FOURTH RENEWED MEMORANDUM OF UNDERSTANDING

Between the

Federal Highway Administration, California Division

and the

California Department of Transportation

State Assumption of Responsibility for Categorical Exclusions

THIS FOURTH RENEWED MEMORANDUM OF UNDERSTANDING ("MOU") made and entered into May 31, 2016 by and between the FEDERAL HIGHWAY ADMINISTRATION, UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION ("FHWA") and the STATE of California, acting by and through its DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION ("State"), hereby provides as follows:

WITNESSETH

Whereas, Section 326 of amended Chapter 3 of Title 23, United States Code (23 U.S.C. § 326) allows the Secretary of the United States Department of Transportation (DOT Secretary), to assign, and a State to assume, responsibility for determining whether certain designated activities are included within classes of action that are categorically excluded from requirements for environmental assessments or environmental impact statements pursuant to regulations promulgated by the Council on Environmental Quality under part 1500 of title 40, Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) (as in effect on October 1, 2003); and

Whereas, if a State assumes such responsibility for making categorical exclusion (CE) determinations under the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969, 42 U.S.C. § 4321 et seq. (NEPA), the DOT Secretary also may assign and the State may assume all or part of certain Federal responsibilities for environmental review, consultation, or other related actions required; and

Whereas, on June 7, 2007, the FHWA and the State executed a MOU assigning responsibilities to the State pursuant to 23 U.S.C. 326 for a three-year period, expiring on June 7, 2010 ("Original MOU"); and

Whereas, on June 7, 2010, the FHWA and the State executed a new MOU assigning responsibilities to the State pursuant to 23 U.S.C. 326 for an additional three-year period, expiring on June 7, 2013 ("Renewed MOU"); and

Whereas, on June 7, 2013, the FHWA and the State executed a new MOU assigning responsibilities to the State pursuant to 23 U.S.C. 326 for an additional three-year period, expiring on June 7, 2016 ("Second Renewed MOU"); and

Whereas, on July 6, 2012, the Moving Ahead for Progress in the 21st Century Act (P.L.112-141) was enacted, and it became effective on October 1, 2012; and

Whereas, Section 1312 of MAP-21 amended 23 U.S.C. § 326 to (1) preserve the ability of States assuming responsibility to engage in project delivery methods that are otherwise permissible for highway projects; (2) allow States to terminate their participation in the program at any time by providing the DOT Secretary with a notice no later than 90 days before such termination; and (3) allow States that assume DOT responsibilities to use funds
apportioned to the State under section 23 U.S.C. § 104(b)(2) for reasonable attorney’s fees directly attributable to eligible activities associated with the project; and

Whereas, Section 1307 of the Fixing America’s Surface Transportation (FAST) Act (P.L. 114-94) amended 23 U.S.C. § 326 to allow for FHWA to terminate a State’s participation in this program if FHWA provides the State a notification of non-compliance, and a period of not less than 120 days to take corrective action as FHWA determines necessary, and if the state fails to take satisfactory corrective action as determined by FHWA; and

Whereas, FHWA and the State seek to extend the existing assignment of responsibilities to the State for an additional three-year period, pursuant to a new MOU (“Third Renewed MOU” or “MOU”); and

Whereas, on April 11, 2016, the FHWA published a notice of the availability of the proposed Fourth Renewed MOU in the Federal Register and provided a thirty (30) day opportunity for comment in the USDOT Docket Management System FHWA-2016-0007; and

Whereas, on April 11, 2016, the State published the proposed notice of availability of the Fourth Renewed MOU on its website at http://www.dot.ca.gov/hq/enc/nepa/ and provided a 30-day opportunity for comment; and

Whereas, the State and the FHWA have considered the comments received on the Fourth Renewed MOU; and

Whereas, the DOT Secretary, acting by and through the FHWA, has determined that specific designated activities are CEs and that it will assign specific responsibilities with respect to CEs to the State in accordance with this MOU; and

Whereas, the State wishes to assume such Federal agency responsibilities in accordance with this MOU and applicable law;

Now, therefore, the FHWA and the State agree as follows:

STIPULATIONS

I. CATEGORICAL EXCLUSION RESPONSIBILITIES ASSIGNED TO THE STATE BY THE FHWA

A. For the projects covered by this MOU, the FHWA hereby assigns, and the State hereby assumes, subject to the terms and conditions set forth in 23 U.S.C. § 326 and this MOU, the responsibility for determining whether a proposed Federal-aid action is within a category of action that has been designated as a CE by the DOT Secretary, as specified in Stipulation I(B), and meets the definition of a CE as provided in 40 CFR 1508.4 (as in effect on October 1, 2003) and 23 CFR 771.117(a) and (b). This assignment applies only to projects for which the California Department of Transportation (Caltrans) is the direct recipient of Federal-aid highway program funding or is the project sponsor or co-sponsor for a project requiring approval by the FHWA-California Div
ision Office. This assignment does not apply to responsibilities carried out by other modal administrations of the U.S. Department of Transportation (USDOT) or the Office of the Secretary.

B. This assignment pertains only to the designated activities described in this Stipulation I(B).

1. The assignment includes the following:
   a. Activities listed in 23 CFR 771.117(c);
   b. The example activities listed in 23 CFR 771.117(d); and
   c. Additional actions listed in Appendix A.

2. Any activities added through FHWA rulemaking to those listed in 23 CFR 771.117(c) or example activities listed in 23 CFR 771.117(d) after the date of the execution of this MOU.

C. This MOU transfers to the State all responsibility for processing the CEs designated in Stipulation I(B) of this MOU, including any necessary CE approval actions. The State shall process all proposed projects that are CE candidates (CE projects), and any required reevaluations of CEs under 23 CFR 771.129 for all CE projects not completed prior to the date of this MOU, in accordance with the provisions of this MOU. With respect to matters covered by and subject to the terms of this MOU, this MOU supersedes any existing programmatic agreement that is solely between the State and the FHWA concerning CEs.

D. The State, when acting pursuant to 23 U.S.C. § 326 and this MOU, holds assigned authority to make environmental decisions and commitments pertaining to only the individual projects and activities within the scope of 23 U.S.C. § 326 and this MOU. No action by the State shall bind the FHWA to future action of any kind. No determination or agreement made by the State with respect to mitigation or other activities shall constitute a precedent for future determinations, agreements, or actions in the Federal-aid highway program unless the FHWA consents, in writing, to such commitment.

