

As mentioned earlier this evening, a key product of a PEL study is the development of alternatives that will meet the needs of the corridor.

Caltrans and its partners will be starting the development and screening of alternatives in the coming months, and we would like some initial input from the public on the range of alternatives to be considered by the PEL team.



A key challenge for the PEL study, is understanding how sea level will rise in the study area. Evaluating available data, factoring in risk, and deciding on a target for design year will ensure that an appropriate roadway design elevation is identified.

Here you can see the current elevation for portions of the corridor.

The Ocean Protection Council Guidance 2018 recommends that designs include Storm Surge and Wave Run-Up when planning projects. When you factor in Sea Level Rise projections with additional storm surge and wave run up, you can see how that could affect the elevation requirement for a roadway constructed on an embankment. However, for structures such as bridges and causeways, the minimum height is even greater because we have to account for freeboard, or space underneath the bridges and causeways to keep the structure out of the wave action. The PEL team will be coordinating our Sea Level Rise assumptions with previous studies in the corridor, and update if needed. We also need to be adaptable in our approach, knowing that Sea Level Rise Projections and Guidance may change over the course of the PEL study's schedule, and recognize we may need to modify preliminary decisions.



Three primary strategies exist for adapting infrastructure, such as SR 37, to Sea Level Rise.

(1) The **Protec**t strategy entails building hard barriers, such as seawalls or soft barriers like nature-based levees, and reefs to stop or buffer the encroaching water and protect assets from flooding,

(2) The **Accommodate** strategy involves modifying assets such as highways so that they can accommodate regular or periodic flooding, and lastly,

(3) The **Relocate, or Retreat** strategy involves relocating assets from the potential flood zone by moving them to higher ground or further inland.

Each of these strategies comes with trade-offs, as shown on the following slides, and not all strategies will work in every situation. For example, relocating existing properties, could be disruptive, expensive, and not always logistically possible. Armoring much of the coast, however, is also not practical. Therefore, selecting which combination of Sea Level Rise adaptation strategies to use in a particular location is an involved process combining scientific research, locally specific information, public and stakeholder input and support, and both high-level and detailed planning. In complex situations, such as the SR 37 corridor, a hybrid approach of these strategies is often employed.



Here are some examples of how the Protect strategy could be used for the SR 37 corridor.

Hard protection, also known as "armoring," consists of constructing physical structures to keep water back, such as seawalls, retaining wall, and levees.

Soft protection, or living shorelines, consists of efforts to enhance natural elements to buffer against the water, such as building up sand dunes, adding sand to beaches, and expanding wetlands.

Protection strategies have advantages and disadvantages.

One **advantage** is that it can allow existing development and infrastructure to remain in place. It can also be less costly than other strategies.

A **disadvantage** is that hard protection can contribute to beach erosion and increased flooding in adjacent areas. Soft protection likely will become a less viable strategy once sea levels rise to the higher stages of projected levels.



The next adaptation strategy is **Accommodate**. This approach modifies or replaces the infrastructure so that it can withstand Sea Level Rise without damage.

An **advantage** of this approach is that it can allow existing development and infrastructure to remain in place once modified.

However, its **disadvantage** is that it can be costly and difficult in staged construction, to modify existing development in place.



Accommodating sea level rise can include the construction of causeways as you see here.



Accommodating may also include construction of an elevated roadway on an embankment as shown here.



The final Adaptation strategy of **relocation or retreat** includes physically moving an asset or facility at risk to the sea level rise.

An advantage of this strategy is that it can provide space for beach and wetlands to migrate inland as water rises. This approach can ensure that infrastructure is, or will, be safe from flooding.

However, the disadvantages of this approach, are that it can be difficult, costly, or impossible to relocate existing infrastructure and potentially removing connection to local streets and roads.



The PEL study will incorporate existing and past studies to determine viable protect, accommodate, and retreat or relocate strategies.

Here you can see alignments that remain out of the floodplain of the bayland marshes.

These options are consistent with "Retreat" Approach by removing the roadway out of the projected floodplain.



Here you see shorter route options.

These options are consistent with the "Accommodate" Approach by minimizing the length of roadway over the floodplain and may include a combination of causeway and embankment.



Here is a route that follows the shore, outside of wetland areas.

These options are consistent with the "Accommodate" strategy by minimizing the length of roadway over the projected floodplain.

These options could also further minimize impacts to wetland areas by placing most of the alignment on a causeway structure.



Here are some alignment options that follow or utilize existing transportation corridors such as SR 37 and/or the rail corridor.

These options could be interpreted as both "Protect and Accommodate" because they are raising or protecting SR 37 in place.



This alignment option relocates SR 37 onto a causeway over the San Pablo Bay.

It is one of those options that employs both the relocate and accommodate strategy.

37 CORRIDOR PROJECTS	RESILIENTSR37						
DESIGN ALTERNATIVE ALIGNMENTS							
Are y align	you interested in any of these ments or others not presented?	Section 1					
#1	Routes that remain out of the floodplain	DMIS (Sand	Coon	Island Tatt			
#2A/ #2B	Routes through more narrow (shorter distances) areas of floodplain	2A north) Our 100 (100)	Number Two	kuss Island Island			
#3	Routes that follow offshore of the marsh linking to US 101 south of Novato	land 2B		nd Kn ght Island			
#4	Routes along existing transportation corridors - SR 37 and/or rail corridor	Kovalo Deer daar	5	Valleto Marcitrian			
#5	Route across the San Pablo Bay between 101 to Mare Island	US 4 3	San Pablo Bay				
		tal Purces Valley Forevoor		Rodeo.			
"ONE CORRIDOR, ONE TEAM, MANY SOLUTIONS." 14							
		Scta NVTA		allow -			

As you can see on this graphic, there are many alignment options that can be considered in the alternatives analysis process by the PEL team. We will continue to develop these options in the coming months.

Our question for you this evening, "are we missing an alignment, or a design consideration besides embankment and causeway?"

You may share your thoughts or suggestions for alignments tonight, through the chat discussion, or following this meeting, you can use of the many means and tools that we discussed to provide your feedback.



In addition to Sea Level Rise, when we develop alternatives, we must consider how our proposed solutions affect our communities, our environment and of course, how a change in the alignment may affect the users of SR 37.

There are many considerations – what considerations are important to you?

CORRIDOR PROJECTS	SILIENTSR37							
THERE ARE MULTIPLE OPPORTUNITIES TO PROVIDE YOUR INPUT								
	Provide a comment or sign up for updates: Email: <u>StateRoute37@dot.ca.gov</u>	Map cond www	your interest or cern: w.Resilient37.org					
K	Call the SR 37 Public Information Lin (510) 286-1204	e:						
Ê	Take a survey/Fill out the questionnaire www.Resilient37.org/Questionnaire	aire:						
"ONE CORRIDOR, ONE TEAM, MANY SOLUTIONS." 16								
(M)		NVTA						

LIVE: We would like to hear from you and get your input.

- First, thank you for attending this meeting.
- Please take a moment to fill out the questionnaire.
- Email or call us.
- Much of the information shared today can be found at Resilient37.org website

Finally – we have an interactive map that we demonstrated earlier this evening. You can place your comment using the web application -



Here is our final poll: Please make sure to select submit after you respond.

POLL QUESTION #5: What considerations should shape the range of alternatives?

- Protecting and enhancing natural resources
- Minimizing impacts on existing uses
- Providing modal options
- User costs and ability to pay
- Addressing users needs
- Other