Me mor and um

Making Conservation a California Way of Life

Fishullar

To: DISTRICT DIRECTORS

DEPUTY DIRECTORS DIVISION CHIEFS

From: RACHEL CARPENTER Rachel A. Raypenter

Chief Safety Officer Safety Programs

RAMON L. HOPKINS

Chief

Division of Construction

SIN +C

for:JANICE BENTON

Chief

Date:

Division of Design

JASVINDERJIT S. BHULLAR

June 25, 2021

Chief

Division of Traffic Operations

Subject: DESIGN INFORMATION BULLETIN (DIB) 91 GUIDELINES ON THE USE OF POSITIVE WORK ZONE PROTECTION (PWP) & MITIGATION MEASURES

DIB 91, "Guidelines on the Use of Positive Work zone Protection (PWP) & Mitigation Measures", is available on the Division of Design website at:

https://dot.ca.gov/programs/design/design-information-bulletins-dibs and is effective as described in the IMPLEMENTATION section of this memorandum. The intent is to use approved PWP devices or mitigation measures in public works projects on the State Highway System to reduce preventable injuries and deaths to workers and the public. Projects, where project development efforts have started, shall comply with Highway Design Manual (HDM) Index 82.5 "Effective Date for Implementing Design Revisions to Design Standards".

BACKGROUND

This DIB provides guidance to apply PWP and mitigation measures in public works projects on the State Highway System based on requirements of the Streets and Highways Code Section 92.1 (Assembly Bill 759 – Bigelow) and the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) Subpart K of the Title 23 Code of Federal Regulations. Positive work zone protection has been defined by the FHWA as "devices that contain and/or redirect vehicles and meet the crashworthiness evaluation criteria contained in NCHRP Report 350 and the Manual for Assessing Safety Hardware (MASH)."

DISTRICT DIRECTORS, et al. June 25, 2021 Page 2

RESPONSIBILITY

The project engineer is responsible for documenting the selected PWP, mitigation measures, and exceptions as applicable, on the Form CEM-1302 "POSITIVE WORK ZONE PROTECTION DETERMINATION" for each work zone location within the project limits as well as filing the approved copy in the project history file. The resident engineer is responsible for documenting revisions, additions, or exceptions for PWP or mitigation measures that occur during construction on Form CEM-1303 "POSITIVE WORK ZONE PROTECTION SUPPLEMENT". The project sponsor is responsible for documenting the selected PWP, mitigation measures, revisions, additions and exceptions if applicable on encroachment public works projects.

KEY CONCEPTS

- PWP devices that are consistent with FHWA's definition of positive barrier are described along with picture examples, typical uses, relative costs, benefits, and other considerations.
- PWP evaluation steps, mitigation measures and consideration of other road users is provided.
- PWP engineering analysis and documentation process is included with detailed explanation of each analysis step and corresponding risk factor.
- Reference to the Work Zone Safety Guidance website is provided for further information on the PWP devices and mitigation measures: https://safetyprograms.onramp.dot.ca.gov/work-zone-safety
- Reference to the Caltrans Plans Preparation Manual for examples of how to indicate specified PWP devices on traffic handling and stage construction plan sheets.

IMPLEMENTATION

All public works projects with Plans, Specifications & Estimate (PS&E) delivery on or after January 1, 2022, are required to include a completed Form CEM-1302. All new encroachment public works projects submitted to Caltrans effective January 1, 2022 must comply with DIB 91. Form CEM-1302 will be included on the RTL Certification Form. Any modification to the completed and approved Form CEM-1302 during construction must be justified and approved on Form CEM-1303 and filed in the project file Category 13 Signs and Striping. Both CEM forms are available in the Appendix of the DIB until the electronic forms are posted on the Division of Construction webpage.

DISTRICT DIRECTORS, et al. June 25, 2021 Page 3

Please contact Charles Suszko, Division of Construction at (916) 798-6029 or Reza Valizadeh, Division of Design at (916) 862-4213 for DIB guidance questions. Project-specific applicability and questions should be referred to the Division of Design, Project Delivery Coordinators.

Attachment

DIB 91 Guidelines on the Use of Positive Work zone Protection (PWP) & Mitigation Measures

c: Josue Pluguez, Design Program Manager, Federal Highway Administration - California Division

Antonette Clark, Chief, Office of Standards & Procedures, Division of Design Reza Valizadeh, Office of Standard & Procedures, Division of Design Veera Nanuganda, Chief, Office of Safety, Insurance, and Special Projects, Division of Construction

Charles Suszko, Office of Contract Administration, Division of Construction Dwarak Penubolu, Acting Chief, Office of System Operations, Division of Traffic Operations

Troy Tusup, Acting Office Chief, Encroachment & Outdoor Advertising Permits, Division of Traffic Operations

Monica Kress, Deputy Division Chief, Traffic Safety, Division of Safety Programs Mark Ballentine, Office of Traffic Safety Devices, Division of Safety Programs Joseph Horton, Chief, Office of Safety Innovation and Cooperative Research, Division of Research and Innovation

Abel Huerta, Office of Construction Contract Advertisement & Quality Program, DES-PPM & Office Engineer

Danny Yost, Assistant Deputy Director, Legislative Affairs

DESIGN INFORMATION BULLETIN NUMBER 91

California Department of Transportation Division of Design - Office of Standards & Procedures

Guidelines on the Use of Positive Work zone Protection (PWP) & Mitigation Measures

APPROVED BY:

Rachel Carpenter
Chief Safety Officer

Chief, Division of Construction

Rachel A. Carpenter

Ramon L. Hopkins

for: Janice Benton
Chief, Division of Design

Jasvinderjit S. Bhullar
Chief, Division of Traffic Operations

June 28, 2021

Table of Contents

| 1.0 Introduction | 1 |
|---|-----|
| 2.0 Government Requirements | 1 |
| 2.1 Streets and Highways Code Section 92.1 | 1 |
| 2.2 Federal Regulations | 2 |
| 3.0 Definition of Positive Work zone Protection (PWP) | 2 |
| 4.0 Typical Work Zone Durations | 3 |
| 5.0 PWP Devices | 3 |
| 6.0 Evaluation Steps | 10 |
| 7.0 PWP on Projects | 11 |
| 7.1 Required PWP Uses | 11 |
| 7.2 Typical PWP Uses | 12 |
| 7.3 Consideration of Other Road Users | 12 |
| 8.0 Mitigation Measures In Lieu of PWP | 13 |
| 9.0 PWP Engineering Analysis | 14 |
| 10.0 Documenting Revisions, Additions or Exceptions to PWP During Construction and Other Work Activities | 21 |
| Appendix A FORM CEM-1302 POSITIVE WORK ZONE PROTECTION DETERMINATION and FORM CEM-1303 POSITIVE WORK ZONE | |
| PROTECTION SUPPLEMENT | A-1 |

1.0 Introduction

This Design Information Bulletin (DIB) provides guidance to apply Positive Work zone Protection (PWP) and mitigation measures in projects on the State Highway System based on requirements of the Streets and Highways Code Section 92.1 (Assembly Bill 759 – Bigelow) and the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) Subpart K of the Title 23 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The intent is to use approved PWP devices or other mitigation measures in public works projects on the State Highway System to reduce preventable injuries and deaths to workers.

For maintenance work zone activities, the Construction Engineering Management (CEM) Form documentation process is not required. Refer to Maintenance Manual, Chapter 8 for work zone protection procedures for maintenance work as well as the Maintenance Code of Safe Operating Practices. The PWP evaluation and mitigation measures information from this DIB will be included as a reference in a future revision to Maintenance Manual, Chapter 8. Exceptions to the selected PWP device or mitigation measure used are included in Maintenance Manual, Chapter 8.

These guidelines are not intended to be a rigid standard or policy; rather, they are guidelines to be used while exercising engineering judgment in applying them during project development activities, encroachment permit activities and related construction and maintenance work zone activities.

These guidelines are not a stand-alone document and are intended to be used in conjunction with other traffic control standards and resources including the following:

- California Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices (CA MUTCD)
- Caltrans Traffic Safety Systems Guidance
- Caltrans Standard Plans and Standard Specifications
- Caltrans Transportation Management Plan guidelines
- Caltrans Highway Design Manual (HDM)
- Caltrans Construction Manual
- Caltrans Maintenance Manual
- Caltrans Plans Preparation Manual (PPM)
- Caltrans Traffic Operations Policy Directives (TOPDs)
- Caltrans Construction Procedure Directives (CPDs)
- AASHTO, Roadside Design Guide (RDG)
- AASHTO, Manual for Assessing Safety Hardware (MASH)

2.0 Government Requirements

2.1 Streets and Highways Code Section 92.1

The Streets and Highways Code Section 92.1 (Assembly Bill 759 – Bigelow approved by the Governor on October 8, 2019) requires Caltrans to specify use of positive protection measures to reduce preventable injuries and deaths to construction and maintenance workers and drivers.

Streets and Highways Code Section 92.1 states:

- (a) ...to specify appropriate use of positive protection measures, including, but not limited to, automated flagger assistance devices, buffer lanes, impact attenuator vehicle, and temporary barriers, with the goal of isolating workers or work zones from traffic.
- (b) Where the department's updated guidance allows, but does not require, use of a safety device, the department shall provide compensation for the optional safety device when requested by a contractor on a public works project.

2.2 Federal Regulations

Subpart K of Title 23 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) 630.1106 requires consideration of road user and worker safety on Federal-aid projects on all agency's policies and procedures.

Federal regulation states:

630.1106 (a) Each agency's policy and processes, procedures, and/or guidance for the systematic consideration and management of work zone impacts, to be established in accordance with 23 CFR 630.1006, shall include the consideration and management of road user and worker safety on Federal-aid highway projects. These processes, procedures, and/or guidance, to be developed in partnership with the FHWA, shall address the use of Positive Protection Devices to prevent the intrusion of motorized traffic into the work space and other potentially hazardous areas in the work zone; exposure Control Measures to avoid or minimize worker exposure to motorized traffic and road user exposure to work activities; Other Traffic Control Measures including uniformed law enforcement officers to minimize work zone crashes; and the safe entry/exit of work vehicles onto/from the travel lanes. Each of these strategies should be used to the extent that they are possible, practical, and adequate to manage work zone exposure and reduce the risks of crashes resulting in fatalities or injuries to workers and road users.

3.0 Definition of Positive Work zone Protection (PWP)

In these guidelines, PWP is defined as:

devices that contain and/or redirect vehicles and meet the crashworthiness evaluation criteria contained in National Cooperative Highway Research Program (NCHRP) Report 350 or the Manual for Assessing Safety Hardware (MASH).

