CHAPTER 610 – PAVEMENT ENGINEERING CONSIDERATIONS

Topic 611 – Factors In Selecting Pavement Type

Index 611.1 – Pavement Type Selection

The types of pavement generally considered for new construction, widening, reconstruction, and rehabilitation in California are rigid, flexible and composite pavements. Rigid and flexible pavements are considered for all new and reconstructed pavements. For widening and rehabilitation projects, flexible or rigid pavements may be appropriate based on performance, maintainability, and constructability of new and/or existing pavement structure. Composite pavement consisting of a flexible layer placed over a rigid pavement has mostly been used for maintenance and rehabilitation of rigid pavements on State highway facilities.

Life-cycle cost analysis discussed in Topic 619 is a useful tool when selecting optimal pavement structure type for a specific project.

611.2 Selection Criteria

Because physical conditions and other factors considered in selecting pavement type vary significantly from location to location, the Project Engineer must evaluate each project individually to determine the most appropriate and cost-effective pavement type to be used. The evaluation should be based on good engineering judgment utilizing the best information available during the planning and design phases of the project together with a systematic consideration of the following project specific conditions:

- Pavement design life
- Traffic considerations
- Soils characteristics
- Climate
- Existing pavement type and condition
- Availability of materials
- Recycling
- Maintainability
- Constructability
- Life-cycle cost analysis
- Life-cycle assessment

The above factors should be thoroughly investigated when selecting a pavement structure and addressed specifically in all project documents (PID, PR, PS&E, etc.). The final decision
on pavement type should be the most economical design based on life-cycle cost analysis (see Topic 619). In addition, the Department is currently developing a tool based on life-cycle assessment that can be valuable in selecting pavement type and rehabilitation strategies while assisting the Department in achieving its sustainability goal (see Topic 620).

The principal factors considered in selecting pavement structures are discussed in Topic 612 through Topic 620.

**Topic 612 – Pavement Design Life**

**612.1 Definition**

Pavement design life, also referred to as performance period, is the period of time that a newly constructed or rehabilitated pavement is engineered to perform before reaching any of the performance thresholds in Table 622.2 for concrete pavements or those in Index 632.2 for asphalt pavements. The selected pavement design life varies depending on the characteristics of the highway facility, the objective of the project, and projected traffic volume and loading. The pavement structure selected for any project should provide the minimum pavement design life that meets or exceeds the objective of the project as described in Index 612.2 through Index 612.7.

**612.2 New Construction and Reconstruction**

The pavement design life for new construction and reconstruction projects shall be no less than 40 years. For roadside facilities such as parking lots and rest areas, 20-year pavement design life may be used. Realignments or other new roadways which fit the definition of spot improvement in DIB 79 are considered to be rehabilitation for purposes of determining pavement design life.

**612.3 Widening**

Additional consideration is needed when determining the design life for pavement widening. Factors to consider include the remaining service life of the adjacent pavement, planned future projects (including maintenance and rehabilitation), and future corridor plans for any additional widening. The pavement design life for the mainline traveled way, ramp traveled way, and intersection widening projects shall either be: (a) the remaining pavement service life of the adjacent roadway (but not less than the project design period as defined in Index 103.2), (b) 20 years, or (c) 40 years depending on which pavement design life produces the lowest life-cycle costs. Design the first 2 feet of new shoulder pavement structure in conjunction with the lane widening, or if the shoulder is expected to be converted to a traffic lane within the pavement design life, design the pavement structure to match the same pavement design life as the adjacent traveled way. All other widening projects including shoulder widening and roadside facilities should be designed to either match the adjacent existing pavement structure or a 20-year design life, depending on the design life that produces the lowest life-cycle cost. Life-cycle cost analysis is discussed in Topic 619.
612.4 Pavement Preservation

(1) Preventive Maintenance. Because preventive maintenance projects involve non-structural overlays, seals, grinds, or repairs, they are not engineered to meet a minimum structural design life like other types of pavement projects. Their intended goal is to extend the service life and maintain ride quality of an existing pavement structure while it is in good condition. On average, the added service life can vary from a couple of years to over 7 years, depending on the strategy being used and the condition of the existing pavement.

(2) Capital Preventive Maintenance. The strategies used for CAPM projects have been engineered to extend the service life and maintain ride quality of a pavement that exhibits minor distress and/or triggered ride issues (International Roughness Index (IRI) greater than 170 inches per mile) by a minimum of 5 years. When properly engineered and placed on pavements that meet CAPM thresholds, CAPM strategies can last 5 to less than 20 years.

612.5 Roadway Rehabilitation

The minimum pavement design life for roadway rehabilitation projects shall be 20 years except for roadways with existing rigid pavements or with a current Annual Average Daily Traffic (AADT) of at least 12,000 vehicles, where the minimum pavement design life shall be either 20 or 40 years depending on which design life has the lowest life-cycle costs. At the discretion of the District, a 40-year pavement design life may be considered and evaluated for all projects with an AADT less than 12,000 using the Department’s life-cycle cost analysis procedures. Life-cycle cost analysis is discussed further in Topic 619.

612.6 Temporary Pavements and Detours

Temporary pavements and detours should be engineered to accommodate the anticipated traffic loading that the pavement will experience during the construction period. This period may range from a few months to several years depending on the type, size and complexity of the project. Temporary pavement should not be designed to the same depth as the new traveled way and should not require treated base.

612.7 Non-Structural Wearing Courses

As described in Index 602.1(5), a non-structural wearing course is used on some pavements to ensure that the underlying layers will be protected from wear and tear from tire/pavement interaction and environmental factors for the intended design life of the pavement. Because non-structural wearing courses are not considered to contribute to pavement structural capacity, they are not expected to meet the same design life criteria as the structural layers. However, when selecting materials, mix designs and thickness of these courses, appropriate evaluation and sound engineering judgment should be used to optimize performance and minimize the need for maintenance of the wearing course and the underlying structural layers. Based on experience, a properly engineered non-structural wearing course placed on new or rehabilitated pavement should perform adequately for 10 or more years, and 5 or more years when placed on existing pavement as a part of pavement preservation.
Topic 613 – Traffic Considerations

613.1 Overview

Pavements are engineered to carry the truck traffic loads expected during the pavement design life. Truck traffic, which includes transit vehicles, trucks and truck-trailer vehicles, is the primary factor affecting pavement design life and its serviceability. Passenger cars and pickups are considered to have negligible effect when determining traffic loads that damage the pavement.

The Department currently estimates traffic loading required for pavement engineering using the following procedure:

- Estimate projected truck traffic volume for each of four categories of truck and transit vehicle types by axle classification (2-, 3-, 4-, and 5-axles or more).
- Convert the projected truck traffic data into 18-kip equivalent single axle loads (ESALs).
- Convert the total projected ESALs during the pavement design life into a Traffic Index (TI) that is used to determine minimum pavement thickness. Refer to Index 613.3.