II. OTHER FHWA RESPONSIBILITIES ASSIGNED TO THE STATE AND RESPONSIBILITIES RESERVED BY THE FHWA

A. For projects covered by this MOU, the FHWA hereby assigns, and the State hereby assumes, the following FHWA responsibilities for environmental review, consultation, or other related actions required under Federal laws and Executive Orders applicable to CE projects: See Appendix B for a description of the environmental responsibilities assigned to the State by the FHWA for projects subject to this MOU.

B. The FHWA reserves any responsibility for any environmental review, consultation, or other related action that is not expressly assigned under this MOU, including:
1. All government-to-government consultation with Indian tribes as defined in 36 CFR 800.16(m). Notice from the State to an Indian tribe advising the Indian tribe of a proposed activity is not considered “government-to-government consultation” within the meaning of this MOU. If the State adequately resolves any project-specific Indian tribe issues or concerns, then FHWA’s role in the environmental process shall be limited to carrying out the government-to-government consultation process. If the FHWA determines through consultation with an Indian tribe, or an Indian tribe indicates to the FHWA, that the proposed resolution of tribal issues or concerns by the State is not adequate, then Stipulation III(C) applies. This MOU is not intended to abrogate, or prevent future entry into, any written agreement among the State, the FHWA, and an Indian tribe under which the tribe agrees to permit the State to administer government-to-government consultation activities for the FHWA. However, such agreements are administrative in nature and do not relieve the FHWA of its legal responsibility for government-to-government consultation.

2. In connection with the execution of their respective responsibilities under this MOU, the State and the FHWA will ensure that:

   a. The State provides to the FHWA any information necessary in order for the FHWA to carry out its consultation, evaluation, or decision-making for Stipulation II(B) activities;

   b. The FHWA provides the State with a documented decision and any related information used for Stipulation II(B) decisions and needed by the State in order for the State to evaluate the project and make its decision whether the project qualifies as a CE; and

   c. As part of any request for FHWA authorization for funding or other action, the State will provide to the FHWA evidence that the CE processing and any other environmental responsibilities assigned under this agreement have been completed in accordance with this MOU.

C. The State agrees that its execution of environmental review, reevaluation, consultation, and other related responsibilities for CEs assigned under this MOU are subject to the same existing and future procedural and substantive requirements as if those responsibilities were carried out by the FHWA. This includes, but is not limited to the responsibilities of the FHWA under interagency agreements such as programmatic agreements, memoranda of understanding, memoranda of agreement, and other similar documents that relate to the environmental review process for CE projects. If such interagency agreements are between the State and the FHWA only, then the assignment occurs automatically upon the signing of this MOU for projects covered by this MOU. If the interagency agreement involves signatories other than the FHWA and the State, then the FHWA and the State will work to obtain any necessary consents or amendments. Such actions include:
1. Consulting with the other parties to obtain written consent to the continuation of the interagency agreement in its existing form, but with the substitution through assignment of the State for FHWA with respect to interagency agreement provisions applicable to CE projects;

2. Negotiating with the other parties to amend the interagency agreement as needed so that the interagency agreement continues but that the State assumes FHWA’s responsibilities with respect to CE projects.

3. If a third party does not agree to the assignment or amendment of the interagency agreement, then to the extent permitted by applicable law and regulation, the State must carry out the assigned environmental review, consultation, or other related activity in accordance with applicable laws and regulations but without the benefit of the provisions of the interagency agreement.

D. The State shall carry out the assigned consultation, review and coordination activities in a timely and proactive manner. The State shall make all reasonable and good faith efforts to identify and resolve conflicts with Federal agencies, State and local agencies, Indian tribes as defined in 36 CFR 800.16(m), and the public during the consultation and review process.

III. ACTIONS, CONDITIONS, OR DETERMINATIONS THAT EXCLUDE DESIGNATED ACTIVITIES FROM ASSIGNMENT OF RESPONSIBILITIES

A. Notwithstanding any other provision of this MOU, any activity that does not satisfy the criteria for the CE categories described in Stipulation I(B) is excluded from this assignment. Exclusion also may occur at any time during the environmental process if the State determines that the project fails to meet the CE criteria.

B. Because the State assumes responsibility for environmental processing of the CEs designated in this MOU, the FHWA no longer will be responsible for conducting the environmental review, consultation or other related actions assigned under this MOU (see Stipulation XI). However, in furtherance of its stewardship and oversight responsibilities, the FHWA will evaluate the State’s environmental processing of any project if the FHWA has any reason to believe that the State’s performance with respect to the project does not satisfy the terms and conditions of this MOU. The scope of the evaluation will be commensurate with the potential problem. If the FHWA subsequently determines that the State’s performance does not satisfy the terms and conditions of this MOU, then the FHWA will take action to resolve the problem. Such action may include action to facilitate the State’s compliance with the MOU, or action to exclude the project from assignment under this MOU. The provisions of Stipulation X(A)-X(E) apply to such FHWA-initiated exclusion.

C. If a project-related concern or issue is raised in the coordination of project review with an Indian tribe, as defined in 36 CFR 800.16(m), and either the Indian tribe or the FHWA determines that the concern or issue will not be satisfactorily resolved, then the FHWA shall reassume responsibility for processing that
project. The FHWA shall notify the State that the project will be excluded from this MOU. The provisions of Stipulation X(A)-X(E) apply to such FHWA-initiated exclusion.

IV. STATE PERFORMANCE REQUIREMENTS

A. Compliance with governing laws, regulations and MOU. The State shall make all determinations under this MOU in accordance with 23 CFR 771.117(a) and (b) and succeeding regulations. All actions by the State in carrying out its responsibilities under this MOU shall comply with, and be consistent with, the coordination provisions of Stipulation II and all applicable Federal laws, regulations, Executive Orders, policies, and guidance. The State also shall comply with State and local laws to the extent applicable.

1. Failure to meet the requirements of Stipulation IV(A) is grounds for a decision by the FHWA to terminate this MOU pursuant to Stipulation IX(A) if the FHWA determines, after good-faith consultation with the State, that there is an irreconcilable material conflict between a provision of State law, regulation, policy, or guidance and applicable Federal law, regulation, policy, or guidance, and the FHWA reasonably determines that such conflict is preventing the State from meeting its Stipulation IV(A) obligations. The grounds for such decision may include, but are not limited to, the mere existence of the conflict (i.e., on its face) and/or the effect of the conflict on the State’s decision(s) on CE project(s) (i.e., as applied).

