

DESIGN PROCEDURE AND EXAMPLES

This section presents templates and example tables for communication and exchange of information between the SD and the GD during the foundation design process. MTD 1-35 presents the roles of the SD and the GD during foundation design and refers to this Memo for the specifics presented herein.

At the early stages of design, the SD provides preliminary foundation information to the GD using the Preliminary Foundation Design Data Sheet, shown in Table 3-2, as part of the request for a Preliminary Foundation Report.

Table 3-2. Example of Frenhnary Foundation Information										
	Preliminary Foundation Design Data Sheet									
Support	Foundation Type(s) Considered	Estimate of Maximum Factored Compression Loads (kips)								
Abut 1	Class 140	196 per pile								
Bent 2	Class 200 Pile Group 60" Diameter CIDH Pile Shaft	280 per pile 1850 per column								
Bent 3	30" CIDH Pile Group 60" Diameter CIDH Pile Shaft	1950 per column								
Abut 4	24" CIDH	280 per pile								

Table 3-2. Example of Preliminary Foundation Information

Notes:

- 1. Estimate of maximum factored loads is not required for standard piles, rather provide Factored Nominal Structural Resistance (only at preliminary stage).
- 2. Maximum factored loads are estimated based on Strength Limit State load combinations.

The request for a Foundation Report is prepared after preliminary structural analysis and includes tables that provide scour data (Table 3-3), general foundation information in the Foundation Design Data Sheet (Table 3-4), and governing loads for different Limit States (Table 3-5).

Table 3-3. Scour Data Table	Table	3-3.	Scour	Data	Table
-----------------------------	-------	------	-------	------	-------

Support No.	Long Term (Degradation and Contraction) Scour Elevation (ft)	Short Term (Local) Scour Depth (ft)
Abut 1		
Bent 2		
Bent 3		
Abut 4		



	Foundation Design Data Sheet										
Support No.	Pile Type	Finished Grade Elevation	Cut-off Elevation (ft)	Pile Cap Siz (ft)		Permissible Settlement under Service Load	Number of Piles per Support				
		(ft)		В	L	(in)*					
Abut 1						1" or 2"					
Bent 2						1" or 2"					
Bent 3						1″ or 2″					
Abut 4						1" or 2"					

Table 3-4. General Foundation Information to be sent from the SD to the GD

* Based on CALTRANS' current practice, the total permissible settlement is one inch for multispan structures with continuous spans or multi-column bents, one inch for single span structures with diaphragm abutments, and two inches for single span structures with seat abutments. Different permissible settlement under service loads may be allowed if a structural analysis verifies that required level of serviceability is met.

Table 3-5. Design Loads to be sent from the SD to the GD

			Foun	dation Fa	ctored D	esign Load	ls			
Service-I Limit State (kips)			Strength/Construction Limit State (Controlling Group, kips)				Extreme Event Limit State (Controlling Group, kips)			
Support No.	Total	Permanent	Comp	ression	Ter	nsion	Comp	ression	Ten	sion
NO.	Load Per Support	Loads Per Support	Per Support	Max. Per Pile	Per Support	Max. Per Pile	Per Support	Max. Per Pile	Per Support	Max. Per Pile
Abut 1										
Bent 2										
Bent 3										
Abut 4										



The GD provides complete foundation recommendations in the Foundation Report in accordance with the current Foundation Report preparation standard. Two tables are presented in the foundation recommendations section of the report: the Foundation Design Recommendations Table and the Pile Data Table. The Pile Data Table, once finalized, is shown in the contract plans.