Besides projected truck traffic volume, as the Department adopts the Mechanistic – Empirical (ME) pavement design and rehabilitation methods, additional information such as axle configurations (single, tandem, tridem, and quad), axle loads, and number of load repetitions are also needed. This information will be used to estimate pavement loading throughout the design life of the project using the Axle Load Spectra available in the current ME design procedure. Further detail on Axle Load Spectra is given in Index 613.4.

613.2 Traffic Volume Projections

(1) Traffic Volume and Loading Data. In order to determine expected traffic loads on a pavement it is first necessary to determine projected traffic volumes during the design life for the facility.

Current traffic volume or loading on State highways can be obtained from the following sources:

- Annual Average Daily Traffic (AADT) counts by axle classification,
- Weigh-In-Motion (WIM) station axle load data by axle classification, or
- Annual Average Daily Truck Traffic (AADTT) volume counts by axle classification.

Both AADT and AADTT on California State Highways are published annually by Headquarters Division of Traffic Operations.

Districts typically have established a unit within Traffic Operations or Planning specifically responsible for providing travel forecast information. The Project Engineer should coordinate with these units in their District early in the project development process to obtain current and projected traffic volumes by vehicle classification for each project in accordance with the procedures found in this Topic.
(2) Design Year Annual Average Daily Truck Traffic (AADTT). A traffic growth factor obtained from the traffic forecasting unit is used to project current AADTT to the design year AADTT for each axle classification. In its simplest form, a straight-line projection is used to project the current one-way AADTT data to the design year AADTT. When using the straight-line projection, the truck traffic data for each axle classification is projected to find the AADTT at the midway of the design life. This represents the average one-way AADTT for each axle classification during the pavement design life.

When other than a straight-line projection of current truck traffic data is used for engineering purposes, the procedure to be followed in developing design year traffic projections will depend on travel forecast information for the region. In such cases, the projections require a coordinated effort from the District's Division of Transportation Planning and Traffic Operations, working closely with the Regional Agencies to establish realistic values for truck traffic growth rates based on travel patterns, land use changes, and other socioeconomic factors. When there is a difference between sources, Caltrans will determine which data and assumptions to use.

613.3 Traffic Index Calculation

The Traffic Index (TI) is determined using the following procedure:

(1) Determine the Projected Equivalent Single Axle Loads (ESALs). The information obtained from traffic projections and Truck Weight Studies is used to develop 18-kip Equivalent Single Axle Load (ESAL) constants (see Table 613.3A). The ESAL constants represent the estimated total cumulative traffic loading for each of the four vehicle types by axle classification during the pavement design life. Due to the relatively low number of buses in comparison to trucks, buses are typically included in the 2-axle and 3-axle truck counts. However, for facilities with high percentage of buses such as high-occupancy vehicle (HOV) lanes and exclusive bus-only lanes, projected bus volumes need to be included in the projection used to determine ESALs. For these facilities and in response to the passing of Assembly Bill 1250 which increases axle weight of transit buses procured through a solicitation process, new ESAL constants must be used for all two-axle and three-axle buses; as shown in Table 613.3A. In a facility where a significant number of buses exists beside trucks, counts for the two- and three-axle trucks must be separated from counts for the two- and three-axle buses. These distinct counts must be used with the corresponding ESAL constants to calculate the total ESALs during the pavement design life.

The ESAL constants in Table 613.3A are used as multipliers of the projected AADTT for each truck type (and bus type) by axle classification to determine the total cumulative ESALs for all truck types during the pavement design life. The total cumulative ESALs for all truck types during the design life for the pavement are in turn used to determine the Traffic Index (TI) as described in Index 613.3(3). Both the total cumulative ESALs and the resulting TI are the same magnitude when engineering flexible, rigid, and composite pavement structures.

The current 10-, 20-, 30-, and 40-year ESAL constants are shown in Table 613.3A. Note that the constants for each axle classification are linearly proportional to design life.

(2) Lane Distribution Factors. Traffic on multilane highways normally varies by lane with passenger cars, vans, pickups, and buses generally in the median and HOV lanes, and
heavy trucks in the outside lanes. For this reason, the distribution of truck/bus traffic by lanes must be considered in the engineering for all multilane facilities to ensure that traffic loads are appropriately distributed. Because of the uncertainties and the variability of lane distribution of trucks on multilane freeways and expressways, statewide lane distribution factors have been established for pavement engineering of highway facilities in California. These lane distribution factors are shown in Table 613.3B. These factors are also used in the calculation of TI based on the selected design life.

(3) Traffic Index (TI) Calculation. The Traffic Index (TI) is a measure of the number of ESALs expected in the traffic lane over the pavement design life of the facility. The TI does not vary linearly with the ESALs but rather according to the following exponential formula: The TI is rounded up to the nearest 0.5.

\[
TI = 9.0 \times \left( \frac{ESAL \times LDF}{10^6} \right)^{0.119}
\]

Where:
- TI = Traffic Index for a given design life
- ESAL = Total number of cumulative 18-kip Equivalent Single Axle Loads for all truck/bus types over the design life of the pavement structure calculated using the ESAL constants given in Table 613.3A
- LDF = Lane Distribution Factor (see Table 613.3B)

In lieu of using the above formula, Table 613.3C can be used to determine the TI depending on total ESAL calculated for the design life. In Table 613.3C, the TI is given for a range of ESAL values. The total ESAL values given in Table 613.3C are already adjusted for LDF.

Due to various changes in travel patterns, land use changes, and other socioeconomic factors that may significantly affect design year traffic projections, the TI for facilities with longer service life, such as a 30- or 40-year design life require more effort to determine than for a 20-year design life. For this reason, the Project Engineer should involve District Transportation Planning and/or Traffic Operations in determining a realistic and appropriate TI for each project early in the project development process. In the absence of 30- or 40-year traffic projection data, 20-year projection data may be extrapolated to 30- and 40-year values by applying the 30- and 40-year ESAL constants in Table 613.3A.

613.4 Axle Load Spectra

This Index contains additional requirements and considerations for determining projected traffic loads.

(1) Development of Axle Load Spectra. Axle load spectra analysis is an alternative method of characterizing the distribution of heavy vehicle loads, and is currently under development for the future mechanistic-empirical pavement design methods. Axle load spectra is a representation of normalized axle load distribution developed from weigh-in-motion (WIM) data for each axle type (single, tandem, tridem, and quad) and truck class (FHWA vehicle classes 4 through 13). Axle load spectra do not involve conversion of
### Table 613.3A

**ESAL Constants**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Vehicle Type (by Axle Classification)</th>
<th>10-Year Constants</th>
<th>20-Year Constants</th>
<th>30-Year Constants</th>
<th>40-Year Constants</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Two-axle trucks or buses</td>
<td>690</td>
<td>1,380</td>
<td>2,070</td>
<td>2,760</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Three-axle trucks or buses</td>
<td>1,840</td>
<td>3,680</td>
<td>5,520</td>
<td>7,360</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Four-axle trucks</td>
<td>2,940</td>
<td>5,880</td>
<td>8,820</td>
<td>11,760</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Five or more-axle trucks</td>
<td>6,890</td>
<td>13,780</td>
<td>20,670</td>
<td>27,560</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Two-axle buses (1)</td>
<td>1,380</td>
<td>2,760</td>
<td>4,140</td>
<td>5,520</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Three-axle buses (1)</td>
<td>6,808</td>
<td>13,616</td>
<td>20,424</td>
<td>27,232</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**NOTES:**

(1) New constants added in in response to recent passing of AB 1250 in October 2015.

