

# MEETING SUMMARY – ACTION TAKEN REPORT

## AUGUST 12-13, 2009 – Sacramento, California

**Wednesday, August 12, 2009**

<u>08/12/09 TAB #</u>	<u>ITEM DESCRIPTION</u>	<u>REF. #</u>	<u>STATUS</u>
1	Roll Call	1.1	<b>All present. The meeting was adjourned in honor of Donald Lichliter (District 10) and Deborah Ross (District 4).</b>
2	Approval of Minutes for July 8-9, 2009	1.2	<b>Approved.</b>
3	Commission Reports	1.4	<b>No reports.</b>
4	Commissioners' Meetings for Compensation	1.5	<b>Approved.</b>
5	<b>Executive Director's Report</b>	<b>1.3</b>	<p><b>Executive Director Rhinehart presented the Proposed 2010 CTC Calendar, which was adopted. The 2010 meeting schedule proposes a meeting every five weeks with no regularly scheduled meeting in March, October, and December 2010. Town Hall meetings have been scheduled in 2010. The next Town Hall meeting will be held in Monterey in August; the October Town Hall meeting will be in Imperial County.</b></p> <p><b>Ms. Rhinehart also reported on the recent Tri-State Commission meeting with Oregon and Washington. State DOT Directors were also in attendance, along with the FHWA Division Administrators. The common issues discussed were: Lack of a stable source of transportation funding, Goods Movement, HOT Lanes and Tolling, and Public-Private Partnerships. The three Commissions agreed to prepare a letter to Congress on priority for general transportation funding, which should include maintenance and preservation. The three State commissions also agreed to participate in federal reauthorization efforts and possibly join forces for a delegation to meet with Congress in Washington.</b></p> <p><b>Ms. Rhinehart also reported that it is anticipated that the roll-out of the existing structure of the SFOBB will take place in the afternoon on Friday September 4, and the roll-in of the temporary structure will take place after midnight.</b></p>



Note: This document was prepared following the California Transportation Commission meeting and is a reflection of the Department's interpretation of actions taken by the Commission.

“√” Follow-Up Items will be posted at <http://onramp.dot.ca.gov/hq/transprog/>, the day following Agenda De-briefing. – **NO ITEMS FOR AUGUST.**

# MEETING SUMMARY – ACTION TAKEN REPORT

## AUGUST 12-13, 2009 – Sacramento, California

<u>08/12/09</u> <u>TAB #</u>	<u>ITEM DESCRIPTION</u>	<u>REF. #</u>	<u>STATUS</u>
6	Report by <b>Agency Secretary</b> and/or Deputy Secretary	1.6	<p style="text-align: center;">Information only.</p> <p>Topics included: Secretary Bonner expressed concern over the recent loss of Department staff. Also expressed concern with the state of the State's fiscal condition. Mr. Bonner also discussed briefly the Public Infrastructure Advisory Commission (PIAC) meeting held last month.</p>
7	Report by <b>Caltrans' Director</b> and/or Deputy Director	1.7	<p style="text-align: center;">Information only.</p> <p>Topics included:  Appointment of Ross Chittenden as the Director for District 10 for two years—  Kome Ajise will work out of headquarters heading up the Public Private Partnership program for the Department, as well as the TIGER grants for the Recovery Act; Brenda Schimpf will replace Ross in heading up the Proposition 1B program; Appointment of Tony Tavares as new Chief for the Division of Right of Way and Land Surveys; FY 2009-10 Budget did not include transfer of gas tax revenues; allocation capacity will be limited, however, due to reduced level of revenues; FHWA informed the Department that applying SB 286 to the Recovery Act violates their requirements.</p>
8	Report by Regional Agencies Moderator	1.8	<p style="text-align: center;">Information only.</p> <p>Topics included: Group pleased the Commission will consider allocation of the PPM currently proposed under Tab 63; acknowledges the state of the fund estimate—hard work ahead to make the programs balance—will need all parties to work together to come up with a solution; several regions have expressed interest in the PPP innovative financing option and the regions want to encourage the Commission to consider that the guidelines allow Commission approval of PPP arrangements in advance of working out final PPP lease agreements in order to provide a level of certainty to potential private investors.</p> <p>With regard to federal Recovery Act funds, the regions have certified \$1.4 billion, obligated 412 projects, and awarded 70 projects.</p>



Note: This document was prepared following the California Transportation Commission meeting and is a reflection of the Department's interpretation of actions taken by the Commission.