PWP device bid items covered in this guidance include Temporary Concrete Barriers, Steel Barriers, Moveable Barrier System, Mobile Barrier System, and Stationary Impact Attenuator Vehicle. See Section 5.0 for further information regarding PWP device examples, typical uses, relative costs, benefits, and other considerations.

4.0 Typical Work Zone Durations

Typical work zones expose personnel to live traffic for varying durations while performing maintenance or construction activities. PWP devices or alternative mitigation measures should be considered for the following work zone durations:

- Long-term stationary work duration greater than six months.
- Long-term stationary work duration of three to six months.
- Long-term stationary work duration more than three days and less than three months.
- Intermediate-term stationary work at a location more than one daylight period up to three days, or night-time (hours of darkness per California Vehicle Code (CVC) definition) work lasting more than one hour.
- Short-term:
 - Stationary daytime work that occupies a location for more than one hour within a single daylight period.
 - Short duration work that occupies a location up to one hour.
 - Mobile work that moves intermittently or continuously.

Depending upon the type of work activity, long-term stationary work zones may be considered to have increased exposure and therefore justify use of a PWP device. Conversely, short duration and mobile work zones may be considered low risk in need of an alternative mitigation measure. Design considerations and strategies used in selection of the PWP device or alternative mitigation measure are addressed later in this guidance.

5.0 PWP Devices

This section describes typical uses, examples, relative costs, benefits, and other considerations of the PWP devices covered in this guidance.

Refer to the following website for the latest Caltrans Policy Documents on MASH Implementation and MASH rated safety devices available for use on the State Highway System: https://dot.ca.gov/programs/safety-programs/mash as well as the Work Zone Safety Guidance website for further information on the PWP devices: https://safetyprograms.onramp.dot.ca.gov/work-zone-safety

Temporary Concrete Barriers



Typical Uses

 Long term duration (more than three days) work zones with various posted speeds and limited width where workers are exposed to nearby live traffic lanes or where traffic is exposed to nearby drop offs, falsework, temporary supports, fixed objects or construction equipment.

Relative Costs and Benefits

 May be less cost-effective when used throughout longer work zones where work activities are present at specific locations for relatively short periods of time, such as pavement repairs or pavement resurfacing projects where activities frequently move.

- Falsework and temporary supports are not allowed in the clear area. See the PWP device specifications for guidance and requirements regarding clear area.
- Consideration should be given to verify space required for equipment to install and remove the barriers and work zone ingress and egress.
- For access points, exposed ends of barrier must be located and used with temporary crash cushion modules in compliance with Standard Specifications Section 7-1.04 Public Safety. Paid for by LF measured along top of temporary barrier. See the Work Zone Safety Guidelines website for further information.
- See the Caltrans Plans Preparation Manual for examples of how to indicate this PWP device on traffic handling and stage construction plan sheets.

Steel Barriers



Typical Uses

 Long-term duration projects (more than 3 days) work zones of various posted speeds and limited width where workers are exposed to nearby live traffic lanes or where traffic is exposed to nearby drop offs, falsework, temporary supports, fixed objects or construction equipment.

Relative Costs and Benefits

Steel barriers can be very cost effective for larger projects where thousands
of feet to miles of barrier is required. Steel barrier is stackable on delivery
trucks providing several hundred feet per truckload potentially reducing
trucking cost.

- Falsework and temporary supports are not allowed in the clear area. See the PWP device specifications for guidance and requirements regarding clear area. Paid for by LF measured along top of temporary barrier. See the Work Zone Safety Guidelines website for further information.
- See the Caltrans Plans Preparation Manual for examples of how to indicate this PWP device on the traffic handling plan and stage construction plan sheets.

Movable Barrier System



Photo source: Lindsay Corporation

Typical Uses

- Projects where lane shifts are required daily to accommodate directional traffic volume demand or when a lane restriction chart allows a lane to be closed to expand the work area.
- Between opposing lanes of traffic to provide a moveable median, change the number of lanes available for traffic use, and accommodate peak traffic flow to mitigate congestion.
- Between motorists and work activities to create additional space.
- On divided highways with an existing median barrier, one side of the highway can be closed to traffic and traffic crossed over to the opposite side.
- Long duration roadway and bridge widening projects that require maintaining high speed multilane traffic.

Relative Costs and Benefits

 Somewhat more expensive when compared to traditional temporary concrete barrier; however, consider the benefits such as greater throughput in the peak traffic direction, reduced lane closure costs, movable protected work area and continuous positive protection.

- Provides a similar level of protection as temporary concrete barrier, but with greater installation flexibility.
- Requires special equipment and specially trained equipment operators to move barrier.

- Depending on length of closure, may take up to 45 min to an hour for lane shift of barrier.
- See the PWP device specifications for guidance and requirements regarding clear area.
- Constrained work zones may not accommodate the series of interlocked barrier sections including: (1) Barrier consisting of reinforced concrete barrier sections with a special steel hinge system, (2) A transfer and transport machine that moves the barrier sections, with a backup machine available, and (3) MASH Test Level 3 crash cushions.
- Movable barrier system is a sole source product, therefore a quote for cost of leasing the concrete barrier sections, transfer and transport machine and crash cushion must be included in the project special provisions.
- Movable barrier system, QuickChange Moveable Barrier Concrete Reactive Tension System (QMB-CRTS), otherwise known as "The Road Zipper" can be leased from the manufacturer, Lindsay Transportation Solutions.
- A Statewide Public Interest Finding for Movable Barrier System was approved by Caltrans on November 23, 2020.
- Due to the cost required to mobilize, assemble, disassemble, and demobilize
 the movable barrier system, work zones that require only a few days of use
 should consider other types of PWP.
- The quantity for the bid item includes the number of times the system will be moved or shifted as indicated in a table as shown in the specification.
- See the Caltrans Plans Preparation Manual for examples of how to indicate this PWP device on the traffic handling plan sheets.

Mobile Barrier System



Photo Source: Mobile Barriers LLC

Typical Uses

- Extendable barrier protects the flank of a stationary work zone area of 100 feet or less for short-term work activities.
- Used for work activities that may include but are not limited to pavement and approach slab replacement, guardrail and barrier repair, bridge deck and joint repair, loop detector installation, and full ramp closures preventing vehicles from entering.

Relative Costs and Benefits

- The fact that the barrier can be driven in place and driven off site after work ends lends itself to ease of set up and take down, avoiding restriping, and reducing worker exposure during deployment.
- Lanes that would otherwise have been closed for buffer space can remain open.
- The speed of deployment and removal maximizes working time.

- Consider use of other PWP devices if there is a need for a mobile barrier system to be stationary for more than a short-term duration. Also, due to the cost to mobilize, assemble, disassemble, and demobilize the mobile barrier system, work zones that require more than a short-term duration should consider other types of PWP.
- The geometry of the work area and surrounding roadway must be compatible with the straight geometry of the 100-foot trailer barrier unit that is driven into

- place by a semi-trailer truck occupying the lane, shoulder or adjacent lane in which work is to be performed.
- Mobile barrier system must be deployed such that it is in parallel with the
 movement of traffic and shoulder or lane lines. The Mobile barrier is not to be
 used as a channelizing device or to direct traffic.
- Use may not be applicable on curves, near ramps and intersections.
- Currently, the Mobile Barrier Trailer (MBT-1) is a sole source product, therefore a quote for cost of leasing the trailer must be included in the project special provisions.
- A Statewide Public Interest Finding for Mobile Barrier Trailer (MBT-1) was approved by Caltrans on November 23, 2020.

Stationary Impact Attenuator Vehicle



Typical Uses

- This device typically follows behind equipment and workers during moving closure operations or is parked in advance of workers during stationary closure operations.
- Used in all work zone duration locations where other PWP devices may not be feasible due to time constraints involved with installation and removal.

Relative Costs and Benefits

 Can be easily and quickly moved as the work zone location and workers change in comparison to other PWP devices.

- Can be used on multiple projects, where costs may be economical when dividing among several projects.
- The cost of a driver may be significant; therefore, consideration should be given to whether a full-time driver is necessary if the stationary impact attenuator vehicle will primarily be stationary.

Other Considerations

- If there is a need for a stationary impact attenuator vehicle to occupy a single location for work more than 3 days, consideration should be made for other types of PWP devices.
- For further details refer to 2018 Revised Standard Specifications (RSS) 12-4.02C(7), RSS 12-3.23 and SSP 12-4.02C(7)

6.0 Evaluation Steps

Evaluate:

- Type of work (such as structure, roadway, signal, etc.)
 Consider PWP where workers are exposed close to traffic for long durations. Examples include, bridge approach slab replacement, widening projects and shoulder maintenance. Consideration should be given to use of stationary impact attenuator vehicles during PWP device installation and removal.
- 2. Exposure to high speed traffic Worker exposure is greater in high speed work zones. Generally, speeds greater than 45 mph are considered high speed. Average speed or 85th percentile speed should also be considered when anticipating work zone speed. For projects with limited sight distance, PWP should be used to shield the workers from high speed traffic.
- 3. Traffic volume
 - The risk of a crash generally increases with traffic volume, although crash severity may decrease under high volume conditions due to reduced speeds during times of congestion. Traffic that fluctuates between free-flow and stop-and-go conditions can be of greatest concern. Urban freeways are often viable candidates for PWP because of high traffic volumes and a greater likelihood of unstable (stop-and-go) traffic.
- 4. Vehicle Mix
 Projects with a high percentage of trucks and heavy traffic may be good
 candidates for PWP devices since heavy truck intrusion into the work zone
 will have greater impact to the work area.
- 5. Proximity to traffic Identify locations where worker exposure is close to traffic lanes, particularly confined areas with limited escape paths. A PWP device is needed when the work zone is adjacent to traffic lanes. This is important since a buffer space is not available to separate workers from motorists, (e.g., confined areas such as bridges or tunnels).

- 6. Time of day
 - Operation during different times of day are important in determining the PWP device for a work zone. Consideration should be given to visibility during day and night-time (hours of darkness per CVC definition) operation when evaluating the need for a PWP device.
- 7. Road user exposure to work zone Consider PWP to reduce exposure of road users to drop offs, side slopes that are steeper than 4 to 1, structures, falsework, temporary supports and construction equipment and materials.
- 8. Exposure of workers and motorists during PWP placement and removal Consideration should be given to protect workers and motorists during placement and removal of PWP devices.
- Roadway geometry PWP should be considered at locations with nonstandard curves, shoulders, sight distance or other nonstandard features near the work zone activity area.
- 10. Ingress and egress from work zone
 Ingress and egress from the work zone should be considered when
 determining the appropriate PWP device and appropriate mitigation
 measures or both.
- 11. Options to reduce, then mitigate temporary exposure conditions for workers.
- 12. If the project work zone is long-term stationary, intermediate-term stationary, short-term stationary, short duration, or mobile work as defined in Section 4.0 of this DIB.
- 13. If the work zone is low or high exposure based on using the Engineering Analysis Form/Exception document Forms CEM-1302 and CEM-1303 as described in Section 9.0.
- 14. Mitigation measures in lieu of PWP.
- 15. The use of a PWP device to shield temporary fixed objects should be employed if it is not economically feasible to provide an alternate protection measure nor feasible to place the fixed object outside of the clear recovery zone.

