | 2.88 | 0 | 0 |
| Cienega Rd. Bridge | 2006 | 2007 | 0.032 | None reported | 0.404 | 0.159 |
| Pfiefer Big Sur Left Turn Lane | 2006 | 2009 | Not reported | Not reported | Not reported | Not reported |
| Lone Tree Rd. Bridge | 2005 | 2008 | 0.005 | 0.005 | 0.19 | None reported |
| Breaker Point CURE | 2004 | 2006 | 0.138 | 0.219 | (Included in acres of riparian) | 1.33 |
| Murphy Rd. Bridge | 2004 | 2006 | Not reported | Not reported | Not reported | Not reported |
| Paulsen-Whiting Bridge | 2004 | 2006 | Not reported | Not reported | Not reported | 0.3 |
| San Luis Bay Drive Bridge | 2005 | 2007 | 0.002 | 0.034 | 0.238 | 0.562 |
| Hollister Road Bridge | 2004 | 2009 | 0.033 | 0.15 | 0.20 | 0.12 |
| Harmony Left turn lane | 2007 | 2008 | 0.37 | .014 | 0.016 | 0.10 |
| San Benito River Bridge Seismic Retrofit | 2006 | 2007 | Not reported | Not reported | Not reported | Not reported |

Appendix 2. Amount of California red-legged frog habitat permanently lost and temporarily disturbed as a result of the completed project.

REFERENCES CITED

- Abel, P.D. 1974. Toxicity of synthetic detergents to fish and aquatic invertebrates. *Journal of Fish Biology* 6: 279-298.
- Bulger, J.B., N.J. Scott, Jr., and R.B. Seymour. 2003. Terrestrial activity and conservation of adult red-legged frogs *Rana aurora draytonii* in coastal forests and grasslands. *Biological Conservation* 110:85-95.
- Devine, M.D., Duke, S.O., and Fedtke, C. 1993. *Physiology of herbicide action*. Prentice Hall, Englewood Cliffs, NJ.
- Edington, A.N., Sheridan, P.M., Stephenson, G.R., Thompson, D.G., and Boermans, H.J. 2004. Comparative effects of ph and Vision herbicide on two life stages of four anuran amphibian species. *Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry*. 23(4)815-822.
- Extension Toxicology Network [EXTOXNET]. 1996. Glyphosate pesticide information profile. Available at: <http://extoxnet.orst.edu/pips/glyphosa.htm>. Accessed June 17, 2010.
- Federal Highway Administration. 2007. Letter from Gene Fong, Division Administrator, California Division, to Diane Noda, Field Supervisor, Ventura Fish and Wildlife Office regarding the California Department of Transportation's Delegation Federal authority pursuant the Section 6005 of the Safe, Accountable, Flexible, Efficient Transportation Equity Act: A Legacy for Users (SAFETEA-LU). Dated July 17, 2007. Sacramento, California.
- Fidenci, P. 2004. The California red-legged frog, *Rana aurora draytonii*, along the Arroyo Santo Domingo, Northern Baja California, Mexico. *The Herpetological Journal*, Volume 88. London, England.
- Folmar, L.C., H.O. Sanders, and A.M. Julin. 1979. Toxicity of the herbicide glyphosate and several of its formulations to fish and aquatic invertebrates. *Archives of Environmental Contamination and Toxicology* 8:269-278.
- Foreman, R.T.T., D. Sperling, J.A. Bissonette, A.P. Clevenger, C.D. Cutshall, V.H. Dale, L. Fahrig, R. France, C.R. Goldman, K. Heanue, J.A. Jones, F.J. Swanson, T. Turrentine, T.C. Winter. 2003. *Road ecology: science and solutions*. Island Press, Washington, Covelo, London. 481 pages. 2003. *Road Ecology*. Island Press. Washington D.C.
- Gisey, J.P., Dobson, S., and Solomon, K.R. 2000. Ecotoxicological risk assessment for Roundup herbicide. *Review of Environmental Contamination and Toxicology*. 167:35-120.