“√” Follow-Up Items will be posted at <http://onramp.dot.ca.gov/hq/transprog/>, the day following Agenda De-briefing. – **NO ITEMS FOR AUGUST.**

# MEETING SUMMARY – ACTION TAKEN REPORT

## AUGUST 12-13, 2009 – Sacramento, California

<u>08/12/09</u> <u>TAB #</u>	<u>ITEM DESCRIPTION</u>	<u>REF. #</u>	<u>STATUS</u>
9	Report by Rural Counties Task Force Chair	1.9	<b>Information only.</b> Topics included: 100 percent of rural Recovery Act funds is for road rehabilitation and under contract--real challenges for rural counties to implement ARRA projects due to the level and ability to implement projects; thanked staff for recommending PPM allocations; looking forward to working with staff on 2010 Town Hall Meetings.
10	Report by Self-Help Counties Coalition Chair	1.10	<b>Information only.</b> Topics included: Project Initiation Documents (PIDs) – pleased funding was not totally cut from the budget. Agencies will work with the Department to undertake streamlining efforts to help improve the process to reduce the cost.
11 IC	<b><u>Informational Reports on Allocations Under Delegated Authority</u></b> -- Emergency G-11 Allocations (2.5f.(1)): \$2,000,000 for one project on Route 91 in Long Beach. -- SHOPP Safety G-03-10 Allocations (2.5f.(3)): \$22,174,000 for two projects. -- Minor G-05-05 Allocations (2.5f.(4)): \$2,284,000 for seven projects.	2.5f.	<b>Information only.</b>
12 IC	Monthly Report on Projects Amended into the SHOPP by Department Action	3.1	<b>Information only.</b>
13 IC	Monthly Status of Construction Contract Award for State Highway Projects, per Resolution G-06-08	3.2a.	<b>Information only.</b>
14 IC	Monthly Status of Construction Contract Award for Local Assistance STIP Projects, per Resolution G-06-08	3.2b.	<b>Information only.</b>
15 IC	Monthly Status of Construction Contract Award for TCRP Projects, per Resolution G-06-08	3.2c.	<b>Information only.</b>
16 CC	The City of Richmond proposes to amend TCRP Project 28 (Construct parking structure at the Transit Village at Richmond BART Station in Contra Costa County [PPNO 2011G]) to provide Tier 1 status for Construction with reimbursement of \$2,820,000 in FY 2015-16, consistent with July 2009 CTC action. <b>Resolution TAA-09-06</b> , Amending Resolution TAA-07-53	2.1a.(6)	<b>Approved.</b>
17 CC	The Department and Transportation Authority of Marin (TAM) propose to amend TCRP Project 18.2 (Route 101 – San Antonio Curve [PPNO 0360G]) to redistribute \$268,000 TCRP from PS&E to PA&ED. An allocation of <del>\$258,000</del> <b>\$268,000</b> TCRP for PA&ED is also requested. <b>Resolution TAA-09-09</b> , Amending Resolution TAA-08-07 <b>Resolution TFP-09-06</b> (Correct Book Item resolution number.)	2.1a.(7) 2.6e.(1)	<b>Approved.</b>



Note: This document was prepared following the California Transportation Commission meeting and is a reflection of the Department's interpretation of actions taken by the Commission.