7.0 PWP on Projects

7.1 Required PWP Uses

PWP is required on projects based on Caltrans policy and Standard Specifications for the following conditions:

- Falsework and temporary support protection
- Bridge widening
- Bridge rail replacement, (may include approach railing repair)
- Locations where existing highway safety features must be removed to perform the work (e.g., upgrading existing barrier)
- Protection of temporarily unprotected permanent obstacles (Section 7-1.04 Public Safety of the Standard Specifications)

- Where material or equipment is stored within 15 feet of the edge of an open traffic lane (Section 7-1.04 Public Safety of the Standard Specifications)
- Excavations where the near edge of the excavation is within 15 feet from the edge of an open traffic lane (Section 7-1.04 Public Safety of the Standard Specifications)
 - Exception for steel plate covers required
 - Exception for roadway widening slope of 4:1 (horizontal to vertical) or flatter is specified (Standard Special Provision 10-1.02)
- When work operations create a height differential greater than 0.15 feet within 15 feet of the edge of a traffic lane (Section 7-1.04 Public Safety of the Standard Specifications)
 - Exception for divided multilane highways height differential less than 0.35 feet allowed when tapered notch wedge is used (Section 39-2.01C(4)(b) Tapered Notch Edge of the Standard Specifications)

7.2 Typical PWP Uses

PWP is typically used for the following work zone areas based on engineering assessment:

- Bridge column work
- Approach slab work and other bridge deck repair
- Channelization for cross-over detours
- Slab replacement and pavement dig outs/repair
- Roadway widening or median work
- Excavations adjacent to the traveled way
- Work performed on steep side slopes adjacent to the roadway
- Installation of overhead sign supports and foundations
- Staged pipe or culvert work
- Stored construction material or equipment
- Temporary shoring locations
- Worker safety due to proximity of work next to live traffic lanes

7.3 Consideration of Other Road Users

It is not uncommon, particularly in urban areas, that road work and the associated use of PWP may affect existing pedestrian or bicyclist facilities. It is essential that the mobility needs of all road users, including bicyclists and pedestrians be considered. All considerations should be compliant with Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) requirements. PWP should be used in such a way to accommodate pedestrians and bicyclists per DIB 82 as well as the CA MUTCD chapters 6D.01 and 6D.101.

When work activities require the closure of an existing pedestrian route, a temporary pedestrian access route (TPAR) should be provided. It should be noted that in some cases it is not possible or feasible to provide a TPAR when an existing pedestrian route is closed, e.g., an overcrossing structure with sidewalks over a freeway that is being demolished and reconstructed.

When the TPAR is provided in proximity to work activity, high volume or high speed traffic, such as using a shoulder as the pedestrian route, positive protection for the pedestrians should be provided. See Revised Standard Specification 12-4.04 and Standard Plans T30 - T34 for further information.

If establishing or maintaining an alternate pedestrian route is not feasible during the project, an alternate means of providing for pedestrians may be used, such as adding free bus service around the project or assigning a person the responsibility to assist pedestrians with disabilities through the project limits.

When work activities require the closure of an existing bicycle route, an alternative bicycle route should be provided. When the temporary bicycle route is provided in proximity to heavy or fast-moving traffic, positive protection for the bicycle route may be provided.

8.0 Mitigation Measures In Lieu of PWP

Prior to specifying the use of a PWP device, consideration should be given to alternatives which would avoid or minimize exposure for both workers and road users in work zones. Mitigation measures are to be used in lieu of a PWP device when it is determined that placing a PWP device may cause more exposure than not placing a PWP device.

Below are mitigation measures that may be considered in various work zone environments, see the Work Zone Safety Guidance website for further information on the PWP devices and most of these mitigation measures: https://safetyprograms.onramp.dot.ca.gov/work-zone-safety:

- 1. Work zone speed limit reduction.
- 2. Expanded work windows such as:
 - a) Longer length of closure to enhance work productivity.
 - b) Longer lane closure durations that allow work to be completed in fewer working days.
- 3. Full road closure with traffic detoured offsite or connector/ramp closure.
- 4. Close additional travel lanes, use of buffer lanes to increase separation between workers and live traffic.
- Construction Zone Enhanced Enforcement Program (COZEEP) used to deter speeding and control traffic flow, (For Maintenance work, use Maintenance Zone Enhanced Enforcement Program (MAZEEP)).
- 6. Use of traffic handling and traffic management plan techniques such as:
 - (a) Scheduling or sequencing phases of work (performing work during off-peak periods or when traffic volumes are lower).
 - (b) Onsite diversion (e.g., median crossover, alternate routes, temporary pavement, use of full depth shoulders for traffic; use of ramps as a diversion around a work zone at an interchange).
 - (c) Use of 4:1 (horizontal to vertical) or flatter slope in lieu of vertical drop off in an excavation to protect road users.

- 7. Automated flagger assistance devices or use of automated work zone information systems, see Standard Specification 12-3.35 for details (applies to reversible traffic control on two-lane conventional highways).
- 8. Portable changeable message sign can be used instead of placement of advance flagger.
- 9. Traffic breaks
- 10. Accelerated construction techniques
- 11. Portable transverse rumble strips
- 12. Intrusion alarms

9.0 PWP Engineering Analysis

It is the responsibility of the project engineer to coordinate with the Project Development Team (PDT) to verify that the final decision on use of PWP devices is made collectively. This should include circulation and feedback from the PDT and the District Safety Review Committee including Minor A projects where the project engineer determines the need of the review and final decision for any specific project that is based on exercising engineering judgement. It is the responsibility of the project engineer to include the completed Form CEM-1302, see Appendix A, in the project history file for each applicable work zone location within the project limits either on a separate form per location or summarized on one form where multiple work zones of similar work and conditions are proposed. Any modification to the completed and approved Form CEM-1302 must be justified and approved on supplemental Form CEM-1303 by the resident engineer, see Appendix B, and filed in the project history file.

Documentation of the selected PWP device or mitigation measure during the design phase is the responsibility of the project engineer. The type of PWP or mitigation measure used must be included in the project plans and/or specifications as appropriate. See the Caltrans Plans Preparation Manual for further information.

The determination of the PWP that is included in project plans is based on the following:

- Required use by policy and specifications
- Pedestrian and bicyclist protection
- Work zone activities engineering risk analysis
- Engineering judgement

Form CEM-1302 "Positive Work Zone Protection Determination" provides a standard format for providing the necessary documentation for PWP. Form CEM-1302 allows the user to perform the engineering risk analysis in determining the need for PWP or mitigation measures for work zone activities by answering questions based on assessment of five risk factors and totaling the risk assessment points for each factor. The project engineer then selects the appropriate PWP or mitigation measures based on Section 6.0 Evaluation Steps

or Section 8.0 Mitigation Measures. The selected PWP and mitigation measures are documented on Form CEM-1302.

When the total point score for the work zone activity does not substantiate the use of PWP the project engineer may determine that PWP is necessary based on engineering judgement which must be documented on Form CEM-1302.

If the project engineer has determined that an exception to the requirement for providing PWP is necessary, then the reason for the exception must be provided on Form CEM-1302 and approval of the exception by the Deputy District Directors of Traffic Operations and Construction is required on the form.

For projects managed through the Encroachment Permit Office Process (EPOP), the project sponsor is responsible for documenting the selection of PWP or mitigation measures including completion of form CEM-1302. Exceptions do not require the approval of the Deputy District Director of Construction. Only approval from the Deputy District Director of Traffic Operations is required. Encroachment projects managed through the Quality Management Assurance Process (QMAP) follow the same process as the Caltrans administered process.

Step 1: Required PWP

Refer to Section 7.1 and fill in the check boxes on Form CEM-1302 for any PWP provided in the project plans based on Caltrans policies and specifications for required PWP.

Step 2: Pedestrian and Bicycle Protection

Consider pedestrian and bicycle traffic access through the work zone. Provide an alternate route when existing facilities must be temporarily interrupted due to work operations. Alternative routes need to be clearly delineated and separated from the work zone activities. Refer to Section 7.3 Considerations for Other Road Users for further information.

Pedestrians

Fill in the appropriate check box on Form CEM-1302 based on whether there is no pedestrian access on the project, pedestrian access is not affected, temporary pedestrian access is provided in the project plans or protected pedestrian access has been provided in the project plans.

Bicycles

Fill in the appropriate check box on Form CEM-1302 based on whether there is no bicycle access on the project, bicycle access is not affected, temporary bicycle access is provided in the project plans or protected bicycle access has been provided in the project plans.

Step 3: Work Zone Activities Engineering Risk Analysis

Perform an engineering risk analysis for all work zone activities to determine the level of PWP needed.

Step 3.1: List Work Activities by Work Zone Location for Engineering Risk Analysis

List by work zone number on Form CEM-1302 the various major work activities by work zone location that will occur on the project, such as but not limited to, structure construction, bridge widening, sound wall construction, pavement widening, hot mix asphalt overlay, concrete slab replacement, pavement preservation treatment, landscaping or pavement delineation, etc.

For each work zone activity listed by location an engineering risk analysis must be performed to determine the required level of PWP.

The following factors and risk ratings are considered in the engineering risk analysis for determining the required level of PWP. For each of the five factors perform an assessment to determine the appropriate level of risk and record the information on Form CEM-1302.

Step 3.2: Duration of Work Zone Activity

The exposure of a worker being struck by an errant vehicle is roughly proportional to the amount of time unprotected workers are present at the site. Short duration work activity at a location such as joint repair, pavement resurfacing, or traffic control installation/removal, are lower risk and are less likely to justify the use of PWP barrier systems, particularly if mitigation control measures are used. Stationary impact attenuator vehicles and mobile barrier systems used as PWP should be considered for short duration work.