2. Official DOT and FHWA formal guidance and policies relating to environmental review matters are posted online at FHWA’s website or sent to the State electronically or in hard copy.

3. After the effective date of this MOU, the FHWA will use its best efforts to ensure that any new or revised FHWA policies and guidance that are final and applicable to the State’s performance under this MOU are communicated to the State within 10 days of issuance. Delivery may be accomplished by e-mail, mail, by publication in the Federal Register, or by means of a publicly available online posting including at the sites noted above. If communicated to the State by e-mail or mail, such material may be sent either to the party specified in this MOU to receive notices, or to the Chief of Caltrans Division of Environmental Analysis.

4. In the event that a new or revised FHWA policy or guidance is not made available to the State as described in the preceding paragraph, and if the State had no actual knowledge of such policy or guidance, then a failure by the State to comply with such Federal policy or guidance will not be a basis for termination under this MOU.

5. The State will work with all other appropriate Federal agencies concerning the laws, guidance, and policies relating to any Federal laws that such other agencies administer.
6. In order to minimize the likelihood of a conflict as described in Stipulation IV(A)(1) above, after the effective date of this MOU the State will use its best efforts to ensure that any proposed new or revised State laws, regulations, policies, or guidance that are applicable to the State’s performance under this MOU are communicated to the FHWA for review and comment before they become final. Delivery may be accomplished by e-mail, mail, or personal delivery. If communicated to the FHWA by e-mail or mail, such material may be sent to the party specified in this MOU to receive notices for the FHWA.

B. Processing projects assigned under this MOU -- State identification, documentation, and review of effects. For projects and other activities assigned under Stipulation I(A)-(B) that the State determines are included in the classes of CE assigned to the State under this MOU, the State shall:

1. Maintain the process to identify and review the environmental effects of the project.

2. Carry out a review of CE determinations for CEs, including those designated in 23 CFR 771.117(c), and consider the environmental analysis and project file documentation, prior to the State’s approval of the CE determination. The CE decision, for all of the assigned categories, will be approved by the Department’s District Environmental Office Chief (DEOC) or Senior Environmental Planner (SEP). The approval by the DEOC or SEP represents the quality control review of the documentation and proposed determination.

3. Carry out the other environmental responsibilities that are assigned under this MOU, as necessary or appropriate for the activity.

4. Document its approval of the determination, specifying the assigned CE that applies to the project and including, at a minimum, the printed name, title, and date of the State official approving the determination. Signature authority for the CE determination form will not be delegated below the Senior Environmental Planner classification.

5. Include the following determination statement when documenting the CE findings:

“The State has determined that this project has no significant impacts on the environment as defined by NEPA, and that there are no unusual circumstances as described in 23 CFR 771.117(b). As such, the project is categorically excluded from the requirements to prepare an environmental assessment or environmental impact statement under the National Environmental Policy Act. The State has been assigned, and hereby certifies that it has carried out the responsibility to make this determination pursuant to Chapter 3 of Title 23, United States Code, Section 326 and a Memorandum of Understanding dated May 31, 2016, executed between the FWHA and the State.”

6. Document in the project file the specific categorically excluded activity; the CE findings, including the determination that the project has no significant
impact(s) on the environment; that there are no unusual circumstances (23 CFR771.117(b)); and that all applicable FHWA responsibilities assigned under Stipulations I and II have been completed.

C. Required State resources, qualifications, expertise, standards, and training.

1. The State must maintain adequate organizational and staff capability and expertise to effectively carry out the responsibilities assigned to it under this MOU. This includes, without limitation:

   a. Using appropriate technical and managerial expertise to perform the functions required under this MOU and applicable laws, regulations, policy, and guidance;

   b. Devoting adequate financial and staff resources to carry out the responsibilities assumed by the State; and

   c. Demonstrating, in a consistent manner, the capacity to perform the State's responsibilities under the MOU and applicable Federal law.

2. The State agrees that it shall maintain on its staff or through consultant services all of the environmental and other technical expertise needed to carry out its responsibilities under this MOU and 23 U.S.C. § 326. Without limiting the foregoing, when carrying out the requirements of Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act, as amended, the State shall comply with 36 CFR 800.2(a)(1). All actions that involve the identification, evaluation, analysis, recording, treatment, monitoring, or disposition of historic properties, or that involve the reporting or documentation of such actions in the form of reports, forms, or other records, shall be carried out by or under the direct supervision of a person or persons who meet the Secretary of the Interior's Professional Qualifications Standards (published at 48 FR 44738-44739). The State shall ensure that all documentation required under 36 CFR 800.11 is reviewed and approved by a staff member or consultant who meets the Professional Qualifications Standards.

D. State quality control.

1. The State agrees to carry out regular quality control activities to ensure that its CE determinations are made in accordance with applicable law and this MOU (to view the State's environmental policy and manual, visit http://www.dot.ca.gov/ser).

2. At a minimum, the State shall monitor its processes relating to project determinations, environmental analysis, and project file documentation, and check for errors and omissions. The State shall take corrective action as needed. The State shall document its quality control activities and any needed corrective actions taken.
3. If the State implements training to meet the capability requirements of this MOU or as a corrective action, the State shall be responsible for the training. The State shall provide notice of the training to the FHWA.


E. MOU performance monitoring and quality assurance. The FHWA and the State shall cooperate in monitoring performance under this MOU and each party shall modify its practices as needed to assure quality performance by the State and the FHWA. Monitoring will include consideration of the technical competency and organizational capacity of the State, as well as the State’s performance of its CE processing functions. Performance considerations will include, without limitation, the quality and consistency of the State’s project determinations, adequacy and capability of the resources applied by the State, and the quality and consistency of the State’s administration of its responsibilities under this MOU.

In support of the monitoring efforts:

1. The State shall annually submit to the FHWA a list of the CE determinations and Section 4(f) determinations that the State approved during the previous 12 months), within 15 business days after the end of each annual reporting period.

2. The State, at its discretion, may develop a voluntary self-assessment report summarizing its performance under this MOU. The report will identify any areas where improvement is needed and what measures the State is taking to implement those improvements. The report will include actions taken by the State as part of its quality control efforts under stipulation IV(D). Following submission of the report to the FHWA (electronically or in hard copy, the State shall schedule a follow-up meeting with the FHWA at which the parties will discuss the report, the State’s performance of this MOU, and the FHWA’s monitoring activities.