“√” Follow-Up Items will be posted at <http://onramp.dot.ca.gov/hq/transprog/>, the day following Agenda De-briefing. – **NO ITEMS FOR AUGUST.**

# MEETING SUMMARY – ACTION TAKEN REPORT

## AUGUST 12-13, 2009 – Sacramento, California

<u>08/12/09</u> <u>TAB #</u>	<u>ITEM DESCRIPTION</u>	<u>REF. #</u>	<u>STATUS</u>
<b>18 CC</b>	<p>Six Relinquishment Resolutions</p> <p>-- 1-Lak-20-PM 31.3/31.6 Right of Way adjacent to Route 20 just west of Route 53. <b>Resolution R-3735</b></p> <p>-- 2-Teh-99-PM 13.4 Right of Way along Route 99 near Los Molinos at Butler Street. <b>Resolution R-3736</b></p> <p>-- 4-SCI-85-PM R10.2 Right of Way along Route 85 in the city of Campbell on South Bascom Avenue. <b>Resolution R-3737</b></p> <p>-- 6-Tul-99-PM 25.56/26.21 Right of Way adjacent to Route 99 in the city of Tulare on South "K" Street. <b>Resolution R-3738</b></p> <p>-- 8-Riv-74-PM 25.7/27.3 Right of Way on Route 74 in the city of Perris from 7<sup>th</sup> Street to Wilkerson Avenue. <b>Resolution R-3739</b></p> <p>-- 12-Ora-05-PM 37.6/38.5 Right of Way along Route 5 in the city of Anaheim between Ball Road and Santa Ana Street. <b>Resolution R-3740</b></p>	2.3c.	<b>Approved.</b>
<b>19 CC</b> <b>8 Ayes</b>	<p>20 Resolutions of Necessity <b>Resolutions C-20150 through C-20157, C-20159 through C-20167 and C-20170 through C-20172</b></p>	2.4b.	<b>Approved.</b>
<b>20 CC</b>	<p>Director's Deeds Items 1 through <b>9, and 11 and 12</b> Excess Lands - Return to State: <del>\$205,700</del> <b>\$131,100</b> Return to Others: \$0 &gt; Deed 10 (08-Riv-215; DD 018019-01-01; Gateway Stone Associates, LLC). <b>Withdrawn prior to CTC meeting.</b></p>	2.4d.	<b>Approved, as modified.</b>
<b>21 CC</b> <b>8 Ayes</b>	<p>Rescinding Resolution of Necessity -- 06-Fre-180-PM 67.54 Rosario Scalia, et ux. <b>Resolution CR-142</b>, Rescinding Parcel 84431 of Resolution C-19780</p>	2.4e.	<b>Approved.</b>
<b>22 CC</b>	<p>Technical correction to Resolution FP-08-51, originally approved on June 11, 2009, to revise the fund source for the Route 5 landscaping project (PPNO 2592) in Orange County from IIP TE to Recovery Act TE. Also, add <b>Resolution FS-09-01</b> to reflect Recovery Act allocation.</p>	2.9a.	<b>Approved.</b>



Note: This document was prepared following the California Transportation Commission meeting and is a reflection of the Department's interpretation of actions taken by the Commission.

“√” Follow-Up Items will be posted at <http://onramp.dot.ca.gov/hq/transprog/>, the day following Agenda De-briefing. – **NO ITEMS FOR AUGUST.**