The duration of work activity risk assessment rating is based on the work durations shown:

| Work Duration Factor | | | | | | |
|---|------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Work Activity (duration) | Risk (rating) | | | | | |
| LONG-TERM STATIONARY WORK GREATER THAN SIX MONTHS | Extremely High | | | | | |
| LONG-TERM STATIONARY WORK THREE TO SIX MONTHS | High | | | | | |
| LONG-TERM STATIONARY WORK MORE THAN THREE DAYS AND LESS THAN THREE MONTHS | Moderate | | | | | |
| INTERMEDIATE ¹ STATIONARY WORK | Low | | | | | |
| SHORT-TERM ² STATIONARY, SHORT DURATION ³ AND MOVING CLOSURES | Extremely Low | | | | | |

Notes:

- 1. Work at a location more than one daylight period up to three days, or night-time (hours of darkness per CVC definition) work lasting more than one hour.
- 2. Daytime work that occupies a location for more than one hour within a single daylight period.
- 3. Work that occupies a location up to one hour.

Step 3.3: Worker Exposure


Work zone activities that place workers close to live high speed traffic lanes for extended periods are candidates for PWP. As the lateral buffer space between an adjacent open traffic lane and workers decreases, the justification for using PWP becomes stronger.

Worker exposure risk rating is based on the following:

| Worker Exposure Factor | | | | | | | | |
|------------------------|---------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Lateral buffer space | Risk | | | | | | | |
| between workers | (rating) | | | | | | | |
| and adjacent open | | | | | | | | |
| traffic lane (feet) | | | | | | | | |
| Less than 6 | High | | | | | | | |
| >6-15 | Moderate | | | | | | | |
| >15-30 | Low | | | | | | | |
| >30 | Extremely Low | | | | | | | |

Step 3.4: Posted Speed (Before Work Activity Begins)

In a crash, road user and worker injury severity increase with speed. Data for pedestrian injury and death shown below also apply to highway workers not provided with PWP.



Source: AAA Foundation for Traffic Safety

The figures graphically illustrate risk of severe injury (left figure) and risk of death (right figure) for pedestrians struck by a motor vehicle as a function of speed at impact based on a 1994-98 sample of 422 U.S. pedestrians aged 15+. The dotted lines represent the 95% statistical confidence intervals.

When evaluating for PWP, both the posted speed limit and the 85th percentile speed should be considered. Evaluation is to be based on the existing posted speed limits and not the work zone reduced speed limit.

The work zone speed assessment risk rating is based on the speeds shown in miles per hour:

| Posted Speed Factor | | | | | | | |
|---------------------|----------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Posted Speed | Risk | | | | | | |
| (mph) | (rating) | | | | | | |
| 55 OR GREATER | Extremely High | | | | | | |
| 40-50 | High | | | | | | |
| 30-35 | Moderate | | | | | | |
| 25 OR LESS | Low | | | | | | |

Step 3.5: Traffic Volume

Although the risk of a crash generally increases with traffic volume, crash severity may decrease under high volume conditions because of reduced speeds during times of congestion. Traffic that fluctuates between free-flow and stop-and-go conditions can be of greatest concern. As the volume of commercial trucks increases, so does the risk of heavy vehicle intrusion into the work zone. Urban freeways are often viable candidates for PWP because of high traffic volumes and a greater likelihood of unstable (stop-and-go) traffic.

Speed, volume, and worker risk relationships are complicated. On a lightly traveled roadway, the risk of an errant vehicle striking a worker can be expected to rise roughly in proportion to the traffic volume. In moderately heavy traffic on a roadway with two or more lanes, vehicle-to-vehicle interactions begin to occur, a worker might be at least partially shielded from an errant vehicle by another vehicle in an adjacent lane. Traffic speed tends to decrease as traffic volumes increase close to roadway capacity.

Using vehicle volumes to determine risk factors is extremely complex. Level of Service (LOS) is a term used to qualitatively describe the operating conditions of a roadway based on factors such as speed, travel time, maneuverability, delay, and safety. Refer to the Highway Capacity Manual for a description of the six LOS categories A through F, where LOS A represents free-flow traffic conditions, and LOS F represents high-density stop-and-go traffic flow conditions.

The work zone volume assessment risk rating is based on the LOS shown:

| Traffic Volume Factor | | | | | | | |
|-----------------------|---------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Level of Service | Risk | | | | | | |
| (LOS) | (rating) | | | | | | |
| E | High | | | | | | |
| D or F | Moderate | | | | | | |
| C | Low | | | | | | |
| A-B | Extremely Low | | | | | | |

The LOS determination must consider the percentage of trucks especially for high speed roadways with exceptionally high truck volumes, for example, roads serving seaports or intermodal rail terminals.

Step 3.6: Location of Work

PWP should be considered at sites where workers cannot easily escape the path of errant drivers.

The work location assessment risk rating is based on the location types shown:

| Location of Work Factor | | | | | | |
|--|------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Location (type) | Risk (rating) | | | | | |
| BRIDGE STRUCTURE, DROP-OFFS 2 FEET OR GREATER, FILLS OR CUTS GREATER THAN 2:1, OR CONFINED AREAS WITH NO ESCAPE ROUTE FOR WORKERS | High | | | | | |
| AREAS WITH BARRIERS OR OTHER IMPEDIMENTS THAT HAVE A REASONABLE ESCAPE ROUTE FOR WORKERS | Moderate | | | | | |
| AREAS THAT HAVE A VIABLE ESCAPE ROUTE | Low | | | | | |
| OPEN AREA WITH MULTIPLE ESCAPE ROUTES FOR WORKERS | Extremely Low | | | | | |

Step 3.7: Risk Assessment Score

Total the points recorded for each factor to determine the risk assessment score for each work zone location.

| Score | Action to be Taken |
|--------------|---|
| 36 or more | PWP devices required |
| 20-35 | PWP devices must be used where possible or mitigation is required to reduce worker exposure |
| Less than 20 | Use standard temporary traffic control |

Fill in the appropriate box for the level of PWP required for the work zone activity on Form CEM-1302.

Step 3.8: Determine the PWP Device for Each Work Zone

Refer to Section 5.0 PWP Devices for information regarding the various PWP devices. For work zone activities with scores that require PWP devices, determine the appropriate PWP device to be used based on Section 6.0 Evaluation Steps. If PWP is not provided for a work zone activity with a total rating score of 20-35, provide the reason why PWP is not feasible on Form CEM-1302.

Step 3.9: Determine Mitigation Measures for Work Zones

For work zone activities with scores that require mitigation measures, determine the appropriate mitigation measure(s) to be used based on Section 6.0 Evaluation Steps. Selection of mitigation measures should be based on the mitigation measure information provided in Section 8.0 Mitigation Measures.

Mitigation measures may also be applied in conjunction with PWP devices within work zones. Fill in the appropriate check box for the mitigation measures provided in the project on Form CEM-1302.

Step 4: Exception to Required Use of PWP

If the required PWP is not feasible for a work zone activity the project engineer must provide the reason for an exception not to use the required PWP. Provide the required documentation of the reason for not providing PWP on Form CEM-1302.

Exceptions must be approved by the Deputy District Directors of Traffic Operations and Construction.

Step 5: PWP Based on Engineering Judgement

There may be other considerations justifying the use of PWP based on engineering judgment. It is possible that a site could include a situation that does not meet the criteria for PWP based on the risk analysis procedure described above; however, PWP is justified based on sound engineering judgment.

Although it is not possible to create a comprehensive list of all higher-risk situations, some examples include:

- Roadways with nonstandard curves, shoulders, sight distance or other nonstandard features near the work zone activity area.
- Locations where ramps or lane closure merges could adversely affect traffic flow. See Standard Plans T2, T3A and T3B for further information.
- When operating two-way traffic on one side of a divided highway in median crossover lane closure conditions, consider further temporary speed reduction and use of PWP separating opposing directions of traffic.

Step 6: Project Engineer or Project Sponsor Seal and Signature

Form CEM-1302 documents the engineering analysis completed by an appropriate licensed engineer. Therefore, the project engineer must provide a seal and signature on the document.

For encroachment permit projects, the project sponsor is responsible for documenting the selection of PWP or mitigation measures including completion of form CEM-1302.

Step 7: Exception Approval

If the project engineer has determined that an exception to the requirement for providing PWP is necessary, then concurrence from the Deputy District Director of Design is required prior to seeking approval by the Deputy District Directors of Traffic Operations and Construction on Form CEM-1302.

For encroachment permits projects managed through the EPOP, if an exception to the requirement for providing PWP is justified, exception approval by the Deputy District Director of Traffic Operations is required. The exception for these projects does not require the approval of the Deputy District Director of Construction. Encroachment projects managed through the QMAP follow the same process as the Caltrans administered projects.

Step 8: Document Retention

Include the completed and signed Form CEM-1302, including any approved exception in the project history file and resident engineer's file.

10.0 Documenting Revisions, Additions or Exceptions to PWP During Construction and Other Work Activities

During construction and other work activities, changes to PWP may occur due to ordered changes or differing-site conditions. During construction the resident engineer is responsible for documenting revisions, additions, or exceptions for PWP or mitigation measures. Collaboration with district design and district traffic operations is encouraged.

Form CEM-1303 "Positive Work Zone Protection Supplement" in Appendix B provides a standard format for providing the necessary documentation for changes to PWP during construction. Form CEM-1303 allows the resident engineer to modify PWP based on:

- 1. Required use by policy and specifications
- 2. Pedestrian and bicyclist protection
- 3. Work zone activity engineering risk analysis
- 4. Engineering judgement

An engineering risk analysis must be performed on Form CEM-1303 similar to the analysis performed during the design of the project on Form CEM 1302 to determine changes in the need for PWP or mitigation measures during construction and other work activities.

If the resident engineer has determined that an exception to providing PWP included in the contract bid documents is necessary, then the reason for the exception must be provided on Form CEM-1303 and approval of the exception by the Deputy District Directors of Traffic Operations and Construction are required.

When a PWP supplement is necessary the resident engineer should work with the project engineer to determine who is best suited to complete the documentation. Changes to work zones and work activities that are requested by design should include the completed CEM-1303.

For guidance on completing Form CEM-1303, refer to Section 9.0 Engineering Analysis and Positive Protection Exception Documentation.

The completed and signed Form 1303, including any approved exception is to be filed in the project file Category 13 Signs and Striping. Provide a copy of completed Form CEM-1303 to the project engineer and ensure a copy is placed in the project history file.

For encroachment permit projects managed through the EPOP, the project sponsor is responsible for documenting revisions, additions, or exceptions for PWP or mitigation measures. A copy of the documentation must be provided to the Caltrans representative overseeing the project. Any changes or exceptions to approved PWP implementation or exceptions must be submitted to Caltrans for review and approval. Exceptions require documentation using Form CEM-1303 and approval by the Deputy District Director of Traffic Operations.

Exceptions on these projects do not require the approval by the Deputy District Director of Construction. Encroachment projects managed through the QMAP follow the same process as the Caltrans administered projects.

