

CALIFORNIA TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION
Approval of Traffic Congestion Relief Program
Project Applications

RESOLUTION TA-01-11

- 1.1 WHEREAS the Traffic Congestion Relief Act of 2000 (herein after referred to as “statute”), which was established by Chapters 91 (AB 2928) and 656 (SB 1662) of the Statutes of 2000, establishes the Traffic Congestion Relief Program, providing \$5.39 billion for projects throughout the State of California to reduce traffic congestion, provide for safe and efficient movement of goods, and provide system connectivity; and
- 1.2 WHEREAS in accordance with Government Code Section 14556.11 the California Transportation Commission (Commission) has adopted guidelines, in consultation with the Department of Transportation (Department) and regional agencies, to implement the Traffic Congestion Relief Program (TCRP); and
- 1.3 WHEREAS the statute and guidelines require applicants to specify full and complete project applications, including scope, cost and schedule, financial plans and funding sources; and
- 1.4 WHEREAS the Commission, with assistance from the Department, is required by statute to review and approve applications for TCRP projects that meet the requirements in statute and guidelines; and
- 1.5 WHEREAS the Commission, with assistance from the Department, has reviewed submitted TCRP project applications, and subsequent clarifications and revisions, and determined they comply with the statute and guidelines.
- 2.1 NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED the Commission does hereby approve the following 22 TCRP project applications for \$465,745,000 as submitted, with subsequent clarifications and revisions:
 - Project #3 - \$25,000,000, Phase 4 for Route 101; widen freeway from four to eight lanes south of San Jose, Bernal Road to Burnett Avenue in Santa Clara County.
Applicant Agency: Santa Clara Valley Transportation Authority (SCVTA)
Implementing Agency: SCVTA
 - Project #13 - \$67,530,000, Phase 4 for Caltrain Peninsula Corridor; acquire rolling stock; add passing tracks, and construct pedestrian access structure at stations between San Francisco and San Jose in San Francisco, San Mateo, and Santa Clara Counties.
Applicant Agency: Peninsula Corridor Joint Powers Board (PCJPB)
Implementing Agency: PCJPB

- Project #14 - \$365,000, Phase 1 for CalTrain; extension to Salinas in Monterey County.
Applicant Agency: Transportation Agency for Monterey County (TAMC)
Implementing Agency: TAMC
- Project #20 - \$140,000,000, Phases 2, 4 for San Francisco Muni Third Street Light Rail; extend Third Street line to Chinatown (tunnel) in the City and County of San Francisco.
Applicant Agency: San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency
Implementing Agency: San Francisco County Transportation Authority
- Project #22- \$3,000,000, Phase 1 for Route 101; environmental study for reconstruction of Doyle Drive, from Lombard St./Richardson Avenue to Route 1 Interchange in City and County of San Francisco.
Applicant Agency: San Francisco County Transportation Authority
Implementing Agency: San Francisco County Transportation Authority
Advance Requested: \$500,000
- Project #27.2 - \$1,920,000, Phases 1, 2, 3 for Vasco Road Safety and Transit Enhancement Project in Alameda and Contra Costa Counties - ACE Commuter Parking
Applicant Agency: Alameda County Congestion Management Agency
Implementing Agency: City of Livermore
- Project #27.3 - \$520,000, Phases 1, 2 for Vasco Road Safety and Transit Enhancement Project in Alameda and Contra Costa Counties. - Parking in Livermore (Valley Center)
Applicant Agency: Alameda County Congestion Management Agency
Implementing Agency: City of Livermore, Community Development Department
- Project #31 - \$25,000,000, All Phases for Route 580; construct eastbound and westbound HOV lanes from Tassajara Road/Santa Rita Road to Vasco Road in Alameda County.
Applicant Agency: Caltrans
Implementing Agency: Caltrans
- Project #49 - \$350,000, Phase 1 for Hollywood Intermodal Transportation Center; intermodal facility at Highland Avenue and Hawthorn Avenue in the City of Los Angeles.
Applicant Agency: City of Los Angeles
Implementing Agency: Community Redevelopment Agency of the City of Los Angeles
Advance Requested: \$350,000
- Project #54.1 - \$130,300,000, Phases 2, 3, 4 for Alameda Corridor East; build grade separations on Burlington Northern-Santa Fe and Union Pacific Railroad lines, downtown Los Angeles to Los Angeles County line in Los Angeles County.
Applicant Agency: San Gabriel Valley Counsel of Governments
Implementing Agency: Alameda Corridor-East Construction Authority
- Project #54.2 - \$15,300,000, All Phases for Alameda Corridor East; build grade separations on Burlington Northern-Santa Fe and Union Pacific Railroad lines, downtown Los Angeles to Los Angeles County line in Los Angeles County.
Applicant Agency: San Gabriel Valley Counsel of Governments
Implementing Agency: City of Santa Fe Springs