# MEETING SUMMARY – ACTION TAKEN REPORT

## AUGUST 12-13, 2009 – Sacramento, California

08/12/09 TAB #	ITEM DESCRIPTION	REF. #	STATUS
23 CC	Technical correction to Resolution FP-09-01 originally approved July 8, 2009, in the amount of \$101,072,000 for nine SHOPP projects. A technical correction is needed to correct the programmed amount and fiscal year for Project 4 (PPNO 04-0619F) and the fiscal year for Project 5 (PPNO 07-4044).	2.9b.	Approved.
24 CC	Technical correction to June 2009 CTC Minutes, originally approved July 8, 2009, to modify the action taken on Project 14 (City of Rolling Hills Estates, PPNO 07-4087) under Tab 122 (Waiver-09-20, originally approved June 11, 2009). A technical correction is needed for Project 14 to modify the PS&E portion of the extension request. <i>(Book Item correction - PS&amp;E: defer formal action until PA&amp;ED is allocated <b>completed</b>.)</i>	2.9c.	Approved, as modified.
25 CC	Technical correction to Waiver-09-19 <del>18</del> , originally approved June 11, 2009, to delete Project 1 – Sutter 99 Segment 2 (03-Sut-99; PPNO 8361B) as all constraints were cleared prior to the end of the fiscal year and was placed on the Delivered List.	2.9d.	Approved.
26 CC	Technical correction to Resolution FP-08-09, originally approved on August 28, 2008, for the North County Corridor project (PPNO 0228) to modify the funding code from local (capital) to state (capital).	2.9e.	Approved.
27	Update on Implementation of the Recovery Act of 2009	3.4	<p style="text-align: center;"><b>Information only.</b></p> <p>With regard to employment, the job creation through the end of June shows that employment was created on 16 state and local projects with an award value of \$826,425,416. The Department received the grant award for the Non-Urban Area Transit (5311) from FTA for the full \$34 million requested on May 29, 2009. In July, the Department received 124 Notice of Intents that ask for \$3.3 billion in Transportation Investment Generating Economic Recovery (TIGER) funds. To date, the Department has received 81 applications. Applications due to USDOT by September 15, 2009. Regarding rail funds, 38 applications, with a value of \$1.2 billion, are being prepared for Track 1, which are due to FRA on August 24, 2009. For Track 2, applications are due October 2, 2009. The Department and the High Speed Rail Authority are working on corridors that have a programmatic NEPA clearance.</p>
28	2009 Report of State Transportation Improvement Program (STIP) Balances, County and Interregional Shares	3.5	Information only.



Note: This document was prepared following the California Transportation Commission meeting and is a reflection of the Department's interpretation of actions taken by the Commission.

“√” Follow-Up Items will be posted at <http://onramp.dot.ca.gov/hq/transprog/>, the day following Agenda De-briefing. – **NO ITEMS FOR AUGUST.**

# MEETING SUMMARY – ACTION TAKEN REPORT

## AUGUST 12-13, 2009 – Sacramento, California

08/12/09 TAB #	ITEM DESCRIPTION	REF. #	STATUS
29	Update on Projects Delivered But Not Yet Allocated <i>(Correct Attachment 2 to delete Project 20 [Santee].)</i>	4.6	Report accepted.
30	Budget and Allocation Capacity Update	4.2	<p style="text-align: center;"><b>No action taken.</b></p> <p>Budget enacted on July 28, 2009, which addressed \$24 billion shortfall; Proposition 42 is fully funded; PTA capacity for 2009-10 affected by spillover diversion to General Fund; Tribal Gaming Revenues delayed; Bond sales anticipated in Fall; legislation suspended all Aeronautics grant programs for FY 2009-10; no new federal transportation act, existing act expires September 30, 2009. In regards to program funding outlook: SHOPP revenue continues to decline; STIP-TIF fully funded, but PTA diversions to General Fund; Proposition 1B allocations are dependent upon the sale of bonds-perhaps in the Fall.</p> <p>Conference call later in the month with the regions to discuss reduced allocation capacity for this fiscal year. Proposal from CTC staff to come forward for adoption of priority plan in September 2009.</p>
31	Draft 2010 STIP Fund Estimate	4.8	<p>Discussion of the draft Fund Estimate deferred to the September 2009 Commission meeting; adoption of the final 2010 STIP Fund Estimate and Aeronautics Fund Estimate deferred to the October 2009 Commission meeting.</p>
32	Draft 2010 STIP Guidelines	4.4	Timeline presented by CTC staff was approved.
33	Draft Policy Guidance for Implementation of Senate Bill 4 – Public Private Partnerships and Design Build <i>(Pink handout.)</i>	4.5	<p style="text-align: center;"><b>No action taken.</b></p> <p>Draft Policy Guidance document distributed for discussion only. Speakers included: Will Kempton, OCTA; Patricia Chen, LACMTA; Jennifer Gress, representing Senator Lowenthal; Alistair Sawers from Heritage Oaks Capital Investments; Nuria Haltiwanger from ACS Infrastructure Development, Inc.; Alix Bockelman, MTC; Secretary Bonner, BTH.</p>
34	RTP Guidelines Update	4.7	<p style="text-align: center;"><b>Information only.</b></p> <p>The modeling committee has identified key areas that require additional guidance. Key areas include, but are not limited to, performance indicators to best measure GHG emission reduction,</p>



Note: This document was prepared following the California Transportation Commission meeting and is a reflection of the Department's interpretation of actions taken by the Commission.