Appendix A POSITIVE WORK ZONE PROTECTION FORMS CEM-1302 AND CEM-1303

CEM-1302 POSITIVE WORK ZONE PROTECTION DETERMINATION CEM-1303 POSITIVE WORK ZONE PROTECTION SUPPLEMENT

| | | | | | | | Date | | |
|---|--|---|---------------|----------------|-----------------------|------------|--|--|--|
| To Project File | and Posident Fra | inoor Donding File | | | | | ENDITURE AUTHORIZATION ENCROACHMENT PERMIT | | |
| FROM | e and Resident Eng | meer renaing file | | COUNTY | ECT IDENTIFIER NUMBER | | | | |
| BEGIN POST MILE END POST | | | | | | | | | |
| Project Eng | gineer or Project Sp | oonsor | | | | | | | |
| REQUIRED POSITIVE WORK ZONE PROTECTION | | | | | | | | | |
| Project requires positive work zone protection for the following: (Check all that apply.) | | | | | | | | | |
| ☐ Falsew | ork protection | | | | | | | | |
| ☐ Bridge | widening | | | | | | | | |
| ☐ Bridge | rail replacement | | | | | | | | |
| | | positive protection de | | | | | | | |
| | tion of temporarily ι cations) | inprotected permane | nt obstacle | s (Section 7 | 7-1.04 Pub | lic Safet | y of the <i>Standard</i> | | |
| | material or equipm of the <i>Standard Sp</i> e | | 5 feet of the | e edge of a | n open traf | fic lane (| (Section 7-1.04 Public | | |
| | | ar edge of the excavery ety of the <i>Standard S</i> | | | rom the ed | lge of an | open traffic lane | | |
| ☐ Exc | ception steel plate o | covers required on co | nventional | highways o | nly. | | | | |
| | ception for roadway | widening slope of 4: | 1 (horizonta | al : vertical) | or less is | specified | l (Standard Special | | |
| ☐ When \ | work operations cre | eate a height different c Safety of the <i>Stand</i> | | | eet within 1 | 5 feet of | f the edge of a traffic | | |
| ☐ Exc | ception for divided r | nultilane highways h | eight differe | ential less th | | | ed when tapered notch | | |
| wed | age is used (Section | n 39-2.01C(4)(b) Tap | erea Notch | Eage of th | e Standard | з Ѕресіті | cations. | | |
| | PEDESTRIA | N AND BICYCLE | POSITI | VE WOR | K ZONE | PROT | ECTION | | |
| Pedestrian | Access (Check on | e) | | | | | | | |
| ☐ No ped | lestrian access. | | | | | | | | |
| ☐ Pedest | rian proximity to he | avy or fast-moving tr | affic has no | t been cha | nged by pr | oject. | | | |
| ☐ Tempo | rary pedestrian acc | cess route provided. | | | | | | | |
| ☐ Pedest | rian positive work z | one protection provi | ded due to | proximity to | work activ | ∕ity, hea∖ | y or fast-moving traffic. | | |
| Bicycle Acc | cess (Check one) | | | | | | | | |
| ☐ No bicy | cle access. | | | | | | | | |
| ☐ Bicycle | proximity to heavy | or fast-moving traffic | c has not be | en change | d by projec | ct. | | | |
| ☐ Tempo | rary bicycle route p | rovided. | | | | | | | |
| ☐ Bicycle | positive work zone | protection provided | due to prox | cimity to hea | avy or fast | -moving | traffic. | | |
| WOI | RK ACTIVITIES | REQUIRING W | ORK ZON | NE ENGI | NEERING | G RISK | ASSESMENT | | |
| WORK ZONE | ROUTE | DIRECTION | BEGIN POS | ST MILE | END POST | MILE | DURATION (Days) | | |
| NUMBER | LOCATION | | WORK ACT | WORK ACTIVITY | | | STAGE/PHASE NUMBER | | |
| WORK ZONE | ROUTE | DIRECTION | BEGIN POS | ST MILE | END POST | MILE | DURATION (Days) | | |
| NUMBER | LOCATION | | WORK ACT | TIVITY | | | STAGE/PHASE NUMBER | | |
| WORK ZONE | ROUTE | DIRECTION | BEGIN POS | ST MILE | END POST | MILE | DURATION (Days) | | |
| NUMBER | LOCATION | • | WORK ACT | TIVITY | | | STAGE/PHASE NUMBER | | |

| DISTR | ICT EXPENDITURE AUTHORIZATION COUNTY ROUTE BEGIN POST MILE OR ENCROACHMENT PERMIT | | | | | | | END POST I | MILE | |
|--|--|-----------------------------------|-------------|--------|---------------|--------------|-----------|-------------------|-----------------|--------|
| WORK ZONE ENGINEERING RISK ANALYSIS RATING | | | | | | | | | | |
| WORK | | ROUTE | DIRECTION | | | IN POST N | | END POST MILE | DURATION (Days) | |
| ZONE NUMB | JMBER LOCATION WORK ZONE ACTIVITY | | | | <u> </u> Y | STAGE/PHA | SE NUMBER | | | |
| For each factor based on your assessment choose which condition best describes | | | | | | the work are | ea. | | | |
| FACTOR 1- DURATION OF SPECIFIC WORK ZONE ACTIVITY | | | | | | POINTS | RATING | | | |
| LONG-TERM STATIONARY WORK DURATION GREATER THAN SIX MONTHS | | | | | 10 | | | | | |
| CHOOSE ONE CONDITION | LON MOI | G-TERM STAION NTHS | ARY WORK D | URATIC | O NC | F THRE | E MON | THS TO SIX | 8 | |
| OOSE | LON LES | G-TERM STAION S THAN THREE M | | URATIC | N N | IORE TH | AN TH | REE DAYS AND | 6 | |
| 끉) | INT | ERMEDIATE STAT | TONARY WOR | RK | | | | | 3 | |
| | SHC | ORT-TERM STATIO | DNARY, SHOP | RT DUR | ATIC | I DNA NO | MOVIN | G CLOSURES | 0 | |
| FAC | TOR | 2 -WORKER EX | POSURE | | | | | | POINTS | RATING |
| | WO | RKERS ARE EXPE | ECTED TO BE | WITHIN | N TH | E WORK | CLEA | R ZONE (0-6 feet) | 10 | |
| ONE | | RKERS ARE EXPE HIN THE CONSTR | | | | | | AR ZONE, BUT | 6 | |
| OOSE | WORKERS ARE EXPECTED TO BE OUTSIDE THE WORK CLEAR ZONE, BUT WITHIN THE CONSTRUCTION CLEAR ZONE (>6-15 feet) WORKERS ARE EXPECTED TO BE OUTSIDE OF THE CONSTRUCTION CLEAR ZONE (>15 feet), BUT WITHIN THE AASHTO CLEAR ZONE (30 feet) WORKERS ARE EXPECTED TO BE OUTSIDE OF THE AASHTO CLEAR ZONE | | | | 3 | | | | | |
| 유 당 C | WORKERS ARE EXPECTED TO BE OUTSIDE OF THE AASHTO CLEAR ZONE (>30 feet) | | | | 0 | | | | | |
| FACTOR 3 – POSTED SPEED (Prior to Construction or Work Activity) | | | | | POINTS | RATING | | | | |
| 빌고 | POS | STED SPEEED IS | 55 MPH OR G | REATER | 3 | | | | 10 | |
| CONDITION | POS | TED SPEED IS 40 GARDLESS OF PO | | | PILO | T CAR C | PERA | ΓΙΟΝ | 6 | |
| CHOOSE | POS | STED SPEED IS 30 | MPH OR 35 | MPH | | | | | 3 | |
| <u>5</u> 0 | POS | STED SPEED IS 25 | MPH OR LES | SS | | | | | 0 | |
| FAC | TOR | 4 – TRAFFIC VC | DLUME | | | | | | POINTS | RATING |
| (0 | LEV | EL OF SERVICE E | | | | | | | 10 | |
| CHOOSE ONE LOS | LEV | EL OF SERVICE [| or F | | | | | | 6 | |
| 용 | LEV | EL OF SERVICE (| | | | | | | 3 | |
| | LEV | EL OF SERVICE A | A or B | | | | | | 0 | |
| FAC | FACTOR 5 – LOCATION OF WORK | | | | | POINTS | RATING | | | |
| ONE | BRIDGE STRUCTURE, DROP-OFFS 2 FEET OR GREATER, FILLS OR CUTS | | | | | 10 | | | | |
| OSE | GREATER THAN 2:1, OR CONFINED AREAS WITH NO ESCAPE ROUTE FOR WORKERS AREAS WITH BARRIERS OR OTHER IMPEDIMENTS THAT HAVE A REASONABLE ESCAPE ROUTE FOR WORKERS AREAS THAT HAVE A VIABLE ESCAPE ROUTE | | | | | | 6 | | | |
| 웃잉 | ARE | AS THAT HAVE A | VIABLE ESC. | APE RO | UTE | | | | 3 | |
| | | N AREA WITH MU | JLTIPLE ESC | APE RO | UTE | S FOR V | VORKE | RS | 0 | |
| тот | | | | | | | | | | |

CEM-1302 (06/28/2021)

| DISTRICT | EXPEN | IDITURE AUT | THORIZATION | COUNTY | ROUTE | BEGI | N POST MILE | END POST MILE | | |
|--|--------------------|--------------------------|-----------------|-------------|--------------|---|----------------------------------|----------------------|--|--|
| Based on | total p | oint score | for the work a | activity an | d location | deter | mine the action t | o be taken. | | |
| Scor | | | | | Action t | | | | | |
| 36 or m | | Positive | work zone | protection | | | | | | |
| 20-3 | | Positive | work zone | protectio | n devices | mus | t be used where worker exposi | | | |
| Less tha | an 20 | | ndard tempo | | | | Worker expect | <u> </u> | | |
| | | | · | • | | | | | | |
| POSITIVE WORK ZONE PROTECTION DETERMINATION | | | | | | | | | | |
| WORK ZONE | ROUTE | | DIRECTION | BE | GIN POST M | IILE | END POST MILE | DURATION (Days) | | |
| NUMBER | LOCAT | ION | | WC | RK ACTIVIT | Υ | | STAGE/PHASE NUMBER | | |
| TOTAL | □Ро | sitive Wor | k Zone Prote | ection Req | uired | | | • | | |
| SCORE | ☐ Po | sitive Wor | k Zone Prote | ection or M | litigation I | Measu | ıres Required | | | |
| | ☐ St | andard Te | mporary Traf | ffic Contro | l Required | d | | | | |
| POSITI | VE W | ORK ZO | NE PROTI | ECTION | МІТІ | GAT | ION MEASUR | RES CONTINUED | | |
| The follow | ving po | sitive work | zone protec | tion will | □Buf | ☐ Buffer Lanes | | | | |
| be used o | | | | | | Construction Zone Enhanced Enforcement | | | | |
| | • | oncrete Ba | ırrier | | | Program (COZEEP) | | | | |
| | Barrier de Barr | ier System | 1 | | | ☐ Traffic Handling/Transportation Management Techniques | | | | |
| | | r System | • | | | • | ed Flagger Assist | ance Devices | | |
| | | - | uator Vehicle | • | | | | sage Sign Instead of | | |
| | MITIG | ATION N | /IEASURE | S | | | Flagger | 99 | | |
| | ing mitig | ation meas | ures will be us | ed on this | ☐ Tra | ffic Br | eaks | | | |
| contract: | 7ana 0 | | Dadwatian | | ☐ Acc | elerat | ted Construction | Techniques | | |
| | | peea Limii ork Windov | Reduction | | | | Transverse Rum | ble Strips | | |
| | oad Clo | | WS | | ☐ Intr | usion | Alarms | | | |
| | | | -4i | ع اد داداد | | | 20.25 | | | |
| · | | one protec | tion is not pr | ovided for | rating sc | ore of | 20-35 provide re | ⊹ason why: | | |
| Reas | son: | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| EXCEP' | TION* | TO USE | OF REQU | JIRED P | OSITIV | E WO | ORK ZONE PI | ROTECTION | | |
| | | | | | | | hen rating score | | | |
| | | • | to provide po | | • | | _ | lo do el moro. | | |
| i (Ca | 5011 101 | ехсерион | to provide po | JSILIVE WOI | k Zone pi | Olecui | JII. | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| *Exception must be approved by DEPUTY DISTRICT DIRECTORS OF TRAFFIC OPERATIONS and | | | | | | | | | | |

