MTIP ID\# (required): CAL21276

Project Description (clearly describe project):
The California Department of Transportation (Caltrans), in collaboration with stakeholders, proposes to improve Interstate-80 Corridor in Solano, Yolo and Sacramento Counties. On I-80 just from the I80/Kidwell Road interchange in Solano County, through Yolo County, and to the W. El Camino interchange; also on US 50 from the I-80/US 50 interchange to the I-5/US 50 interchange in Sacramento County: Construct improvements consisting of managed lanes a High Occupancy Toll (HOT) 3+ lane in each direction with direct connectors, pedestrian/bicycle facilities, park-n-ride, and Intelligent Transportation System (ITS) elements. (The project was split into two projects with the same scope and timeline, CAL21276 and CAL21424. Total project cost $\$ 465,000,000$ ) (Figure 1).

The following information are consisted of each alternative for the YOL-80 Managed Lanes Project:

- Alternative 1: No-Build.
- Build Alternative 2a: Add a High Occupancy Vehicle (HOV) lane in each direction for use by vehicles with two or more riders (HOV 2+).
- Build Alternative 2b: Add a high-occupancy vehicle lane in each direction for use by vehicles with two or more riders (HOV 2+) and build an I-80 managed lane direct connector.
- Build Alternative 3: Add a High Occupancy Toll (HOT) in each direction for use by vehicles with two or more riders (HOT 2+). Single-occupied vehicles would pay a fee for lane usage.
- Build Alternative 3b: Add a high-occupancy toll lane in each direction for free use by vehicles with two or more riders (HOT 2+) and build an I-80 managed lane direct connector. Single-occupied vehicles would pay a fee for lane usage.
- Build Alternative 4: Add a high-occupancy toll lane in each direction for free use by vehicles with three or more riders (HOT 3+). Vehicles with less than three riders would pay a fee for lane usage.
- Build Alternative 4b (preferred): Add a high-occupancy toll lane in each direction for free use by vehicles with three or more riders (HOT 3+) and build an I-80 managed lane direct connector. Vehicles with less than three riders would pay a fee for lane usage.
- Build Alternative 5: Add an express lane in each direction (i.e., everyone would pay a fee to use the lane, regardless of the number of riders).
- Build Alternative 5b: Add an express lane in each direction (i.e., everyone would pay a fee to use the lane, regardless of number of riders), and build an l-80 managed lane direct connector.
- Build Alternative 6: Add a transit-only lane in each direction.
- Build Alternative 6b: Add a transit-only lane in each direction and build an I-80 managed lane direct connector.
- Build Alternative 7a: Repurpose the current number one general-purpose lane for use by vehicles with two or more riders (HOV 2+); no new lanes would be constructed.
- Build Alternative 7b: Repurpose the current number one general-purpose lane for use by vehicles with two or more riders (HOV 2+); no new lanes would be constructed. Build an l-80 managed lane direct connector.

| Type of Project: <br> Change to existing state highway | County: <br> Solano/Yolo/Sacramento |
| :--- | :--- |


|  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Narrative Location/Route \& Post Miles: The project is located in Solano, Yolo, and Sacramento Counties on the I-80 corridor between post miles (PMs) 40.7 and 44.7 in Solano County, PMs between PMs 0.00 and 11.72 in Yolo County, and between PMs 0.00 and 1.36 in Sacramento County; on the US-50 corridor between PMs 0.00 and 3.12 in Yolo County and between PMs 0.00 and 0.617 in Sacramento County. In Solano County, A Changeable Message Sign Board will be set up without altering the freeway layout. <br> Caltrans Projects - EA\#: 03-3H900 |  |  |  |  |
| Lead Agency: Caltrans |  |  |  |  |
| Contact Jason L |  | Email: <br> Jason.Lee@dot.ca.gov |  |  |
| Phone\#: 916-275-2926 |  |  |  |  |
| Hot Spot Pollutant of Concern (check one or both) PM2.5 $\backslash$ PM10 $\square$ |  |  |  |  |
| Is this a 23 USC 326 or a 23 USC 327 federal process under MAP-21 (formerly 6004 and 6005)? <br> Typically, EA or above is a 23 USC 327 project. <br> (check one) <br> 23 USC 326 $\square$ 23 USC 327 $\square$ |  |  |  |  |
| Federal Action for which Project-Level PM Conformity is Needed (check appropriate box) Categorical Exclusion (NEPA) $\square$ EA or Draft EIS $\square$ FONSI or Final EIS $\square$ |  |  |  |  |
| Scheduled Date of Federal Action: TBD |  |  |  |  |
| Current Programming Dates (as appropriate) |  |  |  |  |
|  | PE/Environmental | ENG | ROW | CON |
| Start | 10/09/2019 | 4/15/2022 | 4/15/202024 | 9/30/2024 |
| End | 4/26/2024 | 6/26/2024 | 11/03/2026 | 11/03/2026 |

## Project Purpose and Need (Summary):

The purpose of this project is to improve multimodal mobility on the I-80 and US-50 corridors in Yolo and Sacramento Counties. This project will decrease congestion growth through the corridor and the effects congestion has on transit and freight. It will improve travel transit times, reliability, access, and viability through the corridor. This project will also increase people throughput by increasing transit, bicycle/pedestrian, and carpool use. The project will also address non-recurrent congestion caused by incidents, including collisions, by improving incident detection, verification, response and clearing.

Surrounding Land Use/Traffic Generators (Describe effect of traffic generators or diesel traffic): The proposed segments of the I-80 and US-50 are adjacent to a variety of land uses and receptors, including multi-family and single-family residential areas, parks, schools, medical centers and commercial land uses. Land uses within the 500 -foot zone along each side of the I-80/US 50 project segment include the following:

- Residential uses including single-family and multi-family residences;
- Local parks including Davis Soccer Fields;
- Yolo High school

Opening Year: Build and No-Build
Please see table 1-6
MTIP Horizon Year/Design Year: Build and No-Build
Please see table 1-2, 7-10, 11-24
Describe potential traffic redistribution effects of congestion relief (impact on other facilities):
This mobility improvement will be accomplished with eastbound and westbound managed lane strategies. The Project will help relieve current traffic congestion, which will result in improved traffic flow, mobility, travel time, and reliability. In addition, the Project will improve transit access and reduce vehicle emissions and travel costs.