### Table 613.3B

**Lane Distribution Factors for Multilane Highways**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of Mixed Flow Lanes in One Direction (2)</th>
<th>Factors to be Applied to Projected One-Way Annual Average Daily Truck Traffic (AADTT) (3) Mixed Flow Lanes (6), (7)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lane 1 (1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>One</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Two</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Three</td>
<td>0.2 (4), (5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Four</td>
<td>0.2 (4), (5)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**NOTES:**

(1) Lane 1 is next to the centerline or median.
(2) For more than four lanes in one direction, use a factor of 0.8 for the outer two lanes plus any auxiliary/collector lanes and, a factor of 0.2 for other mixed flow through lanes, HOV lanes and other inside lanes (non truck lanes).
(3) Projected one-way AADTT is the truck traffic volume expected to use the lane during the design life for the facility.
(4) TI for non-truck permitted lanes must not exceed 11 for 20-year pavement design life and 12 for 40-year pavement design life.
(5) If HOV or other inside lanes are designated (signage required) for truck use, they must be designed to the same standards as found in this table for the outside lanes.
(6) For lanes devoted exclusively to buses and/or trucks, use a factor of 1.0 based on projected AADTT of mixed-flow lanes for auxiliary and truck lanes, and a separate AADTT based on expected bus traffic for exclusive bus-only lanes.
(7) The lane distribution factors in this table represent minimum factors and, based on knowledge of local traffic conditions and sound engineering judgment, higher values may be used for specific locations when warranted.
Table 613.3C
Conversion of ESAL to Traffic Index

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ESAL (1), (2)</th>
<th>TI (3)</th>
<th>ESAL (2), (3)</th>
<th>TI (3)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4,710</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>6,600,000</td>
<td>11.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10,900</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>9,490,000</td>
<td>12.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23,500</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>13,500,000</td>
<td>12.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>47,300</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>18,900,000</td>
<td>13.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>89,800</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>26,100,000</td>
<td>13.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>164,000</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>35,600,000</td>
<td>14.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>288,000</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>48,100,000</td>
<td>14.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>487,000</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>64,300,000</td>
<td>15.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>798,000</td>
<td>9.0</td>
<td>84,700,000</td>
<td>15.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,270,000</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>112,000,000</td>
<td>16.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,980,000</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>144,000,000</td>
<td>16.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3,020,000</td>
<td>10.5</td>
<td>186,000,000</td>
<td>17.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4,500,000</td>
<td>11.0</td>
<td>238,000,000</td>
<td>17.5 (4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6,600,000</td>
<td>11.5</td>
<td>303,000,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NOTES:
(1) For ESALs less than 5,000 or greater than 300,000,000, use the TI equation to calculate design TI, see Index 613.3(3).
(2) ESAL totals already adjusted for LDF.
(3) The determination of the TI closer than 0.5 is not justified. No interpolations should be made.
(4) For TI's greater than 17.5, use the TI equation, see Index 613.3(3).
projected traffic loads into equivalent single axle loads (ESALs), instead traffic load applications for each truck class and axle type are directly characterized by the number of axles within each axle load range.

In order to accurately predict traffic load related damage on a pavement structure, it is important to develop both spatial and temporal axle load spectra for different truck loadings. The following data is needed to develop axle load spectra:

- Truck class (FHWA Class 4 for buses through Class 13 for 7+ axle multi-trailer combinations),
- Axle type (single, tandem, tridem, and quad),
- Axle load range for each axle type and truck class (3 to 102 kips),
- The number of axle load applications within each axle load range by axle type and truck class, and
- The percentage of the total number of axle applications within each axle load range with respect to each axle type, truck class, and year of data. These are the normalized values of axle load applications for each axle type and truck class.

The aforementioned data are obtained from traffic volume counts and WIM data for each vehicle classification, axle type and axle load range. Traffic counts and WIM stations should be deployed widely to ensure that projected volume estimates for each vehicle class and axle type are in line with the actual volumes and growth rates.

(2) Use of Axle Load Spectra in Pavement Engineering. Pavement engineering calculations using axle load spectra are generally more complex than those using ESALs or TI because loading cannot be reduced to one equivalent number. However, the load spectra approach of quantifying traffic loads offers a more realistic representation of traffic loading than using ESALs or TI.

Due to its better performance modeling, axle load spectra will be used in the Mechanistic-Empirical (ME) design method currently under development to evaluate traffic loading over the design life for new and rehabilitated pavements. This information will be used to validate original pavement design loading assumptions, and to continuously monitor pavement performance given the loading spectrum. Axle load spectral data will also be used to facilitate effective and pro-active deployment of maintenance efforts and in the development of appropriate strategies to mitigate sudden and unexpected pavement deterioration due to increased traffic volumes or loading patterns.

In this edition of the Highway Design Manual, axle load spectra are not used to engineer pavements.

### 613.5 Specific Traffic Loading Considerations

(1) **Traveled Way.**

(a) Mainline Lanes. Because each lane for a multilane highway with 3 or more lanes in each direction may have a different load distribution factor (see Table 613.3B), multiple TIs may be generated for the mainline lanes which can result in different pavement thickness for each lane. Such a design with different thickness for each individual lane would create complications for constructing the pavement. Therefore,
the decision to use a single or multiple TI's for the pavement engineering of mainline lanes for a multilane highway with 3 or more lanes in each direction should be based on a thorough consideration of constructability issues discussed in Index 618.2 together with sound engineering judgment.

(b) Freeway and Expressway Lanes. TI for all freeway and expressway lanes, including widening and auxiliary lanes must be the greater of either the calculated value, or 11.0 for a 20-year pavement design life, or 12.0 for a 40-year pavement design life. For roadway rehabilitation projects, use the calculated TI.

(c) Ramps and Connectors.
   1. Connectors. AADTT and TI's for freeway-to-freeway connectors should be determined the same way as for mainline traffic.
   2. Ramps to Weigh Stations. Pavement structure for ramps to weigh stations should be engineered using the mainline ESALs and the load distribution factor of 1.0 for exclusive truck lanes as noted in Table 613.3B.
   3. Other Ramps. Estimating future truck traffic on ramps is more difficult than on through traffic lanes. It is typically more difficult to accurately forecast ramp AADTT because of a much greater impact of commercial and industrial development on ramp truck traffic than it is on mainline truck traffic.

   If reliable truck traffic forecasts are not available, ramps should be engineered using the 20-, and 40-year TI values given in Table 613.5A for light, medium, and heavy truck traffic ramp classifications, respectively. Design life TI should be the greater of the calculated TI or the TI values in Table 613.5A. Ramp TI should never exceed mainline TI.