3. The State shall maintain electronic or paper project records and general administrative records pertaining to its MOU responsibilities and the projects processed hereunder. The records shall be available for inspection by the FHWA at any time during normal business hours. The State shall provide the FHWA with copies of any documents the FHWA may request. The State shall retain those records, including all letters and comments received from governmental agencies, the public, and others about the performance of activities assigned under this MOU, for a period of no less than 3 years after completion of project construction. This 3-year retention provision does not relieve the State of its project or program recordkeeping responsibilities under 49 CFR 18.42 or any other applicable laws, regulations, or policies.

4. The State shall ensure that project records are available to the public consistent with requirements applicable to Federal agencies under 5 U.S.C.
§ 552 (the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA), as amended in 2002) and
NEPA.

5. The FHWA and the State shall review the State’s records and may interview
State staff to evaluate the State’s performance under this MOU. Such reviews
will occur no sooner than the 19th month and no later than the 31st month of
the MOU. The primary purposes of the monitoring reviews will be to
independently verify the State’s compliance with the requirements of this
MOU and to document the State’s levels of achievement with respect to the
performance areas stated in this MOU. The FHWA anticipates that, under
normal circumstances, its evaluation of the State’s performance will be based
on a modified version of a typical FHWA CE process review (to view FHWA
guidance on how monitoring should occur visit
http://www.fhwa.dot.gov/hep/guidance/6004stateassumpt.cfm. The FHWA is
responsible for producing the report as an outcome of the review.
Modifications to the CE process review will include incorporation of
measures specific to the responsibilities assigned to the State pursuant to 23
U.S.C. 326 and will include performance measurements of quality and time.
However, the FHWA reserves the right to determine in its sole discretion the
frequency, scope, and procedures used for monitoring activities. The State, by
its execution of this MOU, acknowledges that it is familiar with the FHWA
CE Process Review procedures and with the expected modifications to the
procedures that will be adopted for the purpose of monitoring the State’s
MOU performance.

6. Nothing in this Stipulation shall prevent the FHWA from undertaking other
monitoring actions, including audits, with respect to the State’s performance
of the MOU. The FHWA, in its sole discretion, may require the State to
perform such other quality assurance activities, including other types of
monitoring, as may be reasonably required to ensure compliance with this
Such requirement shall not be deemed an amendment under Stipulation VIII.

7. The State agrees to cooperate with the FHWA in all quality assurance
activities.

F. State liability. The State agrees that it is solely responsible and solely liable for
complying with and carrying out this MOU, for the performance of all assigned
responsibilities as provided by applicable law, and for any decisions, actions, or
approvals by the State. The FHWA shall have no responsibility or liability for the
performance of responsibilities assigned to the State, including without limitation
any decision or approval made by the State pursuant to an assignment under 23
U.S.C. 326. Where the State takes any subsequent substantive action under
authority assigned in accordance with 23 U.S.C. 326, on a project which the
FHWA determined to be a CE prior to June 7, 2007, the State assumes sole
NEPA responsibility and liability for any subsequent substantive action it takes
on that project.
G. Litigation. This section assumes that the FHWA will not be named as a party in litigation brought in connection with the State's discharge of its responsibilities under this MOU. If either the FHWA or another agency of the United States is named in such litigation, however, nothing in this MOU affects the authority of the U. S. Department of Justice (DOJ) to litigate such claims, including the authority to approve a settlement, on behalf of the United States.

1. Except as provided in 23 U.S.C. 326(f), the State shall defend, at its own expense, all claims brought in connection with its discharge of any responsibility assigned to the State. In the event that the FHWA or any other Federal agency is named in litigation related to matters under this MOU, the State agrees to coordinate with the DOJ in the defense of that action.

2. The State shall notify the FHWA of any notice of claim the State receives prior to initiation of litigation, which notice is given in connection with the State’s acts or omissions pursuant to this MOU. The State shall provide the FHWA with a copy of any such notice of claim within 5 business days after the State's receipt of the notice.

3. In the event of litigation, the State shall provide qualified legal counsel, including outside counsel if necessary. The State will notify the FHWA’s Division Office and the U. S. Department of Justice, through its Office of the Assistant Attorney General, Environment and Natural Resources Division, within 5 business days of the receipt of service of process of any complaint concerning its discharge of any responsibility assumed under this MOU. The State’s notification to the FHWA and DOJ shall be made prior to its response to the complaint. The State agrees to provide the FHWA’s Division Office and the DOJ with copies of the complaint and any motions, pleadings, briefs, and other such documents filed in any case concerning its discharge of any responsibility assumed under this MOU. The State will deliver such copies to the FHWA and the DOJ via express mail or delivery service within 5 business days of the service or receipt of any document or, in the case of any documents filed by or on behalf of the State within 5 business days of the date of filing.

4. For all cases, the State agrees to insert the following email addresses for FHWA at FHWA_assignment_lit@dot.gov and for DOJ at efile_nrs.enrd@usdoj.gov to the distribution list in the court’s electronic filing system (e.g., PACER) so that FHWA and DOJ may receive electronic copies of any motions, pleadings, briefs, and other such documents filed in any action concerning the State’s discharge of any responsibility assumed under this MOU.

5. The State agrees to consult with the FHWA and DOJ prior to filing any dispositive motion in any litigation arising out of or relating to the State’s discharge of any responsibility under this MOU. Caltrans will notify the FHWA’s California Division Office and DOJ prior to settling any action, or potential action, and shall provide the FHWA and DOJ with a reasonable amount of time (at least ten (10) calendar days) to review and comment on the
proposed settlement. Caltrans agrees not to enter into any settlement agreement without prior FHWA and DOJ consent if either the FHWA or DOJ notify Caltrans that such settlement agreement may affect the interpretation or application of any Federal law or will have broad precedent setting implications.

6. For either litigation or pre-litigation settlement agreements, the lack of FHWA approval of such settlement is grounds for FHWA denial of Federal-aid eligibility for any State claims for reimbursement of costs arising out of or relating to the settlement agreement.

7. The State hereby consents to intervention by the FHWA in any action or proceeding arising out of or relating to the State’s discharge of any responsibility assigned to the State under this MOU.

8. If the FHWA re-assumes responsibility for processing a project and makes the final CE determination for the project, then the FHWA shall be responsible for defending that CE determination in the event of a challenge to that determination, including the final CE determination. Nothing in this paragraph shall relieve the State of its liability for acts or omissions prior to the FHWA’s re-assumption of responsibility for processing the project.