Comments/Explanations/Details (attach additional sheets as necessary):
The YOL-80 Manage Lanes project falls within the category of new or expanded highway projects that do not involve a significant number or increase in the number of diesel vehicles. The previous 2006 Transportation Conformity Guidance for Qualitative Hot-Spot Analyses in PM2.5 and PM10 Nonattainment and Maintenance Areas defined significant diesel volumes as being $8 \%$ of annual average daily traffic (EPA 2006b). The existing truck traffic conditions of the project limit are consisted of light duty trucks (gasoline) about 30\% and heavy duty trucks (diesel) about 70\% (Table 15). The following explanations are based on comparisons of Build Alt 4B and No Build Alt 1. The opening year 2029 AADT, along all segments of I-80 and US-50 highway, are projected to be above 150,000 average daily traffic and the average truck percentage along segments of I-80 and US-50 within the project limit range from 6.4 to 7.8 percent (Tables 5), which are estimated with diesel truck traffic about 4.5 to 5.5 percent.

All the build options with heavy truck traffic would be less than the percentage of diesel trucks (i.e. $8 \%$ ) considered to be significant pursuant to the PM Guidance. Implementation of the proposed project is anticipated to increase VMT on the affected portion of I-80 and US-50; however, the proposed project would not induce significant diesel truck traffic and the truck percentage would change from the truck traffic of No Build with Alt 4B HOT3+, which is not a substantial increase, about $8.6 \%, 5.9 \%$, and $4.6 \%$ from opening year 2029, MTIP year 2040, and design year 2049 respectively, after the project (Table 2). The proposed project is not a land use that would require additional diesel truck traffic as part of its operation. Thus, the proposed project is not considered to induce a significant amount of diesel truck traffic and would not substantially increase diesel truck traffic along the affected portions of $1-80$ and US-50.
[Source - Traffic Data by Fehr and Peers, 2021]

Figure 1. Project Segment Map


Table 1. No-Build and Alternative 1 and 2a-7a Option Comparison of Total AADT, Total Truck AADT, Total Truck\% Change for the Opening Year (2029), MTIP Year (2040), and Design Year (2049) (Source-Fehr and Peers, 2021).

| Opening <br> Year 2029 | $2019$ <br> Existing | 2029 <br> Alt 1 No <br> Build | 2029 <br> Alt 2a <br> Add <br> HOV2+ | $\begin{aligned} & 2029 \text { Alt } \\ & \text { 3a Add } \\ & \text { HOT2+ } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2029 \text { Alt } \\ & \text { 4a Add } \\ & \text { HOT 3+ } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2029 \text { Alt } \\ & \text { 5a Add } \\ & \text { Toll } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2029 \text { Alt } \\ & \text { 6a Add } \\ & \text { Transit } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2029 \text { Alt } \\ 7 a \\ \text { Convert } \\ \text { HOV } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AADT | 150,411 | 157,663 | 173,786 | 173,806 | 171,958 | 169,971 | 160,847 | 156,565 |
| Truck AADT | 11,577 | 12,114 | 13,352 | 13,354 | 13,212 | 13,059 | 12,359 | 12,029 |
| Truck Difference from No Build |  |  | 1,238 | 1,240 | 1,098 | 945 | 245 | -85 |
| Truck\% Change From No Build |  |  | 10.2\% | 10.2\% | 9.1\% | 7.8\% | 2.0\% | -0.7\% |
| MTP <br> Year $2040$ | $2019$ <br> Existing | $2040$ <br> Alt 1 No Build | $\begin{gathered} 2040 \\ \text { Alt 2a } \\ \text { Add } \\ \text { HOV2+ } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2040 \text { Alt } \\ & \text { 3a Add } \\ & \text { HOT2+ } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2040 \mathrm{Alt} \\ & \text { 4a Add } \\ & \text { HOT 3+ } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2040 \text { Alt } \\ & \text { 5a Add } \\ & \text { Toll } \end{aligned}$ | $2040 \text { Alt }$ <br> 6a Add Transit | $\begin{aligned} & 2040 \text { Alt } \\ & 7 a \\ & \text { Convert } \\ & \text { HOV } \end{aligned}$ |
| AADT | 150,411 | 162,995 | 175,741 | 175,832 | 173,350 | 172,582 | 163,081 | 159,511 |
| Truck AADT | 11,577 | 12,524 | 13,504 | 13,511 | 13,320 | 13,261 | 12,531 | 12,257 |
| Truck Difference from No Build |  |  | 980 | 987 | 796 | 737 | 7 | -267 |
| Truck\% Change From No Build |  |  | 7.8\% | 7.9\% | 6.4\% | 5.9\% | 0.1\% | -2.1\% |
| Design <br> Year <br> 2049 | $2019$ <br> Existing | $2049$ <br> Alt 1 No Build | $\begin{gathered} 2049 \\ \text { Alt 2a } \\ \text { Add } \\ \text { HOV2+ } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2049 \text { Alt } \\ & \text { 3a Add } \\ & \text { HOT2+ } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2049 \text { Alt } \\ & \text { 4a Add } \\ & \text { HOT 3+ } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2049 \text { Alt } \\ & \text { 5a Add } \\ & \text { Toll } \end{aligned}$ | $2049 \text { Alt }$ <br> 6a Add <br> Transit | 2049 Alt <br> 7a <br> Convert HOV |
| AADT | 150,411 | 180,290 | 190,362 | 188,292 | 186,374 | 186,374 | 176,866 | 174,064 |
| Truck AADT | 11,577 | 13,852 | 14,599 | 14,624 | 14,465 | 14,318 | 13,587 | 13,372 |
| Truck Difference from No Build |  |  | 747 | 772 | 613 | 466 | -265 | -480 |
| Truck\% Change From No Build |  |  | 5.4\% | 5.6\% | 4.4\% | 3.4\% | -1.9\% | -3.5\% |

Table 2. No-Build and Alternative 1 and 2b-7b Option Comparison of Total AADT, Total Truck AADT, Total Truck\% Change for the Opening Year (2029), MTIP Year (2040), and Design Year (2049) (Source-Fehr and Peers, 2023).