- Project #54.3 - \$4,400,000, Phases 1, 2 for Alameda Corridor East; build grade separations on Burlington Northern-Santa Fe and Union Pacific Railroad lines, downtown Los Angeles to Los Angeles County line in Los Angeles County.
Applicant Agency: San Gabriel Valley Council of Governments
Implementing Agency: City of Pico Rivera
- Project #55.1 - \$2,250,000, Phases 1, 3 for Alameda Corridor East; build grade separations on Burlington Northern-Santa Fe and Union Pacific Railroad lines, with rail-to-rail separation at Colton in San Bernardino County.
Applicant Agency: San Bernardino Associated Governments
Implementing Agency: City of Montclair
Advance Requested: \$250,000
- Project #55.2 - \$700,000, Phase 1 for Alameda Corridor East; build grade separations on Burlington Northern-Santa Fe and Union Pacific Railroad lines, with rail-to-rail separation at Colton in San Bernardino County.
Applicant Agency: San Bernardino Associated Governments
Implementing Agency: City of Ontario
- Project #55.3 - \$510,000, Phase 1 for Alameda Corridor East; build grade separations on Burlington Northern-Santa Fe and Union Pacific Railroad lines, with rail-to-rail separation at Colton in San Bernardino County.
Applicant Agency: San Bernardino Associated Governments (SANBAG)
Implementing Agency: SANBAG
- Project #75.1 - \$21,000,000, Phase 4 for San Diego Transit Buses; acquire about 85 low-emission buses for San Diego transit service in San Diego County.
Applicant Agency: San Diego Metropolitan Transit Development Board (MTDB)
Implementing Agency: MTDB
Advance Requested: \$10,000,000
- Project #75.2 - \$9,000,000, Phase 4 for San Diego Transit Buses; acquire about 85 low-emission buses for San Diego transit service in San Diego County.
Applicant Agency: San Diego Metropolitan Transit Development Board
Implementing Agency: San Diego North County Transit District
Advance Requested: \$5,000,000
- Project #96 - \$10,000,000, All Phases for Friant Road; widen to four lanes from Copper Avenue to Road 206 in Fresno County.
Applicant Agency: County of Fresno
Implementing Agency: County of Fresno, Department of Public Works
- Project #129 - \$3,200,000, All Phases for Route 62; traffic and pedestrian safety and utility under-grounding project in right-of-way of Route 62.
Applicant Agency: Town of Yucca Valley
Implementing Agency: Town of Yucca Valley

- Project #141 - \$2,000,000, All Phases for Union City; pedestrian bridge over Union Pacific rail lines.
Applicant Agency: City of Union City
Implementing Agency: City of Union City
- Project #156 - \$500,000, Phase 1 for Seismic retrofit and core segment improvements for the Bay Area Rapid Transit system.
Applicant Agency: San Francisco Bay Area Rapid Transit District (BART)
Implementing Agency: BART
- Project #157 - \$2,900,000, Phase 2 for Route 12; Congestion relief improvements from Route 29 to I-80 through Jamison Canyon.
Applicant Agency: Caltrans
Implementing Agency: Caltrans

and;

- 2.2 BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that for Project #20 – San Francisco Muni Third Street Light Rail project, allocation of funds for construction is contingent upon review and approval for future consideration of funding, of the final EIR/EIS by the CTC, as well as receipt of a plan identifying fully-funded usable segments; and
- 2.3 BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that prior to an allocation of funds for capital phases (right of way and/or construction) of the following projects, the applicants shall demonstrate a commitment of funds for the phase requested; and
- Project #31 – Route 580 HOV lanes from Tassajara Road to Vasco Road,
 - Project #54.2 – Alameda Corridor East, City of Santa Fe Springs,
 - Project #96 – Friant Road Widening from Copper Ave to Road 206; and
- 2.4 BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that prior to the submittal of an application for any capital phase for the following projects, the applicants must develop a parking management plan that, among other requirements, provides for procedures to ensure that a specified number (to be determined upon completion of Phase 1) of non-tandem designated parking spaces are for the exclusive use of transit riders, and ensure the commuter parking spaces are maintained and operated separate from any retail parking:
- Project #27.3 – Vasco Road Safety and Transit Enhancement Project, Downtown Valley Center ACE Parking Facility,
 - Project #49 – Hollywood Intermodal Transportation Center; and
- 2.5 BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that for Project #54.1 – Alameda Corridor East project, allocation of funds for capital phases (right of way and/or construction) is contingent upon review and approval for future consideration of funding of the Negative Declaration, for the Nogales Grade Separation project, by the CTC; and

- 2.6 BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that for Project #75.1 – San Diego Transit Buses, prior to an allocation of funds the San Diego Metropolitan Transit District (MTDB), shall provide an operating plan that demonstrates they have the financial capability to operate the expanded service once the project has been completed; and
- 2.7 BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that for both Project #75.1 – San Diego Transit Buses, (MTDB) and Project #75.2 – San Diego Transit Buses, North County Transit District, the release of the funds authorized under Section 2.1 above as an “advance” shall be based on a bus delivery and payment schedule that shows how much of the advance is needed and when; and
- 2.8 BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that this TCRP project application approval by the Commission reserves the State funding for these projects as specified by the statute, and allows the applicant agencies to incur costs in accordance with the approved project application, statute and guidelines.