   The three ramp classifications are defined as follows:
   - Light Traffic Ramps - Ramps serving undeveloped or residential suburban areas with light to no truck traffic predicted during the pavement design life.
   - Medium Traffic Ramps - Ramps in metropolitan areas, business districts, or where increased truck traffic is likely to develop because of anticipated commercial development within the pavement design life
   - Heavy Traffic Ramps - Ramps that will or currently serve industrial areas, truck terminals, truck stops, and/or maritime shipping facilities. The final decision on ramp truck traffic classification rests with the District.

(2) Shoulders.

(a) Purpose and Objectives.

Shoulder pavement structures must be designed and constructed to assure that the following performance objectives are met:

- Be safely and economically maintained.
- Enhance the performance of adjacent travel lanes.
Table 613.5A

Traffic Index (TI) Values for Ramps and Connectors

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ramp Truck Traffic Classification</th>
<th>Minimum Traffic Index (TI)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>20-Yr Design Life</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Light</td>
<td>8.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>10.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heavy</td>
<td>12.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NOTE:
(1) Based on straight line extrapolation of 20-year ESALs.

- Be structurally adequate to handle maintenance and emergency vehicles and to serve as emergency parking.
- Accommodate pedestrians and bicyclists as necessary.
- Provide versatility in using the shoulders as temporary detours for construction or maintenance activities in the future.
- Make it easier and more cost-effective to convert into a traffic lane as part of a future widening.
- Simplify the Contractor's operation which leads to reduced working days and lower unit prices.

Shoulders do not need to be designed to traffic lane standards to meet these objectives. To achieve these performance objectives, the following design standards apply for shoulders on the State highway.

(b) New Construction and Reconstruction.

New or reconstructed shoulders shall be designed to match the TI of the adjacent traffic lane when any of the following conditions apply:

- The shoulder width is less than 5 feet.
- The median width is 14 feet or less. See Index 305.5 for further paved median guidance.
- On roads with less than two lanes in the direction of travel and there is a sustained (greater than 1 mile in length) grade of over 4 percent without a truck climbing lane.
- The shoulders are adjacent to exclusive truck or bus only lanes, or weigh station ramps. This standard does not apply to mixed use (automobile plus bus) lanes, including high-occupancy vehicle (HOV) and toll (HOT) lanes.
The shoulder may also be engineered to match the TI of the adjacent traffic lane provided that:

- There is an identified plan (such as Regional Transportation Plan, Metropolitan Transportation Plan, Interregional Improvement Plan) to convert a shoulder into a traffic lane within the next 20 years.
- The shoulder is designed following the lane width and cross slope guidance in Topic 301.
- Agreement is obtained by the Program Fund Manager or Agency funding the project.

When the above conditions apply and the shoulder and lane will both be constructed as part of the same project, the shoulder pavement structure should match the adjacent traffic lane for ease of construction. For asphalt pavements, the thickness of the shoulder surface course layer may be tapered from the lane surface course thickness to the shoulder pavement edge thickness of no less than 0.35 foot to address different cross slope conditions (see Figure 613.5A).

**For all other cases, the following design standards shall apply:**

**The minimum TI for the shoulder shall match the TI of the adjacent traffic lane for the first 2 feet of the outside shoulder width and 1.0 foot of the inside shoulder measured from the edge of traveled way.** See Figure 613.5B.

**For the remaining width of the shoulder, the TI shall:**

- be no less than 2 percent of the projected ESAL of the adjacent traffic lane or a TI of 5, whichever is greater.
- not to exceed 9.0.

Do not include treated bases such as lean concrete base underneath the pavement except for treated permeable bases needed to perpetuate an existing treated permeable base under the adjacent lane. Non-permeable treated bases, such as lean concrete base, are not to be included underneath the pavement.

**The total depth of the shoulder pavement structure (depth from the surface to the subgrade) shall match the pavement structure grading plane of the adjacent traffic lane.**

Matching the total grading plane of the shoulder pavement structure to that of the adjacent traffic lane can be accomplished by increasing the depth of the aggregate base and/or subbase as needed (see Figure 613.5B). This will provide a path for water in the pavement structure to drain away from the lane and into the shoulder. It can also provide a more cost effective means to upgrade the shoulder to a traffic lane in the future. Although using a thinner overall shoulder pavement structure than the traveled way requires less material and may appear to reduce construction costs, the added costs of time and labor to the Contractor to build the step between the traveled way and shoulder can offset any perceived savings from reduced materials.
Figure 613.5A

Shoulder Design for TI Equal to Adjacent Lane TI

Shoulder Pavement Structure is the Same as Traveled Way Structure

NOTES:

* Applies to concrete and asphalt pavements.

** For asphalt pavement, minimum thickness of surface course $\geq 0.35'$.
**Figure 613.5B**

**Shoulder Design for TI Less Than Adjacent Lane TI**

**Variable Surface Course Option**
- Traveled Way
- ETW
- Shoulder
- ES

- Use 2' for Outside Shoulder
- Use 1' for Inside Shoulder

- Surface Course
- Treated Base
- Granular Base

- Existing or Proposed Traveled Way Pavement Structure

- Match the Grading Plane of the Adjacent Traveled Way Pavement Structure

**Uniform Surface Course Option**
- Traveled Way
- ETW
- Shoulder
- ES

- Use 2' for Outside Shoulder
- Use 1' for Inside Shoulder

- Surface Course
- Treated Base
- Granular Base

- Existing or Proposed Traveled Way Pavement Structure

- Match the Grading Plane of the Adjacent Traveled Way Pavement Structure

**NOTES:**

*** For rigid pavement, minimum thickness of surface course is ≥ 0.60’ (0.75’ for High Mountain or High Dessert Climate Region)

For flexible pavement, minimum thickness of surface course is ≥ 0.35

For asphalt shoulders, the thickness of the asphalt layer (not including nonstructural wearing surface) should not be less than 0.35 foot or the thickness of the asphalt layer of the adjacent traffic lane, whichever is less.
For concrete shoulders, see Index 626.2 and Table 626.2 for recommended thicknesses.

An alternate shoulder design is to taper the surface course from the surface course thickness of the adjacent traffic lane to no less than 0.60 foot (0.75 foot in High Mountain and High Desert climate regions) for concrete and 0.35 foot for asphalt at the edge of shoulder (see Figure 613.5B).

Bases and subbases for new or reconstructed shoulders should extend at least 1 foot from beyond the edge of shoulder as shown in Figures 613.5A and 613.5B.

(c) Widening. Existing shoulders do not need to be replaced or upgraded to new construction or reconstruction standards as part of a shoulder widening project unless the following conditions exist:

- Adding or widening lanes will require removal of all or a portion of the existing shoulder.
- The existing shoulder of 5 feet or less in width is being widened and the existing shoulder does not meet the current standards for new construction or reconstruction. For shoulders wider than 5 feet, the District and Program Fund Manager/Agency determines whether to reconstruct the entire shoulder to new construction or reconstruction standards, or match the pavement structure of the existing shoulder.
- There is an identified plan that the widened shoulder will be converted or replaced with a traffic lane within 20 years.
- The widened shoulder will be used as a temporary detour as discussed in Index 613.5(2)(f).