| Opening <br> Year 2029 | 2019 <br> Existing | $\begin{aligned} & 2029 \text { Alt } \\ & 1 \text { No } \\ & \text { Build } \end{aligned}$ | 2029 Alt <br> 2b Add <br> HOV2+ | 2029 Alt <br> 3b Add HOT2+ | 2029 Alt <br> 4b Add HOT 3+ | 2029 Alt <br> $5 b$ Add Toll | 2029 Alt <br> 6b Add <br> Transit | $\begin{gathered} 2029 \text { Alt } \\ 7 \mathrm{~b} \\ \text { Convert } \\ \text { HOV } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AADT | 150,411 | 157,663 | 174,003 | 172,609 | 171,206 | 169,518 | 160,847 | 155,545 |
| Truck AADT | 11,135 | 11,671 | 12,881 | 12,778 | 12,674 | 12,549 | 11,907 | 11,515 |
| Truck Difference from No Build |  |  | 1,210 | 1,107 | 1,003 | 878 | 236 | -156 |
| Truck\% Change From No Build |  |  | 10.4\% | 9.5\% | 8.6\% | 7.5\% | 2.0\% | -1.3\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| MTP Year 2040 | 2019 <br> Existing | $\begin{gathered} 2040 \text { Alt } \\ 1 \text { No } \\ \text { Build } \end{gathered}$ | 2040 Alt <br> 2b Add <br> HOV2+ | 2040 Alt <br> 3b Add HOT2+ | 2040 Alt <br> 4b Add HOT 3+ | 2040 Alt <br> 5b Add <br> Toll | 2040 Alt <br> 6b Add <br> Transit | 2040 Alt <br> 7b <br> Convert <br> HOV |
| AADT | 150,411 | 162,995 | 175,630 | 174,656 | 172,641 | 171,930 | 163,081 | 158,428 |
| Truck AADT | 11,135 | 12,066 | 13,001 | 12,929 | 12,780 | 12,727 | 12,072 | 11,728 |
| Truck Difference from No Build |  |  | 935 | 863 | 714 | 661 | 6 | -338 |
| Truck\% Change From No Build |  |  | 7.8\% | 7.2\% | 5.9\% | 5.5\% | 0.1\% | -2.8\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Design <br> Year 2049 | $\begin{gathered} 2019 \\ \text { Existing } \end{gathered}$ | 2049 Alt <br> 1 No <br> Build | 2049 Alt <br> 2b Add <br> HOV2+ | 2049 Alt 3b Add HOT2+ | 2049 Alt <br> 4b Add HOT 3+ | 2049 Alt <br> 5b Add <br> Toll | 2049 Alt <br> 6b Add <br> Transit | 2049 Alt <br> 7b <br> Convert <br> HOV |
| AADT | 150,411 | 180,290 | 189,710 | 191,199 | 188,516 | 186,600 | 176,866 | 174,163 |
| Truck AADT | 11,135 | 13,346 | 14,044 | 14,154 | 13,955 | 13,813 | 13,093 | 12,893 |
| Truck Difference from No Build |  |  | 698 | 808 | 609 | 467 | -253 | -453 |
| Truck\% Change From No Build |  |  | 5.2\% | 6.1\% | 4.6\% | 3.5\% | -1.9\% | -3.4\% |

## Attachment C

Table 3. Alternatives 1 and 2a-7a Comparison of Total AADT and Total Truck\% of each roadway segment of opening year 2029 within the project limit (Source-Fehr and Peers, 2021).

|  |  |  |  | Opening Year 2029 - Total AADT and Total Truck\% (2021) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| RTE | Description | *Segment | 2019 <br> Existing | Alt 1 No Build | Alt 2a HOV 2+ | Alt 3a HOT 2+ | Alt 4a <br> HOT 3+ | Alt 5a <br> Add Toll | Alt 6a <br> Add <br> Transit | Alt 7a <br> Convert <br> HOV 2+ | Truck\% of each roadway segment |
| 1-80 | Old Davis to Richard Blvd | 1 | 148,424 | 152,650 | 163,030 | 163,200 | 162,100 | 160,680 | 153,910 | 149,370 | 7.7\% |
| I-80 | Richards Blvd to Mace Blvd |  | 145,339 | 150,800 | 165,300 | 165,600 | 163,700 | 161,500 | 152,500 | 147,400 | 7.9\% |
| 1-80 | Mace Blvd to Chiles Rd/Country Rd 32A |  | 158,596 | 165,800 | 187,000 | 178,800 | 183,700 | 180,200 | 168,800 | 163,000 | 7.7\% |
| I-80 | Chiles Rd/Country Rd 32A to Enterprise Blvd/W Capitol Ave |  | 164,928 | 173,500 | 186,400 | 193,400 | 191,300 | 189,700 | 177,400 | 171,100 | 7.8\% |
| 1-80 | Enterprise Blvd/W Capitol Ave to US 50 |  | 174,197 | 190,500 | 212,500 | 212,400 | 210,200 | 208,100 | 195,300 | 191,000 | 7.8\% |
| US 50 | 1-80 to Harbor Blvd | 3 | 140,143 | 146,000 | 161,600 | 161,200 | 159,800 | 157,300 | 150,200 | 147,800 | 7.6\% |
| US 50 | Harbor Blvd to Jefferson Blvd |  | 157,629 | 166,000 | 181,900 | 181,300 | 179,600 | 177,300 | 170,600 | 167,700 | 7.6\% |
| US 50 | Jefferson Blvd to l-5 |  | 198,012 | 208,500 | 225,800 | 225,300 | 223,300 | 221,300 | 212,800 | 211,300 | 7.1\% |
| I-80 | US 50 to Reed Ave | 2 | 109,938 | 115,800 | 126,400 | 126,500 | 125,700 | 124,800 | 118,200 | 116,100 | 7.8\% |
| 1-80 | Reed Ave to W El Camino Ave |  | 123,530 | 130,600 | 141,600 | 141,800 | 141,200 | 140,300 | 134,200 | 131,900 | 7.5\% |

*segment in the project location map

Table 4. Alternatives 1 and 2a-7a Comparison of Truck AADT of each roadway segment of opening year 2029 within the project limit (SourceFehr and Peers, 2021).

