Memorandum

Flex your power! Be energy efficient!

T0: DISTRICT DIRECTORS DEPUTY DISTRICT DIRECTORS - PROJECT DELIVERY Date: December 16, 2003

File: 605

From: MARK LEJA Chief Division of Design

Subject: Project Shelf Guidance

As you know, the size of our project shelf continues to grow. When the funding situation improves we intend to remove projects from the shelf and as efficiently as possible ensure they are ready to be advertised.

The purpose of the attached Project Shelf Guidance is to provide a tool to assist project delivery staff in identifying critical activities that may be required due to the passage of time or any changed conditions. This guidance is focused on programmed projects that have reached the Ready to List (RTL) milestone. However, this guidance can also be utilized for those projects that are shelved prior to RTL.

This guidance document will continue to be updated as warranted. If you have any questions, please contact Tim Craggs at (916) 653-1027.

Attachment

bc: BFelker RIwasaki Project Delivery Chiefs KSutliff STakagawa TCraggs Design Office Chiefs Project Delivery Coordinators

Scott McGowen / SMcG/ dtafoya

PROJECT SHELF GUIDANCE

This guidance addresses those programmed projects that have reached RTL milestone.

A. Projects Shelved after RTL within the last 12 months

- These projects maintain there RTL Status if no changes have been made to the plan design file or the specifications file since shelving, therefore, resulting in changes to file dates.
- If changes are made to the PS&E the project will no longer be considered RTL
- No new standards (Design Standards, SSP's, Standard Plans, etc) will be integrated into the PS&E after it has been finalized.

B. Projects Shelved after RTL for over 12 months or Modified after RTL

- New work plans must be developed and resubmitted to DES-OE as a new Project PS&E submittal. Processing time to achieve RTL will depend on the extent of modifications.
- If changes are made to the PS&E the project will no longer be considered RTL
- New standards need to be evaluated and incorporated, consistent with Section 82.5, "Effective Date for Implementing Revisions to Design Standards", of the Highway Design Manual.
- The Project Shelf Guidance should be utilized as a tool to identify potential changes.

PROJECT SHELF GUIDANCE SUMMARY

Date:	
District - Project EA#:	
PPNO:	
Program: <u>(STIP, SHOPP, TCRP, Minor A, etc)</u> .	Construction Program Year:
Project County/Rte/KP (PM):	Date Project Shelved from OE:
Project Description:	
Project Manager:	Phone Number: () -

The project noted above has been shelved after RTL. In order to assist in the re-activation of the project once funding becomes available, please utilize this tool to identify issues/products that will need to be considered prior to removing this project from the shelf.

Recognizing that all projects are unique with unique issues, this list is meant to be modified and added to as it is utilized.

PS&E-READY STATUS:

Yes; project shelved for 12 months or less

No; project shelved for more than 12 months

No; Extraordinary project requirements as noted below remove this project from PS&E-Ready status

SUMMARY OF COSTS

	ENGR EST @	CURRENT
	RTL \$	ENGR EST \$
CAPITAL		
TOTAL	\$	

	PRE-RTL	POST-RTL
SUPPORT	SUPPORT \$	SUPPORT \$
DESIGN		
PROGRAM/PROJECT MANAGEMENT		
ENVIRONMENTAL		
ENGINEERING SERVICES – OE		
RIGHT OF WAY		
UTILITIES		
TOTAL	\$	

	ROUTINE \$	MAJOR \$
MAINTENANCE		
TOTAL	\$	

DESIGN CHECKLIST & COSTS:

Roadway Elements:

Review Project Plans and Special Provisions:
• Are there any new or deleted items of work?
Review construction windows due to environmental
 commitments; consult with Construction
• Are there any new or revised standard plans that require
specification changes?
Review for new or revised SSPs since project was shelved
• Any new projects needing to coordinate with and/or old ones no
longer needing to be coordinated with?
• Do traffic control SSPs need changing/updating? (New standard
plans, new holidays/special events to avoid, new lane closure
 charts needed?); Consult with Traffic Operations
• Do traffic handling plans still work? (New volumes, conflicts
 with other projects, etc.); Consult with Traffic Operations
Review for any new SWPP requirements, update PS&E as appropriate
Review Cost Estimate:
Are unit prices still acceptable?
• Are there any new or deleted items of work?
• Are there any new policies which will impact cost estimates?
• Have overhead costs such as bonding/insurance been
considered?
• Update BEES, as necessary
Report project cost changes to Project Manager
Any new or changes to design standards? (See Highway Design Manual
Index 82 for Implementation Procedures)
Have new roadway appurtenance standards been introduced that would
 dictate a change in guardrail, median barrier, crash cushion, etc.?
Are approved design exceptions still valid (i.e., are conditions or reason for
_justification still current?)
Any change in site conditions:
Review existing pavement for changes in deterioration
• Request new deflection study, if significant changes or basis
for design is over 1½ years old
• Are repair techniques and strategies for project still
appropriate to cost effectively extend pavement service life?
• Review structure sites (bridges, retaining walls, noise barrier foundations, etc.) for changes; contact DES as necessary
 Any new soil, existing grade, or terrain changes or movements;
contact DES as necessary
Perform field review for general site conditions
Has other work taken place since we last looked (e.g.
maintenance overlay, permit project, etc.)?
 Has other work taken place by nearby property owners, city or
county beyond state R/W that will affect the project?

	• Are there any new drainage issues resulting from a change in site conditions?
	Review for new or revised Temporary Construction Easements
	Review for new or revised departmental policies that could affect the
	project
	Review for new or revised legal/safety precedents that are applicable to
	project
	Re-evaluate Project Construction Schedule and seasonal construction
	windows; contact Construction for input
	Update Project History File, as necessary
	Update RE File, as necessary
	Co-op Agreements still valid? Are there relinquishment considerations?
	Pavement/Rehabilitation strategy still valid?
	Change in product technology/materials/specifications?
	Degradation of existing facility within the project area due to age, storm
_	damage, freeze, drought etc.?
	Update Project Engineer signature and license on Plans
	Perform final comparison and review of Roadway and Structure Plans
Structure	es Elements
	Update Structure Cost Estimate (BEES)
	Update Structures Special Provisions (SSP)
	Review plans for conformance to most current structures guidance material
	Update Structures Materials Handouts for bid package
	Update Structures Project Engineer signature and license on Structure
	Plans
	Perform final comparison and review of Roadway and Structure Plans
	Any new structure design standards or specifications?
	Any site condition changes (bridge site, retaining walls, noise barrier
	foundations, etc.); see Design above
	Changes to standards?
Conorol	Considerations
	Review the RE Office provisions; are they still appropriate?
	Are the Contractor facilities still appropriate, and available for the project?
	Did this project utilize, before shelving, A+B, Design Sequencing, Design-
	Build, or any other "special" contract provisions? If yes, should those
	provisions still be applied to the project? Any modifications needed in
	$\frac{\text{SSPs?}}{\text{C} + F} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}$
	Cost Escalation: Engineer's estimate reviewed for accuracy? Overhead
	cost such as bonding/insurance considered?
	Constructability Review: The project should be reviewed for "fatal flaws"
	to ensure it is biddable and buildable
	Traffic Charts: Traffic volumes/windows should be reviewed to ensure the
	project conforms to traffic delay mitigation requirements and remains
	biddable and buildable
	Temporary Construction permits still valid?
	State Furnished Material still available?
	Traffic numbers still valid upon which design was based?
	TMP still current?

	Are there any Geot	echnical consideratio	ns that have changed?
	project-including	t will change mark	Are there aspects related to edly as a result of shelving this litions, substantive deterioration of ust be considered?
			working days, conditions, etc.?
		SUPPORT	SUPPORT
		PRE – RTL	POST-RTL
ASSOCIATED I	DESIGN COSTS:	<u>\$</u>	\$
PROGRAM	PROJECT MANA	GEMENT CHECKI	LIST & COSTS:
	Update Project Wor		
	Review	current schedule and	durations
		resources needed to a	
	 Did a Consultant develop PS&E? If yes: 		
	 Check contract status Check termination date to ensure that design suppor resources are available during construction 		
			lable during construction
	Identify	funding sources, if a	dditional funding is required
	• Update]	Funds Request, as ne	eded
		PCR for cost increase	es, as needed
	Review Project for	scope changes	
		PCR for scope chang	
	Review Project Cha	arter, update as neede	d
		nmunication Plan, up	
	· · · · ·	k Management Plan,	
			RTPA, etc.) concurrences
	Review Programmi	ng Documents	
	• Update]	FTIP, as needed	
	• Process	STIP Amendment, as	s needed
	Update STIP and S	HOPP program data,	as necessary
	Update District Del	ivery Plan	
	Review & Docume	nt lessons learned	
		ve Agreement; Updat new schedule, new is	te as needed due to cost changes,
	Resubmit Plans, Sp		mate Package and Attachment A to
			lew holidays or special events to
	avoid); see Design	above	