9. Within seven (7) calendar days of receipt by Caltrans, Caltrans will provide notice to FHWA’s Division Office and DOJ of any court decision on the merits, judgment, and notice of appeal arising out of or relating to the responsibilities Caltrans has assumed under this MOU. If Caltrans intends to appeal a court decision, Caltrans shall notify FHWA’s Division Office and DOJ and provide FHWA and DOJ twenty (20) calendar days to comment on Caltrans’ intention to appeal. If either FHWA or DOJ objects in writing to Caltrans’ intention to appeal any aspect of an adverse court decision before the 20-day deadline, then Caltrans will not file an appeal of such aspect. If neither FHWA nor DOJ objects in writing to Caltrans’ intention to appeal before the 20-day deadline, then Caltrans may file the notice of appeal. However, Caltrans agrees to withdraw its appeal of any aspect of an adverse court decision if FHWA and DOJ provide a written objection to the appeal of that aspect within thirty (30) days of the filing of the notice of appeal.

H. Federal Register. While the MOU is in effect, if any CE project or program documents are required to be published in the Federal Register, such as a notice of final agency action under 23 U.S.C. § 139(l), the State shall transmit such document to the FHWA’s Division Office and the FHWA will publish such document in the Federal Register on behalf of the State.

I. Participation in Resource Agency Reports. The State agrees to provide data and information requested by the FHWA Office of Project Development and Environmental Review and resource agencies for the preparation of national reports to the extent that the information relates to determinations, findings, and proceedings associated with projects processed under this MOU. Such reports include but are not limited to:
1. Archeology Report requested by the National Park Service;

2. Endangered Species Act Expenditure Reports requested by the United States Fish and Wildlife Service and the National Marine Fisheries Service;

3. NEPA Litigation Reports requested by the Council on Environmental Quality; and

4. Environmental Conflict Resolution reports requested by the Council on Environmental Quality.

V. STATE CERTIFICATIONS AND ACCEPTANCE OF JURISDICTION

A. The State hereby certifies that it has the necessary legal authority and the capacity to:

1. Accept the assignment under this MOU;

2. Carry out all of the responsibilities assigned to the State; and

3. Agree to and perform all terms and conditions of the assignment as contained in this MOU and in 23 U.S.C. 326.

B. The State consents to and accepts the jurisdiction of the Federal courts for the compliance, discharge, and enforcement of any responsibility of the USDOT Secretary that the State assumes under this MOU pursuant to 23 U.S.C. 326. The State understands and agrees that this consent constitutes a waiver of the State’s immunity under the Eleventh Amendment to the U.S. Constitution for the limited purposes of addressing the compliance, discharge and enforcement of the USDOT Secretary’s responsibilities that the State assumes under this MOU pursuant to 23 U.S.C. 326. This consent to Federal court jurisdiction shall remain valid after termination of this MOU, or re-assumption of the USDOT Secretary’s responsibilities by the FHWA, for any act or omission by the State relating to its compliance, discharge, or enforcement of any responsibility under this MOU pursuant to 23 U.S.C. 326.

1. A valid, binding, and sufficient waiver of the State’s sovereign immunity must be in effect at all times that the State acts under the authority of this MOU. As provided for in the California Streets and Highways Code Section 820.1, the State’s present waiver of its immunity under the Eleventh Amendment sunsets on December 31, 2016. Affirmative action by the State Legislature, extending the Eleventh Amendment waiver, will be necessary to avoid the sunset date. If a new or extended waiver covering the remainder of the term of this MOU is not in effect by December 31, 2016, then the State’s authority to participate in this MOU will end on January 1, 2017, and this MOU will terminate automatically subject to applicable survival and transitional provisions of this MOU. The provisions of Stipulation X(A)(1)-(3) will not apply.
2. If no new or extended waiver has been enacted by August 31, 2016, the FHWA and the State shall begin preparations to transition the responsibilities that the State has assumed under this MOU back to the FHWA.

C. In accordance with 23 U.S.C. 326(e), the State agrees that it shall be deemed to be a Federal agency for the purposes of the Federal law(s) under which the State exercises any responsibilities pursuant to this MOU and 23 U.S.C. 326.

D. The State may not assign or delegate its rights or responsibilities under this MOU to any other agency, political subdivision, or entity, or to any private individual or entity. Without limiting the foregoing, the State understands and agrees that it must retain the environmental decision-making responsibilities assigned to it under this MOU and may not assign or delegate such decision-making responsibilities to consultants or others.

E. With respect to the public availability of any document or record under the terms of this MOU or the State's open records law, California Government Code § 6250 et seq., the State certifies that the laws of the State provide that any decision regarding the release or public availability of a document or record may be legally challenged or reviewed in the courts of the State.

F. The State certifies that the persons signing this MOU are each duly authorized to do so and have the respective legal authority among them to:

1. Waive the State's Eleventh Amendment rights pursuant to the authority in California Streets and Highways Code § 820.1(a-f);

2. Consent to Federal court jurisdiction as specified above;

3. Enter into this MOU on behalf of the State;

4. Make the certifications set forth in this MOU; and

5. Bind the State to the terms and conditions contained in this MOU.

The Caltrans' Chief Counsel, pursuant to California Streets and Highways Code § 138, by signing this MOU certifies that the foregoing is true and that upon execution of this MOU the certifications, terms, and conditions of this MOU will be legally binding and enforceable obligations of the State.

VI. PUBLIC NOTICE AND COMMENT

A. The execution of this MOU, and of any amendment or renewal, requires prior public notice and an opportunity for comment.

B. The State shall publish notice of the availability of this MOU, and any proposed amendment or renewal, for public review and comment and information regarding access to the USDOT Docket Management System on its website.

C. The FHWA Division Office shall publish in the Federal Register a notice of availability of this MOU and any proposed amendment or renewal of this MOU,
for public review and a thirty (30) day comment period. The notice will expressly request comments on any types of activities proposed for assignment under Stipulation I(B), and will include a statement of the public availability of supporting documentation for any assignment under Stipulation I(B). The notice also must advise the public about how to learn about the FHWA’s final decision on the proposed MOU, including how to obtain a copy of any resulting final MOU. The FHWA will establish a docket in the USDOT Docket Management System to receive comments.