“√” Follow-Up Items will be posted at <http://onramp.dot.ca.gov/hq/transprog/>, the day following Agenda De-briefing. – **NO ITEMS FOR AUGUST.**

**MEETING SUMMARY – ACTION TAKEN REPORT**  
**AUGUST 12-13, 2009 – Sacramento, California**

<u>08/12/09</u> <u>TAB #</u>	<u>ITEM DESCRIPTION</u>	<u>REF. #</u>	<u>STATUS</u>
			<p>consistency/flexibility of models within a region, modeling assumptions based on consistent methodologies, definition and treatment of inter-regional travel, and peer reviews to provide modeling that is open and transparent between MPOs, stakeholders and the public. A concern was also raised that there needs to be coordination between local and regional models. A forum will be established by the Department to discuss and address this concern separately from the Modeling subcommittee.</p> <p>The RTP Guideline subcommittee has focused on the necessary statutory language of SB 375 relative to regional transportation plans. Key areas of discussion have included elements of a sustainable communities strategy; inter-regional trip definition; method to address internal consistency in planning; incorporation of CEQA exemption relative to SB 375 along with guidance for local jurisdictions; housing provisions of SB 375; clarification of exempt projects as defined in SB 375.</p> <p>Both subcommittees have also discussed that the guidelines should respect state and federal rules but allow flexibility and that the subcommittees should be careful not to establish new rules. Both subcommittees are scheduled to meet again on August 25, 2009.</p>
35	Approval of Project for Future Consideration of Funding: 04-Sonoma/Marin Sonoma-Marina Area Rail Transit Project. Construct passenger train system and ancillary bicycle/ pedestrian pathway and other improvements. (SFEIR) (Proposition 116) <b>Resolution E-09-56</b>	2.2c.(1)	<b>Commission accepted the environmental document, findings, and statement of overriding consideration, and approved the project for consideration of funding.</b>
36	Approval of Project for Future Consideration of Funding: 03-Pla-89, PM 8.5/9.0 Route 89 in Placer County. Construct intermodal transit center and associated parking facilities for public use in Tahoe City. (RFEIR) (SLPP) <b>Resolution E-09-62</b>	2.2c.(2)	<b>Commission accepted the environmental document and approved the project for future consideration of funding.</b>



Note: This document was prepared following the California Transportation Commission meeting and is a reflection of the Department's interpretation of actions taken by the Commission.

“√” Follow-Up Items will be posted at <http://onramp.dot.ca.gov/hq/transprog/>, the day following Agenda De-briefing. – **NO ITEMS FOR AUGUST.**

# MEETING SUMMARY – ACTION TAKEN REPORT

## AUGUST 12-13, 2009 – Sacramento, California

<u>08/12/09</u> <u>TAB #</u>	<u>ITEM DESCRIPTION</u>	<u>REF. #</u>	<u>STATUS</u>
37	Approval of Project for Future Consideration of Funding: 06-Kings 12 <sup>th</sup> Avenue Widening/Reconstruction in Kings County. Widen 12 <sup>th</sup> Avenue from Liberty Street to Grangeville Blvd in the city of Hanford. (ND) (SLPP) <b>Resolution E-09-63</b>	2.2c.(3)	<b>Commission accepted the environmental document and approved the project for future consideration of funding.</b>
38	Approval of Project for Future Consideration of Funding: 06-Kings Greenfield Avenue Extension Project in Kings County Extend Greenfield Avenue from Della Street to 12 <sup>th</sup> Avenue in the city of Hanford. (ND) (SLPP) <b>Resolution E-09-64</b>	2.2c.(4)	<b>Commission accepted the environmental document and approved the project for future consideration of funding.</b>
39	The Department and the Placer County Transportation Planning Agency propose to amend the CMIA baseline agreement for the Route 65 Lincoln Bypass project (PPNO 0145M) by extending the four lane Segment 1.5 miles. <b>Resolution CMIA-PA-0910-008</b>	2.1c.(1d)	<b>Approved.</b>
40	State and Federal Legislative Matters ( <i>Yellow handout.</i> )	4.1	<b>No action taken. Legislature on summer recess; won't be back until August 17.</b>