For all other cases, widening of the existing shoulder should match the pavement structure of the existing shoulder. For shoulders left in place, repair any existing distresses prior to overlaying.

(d) Pavement Preservation.

Shoulder preservation should be done in conjunction with work on the adjacent traffic lanes to assure that the shoulder pavement structure will meet the performance requirements stated in Index 613.5(2)(a). Shoulders can be preserved by:

- Sealing cracks greater than ¼ inch in width,
- Grinding out rolled up sections next to concrete pavement,
- Fog or slurry sealing asphalt surfaces,
- Limited digouts of failed locations.

For CAPM projects, the following additional strategies can be considered if warranted:

- Milling and replacing 0.15 foot of oxidized and cracked surfaces can also be considered either prior to an overlay or as a stand-alone action.
- Grinding of concrete shoulders if the adjacent traffic lane is being ground.
Shoulder preservation strategies should be identified and discussed with District Maintenance and the Headquarters Pavement Reviewer during the scoping phase of the project or whenever a change in strategy is proposed.

(e) Roadway Rehabilitation.

The goal of roadway rehabilitation projects is to maintain existing shoulders wherever possible. The TI is not a consideration in choosing the shoulder rehabilitation strategy unless it has been determined that the shoulder needs to be replaced for one of the following reasons:

- The shoulder will be used to temporarily detour traffic during construction and the existing shoulder does not provide adequate structure to handle the expected loads.
- The adjacent lane is being replaced as part of the project. In this situation, if the shoulder is wider than 5 feet, replace only two feet of the outside shoulder (1.0 foot of inside shoulder) adjacent to the traffic lane. For shoulders 5 feet wide or less, replace the entire shoulder.
- The existing shoulder exhibits extensive distress and/or settlement and it is agreed to by the Headquarters Pavement Reviewer that replacement is the only viable option.

For replacements other than temporary traffic detours, use the standards for new construction and reconstruction in Index 613.5(2)(b). For temporary traffic detours, see Index 613.5(2)(f) for further discussion.

Regardless of whether or not the TI is considered, shoulder rehabilitation repairs of the existing shoulder are often necessary and should be done in conjunction with work on the adjacent traffic lanes to assure that the shoulder pavement will meet the performance requirements stated in Index 613.5(2)(a).

Existing asphalt shoulders can typically be maintained as part of a rehabilitation project by milling and replacing 0.15 feet of asphalt surface plus digouts of failed areas to remove oxidized layers. This can be done either prior to an overlay or to maintain the existing surface. Where the existing shoulders have little to no cracking and are older than 3 years from the last treatment, a fog seal or slurry seal with digouts is all that is needed.

Existing concrete shoulders typically only require sealing any unsealed cracks ½ inch or wider or replacing the joint seals. Shoulders should be sealed if the adjacent traffic lanes are sealed. If shoulders are spalled, the spalls should be repaired and any shattered slabs replaced. Grinding should not be done, even if the shoulder is faulted or curled unless the adjacent traffic lane is also being ground.

Shoulder rehabilitation strategies should be identified and discussed with District Maintenance and the Headquarters Pavement Reviewer during the scoping phase of the project or whenever a change in strategy is proposed.
(g) Temporary Detours.

When existing shoulders will be used to stage traffic during construction, the existing shoulder pavement structure should be checked for structural adequacy. If the existing shoulder is not structurally adequate or if it is a new shoulder, calculate the TI based on the actual truck traffic expected to be encountered during construction. Design the shoulder based on the requirements for new or reconstructed shoulders in Index 613.5(2)(b) except in this case the TI may exceed 9. Do not use treated bases for temporary detours. For existing shoulders, remove the surface course layer and replace with a new surface course sufficiently thick enough to support temporary traffic loads.

(h) Conversion to Lane.

If a decision has been made to convert an existing shoulder to a portion of a traffic lane, a deflection study must be performed to determine the structural adequacy of the in place asphalt shoulder. The condition of the existing shoulder must also be evaluated for undulating grade, rolled-up hot mix asphalt at the rigid pavement joint, surface cracking, raveling, brittleness, oxidation, etc.

The converted facility must provide a roadway that is structurally adequate for the proposed pavement design life. This is necessary to eliminate or minimize the likelihood of excessive maintenance or rehabilitation being required in a relatively short time because of inadequate structural strength and deterioration of the existing pavement structure.

If the existing shoulder is determined to be structurally inadequate for the proposed pavement design life, then the shoulder should be upgraded or replaced in accordance with the standards for new construction and reconstruction discussed in Index 613.5(2)(b).

(i) Other.

- Tracking and Sweep Width Lines.
  
  For projects where the tracking width and sweep width lines are shown to encroach onto the paved shoulders, the shoulder pavement structure must be engineered to sustain the weight of the design vehicle. If curb and gutter are present and any portion of the gutter pan is likewise encroached, the gutter pan must be engineered to match the adjacent shoulder pavement structure. See Topic 404 for design vehicle guidance.

- Minimizing Worker Exposure.
  
  Consult with District Maintenance and the Headquarters Program Advisor during the scoping phase on options for minimizing maintenance worker exposure to maintain shoulders.

- Concrete shoulders and asphalt pavement structure.
  
  Do not place concrete shoulders adjacent to asphalt pavement structure.

(3) Intersections. Future AADTT and TI's for intersections should be determined for each approach the same way as for mainline traffic. At some intersections, the level of
truck/transit traffic from all approaches may add more loads on the pavement than what the mainline pavement was designed for. Separate ESAL/TI or load spectra calculations should be performed at intersections when any of the following criteria apply:

- Two or more State highways intersect (including ramps to/from State highways)
- Truck traffic on the local road exceeds 25 percent of the truck traffic on the State highway.
- Ramp connecting a State highway to a local road is classified as Medium or Heavy as described in Index 613.5(1)(c).

In these cases, combine the traffic counts/ESALs of the approaches to calculate the TI or load spectra for all approaches combined. If the resulting TI or load spectra are higher than what is calculated for the mainline, then the intersections will need to be engineered using the combined TI or load spectra.

For all roundabout designs, look at the traffic projections for each turning movement of each leg of the roundabout, then, sum up the truck/transit traffic volumes using each quadrant of the roundabout. From the total truck traffic volume, generate an ESAL/TI or load spectra for each quadrant. Choose the quadrant with the highest TI or load spectra to design the entire roundabout.

Special attention should be given to truck and transit traffic behavior (turning and stopping) to determine the loading patterns and to select the most appropriate materials.

The limits for engineering pavement at an intersection should include intersection approaches and departures, to the greater of the following distances:

- For signalized intersections, the limits of the approach should extend past the furthest set of signal loop detectors where trucks do the majority of their braking; or
- For “STOP” controlled intersections the limits for the approach should be long enough to cover the distance trucks will be braking and stopping either at the stop bar or behind other trucks and vehicles; or
- 100 feet.

The limits for the intersection departures should match the limits of the approach in the opposing lane to address rutting caused by truck acceleration.