	Update PMCS/CTIF	PS	
	Are the construction	n windows (seasor	al and environmental permits) still
	acceptable?		
	Construction window	ws missed?	
	Additional Season:	Will new award d	late add an additional season/winter
		ject? Cost, impact	s on permits, traffic, special events,
	etc considered?		
			ject should be reviewed to ensure
_	current prevailing w		
	Project Staffing: Project schedules should have been adjusted to reflect		
			te staff are available to perform the
		tion work. Proje	cts are properly metered to level
	workload	1 '41 1'	
			nt projects: Project scopes for the
			going/upcoming projects have been
			andling/staging requirements are as to the changed condition
	· •		al projects: Impacts to other state or
	1 1		sks assessed and additional costs, if
	1 0		hould include impacts pertaining to
	•		ct(s), including any shift in political
			ming considerations.
	New CTC resolution		
			will take to ready shelf project for
	allocation after it is	-	• • •
	Will funding from o	other contributors li	ike LTC, Measures, Bonds, etc. still
_	be available?		
		SUPPORT	SUPPORT
		PRE – RTL	POST-RTL
ASSOCIATED P	PROGRAM/PM COSTS	: <u>\$</u>	<u>\$</u>
SUDVEVS/D	ρισητ οε ωλν εν	CINFEDINC CH	ECKLIST & COSTS:
SURVEIS/N	IGHT OF WATEN	GINEEKING CH	ECKLIST & COSTS.
	Assess changes in	R/W requirements	including revisions, deletions, new
	-	-	ents and/or other easements that may
	need to be mapped.		5
		xisting conditions	or design that require a re-survey or
	additional surveys.		
	Is project control (be	oth horizontal and	vertical) still in place or does it need
	to be re-established?)	
	Have notes and supp		
			need to be revised based on changes
	resulting from the re	view of items abov	ve?

	Do relinquishment agreements	need to be updated?	
	Is additional "state of good re	epair" work required due t	o deterioration of
	the existing facility?		
	Any changes to monumentatio	n needs?	
	Has design been altered du	ue to work by others-	e.g., overlay by
	Maintenance, terrain changes t	that will impact earthwork,	etc.?
		SUPPORT	SUPPORT
		PRE – RTL	POST-RTL
ASSOCIATED S	SURVEYS/R/WENGR COSTS:	\$	\$

ENVIRONMENTAL CHECKLIST & COSTS:

Permit timelines: Do permits need to be updated?
Environmental construction window-related to permits
Age of the Environmental Document: Re-evaluation needed or soon to be needed?
Review Environmental Document:
• Any change in APE footprint?
Review mitigation requirements:
• Review cultural mitigation requirements (Phase 3 Archaeology); are they still applicable?
• Review hazardous waste mitigation (Aerially Deposited Lead, etc.); are they still applicable?
• Any changes to endangered species?
Any changes in Storm Water regulations?
Review permit requirements:
• Still applicable?
• Check dates; permits still in effect?
Review Native American Coordination and reinitiate process if necessary.
Since document approval, has the Department become a signatory on any Memorandums (FWS, EPA, ACOE, etc.) that might change proposed mitigation?
Has new construction occurred adjacent, or in close proximity to project? If so, is there need to review noise, community impacts, air quality, etc.?
Is the project still in compliance with air quality?
Are there any changes now required due to new regulations?
Is FHWA still in agreement with Environmental Document type?
Are necessary funds and contracts available for mitigation and requirements of the Environmental Document?