- Govindarajulu, P.P. 2008. Literature review of impacts of glyphosate herbicide on amphibians: What risks can the silvicultural use of this herbicide pose for amphibians in B.C.? Wildlife Report No. R-28. British Columbia, Ministry of Environment. Victoria, B.C. Grismer, L. 2002. Reptiles and amphibians of Baja California, Including its Pacific island and the islands in the Sea of Cortez. University of California Press, Berkeley and Los Angeles, California.
- Haller, W.T. and R.K. Stocker. 2003. Toxicity of 19 adjuvants to juvenile *Lepomis macrochirus* (bluegill sunfish). *Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry*. 22(3).
- Hayes, M.P. and M.M. Miyamoto. 1984. Biochemical, behavioral and body size differences between *Rana aurora aurora* and *R. a. draytonii*. *Copeia* 1984(4):1018-1022.
- Hayes, M.P. and M.R. Jennings. 1988. Habitat correlates of distribution of the California red-legged frog (*Rana aurora draytonii*) and the foothill yellow-legged frog (*Rana boylei*): Implications for management. Pp. 144-158. In *Proceedings of the symposium on the management of amphibians, reptiles, and small mammals in North America*. R. Sarzo, K.E. Severson, and D.R. Patton (technical coordinators). U.S.D.A. Forest Service General Technical Report RM-166.
- Hayes, M.P. and M.R. Tennant. 1985. Diet and feeding behavior of the California red-legged frog, *Rana aurora draytonii* (Ranidae). *The Southwestern Naturalist* 30(4):601-605.
- Helena Chemical Company. 2004. Technical data sheet No. AGDX080596, for Agri-Dex product.
- Howe, C.M., Berrill, M., Pauli, B.D., Helbing, C.C., Werry, K., Veldhoen, N. 2004. Toxicity of glyphosate-based pesticides to four North American frog species. *Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry*. 23(8):1928-1938.
- Jennings, M.R., and M.P. Hayes. 1985. Pre-1900 over harvest of California red-legged frogs (*Rana aurora draytonii*): The inducement for bullfrog (*Rana catesbeiana*) introduction. *Herpetologica* 31(1):94-103.
- Jennings, M.R., M.P. Hayes, and D.C. Holland. 1992. A petition to the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service to place the California red-legged frog (*Rana aurora draytonii*) and the western pond turtle (*Clemmys marmorata*) on the list of endangered and threatened wildlife and plants.
- Lajmanovich, R.C., Sandoval, M.T., Peltzer, P.M. 2003. Induction of Mortality and Malformation in *Scinax nasicus* tadpoles exposed to glyphosate formulations. *Bulletin of Environmental Contamination and Toxicology*. 70:612-618.

- Mann, R.M. and J.R. Bidwell. 1999. The toxicity of glyphosate and several glyphosate formulations to four species of southwestern Australian frogs. *Archives of Environmental Contamination and Toxicology*. 36:193-199.
- Monheit, S., J.R. Leavitt and J. Trumbo. 2004. The ecotoxicology of surfactants use with Glyphosate based herbicides. *Noxious Times*. Volume Number 6, Summer 2004.
- Relyea, R.A. and Jones, D.K. 2009. The toxicity of Roundup Original Max to 13 species of larval amphibians. *Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry*. 28(9)2004-2008.
- Smith, R. and D. Krofta. 2005. Field notes documenting the occurrence of California red-legged frogs in Baja California, Mexico. In litt.
- Stebbins, R.C. 1985. A field guide to western reptiles and amphibians. Houghton Mifflin Company, Boston, Massachusetts.
- Storer, T.I. 1925. A synopsis of the amphibia of California. *University of California Publications in Zoology* 27:1-342.
- U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. 1999. Recovery plan for the arroyo southwestern toad. Portland, Oregon.
- U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. 2002. Recovery plan for the California red-legged frog (*Rana aurora draytonii*). Portland, Oregon.
- U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. 2003. Programmatic biological opinion for projects funded or approved under the Federal Aid Program (HDA-CA, File #: Section 7 with Ventura USFWS, Document #: S38192) (1-8-02-F-68). Ventura, California.
- U.S. Forest Service. 1997. Glyphosate herbicide information profile. U.S. Forest Service Pacific Northwest Region.
- U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service and National Marine Fisheries Service. 1998. Endangered species consultation handbook - procedures for conducting consultation and conference activities under section 7 of the Endangered Species Act. U.S. Government printing office, Washington, D.C.
- Washington State Department of Ecology and Agriculture. 2004. Summary of aquatic acute toxicity data for five spray adjuvants, and NPDES permit no. WAG-99 3000.
- Wright, A.H. and A.A. Wright. 1949. Handbook of frogs and toads of the United States and Canada. Comstock Publishing Company, Inc., Ithaca, NY. xii + 640 pp.

PERSONAL COMMUNICATIONS

Ruggerone, G. 2007. Telephone conversation regarding the California Department of Transportation's exemption from the injunction of use of 66 pesticides (Center for Biological Diversity v. Johnson and Nastri). Dated April 9, 2007. Senior Environmental Planner. California Department of Transportation. San Luis Obispo, California.