For further assistance on this subject, contact either your District Materials Engineer, or Headquarters Pavement Program – Office of Concrete Pavement and Pavement Foundations.

(4) Roadside Facilities. The pavement for safety roadside rest areas, including parking lots, should meet or exceed the TI requirements found in Table 613.5B for a 20-year pavement design life for new/reconstructed or rehabilitated pavements.
Table 613.5B

Minimum TI’s for Safety Roadside Rest Areas

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Facility Usage</th>
<th>Minimum TI (20-Year)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Truck Ramps &amp; Roads</td>
<td>8.0 (1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Truck Parking Areas</td>
<td>6.0 (1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Auto Roads</td>
<td>5.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Auto Parking Areas</td>
<td>5.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NOTE:
(1) For safety roadside rest areas next to all Interstates and those State Routes with AADTT greater than 12,000 use Table 613.5A medium truck traffic for truck ramps, truck roads, and a minimum TI of 9.0 for truck parking areas.

Topic 614 – Soil Characteristics

614.1 Engineering Considerations

California is a geologically active state with a wide variety of soil types throughout. Thorough understanding of the native soils in a project area is essential to properly engineer or update a highway facility.

Subgrade is the natural soil or rock material underlying the pavement structure. Unlike concrete and steel whose characteristics are fairly uniform, the engineering properties of subgrade soils may vary widely over the length of a project.

Pavements are engineered to distribute stresses imposed by traffic to the subgrade. For this reason, subgrade condition is a principal factor in selecting the pavement structure. Before a pavement is engineered, the structural quality of the subgrade soils must be evaluated to ensure that it has adequate strength to carry the predicted traffic loads during the design life of the pavement. The pavement must also be engineered to limit the expansion and loss of density of the subgrade soil.

614.2 Unified Soil Classification System (USCS)

The USCS classifies soils according to their grain size distribution and plasticity. Therefore, only a sieve analysis and Atterberg limits (liquid limit, plastic limit, and plasticity index) are necessary to classify a soil in this system. Based on grain size distribution, soils are classified as either (1) coarse grained (more than 50 percent retained on the No. 200 sieve), or (2) fine grained (50 percent or more passes the No. 200 sieve). Coarse grained soils are further classified as gravels (50 percent or more of coarse fraction retained on the No. 4 sieve) or sands (50 percent or more of coarse fraction passes the No. 4 sieve); while fine grained soils are classified as inorganic or organic silts and clays and by their liquid limit (equal to or less
than 50 percent, or greater than 50 percent). The USCS also includes peat and other highly organic soils, which are compressible and not recommended for roadway construction. Peat and other highly organic soils should be removed wherever possible prior to placing the pavement structure.

The USCS based on ASTM D 2487 is summarized in Table 614.2. Testing frequency will depend on the probability of soil types changing within the project limits. At a minimum, there should be at least one test per mile to verify the soil type. Where changes in soil type occur, additional testing should be done to determine boundaries of the individual soil types.

### 614.3 California R-Value

The California R-value is the measure of resistance to deformation of the soils under wheel loading and saturated soil conditions. It is used to determine the bearing value of the subgrade. Determination of R-value for subgrade is provided under California Test (CT) 301. Typical R-values used by the Department range from five for very soft material to 80 for treated base material.

When determining R-value for project design, testing should be done at least once per mile (more if area is known to have variable soil properties.) Where noticeable differences in R-value occur between tests, additional tests should be taken to ascertain the boundaries of the various R-values.

The California R-value is determined based on the following separate measurements under CT 301:

- The exudation pressure test determines the thickness of cover or pavement structure required to prevent plastic deformation of the soil under imposed wheel loads.
- The expansion pressure test determines the pavement thickness or weight of cover required to withstand the expansion pressure of the saturated soil.

Because some soils, such as coarse grained gravels and sands, may exhibit a higher California R-value test result than would normally be required for pavement design, the California R-value for subgrade soils used for pavement design should be limited to no more than 50 unless agreed to otherwise by the District Materials Engineer. Local experience with these soils should govern in assigning R-value on subgrade. The California R-value of subgrade within a project may vary substantially but cost and constructability should be considered in specifying one or several California R-value(s) for the project. Engineering judgment should be exercised in selecting appropriate California R-values for the project to ensure a reasonably "balanced design" which will avoid excessive costs resulting from over conservatism. The following should be considered when selecting California R-values for a project:

- If the measured California R-values are in a narrow range with some scattered higher values, the lowest California R-value should be selected for the pavement design.
- If there are a few exceptionally low California R-values and they represent a relatively small volume of subgrade or they are concentrated in a small area, it may be more cost effective to remove or treat these materials.
### Table 614.2

Unified Soil Classification System (from ASTM D 2487)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Major Classification Group</th>
<th>Sub-Groups</th>
<th>Classification Symbol</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Coarse Grained Soils</td>
<td>Gravels</td>
<td>GW, GP</td>
<td>Clean Gravels</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Gravels</td>
<td>GM</td>
<td>Gravelly sands with fines</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Clean Sands</td>
<td>SW</td>
<td>Clean Sands</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>SP</td>
<td>Poorly graded sands and gravelly sands, little or no fines</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sands</td>
<td>SM, SC</td>
<td>Silts and Clays</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>OL</td>
<td>Organic Soils</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fine Grained Soils</td>
<td>Silts and Clays</td>
<td>ML</td>
<td>Silts and Clays</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>CL</td>
<td>Inorganic clays</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>OL</td>
<td>Organic clays</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Highly Organic Soils</td>
<td>PT</td>
<td>Peat, muck, and other highly organic soils</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Prefix:  G = Gravel,  S = Sand,  M = Silt,  C = Clay,  O = Organic
Suffix:  W = Well Graded,  P = Poorly Graded,  M = Silty,  L = Clay, LL < 50%,  H = Clay, LL > 50%
- Where changing geological formations and soil types are encountered along the length of a project, it may be cost-effective to design more than one pavement structure to accommodate major differences in R-values that extend over a considerable length. Care should be exercised to avoid many variations in the pavement structure that may result in increased construction costs that exceed potential materials cost savings.

614.4 Expansive soils

With an expansive subgrade (Plasticity Index, PI greater than 12), special engineering or construction considerations will be required. Engineering alternatives, which have been used to address expansive soils include:

(a) Chemical treatment of expansive soil with lime or other chemical additives to reduce expansion in the presence of water. Lime is often used with highly plastic, fine-grained clayey soils. When mixed and compacted, the plasticity and swelling potential of clay soils are reduced and workability increased, as lime combines with the clay particles. It also increases the California R-value of the subgrade. Soil treated with lime is considered to be lime stabilized soil. Lime stabilized soil is discussed further in Chapter 660.

(b) Replacing the expansive material with a non-expansive material to a depth where the seasonal moisture content will remain nearly constant.

(c) Providing a pavement structure of sufficient thickness to counteract the expansion pressure. The expansion pressure is the uplift pressure that an expansive soil layer would exert upon swelling due to saturation. The expansion pressure may be determined experimentally in the laboratory or using correlation equations that relate the pressure to a number of geotechnical properties of the soil and other site conditions such as plasticity index, density, and moisture content.