CONSTRUCTION. For encroachment permit projects managed through the EPOP, only approval by DEPUTY DISTRICT DIRECTOR OF TRAFFIC OPERATIONS and CONSTRUCTION.

| DISTRICT | | AUTHORIZATION HMENT PERMIT | COUN | TY ROUTE | BEG | SIN POST MILE | END POST MILE |
|-----------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------|---------------|---------------|-------------------|--|
| DOCITIV | IE WORK 7 | ONE DROTEC | TION F | ACED ON | LENC | INCEDING HIE | CEMENT |
| PUSITIV | /E WORK Z | JNE PROTEC | IION E | SASED ON | ENG | INEERING JUI | DGEWIENT |
| ROUTE DIRECTION BEGII | | | BEGIN POST I | MILE | END POST MILE | DURATION (Days) | |
| LOCATION | | | | WORK ACTIVI | TY | | STAGE/PHASE NUMBER |
| | · | iding positive wo | | protection: | | | |
| Positive \ | Nork Zone Pr | otection Provide | d: | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | ENGINEER | DETERMINA | TION C | F POSITI | /E W | ORK ZONE PR | OTECTION |
| I have ev | | | | | and de | | L CALIFORNIA * Dropriate requirements |
| SIGNATU | | | PRINT | | | | DATE |
| | | | 1 | | | | |
| | | MUST BE | COMPLI | ETED FOR EX | CEPTIC | ONS ONLY | |
| D | EPUTY DIST | RICT DIRECT | OR OF | DESIGN | CON | CURRENCE OF | EXCEPTION |
| | | | | | | | the above reasons. |
| SIGNATU | RE | | PRIN | T NAME | | | DATE |
| | DEP | | | CTOR OF | | FFIC OPERATIONS | ONS |
| Exce | ption to provic | ling positive wor | k zone p | protection is | grante | ed based on the r | easons shown above. |
| SIGNATU | • | | PRINT | | | | DATE |
| | | | | | | | |
| | С | | | IRECTOR | | ONSTRUCTION | N . |
| | pachment peri ruction not re | mit project mana | | | | | outy District Director of |
| ☐ Exce | ption to provic | ling positive wor | k zone r | protection is | grante | ed based on the r | easons shown above. |
| SIGNATU | • | <u> </u> | PRINT | | <u> </u> | | DATE |
| I | | | i . | | | | <u> </u> |

CEM-1302 (06/28/2021)

GENERAL INFORMATION

- This form is to provide documentation that a project complies with the policy for providing positive work zone protection.
- Positive work zone protection is required based on:
 - Caltrans policies and specifications
 - Protection for pedestrians and bicycles
 - Work zone activity engineering risk analysis
 - o Engineering judgement
- Refer to Design Information Bulletin 91 Positive Work Zone Protection guidance.

FORM (To be completed by the project engineer or project sponsor)

- DISTRICT: Enter the district number of the project.
- EXPENDITURE AUTHORIZATION: Enter the project expenditure authorization or encroachment permit number for projects managed through the Encroachment Permit Office Process (EPOP).
- **COUNTY:** Enter the abbreviation for the county where the project is located.
- **ROUTE:** Enter the route number for the project.
- PROJECT IDENTIFIER NUMBER: Enter the project identifier number.
- **BEGIN POST MILE / END POST MILE:** Enter the begin and end post miles for the project limits.

REQUIRED POSITIVE WORK ZONE PROTECTION

• Check boxes for positive work zone protection provided for in the project plans based on Caltrans policies and specifications for required positive protection.

PEDESTRIAN AND BICYCLE POSITIVE WORK ZONE PROTECTION

- Check appropriate check box for pedestrian access based on whether there is
 pedestrian access on the project, pedestrian access is not affected, temporary
 pedestrian access is provided in the project plans or protected pedestrian access has
 been provided in the project plans.
- Check appropriate check box for bicycle access based on whether there is no bicycle
 access on the project, bicycle access is not affected by the project or temporary
 bicycle route is provided in the project plans.

WORK ACTIVITIES REQUIRING WORK ZONE ENGINEERING RISK ASSESMENT

Determine the various major work activities by work zone location that will occur on the
project, such as, structure construction, bridge widening, sound wall construction,
pavement widening, hot mix asphalt overlay, concrete slab replacement or
landscaping.

List by work zone each work zone activity and provide the following information:

- **ROUTE:** Enter the route number for the project.
- DIRECTION: Enter direction of travel.
- BEGIN POST MILE / END POST MILE: Enter the begin and end post miles for the project limits.
- DURATION: How many days will work activity affect traffic.
- **WORK ACTIVITY:** Enter the type of work activity for the entire project or phase/stage of the project.

CEM-1302 (06/28/2021)

WORK ACTIVITIES REQUIRING WORK ZONE ENGINEERING RISK ASSESMENT CONTINUED

- LOCATION: Enter general description of the location of the work.
- **STAGE/PHASE NUMBER:** For multiple work activities at the same location, enter the stage or phase number for the work activity.

WORK ZONE ENGINEERING RISK ANALYSIS RATING

For each work zone activity, perform a work zone engineering risk analysis to determine if positive work zone protection, mitigation measures or standard traffic control is required.

For the work zone risk analysis, the definitions for work duration are:

- o Long-term stationary is work that occupies a location more than six months.
- o Long-term stationary work duration of three to six months.
- Long-term stationary work duration more than three days and less than three months.
- Intermediate-term stationary work at a location more than one daylight period up to three days, or night-time (hours of darkness per CVC definition) work lasting more than one hour.
- Short-term stationary daytime work that occupies a location for more than one hour within a single daylight period, short duration work that occupies a location up to one hour, or mobile is work that moves intermittently or continuously.

For each factor of a work zone activity risk analysis, choose which condition best describes each work zone based on your risk assessment.

- FACTOR 1: Check "box" for the estimated duration of the work activity.
- FACTOR 2: Check "box" based on worker exposure.
- FACTOR 3: Check "box" based on posted speed limit prior to construction or work activity.
- FACTOR 4: Check "box" based on the traffic volume level of service.
- FACTOR 5: Check "box" based on location of work.
- Enter the points for each factor in the factor points column based on the condition box checked for the work zone activity.
- Total the points from the five factors to determine the risk score for the work zone activity.

POSITIVE WORK ZONE PROTECTION DETERMINATION

- Based on the risk assessment rating total score, check the "box" for the required action to be taken:
- 36 or more Positive work zone protection is required
- 20 35 Positive work zone protection or mitigation measure is required to reduce worker exposure
- Less than 20 -Standard temporary traffic control is required.
- Check the "box" of appropriate positive work zone protection devices to be included in the contract specifications and bid items.
- Check the "box" of appropriate mitigation measures that will be included in the contract specifications and bid items.
- If positive protection is not provided for rating of 20-30 provide reason why positive protection is not feasible in the box provided.
- Provide reason for exception to not providing positive work zone protection when rating is 36 or more as required by the policy in the box provided.

CEM-1302 (06/28/2021)

POSITIVE WORK ZONE PROTECTION BASED ON ENGINEERING JUDGEMENT

When use of positive work zone protection is based on engineering judgement the
document the reason why the use of positive protection is necessary and the type of
positive protection that will be used. The documentation must include the location
information and work activity.

List by work zone each work zone activity and provide the following information:

- ROUTE: Enter the route number for the project.
- **DIRECTION:** Enter direction of travel.
- **BEGIN POST MILE / END POST MILE:** Enter the begin and end post miles for the project limits.
- DURATION: How many days will work activity affect traffic.
- **WORK ACTIVITY:** Enter the type of work activity for the entire project or phase/stage of the project.
- LOCATION: Enter general description of the location of the work.
- **STAGE/PHASE NUMBER:** For multiple work activities at the same location, enter the stage or phase number for the work activity.

ENGINEER DETERMINATION OF POSITIVE WORK ZONE PROTECTION

Project positive work zone protection determination must be sealed by a registered professional engineer. For encroachment permit projects managed through the EPOP, the project sponsor must sign and seal.

- **REGISTRATION SEAL:** Complete the registration seal by providing the name, registration number and expiration date of the engineer responsible for preparing the project positive work zone protection determination.
- PROJECT ENGINEER OR PROJECT SPONSOR: Signature and printed name.
- DATE: Date signed.

DEPUTY DISTRICT DIRECTOR OF DESIGN CONCURENCE OF EXCEPTION

This section must be completed for exceptions only. For encroachment permit projects managed through the EPOP, concurrence by the Deputy District Director of Design is not required.

- CONCURRENCE: Check the box for exception concurrence.
- **DEPUTY:** Signature and printed name.
- DATE: Date signed by the deputy

DEPUTY DISTRICT DIRECTOR OF TRAFFIC OPERATIONS AND CONSTRUCTION APPROVAL OF EXCEPTIONS

This section must be completed for exceptions only. For encroachment permit projects managed through the EPOP, only approval by the Deputy District Director of Traffic Operations is required.

- APPROVAL: Check the box for exception approval.
- **DEPUTY:** Signature and printed name.
- DATE: Date signed by the deputy.