D. The State and the FHWA shall consider comments provided by the respondents to the public notices before finalizing the MOU, or any proposed amendment or renewal agreement. Upon completion of the decision-making process, the FHWA shall publish a notice in the Federal Register that announces the agency’s decision and the execution of the MOU. The notice also will inform the public of the availability in the USDOT Docket Management System of a brief summary of the results of the decision-making process and a copy of any final MOU executed by the State and the FHWA, whether initial, amended, or renewed. The notice also will advise where the final MOU is available on the State’s website.

E. The State agrees that at all times that this MOU is in effect, the State will post on its website (http://www.dot.ca.gov/hq/env/nepa/) a notice of the availability to the public, upon request, of copies of the State’s annual reports of CE determinations prepared pursuant to Stipulation IV(E)(1), the State’s performance reports prepared pursuant to Stipulation IV(E)(2), and the FHWA performance monitoring reports prepared pursuant to Stipulation IV(E)(5). The FHWA will arrange for the posting of a similar notice on the FHWA’s website or create a link from the FHWA’s site to the State’s website.

VII. TERM AND RENEWAL

A. This MOU shall have a term of three (3) years, beginning on the date of the last signature, assuming the waiver cited in Section V(B)(1) is extended to cover the three (3) year term or a new waiver is enacted. Otherwise, this MOU will terminate when the waiver expires.

B. This MOU is renewable in writing for additional terms of three (3) years each if the State requests renewal, the FHWA determines that the State has satisfactorily carried out the provisions of this MOU, and the necessary waiver extends to cover any additional three (3) year term(s). In considering any renewal of this MOU, the FHWA will evaluate the effectiveness of this MOU and its overall impact on the environmental review process. The FHWA may decide not to renew this MOU if the FHWA determines that the operation of this MOU has substantial adverse effects on the environmental review process. Such evaluation may include consideration of any effects from the assumption by the State of only some, but less than all, of the FHWA’s environmental review, consultation, or other related responsibilities as listed in Stipulation II.

At least eight (8) months prior to the end of the three (3) year term of this MOU, the State and the FHWA shall meet to discuss the results of the
monitoring and consider any amendments to this MOU. This meeting may be combined with a meeting to discuss performance under the monitoring provisions in Stipulation IV(E)(2) of this MOU.

C. If the parties do not renew this MOU, then it shall expire at the end of the term then in effect. The provisions of Stipulation X(A)(4) and X(C)-(E) shall apply.

VIII. AMENDMENTS

A. Any party to this MOU may request that it be amended, or administratively modified to reflect non-substantive changes, whereupon the parties shall consult to consider such an amendment. Public notice and comment is not required for the parties to agree to a technical non-substantive change.

B. If, after the required public notice and comment, the parties agree to amend the MOU, then the FHWA and the State may execute an amendment with new signatures and dates of the signatures. The term of the MOU shall remain unchanged unless otherwise expressly stated in the amended MOU. Any amendment that extends the term of the MOU shall be treated as a renewal and the FHWA must make the determinations required for a renewal under Stipulation VII.

IX. TERMINATION

A. Entire MOU. The State, or the State and the FHWA by mutual agreement, may terminate this MOU in its entirety.

1. The FHWA may terminate this MOU without the agreement of the State if:

   a. FHWA determines that the State has failed to adequately carry out the responsibilities assigned to the State in this MOU: and
   
   b. FHWA notifies the State of its determination of non-compliance; and
   
   c. Caltrans shall have a period of not less than 120 days to take such corrective action as FHWA determines to be necessary to comply with this MOU; and
   
   d. FHWA provides, on the request of the Governor, a detailed description of each responsibility in need of corrective action; and
   
   e. Caltrans fails to take satisfactory corrective action as determined by FHWA; and
   
   f. If, after the notification and the period to take corrective action Caltrans has failed to take satisfactory corrective action as determined by FHWA, FHWA provides Caltrans with a notice of termination.
2. The State may terminate its participation in the program by providing a written notice to the FHWA no later than 90 calendar days before the date of termination.

B. Part of MOU. By mutual agreement, the FHWA and the State may terminate the State’s responsibilities with respect to particular designated activities under Stipulation I, or with respect to one or more responsibilities assigned under Stipulation II. The FHWA may exercise such partial termination without the agreement of the State if the FHWA determines that the State has failed to adequately meet MOU requirements for the responsibilities in question, but that termination of the entire MOU is not warranted. The procedures in Stipulation X apply.

X. PROCEDURES FOR TERMINATION AND FHWA-INITIATED PROJECT EXCLUSIONS

A. Except as provided in Stipulation X(B) below, the process for termination under Stipulation IX(B), and for exclusion of a project from the MOU assignment by the FHWA under Stipulation III(B)-III(C), is as follows:

1. The party wishing to initiate the termination or exclusion shall provide to the other party a written notice of intent. The notice should identify the proposed action and explain the reason(s) for the proposed action.

2. Following the notice, the parties shall have a 30-day period during which the FHWA and the State shall consult on amendments or other actions that would avoid termination or exclusion. By agreement, the parties may extend this consultation period, provided that such extension may not exceed the term of the MOU.

3. Following the consultation period, any termination or exclusion by the FHWA shall be effective as of a date thirty (30) days after the date of either a post-consultation agreement between the State and the FHWA or the date of the State’s receipt of a FHWA notice of final determination of termination or exclusion. In the event of termination initiated by the State, the termination shall be effective 90 days after the date of FHWA’s receipt of the State’s termination notice. All responsibilities covered by the termination or exclusion shall revert to the FHWA as of that effective date.

4. In the event of termination or exclusion, the State and the FHWA agree to cooperate to make the transfer of responsibilities back to the FHWA effective in as orderly and administratively efficient manner as possible. The State will promptly provide the FHWA any documents, records and other project-related material needed for the FHWA to proceed with processing any affected project. Appropriate NEPA procedures, including those under any applicable CE agreement, shall apply to the subsequent processing of projects.

B. The FHWA, in its sole discretion, may exclude a project from this MOU pursuant to Stipulation III(B)-III(C) without the 30-day consultation or final notice periods, if the FHWA determines that:
1. The State is not performing in accordance with this assignment; and

2. Extreme conditions exist that justify immediate exclusion or termination and transfer back to the FHWA of the responsibilities covered by the exclusion or termination.

   In such cases, the FHWA shall notify the State in writing of its determination and action, and specify the reasons for the action.

C. The State's liability for its acts and omissions under this MOU, and the provisions of Stipulation V, shall survive the MOU. This survival clause includes, without limitation, the provisions of Stipulations IV(F)-IV(G) relating to liability and litigation.