(d) Utilizing two-stage construction by placing a base or subbase to permit the underlying material to expand and stabilize before placing leveling and surface courses.

(e) Stabilizing the moisture content by minimizing the access of water through surface and subsurface drainage and the use of a waterproof membrane (i.e., geomembrane, asphalt saturated fabric, or rubberized asphalt membrane).

(f) Relocating the project alignment to a more suitable soil condition.

Alternative (e) is considered to be the most effective approach if relocation is not feasible such as in the San Joaquin Delta. The District Materials Engineer determines which alternative(s) is/are practical. For further assurance, more than one alternative may be selected (e.g., alternative (a) and alternative (e)).

614.5 Other Considerations

(1) Fill. Because the quality of excavated material may vary substantially along the project length, the pavement design over a fill section should be based on the minimum Unified Soil Classification or California R-value of the material that is to be excavated as part of the project. If there is any excavated material that should not be used, it should be identified in the Materials Report and noted as appropriate in the PS&E.
(2) Imported Borrow. Imported borrow is used in the construction of embankments when sufficient quantity of quality material (R-value > 20) is not available. When imported borrow of desired quality is not economically available or when the entire earthwork consists of borrow, the California R-value specified for the borrow material becomes the design R-value for the pavement project. The minimum R-value specified for borrow material should be at least 20 or the R-value for the native soil, whichever is greater. Since no minimum California R-value is required by the Standard Specifications for imported borrow, a minimum R-value for the imported borrow material placed within 4 feet of the grading plane must be specified in the Materials Report and in the project plans and specifications.

(3) Compaction. Compaction is densification of the soil by mechanical means. The Standard Specifications require a relative compaction of at least 95 percent be obtained between the outer edges of shoulders for the greater depth of either 0.5 foot below the grading plane or 2.5 feet below finished grade. The 95 percent relative compaction for the depth of 0.5 foot below the grading plane or 2.5 feet below the finished grade should not be waived for the traveled way, auxiliary lanes, and ramps on State highways. These specifications sometimes can be waived by special provision with approval from the District Materials Engineer, when any of the following conditions apply:

- A portion of a local road is being replaced with a stronger pavement structure.
- Partial-depth reconstruction is specified.
- Existing buried utilities would have to be moved.
- Interim widening projects are required on low-volume roads, intersection channelization, or frontage roads.

Locations where the 2.5 feet of compaction depth is waived must be shown on the typical cross sections of the project plan. If soft material below this depth is encountered, it must be removed and replaced with suitable excavated material, imported borrow or subgrade enhancement fabric. Location(s) where the Special Provisions apply should be shown on the typical cross section(s).

Topic 615 – Climate

The effects that climate will have on pavement must be considered as part of pavement engineering. Temperatures will cause pavements to expand and contract creating pressures that can cause pavements to buckle or crack. Binders in flexible pavements will also become softer at higher temperatures and more brittle at colder temperatures. Precipitation can increase the potential for water to infiltrate the base and subbase layers, thereby resulting in increased susceptibility to erosion and weakening of the pavement structural strength.

In freeze/thaw environments, the expansion and contraction of water as it goes through freeze and thaw cycles, plus the use of salts, sands, chains, and snow plows, create additional stresses on pavements. Solar radiation can also cause some pavements to oxidize. To help account for the effects of various climatic conditions on pavement performance, the State has been divided into the following nine climate regions primarily based on air temperature and precipitation:
• North Coast
• Central Coast
• South Coast
• Low Mountain
• High Mountain
• South Mountain
• Inland Valley
• Desert
• High Desert

Figure 615.1 provides a representation of where these regions are. A more detailed map, along with a detailed list of where State routes fall within each climate region, can be found on the Department Pavement website.

In conjunction with this map, designs, standards, plans, and specifications have been and are being developed to tailor pavement standards and practices to meet each of these climatic conditions.

The standards and practices found in this manual, the Standard Plans, Standard Specifications, and Special Provisions should be considered as the minimum requirements to meet the needs of each climate region. Districts may also have additional requirements based on their local conditions. Final decision for the need for any requirements that exceed the requirements found in this manual, the Standard Plans, Standard Specifications, and Standard Special Provisions rests with the District.

**Topic 616 – Existing Pavement Type and Condition**

The type and condition of pavement on existing adjacent lanes or facilities should be considered when selecting new pavement structures or rehabilitation/preservation strategies. The selection process and choice made by the engineer is influenced by their experience and knowledge of existing facilities in the immediate area that have given adequate service. Providing continuity of existing pavement type can also ensure consistency in maintenance operations and optimum performance.

In reviewing existing pavement type and condition, the following factors should be considered:

• Type of pavement on existing adjacent lanes or facilities
• Performance of similar pavements in the project area
• Corridor continuity
• Maintaining or changing grade profile
• Existing pavement widening with a similar material
Figure 615.1

Pavement Climate Regions

NOTE: Map is shown for reference only.
See the Department Pavement website for the detailed map to use.
Existing appurtenant features (median barriers, drainage facilities, curbs and dikes, lateral and overhead clearances, and structures which may limit the new or rehabilitated pavement structure).

**Topic 617 – Materials**

**617.1 Availability of Materials**

The availability of suitable materials such as subbase and base materials, aggregates, binders, and cements for pavements should be considered in the selection of pavement type. The availability of commercially produced mixes and the equipment capabilities of area contractors may also influence the selection of pavement type, particularly on small widening, reconstruction or rehabilitation projects. Suitable materials that are locally available or require less energy to produce and transport to the project site should be used whenever possible.

**617.2 Recycling**

The Department encourages and seeks opportunities to utilize recycled materials in construction projects whenever such materials meet the minimum engineering standards and are economically viable. Accordingly, consideration should be given on every project to use materials recycled from existing pavements as well as other recycled materials such as scrap tires. Existing pavements can be recycled for use as subbase and base materials to be surfaced with a flexible structural surface course, or as a partial substitute for aggregate in hot mix asphalt mixes. The decision to use recycled materials should be made based on a thorough evaluation of material properties, performance experience, benefit/cost analysis, and engineering judgment. Additional information on use of recycled pavements is available in Index 110.11 and on the Department Pavement website.

Candidates for recycling flexible pavement surface courses are those with uniform asphalt content. The existence of heavy crack-sealant, numerous patches, open-graded friction course, and heavy seal coats make the new recycled hot mix asphalt design inconsistent thereby resulting in mix properties that are more difficult to control. To avoid this problem and still use the recycling option, for flexible pavement, a minimum of 0.08 foot should be milled off prior to the recycling operation. Light crack sealing (less than 5 percent of the pavement) or a uniform single seal coat does not require milling.