The following information facilitates completion and usage of the pile data table:

- 1. The modified Gates formula (Standard Specifications, 49-2.01) provides an estimate of nominal driving resistance of the piles. The modified Gates formula is used to determine pile acceptance of driven pile unless otherwise noted by GS.
- 2. The required nominal resistance must be shown for all piles, regardless of pile type or acceptance criteria. The nominal resistance shall be rounded up to the nearest 10 Kips.
- 3. The cut-off elevation is required in the Pfle Data Table whenever it cannot be calculated from the bottom of footing elevation and pile head embedment shown on the plans. Pier columns, column shafts, and pile extensions which have no footings, require a cut-off elevation in the Pile Data Table.
- 4. The design tip elevations for compression, tension, lateral, and settlement shall be shown on the plans, when the information is required by design/construction for pile/ shaft acceptance. These elevations express the "intent" of the design and help the field engineer to resolve constructability and quality issues.
- 5. The specified tip elevation is the controlling (deepest) value of the design tip elevations.
- 6. The Standard Specifications allow the contractor to revise specified tip elevations as long as the required nominal resistance is verified through a static load test, and other design criteria such as tension, lateral and settlement are met. The nominal resistance is also needed for acceptance by Wave Equation Analysis. The specified tip elevation shall not be raised above the design tip elevations for tension, lateral, and in some cases for tolerable settlement without consent of GS. The static load test used to verify nominal resistance cannot duplicate these conditions.
- 7. The values of geotechnical resistance factors (ϕ) used in Attachment 1 examples is explanatory. The GD needs to refer to AASHTO LRFD BDS and California Amendments for selection of the applicable resistance factor(s).



The information to be provided to SD to complete substructure design, as well as information to be shown in pile data tables of the plans will be explained through the following examples. The GD provides design and specified pile tip elevations as well as "Factored Nominal Resistance" of the piles and corresponding "Resistance Factors". The SD will calculate the "Nominal Resistance" of the piles and will finalize the pile data table to be shown in the plans.

- Example #1 Standard Plan Piles, CIDH Piles, and CISS Piles
- Example #2 Steel HP Piles and CIDH Piles w/ Permanent Steel Casing
- Example #3 Large CIDH Piles w/ Driven Steel Shells

Example 1) Standard Plan Piles, CIDH Piles, and CISS Piles

A three span over-crossing with single column bents, and seat abutments (constructed in competent soil) uses Standard Plan piles. Standard Plan Class 140 piles will be utilized at the abutments, 24" CISS piles at Bent 2, and 42" CIDH piles at Bent 3. (Use of different types of piles at bents is usually avoided in practice. In this example different piles were assumed to illustrate information required for each case.)

Table 3-6 shows an example of information provided by the GD for abutments and bents. However, design tip elevations for lateral loads are commonly determined by the SD and will be added in Table 3-7. Resistance Factors (ϕ) for Strength and Extreme Event load cases are also given in Table 3-6. Typical footnotes shown under the tables are project specific and will be included in the Foundation Report (FR) and Pile Data Table for clarification.



Table 3-6. Foundation Recommendations

Driving Resistance Required Nominal (kips) N/A280 280 420 The nominal driving resistance required is equal to the nominal resistance needed to support the factored load plus driving resistance from the unsuitable penetrated soil layers (very soft, liquefiable, scourable, etc.), if any, which do not 1) Design tip elevations are controlled by: (a-I) Compression (Strength Limit), (b-I) Tension (Strength Limit), (a-II) The specified tip elevation shall not be raised above the design tip elevations for tension, lateral, and tolerable settlement. Specified Elevation Tip (H 30 31 9 3 Elevations | I (ft) 6(a-l) 12(b-l) 9(a-ll) 12(b-ll) Design $\begin{array}{c} 6 \ (a-l) \\ 12 (b-l) \\ 9 \ (a-ll) \\ 18 (b-ll) \\ 15 (c) \\ 9 \ (d) \end{array}$ 32(a-l) 31(c) 34(d) 30(a-1)31(c)34(d)3(c) 15(d) . Tip Compression (Extreme Event), (b-II) Tension (Extreme Event), (c) Settlement, (d) Lateral Load $\varphi = 1.0 | (\varphi = 1.0) |$ Tension Required Factored Nominal Resistance Extreme Event N/A186165 Comp. N/A 365 380 Foundations Design Recommendations (kups) Strength/Construction Tension $(\phi = 0.7)$ 126 121 0 0 $Comp. (\phi = 0.7)$ 268 285 196 82 Support Settlement Permissible (inches) Total — Permanen oad per Service-I Limit 040 1380 Support (kips) 1750 870 State 1 otal 1340 1750 2250 1260 Cut-off Elevation (H 72 59 64 78 CISS 24x0.5 Class 140 42" CIDH Class 140 Pile Type Notes: Support Location Abut. 4 Bent 2 Abut. 1 Bent 3 $\widehat{\alpha}\widehat{\beta}$