### Thursday, August 13, 2009

<u>08/13/09</u> <u>TAB #</u>	<u>ITEM DESCRIPTION</u>	<u>REF. #</u>	<u>STATUS</u>
41	Management of Capital Outlay Support	3.3	<b>Information only.</b>
42	The Sonoma County Transportation Authority and the Department propose to amend the 2008 STIP for the Sonoma 101 at College Avenue and 6th Street Improvements project (PPNO 0789E) to split the project into two segments, as follows: \$2,700,000 for construction of Segment 1 – Sonoma 101 College Avenue Widening (PPNO 0789E), and \$200,000 for construction of Segment 2 – Sonoma 101 6 <sup>th</sup> Street Improvements (PPNO 0789G) to be implemented by the City of Santa Rosa. <del>STIP Amendment 08S-046</del> <b>WAIVER-09-31</b>	2.1a.(1)	<b>Amendment not approved. <u>A time extension for 12 months was approved instead, with development of the 2010 STIP to provide additional flexibility to reprogram the projects.</u></b>
43	The Department and the Transportation Authority of Marin propose to amend the 2008 STIP for the Route 101 HOV Lane Gap Closure project (PPNO 0342L) to reprogram \$2,200,000 in Construction from FY 2009-10 to FY 2010-11. <del>STIP Amendment 08S-047</del> <b>WAIVER-09-32</b>	2.1a.(2)	<b>Amendment not approved. <u>A time extension for 12 months was approved instead, with development of the 2010 STIP to provide additional flexibility to reprogram the projects.</u></b>



Note: This document was prepared following the California Transportation Commission meeting and is a reflection of the Department's interpretation of actions taken by the Commission.

“√” Follow-Up Items will be posted at <http://onramp.dot.ca.gov/hq/transprog/>, the day following Agenda De-briefing. – **NO ITEMS FOR AUGUST.**

# MEETING SUMMARY – ACTION TAKEN REPORT

## AUGUST 12-13, 2009 – Sacramento, California

<u>08/13/09 TAB #</u>	<u>ITEM DESCRIPTION</u>	<u>REF. #</u>	<u>STATUS</u>
44	The Department, at the request of the Commission, proposes to amend the 2008 STIP to advance \$19,932,000 in RIP shares for 14 PTA-eligible projects from FY 2010-11 or beyond, to FY 2009-10. <i>(Related item under Tab 45.) (2.1a.(4))</i> STIP Amendment 08S-048A	2.1a.(3)	<b>NO ACTION TAKEN DUE TO LACK OF PTA CAPACITY.</b>
45	The Counties of Yolo, San Francisco and Ventura propose to amend the 2008 STIP to reflect various programming actions to PTA-eligible projects totaling \$11,655,000 in RIP and IIP shares. <i>(Related item under Tab 44.) (2.1a.(3))</i> STIP Amendment 08S-048B	2.1a.(4)	<b>NO ACTION TAKEN DUE TO LACK OF PTA CAPACITY.</b>
46	The Department, at the request of the Commission, proposes to amend the 2008 STIP to advance \$4,512,000 in RIP shares for two PTA-eligible projects from FY 2010-11 to FY 2009-10. STIP Amendment 08S-051	2.1a.(5)	<b>NO ACTION TAKEN DUE TO LACK OF PTA CAPACITY.</b>
47	The Department and the Contra Costa County Transportation Authority propose to amend TCRP 16.2 to reprogram \$4,400,000 TCRP from R/W to Construction Support for the State Route 4 East Widening from Loveridge Road to Somersville Road project (PPNO 0192E) and transfer \$32,035,000 RIP and \$5,253,000 SLPP to the State Route 4 East Widening from Somersville Road to Route 160 project (PPNO 0192F) in exchange for local funds by amending the CMIA baseline agreement for the latter project. Resolution CMIA-PA-0910-004 STIP Amendment 08S-050 Resolution SLP1B-PA-0910-002 Resolution TAA-09-07, Amending Resolution TAA-07-06	2.1b.(1)	<b>Information only.</b>
48	The Department and the City/County Association of Governments of San Mateo County propose to amend the CMIA baseline agreement and the 2008 STIP for the US 101 Auxiliary Lanes from Marsh Road to Embarcadero Road project (PPNO 0658B) to split the project scope into two highway projects (PPNOs 0658B and 0658C) and one follow-up landscape project (0658D). Resolution CMIA-PA-0910-005 STIP Amendment 08S-052	2.1b.(2)	<b>Information only.</b>
49	The Department proposes to amend the State Route 99 Corridor baseline agreement and the 2008 STIP to redistribute \$700,000 RIP from PS&E to PA&ED for the Avenue 12 Interchange project (PPNO 5346) in Madera County. Resolution R99-PA-0910-002 STIP Amendment 08S-053	2.1b.(3)	<b>Information only.</b>