|  |  |  |  | Opening Year 2029- Total Truck (2021) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| RTE | Description | *Segment | $\begin{gathered} 2019 \\ \text { Existing } \end{gathered}$ | Alt 1 No Build | Alt 2a HOV 2+ | Alt 3a HOT 2+ | Alt 4a HOT 3+ | Alt 5a <br> Add Toll | Alt 6a <br> Add <br> Transit | Alt 7a Convert HOV 2+ |
| I-80 | Old Davis to Richard Blvd | 1 | 11,429 | 11,754 | 12,553 | 12,566 | 12,482 | 12,372 | 11,851 | 11,501 |
| I-80 | Richards Blvd to Mace Blvd |  | 11,482 | 11,913 | 13,059 | 13,082 | 12,932 | 12,759 | 12,048 | 11,645 |
| I-80 | Mace Blvd to Chiles Rd/Country Rd 32A |  | 12,212 | 12,767 | 14,399 | 13,768 | 14,145 | 13,875 | 12,998 | 12,551 |
| I-80 | Chiles Rd/Country Rd 32A to Enterprise Blvd/W Capitol Ave |  | 12,864 | 13,533 | 14,539 | 15,085 | 14,921 | 14,797 | 13,837 | 13,346 |
| I-80 | Enterprise Blvd/W Capitol Ave to US 50 |  | 13,587 | 14,859 | 16,575 | 16,567 | 16,396 | 16,232 | 15,233 | 14,898 |
| US 50 | I-80 to Harbor Blvd | 3 | 10,651 | 11,096 | 12,282 | 12,251 | 12,145 | 11,955 | 11,415 | 11,233 |
| US 50 | Harbor Blvd to Jefferson Blvd |  | 11,980 | 12,616 | 13,824 | 13,779 | 13,650 | 13,475 | 12,966 | 12,745 |
| US 50 | Jefferson Blvd to l-5 |  | 14,059 | 14,804 | 16,032 | 15,996 | 15,854 | 15,712 | 15,109 | 15,002 |
| I-80 | US 50 to Reed Ave | 2 | 8,575 | 9,032 | 9,859 | 9,867 | 9,805 | 9,734 | 9,220 | 9,056 |
| I-80 | Reed Ave to W El Camino Ave |  | 9,265 | 9,795 | 10,620 | 10,635 | 10,590 | 10,523 | 10,065 | 9,893 |

*segment in the project location map

POAQC Determination-Project Summary for Interagency Consultation

Table 5. Alternatives 1 and $2 b-7 b$ Comparison of Total AADT and Total Truck\% of each roadway segment of opening year 2029 within the project limit (Source-Fehr and Peers, 2023)

|  |  |  |  | Opening Year 2029 - Total AADT and Total Truck\% (2023) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| RTE | Description | *Segment | $2019$ <br> Existing | Alt 1 <br> No Build | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Alt 2b } \\ & \text { HOV 2+ } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Alt 3b } \\ & \text { HOT 2+ } \end{aligned}$ | Alt 4b <br> HOT 3+ | Alt 5b <br> Add Toll | Alt 6b <br> Add <br> Transit | Alt 7b <br> Convert <br> HOV 2+ | Truck\% of each roadway segment |
| I-80 | Old Davis to Richard Blvd | 1 | 148,424 | 152,650 | 162,960 | 162,910 | 161,810 | 160,590 | 153,910 | 149,280 | 7.6\% |
| I-80 | Richards Blvd to Mace Blvd |  | 145,339 | 150,800 | 165,310 | 165,310 | 163,410 | 161,410 | 152,500 | 147,310 | 7.8\% |
| I-80 | Mace Blvd to Chiles Rd/Country Rd 32A |  | 158,596 | 165,800 | 187,110 | 186,910 | 183,510 | 180,210 | 168,800 | 163,110 | 7.7\% |
| I-80 | Chiles Rd/Country Rd 32A to Enterprise Blvd/W Capitol Ave |  | 164,928 | 173,500 | 193,310 | 193,010 | 190,810 | 189,410 | 177,400 | 170,910 | 7.7\% |
| I-80 | Enterprise Blvd/W Capitol Ave to US 50 |  | 174,197 | 190,500 | 212,810 | 212,210 | 210,110 | 208,210 | 195,300 | 191,110 | 7.3\% |
| US 50 | I-80 to Harbor Blvd | 3 | 140,143 | 146,000 | 162,300 | 161,540 | 160,080 | 157,640 | 150,200 | 148,130 | 7.3\% |
| US 50 | Harbor Blvd to Jefferson Blvd |  | 157,629 | 166,000 | 182,800 | 181,840 | 180,080 | 177,940 | 170,600 | 168,330 | 7.2\% |
| US 50 | Jefferson Blvd to I-5 |  | 198,012 | 208,500 | 226,400 | 225,840 | 223,780 | 221,940 | 212,800 | 211,930 | 6.4\% |
| I-80 | US 50 to Reed Ave | 2 | 109,938 | 115,800 | 126,800 | 120,920 | 122,500 | 122,430 | 118,200 | 110,600 | 7.0\% |
| 1-80 | Reed Ave to W El Camino Ave |  | 123,530 | 130,600 | 141,700 | 136,020 | 137,900 | 137,730 | 134,200 | 126,300 | 6.7\% |

*segment in the project location map

POAQC Determination-Project Summary for Interagency Consultation

Table 6. Comparison of Truck AADT of Alternatives 1, 2b-7b of each roadway segment of opening year 2029 within the project limit (Source-Fehr and Peers, 2023).

|  | Description | *Segment | $\begin{aligned} & 2019 \\ & \text { Existing } \end{aligned}$ | Opening Year 2029- Total Truck (2023) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| RTE |  |  |  | Alt 1 No Build | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Alt 2b } \\ & \text { HOV 2+ } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Alt 3b } \\ & \text { HOT 2+ } \end{aligned}$ | Alt 4b HOT 3+ | Alt 5b Add Toll | Alt 6b <br> Add <br> Transit | Alt 7b Convert HOV 2+ |
| I-80 | Old Davis to Richard Blvd | 1 | 11,309 | 11,631 | 12,416 | 12,412 | 12,329 | 12,236 | 11,727 | 11,374 |
| 1-80 | Richards Blvd to Mace Blvd |  | 11,375 | 11,802 | 12,937 | 12,937 | 12,789 | 12,632 | 11,935 | 11,529 |
| I-80 | Mace Blvd to Chiles Rd/Country Rd 32A |  | 12,228 | 12,783 | 14,426 | 14,411 | 14,148 | 13,894 | 13,014 | 12,576 |
| I-80 | Chiles Rd/Country Rd 32A to Enterprise Blvd/W Capitol Ave |  | 12,679 | 13,338 | 14,861 | 14,838 | 14,669 | 14,562 | 13,638 | 13,139 |
| 1-80 | Enterprise Blvd/W Capitol Ave to US 50 |  | 12,636 | 13,818 | 15,437 | 15,393 | 15,241 | 15,103 | 14,167 | 13,863 |
| US 50 | 1-80 to Harbor Blvd | 3 | 10,188 | 10,614 | 11,799 | 11,744 | 11,638 | 11,461 | 10,920 | 10,769 |
| US 50 | Harbor Blvd to Jefferson Blvd |  | 11,404 | 12,009 | 13,225 | 13,155 | 13,028 | 12,873 | 12,342 | 12,178 |
| US 50 | Jefferson Blvd to l-5 |  | 12,744 | 13,419 | 14,572 | 14,536 | 14,403 | 14,285 | 13,696 | 13,640 |
| I-80 | US 50 to Reed Ave | 2 | 7,724 | 8,135 | 8,908 | 8,495 | 8,606 | 8,601 | 8,304 | 7,770 |
| I-80 | Reed Ave to W El Camino Ave |  | 8,321 | 8,797 | 9,545 | 9,162 | 9,289 | 9,277 | 9,040 | 8,507 |