STATE OF CALIFORNIA - DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION **POSITIVE WORK ZONE PROTECTION SUPPLEMENT** CEM-1302 (06/28/2021)

STATE OF CALIFORNIA - DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

POSITIVE WORK ZONE PROTECTION SUPPLEMENT

| | | | | | | | Date | | |
|--|---|------------------------------------|-----------------|-----------|---------------|--|--------------------|--|--|
| To Project File | | | DISTRICT | | | I EXPENDITURE AUTHORIZATION OR ENCROACHMENT PERMIT | | | |
| FROM | 5 | | COUNTY | ROUTE | | PROJECT IDENTIFIER NUMBER | | | |
| FROM | | | BEGIN POST MILE | | | END POST MILE | | | |
| WORK ZONE INFORMATION | | | | | | | | | |
| WORK ZONE | ROUTE | DIRECTION | BEGIN PO | ST MILE | END POST MILE | | DURATION (Days) | | |
| NUMBER | LOCATION | | WORK ACTIVITY | | | | STAGE/PHASE NUMBER | | |
| SUPPLEMENT TYPE | | | | | | | | | |
| This positive work zone protection supplement provides for a positive work zone protection: (check one) Addition Revision | | | | | | | | | |
| Excep | tion | | | | | | | | |
| | | SUPPLEM | IENT JUS | STIFICAT | ΓΙΟΝ | | | | |
| | POSITIVE WORK ZON | IE PROTECTION tection supplemen | t is hased | on: (Chec | k one) | | | | |
| | • | and specifications | i is baseu | on. (Chec | K OHE) | | | | |
| | trian and bicyclist | • | | | | | | | |
| ☐ Work 2 | zone activity engi | neering risk analys | is | | | | | | |
| ☐ Engine | eering judgement | | | | | | | | |
| Positive w | Positive work zone protection supplement is required because: | | | | | | | | |
| Reason: | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| Positive w | vork zone protecti | on to be used: | | | | | | | |
| Mitigation | measure to be u | sed: | | | | | | | |
| | POS | ITIVE WORK ZO | NE PRO | TECTIO | N EXCEP | TION* | ☐ Not Required | | |
| Deleting positive work zone protection provided for the contract is required because: | | | | | | | | | |
| Rea | ason: | | | | | | | | |
| *Exception must be approved by DEPUTY DISTRICT DIRECTORS OF TRAFFIC OPERATIONS and CONSTRUCTION. For encroachment permit projects managed through the EPOP, only approval by the DEPUTY DISTRICT DIRECTOR OF TRAFFIC OPERATIONS is required. | | | | | | | | | |

| WORK ZONE ENGINEERING RISK ANALYSIS RATING | E NUMBER |
|--|-------------------------|
| WORK ZONE NUMBER ROUTE DIRECTION BEGIN POST MILE END POST MILE DURATION (D ZONE NUMBER LOCATION WORK ACTIVITY STAGE/PHASI For each factor based on your assessment choose which condition best describes the work area FACTOR 1- DURATION OF SPECIFIC WORK ACTIVITY POINTS LONG-TERM STATIONARY WORK DURATION GREATER THAN SIX MONTHS 10 LONG-TERM STATIONARY WORK DURATION OF THREE MONTHS TO SIX MONTHS LONG-TERM STATIONARY WORK DURATION MORE THAN THREE DAYS AND 6 LESS THAN THREE MONTHS NOTE: The property of the pr | Pays) E NUMBER RATING |
| For each factor based on your assessment choose which condition best describes the work area FACTOR 1- DURATION OF SPECIFIC WORK ACTIVITY LONG-TERM STATIONARY WORK DURATION GREATER THAN SIX MONTHS LONG-TERM STATIONARY WORK DURATION OF THREE MONTHS TO SIX MONTHS LONG-TERM STATIONARY WORK DURATION MORE THAN THREE DAYS AND LESS THAN THREE MONTHS INTERMEDIATE STATIONARY WORK SHORT-TERM STATIONARY, SHORT DURATION AND MOVING CLOSURES WORKERS ARE EXPECTED TO BE WITHIN THE WORK CLEAR ZONE (0-6 feet) WORK ACTIVITY STAGE/PHASI WORK ACTIVITY STAGE/PHASI WORK ACTIVITY POINTS FOINTS FACTOR 1- DURATION OF SPECIFIC WORK ACTIVITY POINTS 8 STAGE/PHASI FOINTS | RATING |
| FACTOR 1- DURATION OF SPECIFIC WORK ACTIVITY LONG-TERM STATIONARY WORK DURATION GREATER THAN SIX MONTHS LONG-TERM STATIONARY WORK DURATION OF THREE MONTHS TO SIX MONTHS LONG-TERM STATIONARY WORK DURATION MORE THAN THREE DAYS AND LESS THAN THREE MONTHS INTERMEDIATE STATIONARY WORK SHORT-TERM STATIONARY, SHORT DURATION AND MOVING CLOSURES WORKERS ARE EXPECTED TO BE WITHIN THE WORK CLEAR ZONE (0-6 feet) WORKERS ARE EXPECTED TO BE WITHIN THE WORK CLEAR ZONE (0-6 feet) 10 | RATING |
| LONG-TERM STATIONARY WORK DURATION OF THREE MONTHS TO SIX MONTHS LONG-TERM STATIONARY WORK DURATION OF THREE MONTHS TO SIX MONTHS LONG-TERM STATIONARY WORK DURATION MORE THAN THREE DAYS AND LESS THAN THREE MONTHS INTERMEDIATE STATIONARY WORK SHORT-TERM STATIONARY, SHORT DURATION AND MOVING CLOSURES WORKERS ARE EXPECTED TO BE WITHIN THE WORK CLEAR ZONE (0-6 feet) WORKERS ARE EXPECTED TO BE WITHIN THE WORK CLEAR ZONE (0-6 feet) 10 | |
| LONG-TERM STATIONARY WORK DURATION OF THREE MONTHS TO SIX MONTHS LONG-TERM STATIONARY WORK DURATION MORE THAN THREE DAYS AND LESS THAN THREE MONTHS INTERMEDIATE STATIONARY WORK SHORT-TERM STATIONARY, SHORT DURATION AND MOVING CLOSURES POINTS WORKERS ARE EXPECTED TO BE WITHIN THE WORK CLEAR ZONE (0-6 feet) 10 | RATING |
| SHORT-TERM STATIONARY, SHORT DURATION AND MOVING CLOSURES 0 FACTOR 2 -WORKER EXPOSURE POINTS WORKERS ARE EXPECTED TO BE WITHIN THE WORK CLEAR ZONE (0-6 feet) 10 | RATING |
| SHORT-TERM STATIONARY, SHORT DURATION AND MOVING CLOSURES 0 FACTOR 2 -WORKER EXPOSURE POINTS WORKERS ARE EXPECTED TO BE WITHIN THE WORK CLEAR ZONE (0-6 feet) 10 | RATING |
| SHORT-TERM STATIONARY, SHORT DURATION AND MOVING CLOSURES 0 FACTOR 2 -WORKER EXPOSURE POINTS WORKERS ARE EXPECTED TO BE WITHIN THE WORK CLEAR ZONE (0-6 feet) 10 | RATING |
| FACTOR 2 -WORKER EXPOSURE WORKERS ARE EXPECTED TO BE WITHIN THE WORK CLEAR ZONE (0-6 feet) 10 | RATING |
| WORKERS ARE EXPECTED TO BE WITHIN THE WORK CLEAR ZONE (0-6 feet) 10 | RATING |
| WORKERS ARE EXPECTED TO BE WITHIN THE WORK CLEAR ZONE (0-6 feet) WORKERS ARE EXPECTED TO BE OUTSIDE THE WORK CLEAR ZONE (>6 WORKERS ARE EXPECTED TO BE OUTSIDE OF THE CONSTRUCTION WORKERS ARE EXPECTED TO BE OUTSIDE OF THE CONSTRUCTION OO OO CLEAR ZONE (>15 feet), BUT WITHIN THE AASHTO CLEAR ZONE (30 feet) | |
| WORKERS ARE EXPECTED TO BE OUTSIDE THE WORK CLEAR ZONE (>6 feet), BUT WITHIN THE CONSTRUCTION CLEAR ZONE (15 feet) WORKERS ARE EXPECTED TO BE OUTSIDE OF THE CONSTRUCTION OF CLEAR ZONE (>15 feet), BUT WITHIN THE AASHTO CLEAR ZONE (30 feet) | |
| WORKERS ARE EXPECTED TO BE OUTSIDE OF THE CONSTRUCTION CLEAR ZONE (>15 feet), BUT WITHIN THE AASHTO CLEAR ZONE (30 feet) | |
| | |
| WORKERS ARE EXPECTED TO BE OUTSIDE OF THE AASHTO CLEAR ZONE (>30 feet) | |
| FACTOR 3 - POSTED SPEED (Prior to Construction or WORK ACTIVITY) POINTS | RATING |
| POSTED SPEEED IS 55 MPH OR GREATER 10 | |
| POSTED SPEED IS 55 MPH OR GREATER POSTED SPEED IS 40 MPH – 50 MPH, Or PILOT CAR OPERATION REGARDLESS OF POSTED SPEED POSTED SPEED IS 30 MPH OR 35 MPH POSTED SPEED IS 25 MPH OR LESS O POSTED SPEED IS 25 MPH OR LESS O | |
| POSTED SPEED IS 30 MPH OR 35 MPH 3 | |
| POSTED SPEED IS 25 MPH OR LESS 0 | |
| FACTOR 4 – TRAFFIC VOLUME POINTS | RATING |
| LEVEL OF SERVICE E 10 | |
| LEVEL OF SERVICE C 6 LEVEL OF SERVICE C 3 | |
| 웃이 LEVEL OF SERVICE C 3 | |
| LEVEL OF SERVICE A or B 0 | |
| FACTOR 5 – LOCATION OF WORK POINTS | RATING |
| BRIDGE STRUCTURE, DROP-OFFS 2 FEET OR GREATER, FILLS OR CUTS 10 | |
| GREATER THAN 2:1, OR CONFINED AREAS WITH NO ESCAPE ROUTE FOR WORKERS AREAS WITH BARRIERS OR OTHER IMPEDIMENTS THAT HAVE A REASONABLE ESCAPE ROUTE FOR WORKERS AREAS THAT HAVE A VIABLE ESCAPE ROUTE 3 | |
| AREAS THAT HAVE A VIABLE ESCAPE ROUTE 3 | |
| OPEN AREA WITH MULTIPLE ESCAPE ROUTES FOR WORKERS 0 | |
| TOTAL SCORE: | |