Project Completion Report for Caltrans projects that may affect California red-legged frogs

Caltrans must ensure that this form is completed or that the requested information is provided in a written report upon completion of the project and restoration activities.

Mail completed form or report to: U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Ventura Fish and Wildlife Office, 2493 Portola Road, Suite B, Ventura, California 93003

| | |
|-------|---|
| 1. | Project title and location: |
| 2. | Project Completion Dates A. Construction: B: Restoration: |
| 3. | Type of actions that occurred: |
| 4. | |
| 5. | |
| 6. | |
| 7. | |
| 8. | |
| 9. | Habitat type and number of acres affected (e.g., upland, riparian) |
| 10. | |
| 11. | |
| 12. | |
| 13. | |
| 14. | |
| 15. | Linear feet of work in a stream: |
| 16. | How the site was restored and a description of the area after completion of the action: |
| 17. | |
| 18. | |
| 19. | |
| 20. | |
| 21. | |
| 22. | If no restoration occurred, the justification for not conducting this work: |
| 23. | |
| 24. | |
| 25. | |
| 26. | |
| 27. | |
| 28. | Which measures were employed to protect California red-legged frogs: |
| 29. | |
| 30. | |
| 31. | |
| 32. | |
| 33. | |
| 34. | The number of California red-legged frogs taken and the form of take: |
| 35. | |
| 36. | |
| 37. | |
| 38. | |
| 39. | |
| I. | The number of California red-legged frogs removed from work areas to nearby undisturbed habitat and the location of that habitat: |
| II. | |
| III. | |
| IV. | |
| V. | |
| VI. | Recommendations of any modifications to future measures to enhance protection of the California red-legged frog while simplifying compliance with the Endangered Species Act: |
| VII. | |
| VIII. | |
| IX. | |

The Declining Amphibian Populations Task Force Fieldwork Code of Practice

1. Remove mud, snails, algae, and other debris from nets, traps, boots, vehicle tires, and all other surfaces. Rinse cleaned items with sterilized (e.g., boiled or treated) water before leaving each study site.
2. Scrub boots, nets, traps, and other types of equipment used in the aquatic environment with 70 percent ethanol solution or a bleach solution of one-half to one cup of bleach in one gallon of water and rinse clean with sterilized water between study sites. Avoid cleaning equipment in the immediate vicinity of a pond, wetland, or riparian area.
3. In remote locations, clean all equipment with 70 percent ethanol or a bleach solution, and rinse with sterile water upon return to the lab or a "base camp." Elsewhere, when laundry facilities are available, remove nets from poles and wash (in a protective mesh laundry bag) with bleach on a "delicate" cycle.
4. When working at sites with known or suspected disease problems, or when sampling populations of rare or isolated species, wear disposable vinyl¹ gloves and change them between handling each animal. Dedicate separate sets of nets, boots, traps, and other equipment to each site being visited. Clean and store them separately at the end of each field day.
5. Safely dispose of used cleaning materials and fluids. Do not dispose of cleaning materials and fluids in or near ponds, wetland, and riparian areas; if necessary, return them to the lab for proper disposal. Safely dispose of used disposable gloves in sealed bags.
6. When amphibians are collected, ensure the separation of animals from different sites and take great care to avoid indirect contact (e.g., via handling or reuse of containers) between them or with other captive animals. Do not expose animals to unsterilized vegetation or soils which have been taken from other sites. Always use disinfected and disposable husbandry equipment.
7. If a dead amphibian is found, place it in a sealable plastic bag and refrigerate (do not freeze). If any captured live amphibians appear unhealthy, retain each animal in a separate plastic container that allows air circulation and provides a moist environment from a damp sponge or sphagnum moss. For each collection of live or dead animals, record the date and time collected, location of collection, name of collector, condition of animal upon collection, and any other relevant environmental conditions observed at the time of collection. Immediately contact the Ventura Fish and Wildlife Office at (805) 644-1766 for further instructions.

The Fieldwork Code of Practice has been produced by the Declining Amphibian Populations Task Force with valuable assistance from Begona Arano, Andrew Cunningham, Tom Langton, Jamie Reaser, and Stan Sessions.

For further information on this Code, or on the Declining Amphibian Populations Task Force, contact John Wilkinson, Biology Department, the Open University, Walton Hall, Milton Keynes, MK7 6AA, UK. Email: DAPTF@open.ac.uk. Fax: +44 (0) 1908-65416

¹ Do not use latex gloves. Latex is toxic to amphibians.