		SUPPORT	SUPPORT
		PRE – RTL	POST-RTL
ASSOCIATED I	ENVIRONMENTAL COSTS:	<u>\$</u>	<u>\$</u>
ENGINEER	ING SERVICES – OFFIC	E ENGINEER CHEC	CKLIST & COSTS:
	Check with District for a	any revisions to the p	plans, specs and estimate
	(PS&E)		-
	Request District to update	the Attachment "A" a	and incorporate updates in
	the DES-OE database	(1 1 1	
	Incorporate any revisions i		
	Check and incorporate new or revised Standard Plans (NSP or RSP)		
	Check the Standard Special Provisions (SSP) server for recent SSP updates Incorporate updated and/or edited SSPs		
	Confer with the District for other revisions i.e. working days, start of		
	construction, advertisemen		
	Incorporate R/W Utility re		
	Any new Office Engineer	procedures? Contact I	DES-OE as necessary
	Changes to standards?		
		SUPPORT	SUPPORT
		PRE – RTL	POST-RTL

RIGHT OF WAY CHECKLIST & COSTS:

Review Right of Way obligations

Review and re-issue Temporary Construction Easements; see Design above
Identify any Right of Way property management requirements due to shelving
Review and, as necessary, re-issue R/W Certification
Review and, as necessary, re-issue any Right of Way work-around
Review for significant changes in the realty market that could affect Right- of-Way estimate
Identify and notify all new property owners not aware of project
Any new parcels or easements needed?
R/W estimates still valid (e.g. more development on parcels being purchased)?

	Check for increased "Lia"	bility" from ownership		
	Check for impact on neighborhood (blight)			
	Check for increased liabi	lity for "Inverse Conder	nnation" claims	
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	ill have to be renegotiated	
	or be condemned (addition	•		
	Check for expired permit			
			determine if we can keep	
	existing tenants longer, o	-		
			maintain right of way for	
	longer period of time	caning and resources to	inanitanii ingile of way for	
	* *	ogramming is sufficier	nt. If not, inform Project	
	Manager so that PCR can			
			een with sufficient capital	
	dollars identified in the p			
			h revised project schedule	
	Check for cooperative agreements that may need to be initiated or updated When project is shelved, notify existing impacted property owners			
	Review status of Relocation Assistance Program (RAP) claims			
	Are there any excess land	-		
	Status of demolitions	1 155005?		
		•,• ,• •	1	
			rements that would trigger	
			ost estimates, support cost	
	estimates or delivery lead			
			ng condemnation actions:	
	continue or abandon – co Are there railroad issues?			
			D/XX/ 1 / 1 / '	
			s R/W need to obtain new	
	evaluations?	intries to allow Envir	onmental to conduct re-	
		anagamy undeted Title	Departs when project is to	
Review and request, as necessary, updated Title be taken off the shelf.		Reports when project is to		
		ated work hours and d	urations for new start and	
	end dates and estimate an			
			nual R/W Capital Plan to	
	cover any shelf associate		nual to the Capital Flat 10	
			not included in the Annual	
		1	rocess a R/W Allocation	
			from HQ R/W Planning &	
			other project to cover the	
	increase			
		SUPPORT	SUPPORT	
		PRE - RTL	POST-RTL	
			1001 KIL	
ASSOCIATED R	/W COSTS:	\$	\$	
		- <u>-</u>	<u> </u>	

UTILITIES CHECKLIST & COSTS:

	Review project site for	any new utilities to relocat	e			
	Review and re-issue Notice to Utility Companies					
	Possible reimbursement of cost of materials ordered and staffing costs					
	Assess utility company staff availability when unshelved					
	Assess lack of utility company budget capacity to provide timely relocation when unshelved					
	Need to revise utility agreements due to inflation of costs					
		SUPPORT PRE – RTL	SUPPORT POST-RTL			
ASSOCIATED UTILITIES COSTS:		\$	<u>\$</u>			

MAINTENANCE CHECKLIST & COSTS:

	Any new policies or changes to practices?						
	Review facilities within existing project limits for further deterioration						
	(additional failed areas/slab replacement/bridge deck replacement/erosion						
_	control)						
	Determine costs to maintain facilities until the project is completed						
	Additional maintenance projects needed as temporary stopgap measure?						
	Additional damage to vegetation or other landscaping?						
	Any new or deleted work due to maintenance projects?						
		ROUTINE	MAJOR MAINTENANCE				
ASSOCIATED MAINTENANCE COSTS:		<u>\$</u>	<u>\$</u>				