Attachment 1

65 ft., respectively. Design tip elevation for Lateral Load is typically provided by the SD

contribute to the design resistance. Unsuitable soil layers at Bent 2 and Abutment 4 extend to elevations of 48 ft., and

4



Table 3-7 shows the foundation information that the SD puts on the contract plans. When Strength Limit State load combinations control factored load, resistance factors for both compression and tension will be specified by the GD, so that the nominal resistance equals the factored load divided by the resistance factor. When Extreme Event Limit states (such as seismic) control the factored load, resistance factors for both compression and tension will be $\Phi = 1.0$, so that the nominal resistance equals the pile's factored load demand. The factored loads under tension and compression for both Strength and Extreme Event Limit States shall be considered when calculating Nominal Resistance of piles. As an example, the Compression Nominal Resistance for Bent 3 piles will be the greater of 285/0.7 and 380/1.0, that is 407kips, rounded up to 410 kips, as shown in Table 3-7. Design tip elevations for compression and tension under Strength and Extreme Event Limit States are compared and only the lower elevations for each load will be shown as Specified Tip Elevation in the Pile Data Table.

	Pile Data Table											
Location	Pile Type	Nominal Resi Compression		Design Tip Elevation (ft)	Specified Tip Elevation (ft)	Required Nominal Driving Resistance (kips)						
Abut. 1	Class 140	280	0	30(a) 31(c) 34(d)	30	280						
Bent 2	CISS 24x0.5	390	180	6 (a) 12(b) 15(c) 9 (d)	6	420						
Bent-3	42" CIDH	410	190	6 (a) 12(b) 3 (c) 15(d)	3	N/A						
Abut. 4	Class 140	260	0	32(a) 31(c) 34(d)	31	280						

Table	3-7	Pile D	ata Table
Table	5-7.	I IIC D	ata Tabit

Notes:

- 1) Design tip elevations for Bents and Abutments are controlled by: (a) Compression, (b) Tension, (c) Settlement, (d) Lateral Load.
- 2) The Specified Tip Elevation shall not be raised above the design tip elevations for tension load, lateral load, and tolerable settlement.
- 3) Unsuitable soil layers (very soft, liquefiable, scourable, etc.) that do not contribute to the nominal resistance exist at Abutment 4 and Bent 2 extending to elevations 65 ft and 48 ft, respectively.



Example 2) CIDH Piles with Permanent Casing

The following example shows Foundation Recommendations and the Pile Data Table for a typical multi-column under-crossing bent (pinned footings). CIDH piles with permanent steel casing will be used at the bent. The purpose of permanent steel casing is to facilitate construction and does not contribute to the geotechnical resistance. In addition to information given in Table 3-6, the GD will also provide the Steel Casing Specified Tip Elevation as shown in Table 3-8. The Steel Casing Specified Tip Elevation will be also shown in the Pile Data Table (Table 3-9). Tables 3-8 and 3-9 will be provided by the GD.



Steel Casing Tip Elevation (ft) Specified 40 Design Tip Elevations Elevation (ft) (ft) 24 ateral Compression (Extreme Compression (Strength Design Tip Elevation for Lateral Load is typically provided by the SD 25 (a-l) 29(b-l) 30(a-ll) 27(b-ll) 24 (c) 26(d) Comp. Tension $(\phi = 1.0)(\phi = 1.0)$ Required Factored Nominal Resistance Limit), (b-II) Tension (Strength Limit), (a-II) Compressio Event), (b-II) Tension (Extreme Event), (c) Settlement, Extreme Event 118 The CIDH Specified Tip Elevation shall not be raised **Table 3-8. Foundation Recommendations** 389 1) DesignTip Elevations are controlled by: (a-I) Foundations Design Recommendations (kips) Strength/Construction Tension $(\phi = 0.7)$ $Comp. (\phi = 0.7)$ 32 Support ettlement ermissible nches) Load Permanent Load. per Support(kips) 2150 Limit State <u>3</u>6 Notes: Servi Total 2670 Cut-off Elevation (ft) 85 48" CIDH piles with permanent steel casing Pile Type Support Location Bent 2