*segment in the project location map

Table 7. Comparison of Total AADT and Total Truck\% of Alternatives 1, 2a-7a of each roadway segment of MTIP Year 2040 within the project limit (Source-Fehr and Peers, 2021).

|  | Description | *Segment | 2019 Existing | MTIP Year 2040- - Total AADT and Total Truck\% (2021) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| RTE |  |  |  | Alt 1 <br> No Build | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Alt 2a } \\ & \text { HOV 2+ } \end{aligned}$ | Alt 3a HOT 2+ | Alt 4a <br> HOT 3+ | Alt 5a <br> Add Toll | Alt 6a <br> Add <br> Transit | Alt 7a <br> Convert <br> HOV 2+ | Truck\% of each roadway segment |
| I-80 | Old Davis to Richard Blvd | 1 | 148,424 | 157,700 | 167,700 | 168,000 | 166,100 | 165,400 | 157,700 | 153,900 | 7.7\% |
| I-80 | Richards Blvd to Mace Blvd |  | 145,339 | 154,100 | 167,300 | 167,500 | 164,900 | 163,900 | 154,000 | 149,700 | 7.9\% |
| I-80 | Mace Blvd to Chiles Rd/Country Rd 32A |  | 158,596 | 169,800 | 188,900 | 189,000 | 185,600 | 184,700 | 169,800 | 164,700 | 7.7\% |
| I-80 | Chiles Rd/Country Rd 32A to Enterprise Blvd/W Capitol Ave |  | 164,928 | 178,300 | 195,200 | 195,300 | 192,200 | 191,400 | 178,400 | 173,900 | 7.8\% |
| I-80 | Enterprise Blvd/W Capitol Ave to US 50 |  | 174,197 | 195,800 | 212,000 | 212,000 | 208,900 | 207,900 | 196,000 | 192,600 | 7.8\% |
| US 50 | 1-80 to Harbor Blvd | 3 | 140,143 | 150,100 | 159,900 | 160,100 | 158,000 | 158,000 | 150,800 | 149,000 | 7.6\% |
| US 50 | Harbor Blvd to Jefferson Blvd |  | 157,629 | 168,400 | 178,300 | 178,100 | 176,300 | 176,600 | 169,100 | 166,800 | 7.6\% |
| US 50 | Jefferson Blvd to I-5 |  | 198,012 | 222,600 | 233,600 | 233,500 | 231,900 | 232,400 | 222,900 | 220,600 | 7.1\% |
| I-80 | US 50 to Reed Ave | 2 | 109,938 | 122,200 | 128,800 | 128,900 | 127,100 | 125,500 | 122,100 | 119,800 | 7.8\% |
| I-80 | Reed Ave to W El Camino Ave |  | 123,530 | 139,100 | 144,800 | 144,800 | 143,000 | 141,600 | 138,800 | 136,700 | 7.5\% |

*segment in the project location map

POAQC Determination-Project Summary for Interagency Consultation

Table 8. Comparison of Truck AADT of Alternatives 1, 2a-7a of each roadway segment of MTIP Year 2040 within the project limit (Source-Fehr and Peers, 2021).

|  | Description | *Segment | $\begin{aligned} & 2019 \\ & \text { Existing } \end{aligned}$ | MTIP Year 2040 - Total Truck (2021) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| RTE |  |  |  | Alt 1 No Build | Alt 2a HOV 2+ | Alt 3a HOT 2+ | Alt 4a HOT 3+ | Alt 5a <br> Add Toll | Alt 6a Add Transit | Alt 7a <br> Convert <br> HOV 2+ |
| I-80 | Old Davis to Richard Blvd | 1 | 11,429 | 12,143 | 12,913 | 12,936 | 12,790 | 12,736 | 12,143 | 11,850 |
| I-80 | Richards Blvd to Mace Blvd |  | 11,482 | 12,174 | 13,217 | 13,233 | 13,027 | 12,948 | 12,166 | 11,826 |
| 1-80 | Mace Blvd to Chiles Rd/Country Rd 32A |  | 12,212 | 13,075 | 14,545 | 14,553 | 14,291 | 14,222 | 13,075 | 12,682 |
| I-80 | Chiles Rd/Country Rd 32A to Enterprise Blvd/W Capitol Ave |  | 12,864 | 13,907 | 15,226 | 15,233 | 14,992 | 14,929 | 13,915 | 13,564 |
| I-80 | Enterprise Blvd/W Capitol Ave to US 50 |  | 13,587 | 15,272 | 16,536 | 16,536 | 16,294 | 16,216 | 15,288 | 15,023 |
| US 50 | I-80 to Harbor Blvd | 3 | 10,651 | 11,408 | 12,152 | 12,168 | 12,008 | 12,008 | 11,461 | 11,324 |
| US 50 | Harbor Blvd to Jefferson Blvd |  | 11,980 | 12,798 | 13,551 | 13,536 | 13,399 | 13,422 | 12,852 | 12,677 |
| US 50 | Jefferson Blvd to l-5 |  | 14,059 | 15,805 | 16,586 | 16,579 | 16,465 | 16,500 | 15,826 | 15,663 |
| 1-80 | US 50 to Reed Ave | 2 | 8,575 | 9,532 | 10,046 | 10,054 | 9,914 | 9,789 | 9,524 | 9,344 |
| 1-80 | Reed Ave to W El Camino Ave |  | 9,265 | 10,433 | 10,860 | 10,860 | 10,725 | 10,620 | 10,410 | 10,253 |