Note: This document was prepared following the California Transportation Commission meeting and is a reflection of the Department's interpretation of actions taken by the Commission.

“√” Follow-Up Items will be posted at <http://onramp.dot.ca.gov/hq/transprog/>, the day following Agenda De-briefing. – **NO ITEMS FOR AUGUST.**

# MEETING SUMMARY – ACTION TAKEN REPORT

## AUGUST 12-13, 2009 – Sacramento, California

<u>08/13/09 TAB #</u>	<u>ITEM DESCRIPTION</u>	<u>REF. #</u>	<u>STATUS</u>
50	The Department proposes to amend the State Route 99 Corridor baseline agreement and the 2008 STIP to revise the project and funding plan for the South Stockton Widening project (PPNO 7668) in San Joaquin County. Resolution R99-PA-0910-003 STIP Amendment 08S-055	2.1b.(5)	<b>Information only.</b>
51	The Department and Transportation Authority of Marin (TAM) propose to amend the 2008 STIP and TCRP 18.2 for the Route 101 – San Antonio Curve project (PPNO 0360G) to change the implementing agency for PS&E from the Department to TAM. STIP Amendment 08S-056 Resolution TAA-09-10, Amending Resolution TAA-09-09	2.1b.(6)	<b>Information only.</b>
52	The Department proposes to amend the 2008 STIP to delete the <del>Aesthetic Corridor Master Plan</del> <b>Rural Roadside Archaeological Inventory, Urban IIP TE</b> project (PPNO <del>0076F</del> <b>0227J</b> ) in San Bernardino Riverside County for \$440,000 <del>and the California &amp; Pony Express Auto Tour Route Project (PPNO 9815 in various counties,</del> reduce IIP TE programming by \$13,398,000 on eight <del>four</del> other projects, and program \$13,114,000 <b>\$10,470,000</b> in IIP TE for <del>45</del> <b>13</b> projects. STIP Amendment 08S-054 (Yellow handout.)	2.1b.(4)	<b>Information only.</b>
53	The Department and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority propose to amend the 2008 STIP and the CMIA baseline agreements to update the funding plans for the Route 5 High Occupancy Vehicle (HOV) Widening projects (Buena Vista Street to Route 170 [PPNO 0142F]; south of Empire Avenue to north of Buena Vista Street [PPNO 3985]; south of Burbank Boulevard to south of Empire Avenue [PPNO 3986]; and, Route 134 to south of Burbank Boulevard [PPNO 3987]) in Los Angeles County. <b>Resolution CMIA-PA-0910-001</b> , Amending Resolution CMIA-PA-0809-001 <b>STIP Amendment 08S-049</b>	2.1c.(1a)	<b>Approved.</b> <b>Project placed on “Bond Watch List.”</b>
54	The Department proposes to amend the CMIA baseline agreement for the Cottonwood Hills Truck Climbing Lanes project (PPNO 3329) to reprogram \$22,902,000 from FY 2010-11 to FY 2009-10 and to revise the project scope and schedule. <b>Resolution CMIA-PA-0910-006</b>	2.1c.(1b)	<b>Approved.</b>



Note: This document was prepared following the California Transportation Commission meeting and is a reflection of the Department's interpretation of actions taken by the Commission.