| DISTRICT EXPENDITURE AUTHORIZATION COUNTY ROUTE BEGIN POST MILE END POST MILE OR ENCROACHMENT PERMIT | | | | | | | | | E | |
|--|-------|-----|-----------|-------------|----------------|--|---------------|--------------------|------|--|
| Based on total point score for the work activity and location determine the action to be taken. | | | | | | | | | | |
| Score Action to be Taken | | | | | | | | | | |
| 36 or more Positive work zone protection devices required | | | | | | | | | | |
| 20-35 Positive work zone protection devices must be used where possible or mitigation measures are required to reduce worker exposure | | | | | | | | | | |
| 20 or less Use standard temporary traffic control | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| POSITIVE WORK ZONE PROTECTION DETERMINATION | | | | | | | | | | |
| WORK ZONE | ROUTE | Ē | DIRECTION | | EGIN POST MILE | | END POST MILE | DURATION (Days) | | |
| NUMBER | LOCAT | TON | • | ORK ACTIVIT | Υ | | STAGE/PHASE | STAGE/PHASE NUMBER | | |
| TOTAL SCORE | | | | | | | | | | |
| POSITIVE WORK ZONE PROTECTION MITIGATION MEASURES CONTINUED | | | | | | | | | | |
| The following positive work zone protection will be used on this contract: Temporary Concrete Barrier Steel Barrier Movable Barrier System Mobile Barrier System Stationary Impact Attenuator Vehicle MITIGATION MEASURES The following mitigation measures will be used on this contract: Work Zone Speed Limit Reduction Expanded Work Windows Full Road Closure Buffer Lanes Construction Zone Enhanced Enforcement Program (COZEEP) Traffic Handling/Transportation Management Techniques Automated Flagger Assistance Devices Portable Changeable Message Sign Instead of Advance Flagger Traffic Breaks Accelerated Construction Techniques Portable Transverse Rumble Strips Intrusion Alarms | | | | | | | | | nent | |
| If positive work zone protection is not provided for rating score of 20-35 provide reason why: Reason: | | | | | | | | | | |
| EXCEPTION* TO USE OF REQUIRED POSITIVE WORK ZONE PROTECTION | | | | | | | | | | |
| Exception to not provide required positive work zone protection when rating score is 36 or more: Reason for exception to provide positive work zone protection: | | | | | | | | | | |
| *Exception must be approved by DEPUTY DISTRICT DIRECTORS OF TRAFFIC OPERATIONS and CONSTRUCTION. For encroachment permit projects managed through the EPOP, only approval by the DEPUTY DISTRICT DIRECTOR OF TRAFFIC OPERATIONS is required. | | | | | | | | | | |

| DISTRICT | DISTRICT EXPENDITURE AUTHORIZATION OR ENCROACHMENT PERMIT | | | OUNTY ROUTE BEGIN PO | | | N POST MII | OST MILE ENI | | O POST MILE | |
|--|---|----|---------|----------------------|--------|----------|--------------|--------------|-------|------------------|--|
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| POSITIVE WORK ZONE PROTECTION BASED ON ENGINEERING JUDGEMENT | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | ☐ Not Required | |
| ROUTE | DIRECTION | ON | | BEGIN F | POST M | ILE | END POS | TMILE | DUF | RATION (Days) | |
| LOCATION WORK ACTIVITY STAGE/PHASE NUMBER | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Reason for providing positive work zone protection: Positive Work Zone Protection Provided: | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| ENGINEER POSITIVE WORK ZONE PROTECTION SUPPLEMENT | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Registered Civil Engineer Date PROFESSIONAL CIVIL PROFESSIONAL CIV | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | orate into the contract | | | | | ina ac | , torrinio a | шо арр | торпе | ato roquiromonto | |
| SIGNATU | RE | | PRINT I | NAME | | | | | | DATE | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| MUST BE COMPLETED FOR EXCEPTIONS ONLY | | | | | | | | | | | |
| DEPUTY DISTRICT DIRECTOR OF TRAFFIC OPERATIONS APPROVAL OF EXCEPTIONS | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Exception to providing positive work zone protection is granted based on the reasons shown above. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| SIGNATU | | 1 | PRINT | | | 91 41110 | - Buccu | | 1 | DATE | |
| 01014/110 | | | | | | | | | | DATE | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| DEPUTY DISTRICT DIRECTOR OF CONSTRUCTION APPROVAL OF EXCEPTIONS | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Encroachment permit project managed through the EPOP, approval by Deputy District Director of Construction is not required. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Exception to providing positive work zone protection is granted based on the reasons shown above. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| SIGNATU | | | PRINT I | | • | | | | | DATE | |

GENERAL INFORMATION

- This form is to provide documentation when an addition, revision or exception is required on a project for compliance with the policy for providing positive work zone protection.
- Positive work zone protection is required based on:
 - Caltrans policies and specifications
 - Protection for pedestrians and bicycles
 - Work zone activity engineering risk analysis
 - Engineering judgement
- Refer to Design Information Bulletin 91 Positive Work Zone guidance.

FORM (To be completed by the resident engineer or project sponsor.)

- **FROM:** Enter the name and title of the resident engineer or project engineer that prepared the positive work zone protection supplement.
- DISTRICT: Enter the district number of the project.
- **EXPENDITURE AUTHORIZATION:** Enter the project expenditure authorization or encroachment permit number for projects managed through the Encroachment Permit Office Process (EPOP).
- COUNTY: Enter the abbreviation for the county where the project is located.
- **ROUTE:** Enter the route number for the project.
- PROJECT IDENTIFIER NUMBER: Enter the project identifier number.
- **BEGIN POST MILE / END POST MILE:** Enter the begin and end post miles for the project limits.

WORK ZONE INFORMATION

- WORK ZONE NUMBER: Enter the work zone number from the original determination form
 with S added. For example, original work zone number was "23" supplement number is "23S."
 For a new work zone enter number with "N", example 44N.
- **ROUTE:** Enter the route number for the project.
- **DIRECTION:** Enter direction of travel.
- **BEGIN POST MILE / END POST MILE:** Enter the begin and end post miles for the project limits.
- **DURATION:** How many days will work activity affect traffic.
- WORK ACTIVITY: Enter the type of work activity for the entire project or phase/stage of the project.
- LOCATION: Enter general description of the location of the work.
- **STAGE/PHASE NUMBER:** For multiple work activities at the same location, enter the stage or phase number for the work activity.

SUPPLEMENT JUSTIFICATION

- SUPPLEMENT TYPE: Check the "box" that identifies the type of supplement.
- BASIS FOR POSITIVE WORK ZONE PROTECTION: Check the "box" that is the basis for the positive work zone protection that is being addressed by this positive work zone protection supplement.
- **REASON FOR SUPPLEMENT:** Provide the reason why this positive work zone protection supplement is necessary.
- POSITIVE WORK ZONE PROTECTION TO BE UESD: Enter the positive work zone
 protection that is going to be used based on this supplement.
- MITIGATION MEASURE TO BE USED: Enter the mitigation measure that is going to be used based on this supplement.

WORK ACTIVITIES REQUIRING WORK ZONE ENGINEERING RISK ASSESMENT

- If "not required" is checked this section of the form will collapse.
- Work zone information should be self-populated by the form. If not self-populated follow the instructions under work zone information.

WORK ZONE ENGINEERING RISK ANALYSIS RATING

For each work zone activity, perform a work zone engineering risk analysis to determine if positive work zone protection, mitigation measures or standard traffic control is required.

Refer to Section 9.0 Engineering Analysis and Positive Protection Exception Documentation of the Design Information Bulletin Positive Work Zone guidance for how to perform the engineering risk analysis.

For the work zone risk analysis, the definitions for work duration are:

- o Long-term stationary is work that occupies a location more than six months.
- Long-term stationary work duration of three to six months.
- Long-term stationary work duration more than three days and less than three months.
- Intermediate-term stationary work at a location more than one daylight period up to three days, or night-time (hours of darkness per CVC definition) work lasting more than one hour.
- Short-term stationary daytime work that occupies a location for more than one hour within a single daylight period, short duration work that occupies a location up to one hour, or mobile is work that moves intermittently or continuously.

For each factor of a work zone activity risk analysis, choose which condition best describes each work zone based on your risk assessment.

- FACTOR 1: Check "box" for the estimated duration of the work activity.
- FACTOR 2: Check "box" based on worker exposure.
- FACTOR 3: Check "box" based on posted speed limit prior to construction or work activity.
- FACTOR 4: Check "box" based on the traffic volume level of service.
- FACTOR 5: Check "box" based on location of work.
- Enter the points for each factor in the factor points column based on the condition box checked for the work zone activity.
- Total the points from the five factors to determine the risk score for the work zone activity.

POSITIVE WORK ZONE PROTECTION DETERMINATION

- Based on the risk assessment rating total score, check the "box" for the required action to be taken:
- 36 or more Positive work zone protection is required
- 20 35 Positive work zone protection or mitigation measure is required to reduce worker exposure
- Less than 20 -Standard temporary traffic control is required.
- Check the "box" of appropriate positive work zone protection devices to be included in the contract specifications and bid items.
- Check the "box" of appropriate mitigation measures that will be included in the contract specifications and bid items.

POSITIVE WORK ZONE PROTECTION DETERMINATION CONTINUED

- If positive protection is not provided for rating of 20-30 provide reason why positive protection is not feasible in the box provided.
- Provide reason for exception to not providing positive work zone protection when rating is 36 or more as required by the policy in the box provided.

POSITIVE WORK ZONE PROTECTION BASED ON ENGINEERING JUDGEMENT

- When use of positive work zone protection is based on engineering judgement you must
 document the reason why the use of positive work zone protection is necessary and the type of
 positive work zone protection that will be used. The documentation must include the work zone
 location information and work activity.
- If "not required" is checked this section of the form will collapse.
- Work zone information should be self-populated by the form. If not self-populated follow the instructions under work zone information.

ENGINEER POSITIVE WORK ZONE PROTECTION SUPPLEMENT

Project positive work zone protection supplement must be sealed by a Registered Professional Engineer.

- **REGISTRATION SEAL:** Complete the registration seal by providing the name, registration number and expiration date of the engineer responsible for preparing the positive work zone protection supplement.
- **ENGINEER:** Signature and printed name of engineer that prepared the positive work zone protection supplement.
- **DATE:** Date signed by the engineer.

DEPUTY DISTRICT DIRECTOR OF TRAFFIC OPERATIONS AND CONSTRUCTION APPROVAL OF EXCEPTIONS (Section must be completed for exceptions only.) For encroachment permit projects managed through the EPOP, only approval by the Deputy District Director of Traffic Operations is required.

- APPROVAL: Check the box for exception approval.
- **DEPUTY:** Signature and printed name.
- DATE: Date signed by the deputy.