*segment in the project location map

POAQC Determination-Project Summary for Interagency Consultation

Table 9. Alternatives 1 and 2b-7b Comparison of Total AADT and Total Truck\% of each Eastbound roadway segment of MTIP Year 2040 within the project limit (Source-Fehr and Peers, 2023).

|  |  |  |  | MTIP Year 2040 - Total AADT and Total Truck\% (2023) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| RTE | Description | *Segment | $2019$ <br> Existing | Alt 1 <br> No Build | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Alt 2b } \\ & \text { HOV 2+ } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Alt 3b } \\ & \text { HOT 2+ } \end{aligned}$ | Alt 4b <br> HOT 3+ | Alt 5b <br> Add Toll | Alt 6b <br> Add <br> Transit | Alt 7b <br> Convert <br> HOV 2+ | Truck\% of each roadway segment |
| I-80 | Old Davis to Richard Blvd | 1 | 148,424 | 157,700 | 167,610 | 168,110 | 166,410 | 165,710 | 157,700 | 153,910 | 7.6\% |
| I-80 | Richards Blvd to Mace Blvd |  | 145,339 | 154,100 | 167,010 | 167,610 | 165,210 | 164,210 | 154,000 | 149,710 | 7.8\% |
| 1-80 | Mace Blvd to Chiles Rd/Country Rd 32A |  | 158,596 | 169,800 | 188,810 | 189,310 | 186,210 | 185,310 | 169,800 | 164,910 | 7.7\% |
| I-80 | Chiles Rd/Country Rd 32A to Enterprise Blvd/W Capitol Ave |  | 164,928 | 178,300 | 195,110 | 195,610 | 192,810 | 192,010 | 178,400 | 174,110 | 7.7\% |
| I-80 | Enterprise Blvd/W Capitol Ave to US 50 |  | 174,197 | 195,800 | 211,810 | 212,010 | 209,210 | 208,110 | 196,000 | 192,510 | 7.3\% |
| US 50 | I-80 to Harbor Blvd | 3 | 140,143 | 150,100 | 160,200 | 159,850 | 157,950 | 158,130 | 150,800 | 148,780 | 7.3\% |
| US 50 | Harbor Blvd to Jefferson Blvd |  | 157,629 | 168,400 | 178,500 | 177,850 | 176,150 | 176,630 | 169,100 | 166,580 | 7.2\% |
| US 50 | Jefferson Blvd to I-5 |  | 198,012 | 222,600 | 233,900 | 233,250 | 231,750 | 232,430 | 222,900 | 220,380 | 6.4\% |
| I-80 | US 50 to Reed Ave | 2 | 109,938 | 122,200 | 128,400 | 122,490 | 122,120 | 120,640 | 122,100 | 114,090 | 7.0\% |
| 1-80 | Reed Ave to W El Camino Ave |  | 123,530 | 139,100 | 144,400 | 138,290 | 137,920 | 136,640 | 138,800 | 130,990 | 6.7\% |

*segment in the project location map
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Table 10. Alternatives 1 and 2b-7b Comparison of Truck AADT of each roadway segment of MTIP Year 2040 within the project limit (Source-Fehr and Peers, 2023).

|  | Description | *Segment | $\begin{gathered} 2019 \\ \text { Existing } \end{gathered}$ | MTIP Year 2040 - Total Truck (2023) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| RTE |  |  |  | Alt 1 No Build | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Alt 2b } \\ & \text { HOV 2+ } \end{aligned}$ | Alt 3b HOT 2+ | Alt 4b HOT 3+ | Alt 5b Add Toll | Alt 6b <br> Add <br> Transit | Alt 7b <br> Convert HOV 2+ |
| 1-80 | Old Davis to Richard Blvd | 1 | 11,309 | 12,015 | 12,770 | 12,809 | 12,679 | 12,626 | 12,015 | 11,727 |
| 1-80 | Richards Blvd to Mace Blvd |  | 11,375 | 12,060 | 13,071 | 13,117 | 12,930 | 12,851 | 12,052 | 11,717 |
| 1-80 | Mace Blvd to Chiles Rd/Country Rd 32A |  | 12,228 | 13,091 | 14,557 | 14,596 | 14,357 | 14,287 | 13,091 | 12,714 |
| I-80 | Chiles Rd/Country Rd 32A to Enterprise Blvd/W Capitol Ave |  | 12,679 | 13,707 | 15,000 | 15,038 | 14,823 | 14,761 | 13,715 | 13,385 |
| 1-80 | Enterprise Blvd/W Capitol Ave to US 50 |  | 12,636 | 14,203 | 15,364 | 15,379 | 15,176 | 15,096 | 14,217 | 13,964 |
| US 50 | I-80 to Harbor Blvd | 3 | 10,188 | 10,912 | 11,647 | 11,621 | 11,483 | 11,496 | 10,963 | 10,816 |
| US 50 | Harbor Blvd to Jefferson Blvd |  | 11,404 | 12,183 | 12,914 | 12,867 | 12,744 | 12,778 | 12,234 | 12,051 |
| US 50 | Jefferson Blvd to l-5 |  | 12,744 | 14,327 | 15,054 | 15,012 | 14,916 | 14,960 | 14,346 | 14,184 |
| 1-80 | US 50 to Reed Ave | 2 | 7,724 | 8,585 | 9,021 | 8,605 | 8,579 | 8,475 | 8,578 | 8,015 |
| 1-80 | Reed Ave to W El Camino Ave |  | 8,321 | 9,370 | 9,727 | 9,315 | 9,290 | 9,204 | 9,349 | 8,823 |

*segment in the project location map

Table 11. Alternatives 1 and 2a-7a Comparison of Total AADT and Total Truck\% of each roadway segment of Design Year 2049 within the project limit (Source-Fehr and Peers, 2021).