The Department has established a minimum mill depth of 0.15 foot for recycling flexible pavement surface courses. Since existing surface course thickness will have slight variations, the recycling strategy should leave at least the bottom 0.15 foot of the existing flexible surface course in place. This is to insure the milling machine does not loosen base material and possibly contaminate the recycled material. As mentioned in Index 110.11(2), recycling of existing hot mix asphalt must be considered, in all cases, as an alternative to placing 100 percent new hot mix asphalt.
Topic 618 – Maintainability and Constructability

618.1 Maintainability

Maintainability is the ability of a highway facility to be restored in a timely and cost-effective way with minimal traffic exposure to the workers and minimal traffic delays to the traveling public. It is an important factor in the selection of pavement type and pertinent appurtenances. Maintainability issues should be considered throughout the project development process to ensure that maintenance needs are adequately addressed in the engineering and construction of the pavement structure. For example, while a project may be constructible and built in a timely and cost-effective manner, it may create conditions requiring increased worker exposure and increased maintenance effort that is more expensive and labor intensive to maintain. Another example is the pavement drainage systems that need frequent replacement and often do not provide access for cleanout. Besides the minimum considerations for the safety of the public and construction workers found in this manual, the Standard Specifications, and other Department manuals and guidance, greater emphasis should also be placed on the safety of maintenance personnel and long-term maintenance costs over the service life for the proposed project rather than on constructability or initial costs. Minimizing exposure to traffic through appropriate pavement type selection and sound engineering practices should always be a high priority. The District Maintenance Engineer and Maintenance Supervisor responsible for maintaining the project after it is built should be consulted for recommendations on addressing maintainability.

618.2 Constructability

Construction issues that influence pavement type selection include: size and complexity of the project, stage construction, lane closure requirements, traffic control and safety during construction, construction windows when the project must be completed, adequate work area, and other constructability issues that have the potential of generating contract change orders.

The Project Engineer must be cognizant of the issues involved in constructing a pavement, and provide plans and specifications that both meets performance standards and requirements. The Construction Engineer for the area where the pavement will be built should be consulted regarding constructability during the project development process. The recommendations given by Construction should be weighed against other recommendations and requirements for the pavement. Constructability recommendations should be accommodated where practical, provide minimum performance requirements, safety, and maintainability. Some constructability items that should be addressed in the project include:
Clearance width of paving machines to barriers and hinge points should be provided for good control of paving operation and smoothness. Provide a minimum of 2.5 feet from limits of paving to portable concrete barrier (Type 60K) for paving machine and survey control.

Access for delivery trucks and construction equipment. Consistent delivery of material is important for the paving machine to operate at a consistent rate to construct smooth and long lasting pavement.

Public safety and convenience.

Time and cost of placing multiple thin lifts of different materials as opposed to thicker lifts of a single material. (For example, sometimes it is more efficient and less costly to place one thick lift of aggregate base rather than two thin lifts of aggregate base and subbase).

The impact of combined lifts of different materials on long-term performance or maintenance of the pavement. For example, although it may seem to be a good idea to combine layers of Portland cement concrete and lean concrete base into a single layer to make it easier to construct, combining these layers has a negative impact on the pavement performance and will lead to untimely failure.

Distance to material batch plant should be taken into consideration. If one is not accessible to the project site, a staging area no less than 200 by 200 feet should be provided to produce consistent concrete or asphalt mixes and ensure proper moisture levels in aggregate mix as they are essential in creating sound and smooth pavement.

Maximize lane closure times or utilize detours to provide consistent paving operations. Paving short sections causes more pavement tie-ins and more start-stop operations, both of which create greater potential for pavement roughness and lower durability. In lieu of short duration closures of less than 10 hours, the following traffic handling strategies should be considered for major pavement operations such as widening, rehabilitation, or reconstruction:

- Extended weekend closures (55-hour, 48-hour, 24-hour, etc.).
- Median widening to temporarily detour traffic.
- Diverting some or all traffic to opposite direction (split roadway) and using movable barriers, if needed, to maintain peak traffic flows.
- Long-term lane closures. Some roads can be at least partially closed for 2 weeks or more during light travel seasons or during entire construction.

Order of work should be taken into consideration to ensure smooth and durable pavement. For example, diamond grinding should be done after individual slab replacement work is completed. However, for concrete pavement widening, diamond grind the adjacent existing lane prior to beginning the widening work.
Topic 619 – Pavement Life-Cycle

619.1 Life-Cycle Cost Analysis

Life-cycle cost analysis (LCCA) is a useful tool for comparing the value of alternative pavement structures and strategies. LCCA is an economic analysis that compares initial cost, future cost, and user delay cost of different pavement alternatives. LCCA is an integral part of the decision making process for selecting pavement type and design strategy. It can be used to compare life-cycle cost for:

- Different pavement types (rigid, flexible, composite).
- Different rehabilitation strategies.
- Different pavement design lives (e.g., 20 vs. 40).

LCCA comparisons must be made between properly engineered, viable pavement structures that would be approved for construction if selected. The alternatives being evaluated should also have identical improvements. For example, comparing 20-year rehabilitation vs. 40-year rehabilitation or flexible pavement new construction vs. rigid pavement new construction, provide an identical improvement. Conversely, comparing pavement rehabilitation to new construction, or pavement overlay to pavement widening are not identical improvements.

LCCA can also be useful to determine the value of combining several projects into a single project. For example, combining a pavement rehabilitation project with a pavement widening project may reduce overall user delay and construction cost. In such case, LCCA can help determine if combining projects can reduce overall user delay and construction cost for more efficient and cost-effective projects. LCCA could also be used to identify and measure the impacts of splitting a project into two or more projects.

LCCA must conform to the procedures and data in the Life-Cycle Cost Analysis Procedures Manual available on the Department Pavement website. LCCA must be completed for any project with a pavement cost component except for the following:

- Pavement preservation projects (preventative maintenance and CAPM).
- Minor A and Minor B projects.
- Projects using Permit Engineering Evaluation Reports (PEER).
- Maintenance pullouts.
- Landscape.

For the above exempted projects, the Project Manager and the Project Development Team (PDT) will determine on a case-by-case basis if and how a life-cycle cost analysis should be performed and documented. LCCA must be performed and documented in the PID and PA&ED phases. If a change in pavement design is done after the PA&ED, the LCCA must be updated. The Project Engineer is responsible for coordinating all aspects of LCCA and utilizing the information to assure the most efficient use of transportation funds. Information on how to perform and document LCCA can be found in the LCCA Procedures Manual.
619.2 Life-Cycle Assessment

Life Cycle Assessment (LCA) is an approach to quantify the environmental impacts of industrial products and processes. The Department is currently developing a framework and a tool for using this concept to conduct life-cycle assessment for pavements. Using this tool, it is possible to quantify the amount of greenhouse gases (GHGs) emissions (in terms of tons of carbon dioxide equivalents) released during the production of the various materials to be used in pavement construction, transport to the job site, and use of these materials on the project, followed by the maintenance and rehabilitation of these materials, recycling, and disposal (i.e., a cradle-to-grave analysis). The tool will be valuable in the decision-making process regarding the selection of pavement type, materials, and rehabilitation strategies and will help the Department in the future achieve its sustainability goals. The tool will complement the LCCA tool in the final selection of pavement materials and strategies to minimize the carbon footprint associated with pavement.