D. Termination and exclusion actions, and any decision not to renew, do not require public notice and comment.

E. Termination or other action by the FHWA in accordance with the provisions of this MOU does not limit or otherwise affect the FHWA's ability to seek any other remedy or to take action under other provisions of applicable law, including without limitation any appropriate remedies as provided in 23 CFR1.36.

XI. STATE EXECUTION OF ASSIGNED RESPONSIBILITIES WITHOUT FHWA INVOLVEMENT

A. The FHWA will not provide any project-level assistance to the State in carrying out any of the responsibilities assigned under this MOU. “Project-level assistance” includes advice, consultation, or document review with respect to the discharge of such responsibility for a particular highway project. However, project-level assistance does not include discussions concerning issues addressed in prior projects, legal interpretations of any applicable law contained in titles 23 or 49 of the United States Code, legal interpretations of any FHWA or USDOT regulation, or interpretations of FHWA or USDOT policies or guidance. If a need for project-level assistance is identified as a result of the government-to-government consultation process described in Stipulation II(B)(1), then the FHWA shall reassume responsibility for the project as provided in Stipulation III(C).

B. The FHWA will not intervene, broker, act as intermediary, or be otherwise involved in any issue involving the State's consultation or coordination with another Federal, State, or local agency with respect to the State's discharge of any of the responsibilities the State has assumed under this MOU for any particular highway project. However, the FHWA holds both monitoring and quality assurance obligations under this MOU and general oversight and stewardship obligations under the Federal-aid Highway Program. In furtherance of those obligations, the FHWA occasionally may elect to attend meetings between the State and other Federal agencies. Prior to attending such meetings, the FHWA will make a reasonable and diligent effort to give the State notice. In rare or extreme circumstances and based on its observations, the FHWA may
submit comments to the State and the other Federal agency if the FHWA determines such comment is necessitated by rare or extreme circumstances including:

1. The FHWA reasonably believes that the State is not in compliance with this MOU; or

2. The FHWA determines that an issue between the State and the other Federal agency has broad or unique policy implications for the administration of the national Federal-aid Highway Program.
XII. NOTICES

Any notice to either party may be given electronically so long as a paper original of the notice also is delivered to the party. The effective date of the notice shall be the date of delivery of the paper original. Paper notices shall be delivered as follows:

State of California
Director
Caltrans
1120 N Street, Mail Station 49
Sacramento, CA 95814

Federal Highway Administration:
Division Administrator
Federal Highway Administration, California Division
650 Capitol Mall, Suite 4-100
Sacramento, CA 95814-4708

U.S. Department of Justice
Office of the Assistant Attorney General
Environment and Natural Resources Division
950 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW Room 2143
Washington, D.C. 20530

Execution of this MOU and implementation of its terms by the State formally evidence that the parties have reviewed this MOU and determined that it complies with the laws, regulations and policies applicable to the FHWA and the State. Accordingly, this MOU is approved and is effective upon the date of the last signature below.

Vincent Mammano
Division Administrator
Federal Highway Administration-California Division

Malcolm Dougherty
Director
California Department of Transportation

Jeanne Scherer
Chief Counsel
California Department of Transportation

Date: 5/31/16

Date: 5/23/2016

Date: 5/18/2016
Appendix A

23 CFR 771.117(d) Determination that the USDOT Secretary Assigns to the State

Pursuant to Stipulation I(B)(1)(c)

The following categories can be used by the State under Stipulation I(B)(1)(c) on a project-by-project basis for a proposed project located anywhere in the State of California.

1. Construction, modification, or repair of storm water treatment devices (e.g., detention basins, bioswales, media filters, infiltration basins), protection measures such as slope stabilization and other erosion control measures throughout California.

2. Replacement, modification, or repair of culverts or other drainage facilities.

3. Projects undertaken to assure the creation, maintenance, restoration, enhancement, or protection of habitat for fish, plants, or wildlife (e.g., revegetation of disturbed areas with native plant species; stream or river bank revegetation; construction of new, or maintenance of existing fish passage conveyances or structures; restoration or creation of wetlands).

4. Routine repair of facilities due to storm damage, including permanent repair, to return the facility to operational condition that meets current standards of design and public health and safety without expanding capacity (e.g., slide repairs, construction or repair of retaining walls).

5. Routine seismic retrofit of facilities to meet current seismic standards and public health and safety standards without expansion of capacity.

6. Air space leases that are subject to subpart D, part 710, title 23, Code of Federal Regulations.

7. Drilling of test bores/soil sampling to provide information for preliminary design and for environmental analyses and permitting purposes.
Appendix B

List of FHWA Responsibilities Assigned

Air Quality
- Clean Air Act, 42 U.S.C. 7401-7671q. Determinations for project-level conformity if required for the project.

Noise
- FHWA noise regulations at 23 CFR Part 772

Wildlife
- Marine Mammal Protection Act, 16 U.S.C. 1361-1423h
- Anadromous Fish Conservation Act, 16 U.S.C. 757a-757f
- Fish and Wildlife Coordination Act, 16 U.S.C. 661-667d

Historic and Cultural Resources
- Archeological Resources Protection Act of 1979, 16 U.S.C. 470aa-mm
- Archeological and Historic Preservation Act, 16 U.S.C. 469-469c
- Native American Grave Protection and Repatriation Act

Social and Economic Impacts
- Farmland Protection Policy Act, 7 U.S.C. 4201-4209

Water Resources and Wetlands
- Clean Water Act, 33 U.S.C. 1251-1387: (Sections 319, 401, and 404)
- Coastal Barrier Resources Act, 16 U.S.C. 3501-3510
- Coastal Zone Management Act, 16 U.S.C. 1451-1466
- Safe Drinking Water Act, 42 U.S.C. 300f-300j-26
- Rivers and Harbors Act of 1899, 33 U.S.C. 403
- Wild and Scenic Rivers Act, 16 U.S.C. 1271-1287
- Emergency Wetlands Resources Act, 16 U.S.C. 3901 and 3921
- Wetlands Mitigation 23 U.S.C. 119(g), 133(b)(14)
- FHWA wetland and natural habitat mitigation regulations at 23 CFR part 777
- Flood Disaster Protection Act, 42 U.S.C. 4001-4130
Parklands and Other Special Land Uses
- FHWA/FTA Section 4(f) Regulations at 23 CFR Part 774
- Land and Water Conservation Fund, 16 U.S.C. 460l-4-460l-11

Hazardous Materials
- Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act, 42 U.S.C. 9601-9675
- Resource Conservation and Recovery Act, 42 U.S.C. 6901-6992k

Executive Orders Relating to Highway Projects
- E.O. 11990 - Protection of Wetlands
- E.O. 12898 - Federal Actions to Address Environmental Justice in Minority Populations and Low Income Populations
- E.O. 13112 - Invasive Species

FHWA-Specific

Note:
Under these laws and Executive Orders, the FHWA will retain responsibility for conducting formal government-to-government consultations with federally-recognized Indian tribes.