|  | Description | *Segment | 2019 <br> Existing | Design Year 2049 - Total AADT and Total Truck\% (2021) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| RTE |  |  |  | Alt 1 <br> No Build | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Alt 2a } \\ & \text { HOV 2+ } \end{aligned}$ | Alt 3a HOT 2+ | Alt 4a <br> HOT 3+ | Alt 5a Add Toll | Alt 6a <br> Add <br> Transit | Alt 7a <br> Convert <br> HOV 2+ | Truck\% of each roadway segment |
| I-80 | Old Davis to Richard Blvd | 1 | 148,424 | 166,000 | 175,400 | 175,700 | 174,300 | 173,000 | 164,900 | 162,700 | 7.7\% |
| I-80 | Richards Blvd to Mace Blvd |  | 145,339 | 163,700 | 176,100 | 176,300 | 174,200 | 171,800 | 161,900 | 159,000 | 7.9\% |
| I-80 | Mace Blvd to Chiles Rd/Country Rd 32A |  | 158,596 | 183,400 | 202,000 | 202,300 | 198,300 | 194,100 | 180,100 | 176,600 | 7.7\% |
| I-80 | Chiles Rd/Country Rd 32A to Enterprise Blvd/W Capitol Ave |  | 164,928 | 198,700 | 209,500 | 210,200 | 207,800 | 206,900 | 193,200 | 189,300 | 7.8\% |
| I-80 | Enterprise Blvd/W Capitol Ave to US 50 |  | 174,197 | 219,600 | 229,800 | 230,500 | 228,100 | 226,800 | 215,000 | 211,400 | 7.8\% |
| US 50 | 1-80 to Harbor Blvd | 3 | 140,143 | 169,300 | 175,100 | 175,600 | 173,300 | 172,300 | 167,100 | 165,200 | 7.6\% |
| US 50 | Harbor Blvd to Jefferson Blvd |  | 157,629 | 186,300 | 193,400 | 193,300 | 191,300 | 190,200 | 184,900 | 183,300 | 7.6\% |
| US 50 | Jefferson Blvd to I-5 |  | 198,012 | 249,700 | 255,500 | 255,400 | 255,600 | 252,500 | 246,900 | 247,500 | 7.1\% |
| I-80 | US 50 to Reed Ave | 2 | 109,938 | 141,100 | 145,200 | 145,500 | 144,400 | 143,000 | 137,000 | 134,200 | 7.8\% |
| I-80 | Reed Ave to W El Camino Ave |  | 123,530 | 163,400 | 165,300 | 165,600 | 164,800 | 163,500 | 158,200 | 155,200 | 7.5\% |

*segment in the project location map

Table 12. Alternatives 1 and 2a-7a Comparison of Truck AADT of each roadway segment of Design Year 2049 within the project limit (SourceFehr and Peers, 2021)

|  |  |  |  | Design Year 2049 - Total Truck (2021) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| RTE | Description | *Segment | 2019 <br> Existing | Alt 1 No Build | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Alt 2a } \\ & \text { HOV 2+ } \end{aligned}$ | Alt 3a <br> HOT 2+ | Alt 4a HOT 3+ | Alt 5a <br> Add Toll | Alt 6a <br> Add <br> Transit | Alt 7a Convert HOV 2+ |
| I-80 | Old Davis to Richard Blvd | 1 | 11,429 | 12,782 | 13,506 | 13,529 | 13,421 | 13,321 | 12,697 | 12,528 |
| I-80 | Richards Blvd to Mace Blvd |  | 11,482 | 12,932 | 13,912 | 13,928 | 13,762 | 13,572 | 12,790 | 12,561 |
| 1-80 | Mace Blvd to Chiles Rd/Country Rd 32A |  | 12,212 | 14,122 | 15,554 | 15,577 | 15,269 | 14,946 | 13,868 | 13,598 |
| I-80 | Chiles Rd/Country Rd 32A to Enterprise Blvd/W Capitol Ave |  | 12,864 | 15,499 | 16,341 | 16,396 | 16,208 | 16,138 | 15,070 | 14,765 |
| 1-80 | Enterprise Blvd/W Capitol Ave to US 50 |  | 13,587 | 17,129 | 17,924 | 17,979 | 17,792 | 17,690 | 16,770 | 16,489 |
| US 50 | 1-80 to Harbor Blvd | 3 | 10,651 | 12,867 | 13,308 | 13,346 | 13,171 | 13,095 | 12,700 | 12,555 |
| US 50 | Harbor Blvd to Jefferson Blvd |  | 11,980 | 14,159 | 14,698 | 14,691 | 14,539 | 14,455 | 14,052 | 13,931 |
| US 50 | Jefferson Blvd to l-5 |  | 14,059 | 17,729 | 18,141 | 18,133 | 18,148 | 17,928 | 17,530 | 17,573 |
| I-80 | US 50 to Reed Ave | 2 | 8,575 | 11,006 | 11,326 | 11,349 | 11,263 | 11,154 | 10,686 | 10,468 |
| 1-80 | Reed Ave to W El Camino Ave |  | 9,265 | 12,255 | 12,398 | 12,420 | 12,360 | 12,263 | 11,865 | 11,640 |

*segment in the project location map
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Table 13. Alternatives 1 and $2 b-7 b$ Comparison of Total AADT and Total Truck\% of each roadway segment of Design Year 2049 within the project limit (Source-Fehr and Peers, 2023)