ATTACHMENT 1



Pile Data Table										
		Nominal Resi	stance (kips)	Steel Casing		Specified				
Location	Pile Type	Compression	Tension	Specified Tip Elevation (ft)	Design Tip Elevation (ft)	Tip Elevation (ft)				
Bent 2	48" CIDH piles with permanent steel casing	460	120	40	25 (a) 27(b) 24(c) 26(d)	24				

Table 3-9. Pile Data Table

Notes:

- Design tip elevations are controlled by: (a) Compression, (b) Tension, (c) Settlement, (d) Lateral Load.
- 2) The CIDH Specified Tip Elevation shall not be raised.

Example 3) Large Diameter CIDH Piles with Driven Steel Shell

The following example is the seismic retrofit of a major river crossing bridge where large diameter CIDH piles with permanent driven steel shells are recommended. The shell is required to facilitate construction and to develop a portion of the required geotechnical nominal resistance. The shell is to be installed by impact driving and "Wave Analysis" will be used for pile acceptance. Shown in Table 3-10 are the foundation design recommendations provided by the GD. Table 3-11 shows pile data table to be shown on the plans.

1



	Foundations Design Recommendations											
Support Pile Location Type	Cut-off Elevation (ft)	Required Factored Nominal Resistance for Extreme Event Limit State (kips)				Driven Steel Shell	CIDH	CIDH	Steel Shell Required			
		CIDI	H Pile	Driven S	teel Shell	l nb	Design Tip Elevations		Nominal Driving			
			Comp. (φ = 1.0)	Tension $(\phi = 1.0)$	$\begin{array}{c} \text{Comp.} \\ (\phi = 1.0) \end{array}$	Tension $(\phi = 1.0)$	Elevation (ft)	(it)	(ft)	Resistance (kips)		
Bent 2	60" CIDH piles with 72x0.75" Driven Steel Shell		1112	472	586	249	42	-51 (a-II) -46 (b-II) -48 (d)	-51	700		

Table 3-10. Foundation Recommendations for Bents

Notes:

- Design Tip Elevations are controlled by: (a-I) Compression (Strength Limit), (b-I) Tension (Strength Limit), (a-II) Compression (Extreme Event), (b-II) Tension (Extreme Event), (c) Settlement, (d) Lateral Load.
- 2) The CIDH Specified Tip Elevation shall not be raised.
- 3) The nominal driving resistance required is equal to the nominal resistance needed to support the factored loads plus driving resistance from the unsuitable penetrated soil layers (very soft, liquefiable, scourable, etc.),which do not contribute to the design resistance. Unsuitable soil layers at Bent 2 extend to elevation of -11 ft.
- 4) Design Tip Elevation for Lateral Load is typically provided by the SD.



	Pile Data Table										
Location	Pile Type	Nominal Resistance (kips)		Nominal Resistance (Driven Steel Shell) (kips)		Driven Steel Shell Specified	CIDH Design Tip	CIDH Specified Tip	Steel Shell Nominal Driving		
		Compression	Tension	Compression	Tension	Specified Tip Elevation (ft)	Elevations	Elevation (ft)	Resistance (kips)		
Bent 2	60" CIDH piles with 72x0.75" Driven Steel Shell	1120	480	590	250	-42	-51 (a) -46 (b) -48 (d)	-51	700		

Table 3-11. Pile Data Table

Notes:

- 1) Design Tip Elevations are controlled by: (a) Compression, (b) Tension, (d) Lateral Load.
- 2) The CIDH Specified Tip Elevation shall not be raised.
- 3) Unsuitable soil layers (very soft, liquefiable, scourable) that do not contribute to the design nominal resistance exist at Bent 2 extending to elevation of -11 ft.