Caltrans will continue to handle routine consultations with the tribes and understands that a tribe has the right to direct consultation with the FHWA upon request. Caltrans may also assist the FHWA with formal consultations, with the consent of a tribe, but the FHWA remains responsible that this consultation occurs.
Appendix C

List of Caltrans Programmatic Agreements/Memoranda of Understanding

The agreements listed below are in effect as of the date of the execution of this MOU. During the term of this MOU, the State may enter into new or revised agreements. Any person interested in reviewing an agreement is encouraged to check with Caltrans to ensure that the agreement remains in effect or that they obtain the most current version if the agreement has been revised.

Statewide Agreements

- National Environmental Policy Act and Clean Water Act Section 404 Integration Process for Federal Aid Surface Transportation Projects in California
  
  Signatories to Agreement: FHWA, Caltrans, US EPA, USACE, USFWS, NOAA
  Effective Date: 5/17/2006
  Web Address: http://www.dot.ca.gov/ser/mou.htm#nepa404

- 2014 First Amended Programmatic Agreement among the Federal Highway Administration, the Advisory Council on Historic Preservation, the California State Historic Preservation Officer, and the California Department of Transportation Regarding Compliance with Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act, as it Pertains to the Administration of the Federal-aid Highway Program in California (PA)
  
  Signatories to Agreement: ACHP, SHPO, FHWA, Caltrans Director
  Effective Date: January 1, 2014
  Web Address: http://www.dot.ca.gov/hq/env/cultural/index.htm#Section_106_Programmatic

- Bridge Permits Procedures and Guidelines MOA
  
  Signatories to Agreement: USCG
  Effective Date: 8/17/1981
  Web Address: http://www.dot.ca.gov/ser/mou.htm#bridgepermits

- Memorandum of Agreement, Early Mitigation Planning for Transportation Improvements in California
  
  Signatories to Agreement: Caltrans, FHWA, DFG, FWS and the Corps
  Effective Date: 5/13/1991
  Web Address: http://www.dot.ca.gov/ser/mou.htm#biomitplanning
Certification for Consent to Exclusive Federal Court Jurisdiction
and Waiver of Immunity

(23 USC Section 326 (c)(3) and 23 CFR Part 771.107 (d)) (Rev.4/01/2015)

As Chief Counsel for the California Department of Transportation, I, Jeanne Scherer, under the authority of California Streets and Highways Code Section 138, certify that Caltrans has the authority under the Streets and Highways Code to renew its assumption of the responsibilities of the Secretary of the United States Department of Transportation set forth in this renewal request.

I also certify that the State of California consents to federal court jurisdiction with regard to the compliance, discharge, or enforcement of the responsibilities assumed by Caltrans pursuant to Title 23 United States Code, Section 326 (c)(3) and Title 23 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Part 771.107 (d) and explicitly waives any immunity under the Eleventh Amendment of the United States Constitution from suit brought in federal court with regard to any such Caltrans-assumed responsibilities pursuant to Streets and Highways Code Section 820.1, which remains in effect until January 1, 2017, unless a later enacted statute deletes or extends this date.

This certification is submitted as part of a renewal package pursuant to Title 23 of the United States Code, Section 326 (c). Streets and Highways Code Section 820.1 is also included in the renewal package.

Signed

Jeanne Scherer
Chief Counsel
California Department of Transportation

Date: May 17, 2016
Certification that the State of California's Public Records Act is Comparable to the Federal Freedom of Information Act
(23 USC Section 326 (a)(3) and 23 CFR Part 773.107 (d) (Rev. 04/01/2015))

As Chief Counsel for the California Department of Transportation, I, Jeanne Scherer, under the authority of California Streets and Highways Code Section 138, certify that the State of California enacted the California Public Records Act (Government Code Section 6250, et seq.), which is California's functional equivalent to the Federal Freedom of Information Act (FOIA). This act provides for review of any decision regarding the public availability of a document by a court of competent jurisdiction.

The California Public Records Act (CPRA) applies to all public agencies in the State of California and was modeled upon the FOIA. California courts look to the legislative history and judicial construction of the FOIA as aid in interpreting the CPRA.

While the CPRA is quite extensive, this excerpt from Government Code Section 6253 provides a convenient summary of its objectives:

(a) Public records are open to inspection at all times during the office hours of the state or local agency and every person has a right to inspect any public record, except as hereafter provided. Any reasonably segregable portion of a record shall be available for inspection by any person requesting the record after deletion of the portions that are exempted by law.

(b) Except with respect to public records exempt from disclosure by express provisions of law, each state or local agency, upon a request for a copy of records that reasonably describes an identifiable record or records, shall make the records promptly available to any person upon payment of fees covering direct costs of duplication, or a statutory fee if applicable. Upon request, an exact copy shall be provided unless impracticable to do so.

The CPRA extends to public records stored in a computer (Government Code Section 6254.9(d)) and to public records requests made by a district attorney (Government Code Section 6263). The CPRA specifies those public records exempt from disclosure. These include, but are not limited to, records related to personnel matters, litigation, the location of archaeological sites, and trade secrets.

When a public agency has allegedly failed to comply with the CPRA, a member of the public may seek legal enforcement or relief pursuant to Government Code Section 6258 which provides:

Any person may institute proceedings for injunctive or declarative relief or writ of mandate in any court of competent jurisdiction to enforce his or her right to inspect or to receive a copy of any public record or class of public records under this chapter. The times for responsive pleadings and for hearings in these proceedings shall be set by the judge of the court with the object of securing a decision as to these matters at the earliest possible time.

A district attorney may similarly petition for judicial relief (Government Code Section 6264). As part of its proceedings, the court is empowered to review the record in question and to order the record to be made public, if justified (Government Code Section 6259).

Signed: Jeanne Scherer
Chief Counsel
California Department of Transportation

Date: May 17, 2015