|  |  |  |  | Design Year 2049 - Total AADT and Total Truck\% (2023) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| RTE | Description | *Segment | $2019$ <br> Existing | Alt 1 <br> No Build | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Alt 2b } \\ & \text { HOV 2+ } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Alt 3b } \\ & \text { HOT 2+ } \end{aligned}$ | Alt 4b HOT 3+ | Alt 5b Add Toll | Alt 6b <br> Add <br> Transit | Alt 7b <br> Convert <br> HOV 2+ | Truck\% of each roadway segment |
| 1-80 | Old Davis to Richard Blvd | 1 | 148,424 | 166,000 | 174,810 | 176,510 | 174,310 | 172,910 | 164,900 | 162,510 | 7.6\% |
| I-80 | Richards Blvd to Mace Blvd |  | 145,339 | 163,700 | 175,310 | 177,110 | 174,210 | 171,710 | 161,900 | 158,810 | 7.8\% |
| I-80 | Mace Blvd to Chiles Rd/Country Rd 32A |  | 158,596 | 183,400 | 201,410 | 203,310 | 198,510 | 194,210 | 180,100 | 176,510 | 7.7\% |
| I-80 | Chiles Rd/Country Rd 32A to Enterprise Blvd/W Capitol Ave |  | 164,928 | 198,700 | 209,310 | 211,510 | 208,310 | 207,510 | 193,200 | 189,710 | 7.7\% |
| I-80 | Enterprise Blvd/W Capitol Ave to US 50 |  | 174,197 | 219,600 | 229,610 | 231,810 | 228,510 | 227,410 | 215,000 | 211,810 | 7.3\% |
| US 50 | I-80 to Harbor Blvd | 3 | 140,143 | 169,300 | 174,800 | 176,090 | 173,280 | 172,510 | 167,100 | 165,280 | 7.3\% |
| US 50 | Harbor Blvd to Jefferson Blvd |  | 157,629 | 186,300 | 193,000 | 193,890 | 191,480 | 190,410 | 184,900 | 183,480 | 7.2\% |
| US 50 | Jefferson Blvd to l-5 |  | 198,012 | 249,700 | 255,700 | 256,490 | 255,780 | 252,710 | 246,900 | 247,680 | 6.4\% |
| 1-80 | US 50 to Reed Ave | 2 | 109,938 | 141,100 | 145,300 | 145,810 | 144,720 | 143,190 | 137,000 | 134,320 | 7.0\% |
| 1-80 | Reed Ave to W El Camino Ave |  | 123,530 | 163,400 | 165,400 | 165,910 | 165,020 | 163,790 | 158,200 | 155,420 | 6.7\% |
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Table 14. Alternatives 1 and $2 \mathrm{~b}-7 \mathrm{~b}$ Comparison of Truck AADT of each roadway segment of Design Year 2049 within the project limit (SourceFehr and Peers, 2023)

|  | Description | *Segment | $\begin{gathered} 2019 \\ \text { Existing } \end{gathered}$ | Design Year 2049 - Total Truck (2023) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| RTE |  |  |  | Alt 1 No Build | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Alt 2b } \\ & \text { HOV 2+ } \end{aligned}$ | Alt 3b HOT 2+ | Alt 4b HOT 3+ | Alt 5b <br> Add Toll | Alt 6b <br> Add <br> Transit | Alt 7b <br> Convert <br> HOV 2+ |
| I-80 | Old Davis to Richard Blvd | 1 | 11,309 | 12,648 | 13,319 | 13,449 | 13,281 | 13,174 | 12,564 | 12,382 |
| 1-80 | Richards Blvd to Mace Blvd |  | 11,375 | 12,811 | 13,720 | 13,861 | 13,634 | 13,438 | 12,671 | 12,429 |
| 1-80 | Mace Blvd to Chiles Rd/Country Rd 32A |  | 12,228 | 14,140 | 15,529 | 15,675 | 15,305 | 14,973 | 13,886 | 13,609 |
| I-80 | Chiles Rd/Country Rd 32A to Enterprise Blvd/W Capitol Ave |  | 12,679 | 15,276 | 16,091 | 16,261 | 16,015 | 15,953 | 14,853 | 14,585 |
| 1-80 | Enterprise Blvd/W Capitol Ave to US 50 |  | 12,636 | 15,929 | 16,655 | 16,815 | 16,575 | 16,496 | 15,596 | 15,364 |
| US 50 | I-80 to Harbor Blvd | 3 | 10,188 | 12,308 | 12,708 | 12,802 | 12,598 | 12,542 | 12,148 | 12,016 |
| US 50 | Harbor Blvd to Jefferson Blvd |  | 11,404 | 13,478 | 13,963 | 14,027 | 13,853 | 13,775 | 13,377 | 13,274 |
| US 50 | Jefferson Blvd to l-5 |  | 12,744 | 16,071 | 16,457 | 16,508 | 16,463 | 16,265 | 15,891 | 15,941 |
| 1-80 | US 50 to Reed Ave | 2 | 7,724 | 9,913 | 10,208 | 10,244 | 10,167 | 10,060 | 9,625 | 9,436 |
| 1-80 | Reed Ave to W El Camino Ave |  | 8,321 | 11,006 | 11,141 | 11,176 | 11,116 | 11,033 | 10,656 | 10,469 |

*segment in the project location map

Table 15. Existing Conditions (2016) of Truck AADT and Truck\% by Axle of the project limit (Source-Caltrans)

| RTE | CNTY | Post Mile | Description | $\begin{aligned} & \text { *Se } \\ & \text { gm } \\ & \text { ent } \end{aligned}$ | Total AADT | Truck AADT | Truck \% | Truck AADT by Axle |  |  |  | Total \% Truck AADT by Axle |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5+ | 2 | 3 | 4 | $5+$ |
| I-80 | SOL | 42.67 | JCT. RTE. 113 <br> NORTH | 1-2 | 133,000 | 8,910 | 6.7 | 2,584 | 864 | 365 | 5,097 | 29\% | 10\% | 4\% | 54\% |
| 1-80 | YOL | 0.237 | RICHARDS BLVD |  | 136,700 | 11,989 | 8.77 | 3,419 | 908 | 412 | 7,250 | 28.52\% | 8\% | 3\% | 60\% |
| I-80 | YOL | R9.905 | WEST SACRAMENTO, JCT. RTE. 50 |  | 155,300 | 11,445 | 7.37 | 3,264 | 866 | 394 | 6,921 | 28.52\% | 8\% | 3\% | 60\% |
| I-80 | YOL | R11.228 | JCT. RTE. 84 EAST |  | 92,200 | 9,211 | 9.99 | 3,444 | 1,011 | 645 | 4,111 | 37.39\% | 11\% | 7\% | 45\% |
| 1-80 | SAC | M2.554 | SACRAMENTO, JCT. RTE. 5 |  | 143,900 | 8,245 | 5.73 | 2,671 | 1,072 | 412 | 4,090 | 32.4\% | 13\% | 5\% | 50\% |
| US 50 | YOL | 0.35 | WEST SACRAMENTO JCT. RTE. 80 | 3 | 119,600 | 8,838 | 7.39 | 3,518 | 732 | 325 | 4,263 | 39.81\% | 8\% | 4\% | 48\% |
| US 50 | YOL | 2.498 | JCT. RTE. 84 |  | 129,000 | 7,263 | 5.63 | 3,080 | 685 | 304 | 3,194 | 42.41\% | 9\% | 4\% | 44\% |
| US 50 | SAC | L0.35 | SACRAMENTO, JCT. RTE. 5 |  | 125,000 | 5,039 | 4.03 | 2,137 | 475 | 211 | 2,216 | 42.41\% | 9\% | 4\% | 44\% |

*segment in the project location map