“√” Follow-Up Items will be posted at <http://onramp.dot.ca.gov/hq/transprog/>, the day following Agenda De-briefing. – **NO ITEMS FOR AUGUST.**

# MEETING SUMMARY – ACTION TAKEN REPORT

## AUGUST 12-13, 2009 – Sacramento, California

<u>08/13/09 TAB #</u>	<u>ITEM DESCRIPTION</u>	<u>REF. #</u>	<u>STATUS</u>
55	The Department and the San Joaquin Council of Governments propose to amend the CMIA baseline agreement for the I-205 Auxiliary Lanes project (PPNO 1111) in Tracy to revise the project scope and funding plan. <b>Resolution CMIA-PA-0910-007</b>	2.1c.(1c)	<b>Approved.</b>
56	The Department proposes to amend TCRP Project 18 (Route 101; widen eight miles of freeway to six lanes, Novato to Petaluma [Novato Narrows] in Marin and Sonoma Counties) and the CMIA baseline agreement to redistribute \$1,542,000 TCRP from PS&E to PA&ED for the Route 101 Marin-Sonoma Narrows-HOV Lane project (PPNO 0360F, \$920,000), the Route 101 Marin-Sonoma Narrows-Southerly Interchange project (PPNO 0360J, \$622,000), and Route 101 Marin-Sonoma Narrows-Petaluma Boulevard South IC project (PPNO 0360H), and update overall project schedule and funding plan. An allocation of \$1,542,000 TCRP for PA&ED is also requested. <b>Resolution CMIA-PA-0910-009</b> , Amending Resolutions CMIA-PA-0809-007 and CMIA-PA-0708-005 <b>Resolution TAA-09-08</b> , Amending Resolution TAA-08-08 <b>Resolution TFP-09-05</b>	2.1c.(1e) 2.6e.(2)	<b>Approved.</b>
57	TCIF Baseline Agreement <b>Amendment – TCIF Project 9: Sacramento Track Relocation Project</b> <b>Resolution TCIF-P-0910-01B</b>	4.3	<b>Approved.</b>
58	The San Bernardino Associated Governments and the City of Rialto propose to amend the baseline agreement for TCIF Project 58: <i>Route 10 Riverside Avenue Reconstruction Project</i> , to delay the Construction start date from June 2009 to October 2009 and the Construction completion date from September 2010 to June 2011 due to unexpected delays in the Right of Way Phase of the project. <b>Resolution TCIF-P-0910-04B -02</b>	2.1c.(5)	<b>Approved, as modified at the meeting to change the Construction start date to January 2010 and the Construction completion date to January 2012.</b>
59	Financial Allocation (2.5c.(4)): \$799,000 for three locally-administered STIP TE projects off the State Highway System. Contributions from local sources: \$104,117. <b>Resolution FP-09-03</b>	2.5c.(4)	<b>Approved.</b>
60	Financial Allocation (2.5c.(5)): <del>\$3,027,000</del> <b>\$1,166,000</b> for <del>two</del> <b>one</b> State-administered TE projects on the State Highway System funded from the Recovery Act. <b>Resolution FS-09-02</b> ➤ Project 1 (LA, PPNO 07-3547, EA 246404). <i>Withdrawn prior to CTC meeting.</i>	2.5c.(5)	<b>Approved, as modified.</b>



# MEETING SUMMARY – ACTION TAKEN REPORT

## AUGUST 12-13, 2009 – Sacramento, California

<u>08/13/09 TAB #</u>	<u>ITEM DESCRIPTION</u>	<u>REF. #</u>	<u>STATUS</u>
61	Financial Allocation (2.5c.(6)): \$2,493,000 for one locally-administered TE project on Route 101 in Belmont in San Mateo County funded from the Recovery Act. Contributions from local sources: \$2,420,000. <b>Resolution FS-09-03</b>	2.5c.(6)	<b>Approved.</b>
62	Financial Allocation (2.5c.(7)): \$1,200,000 in supplemental funds for the locally-administered Lake Siskiyou Trail – Wagon Trail Arm Creek Pedestrian Bridge TE project <b>funded from the Recovery Act.</b> <del>Resolution FA</del> <b>FS-09-04</b> (Yellow handout.)	2.5c.(7)	<b>Approved, as modified.</b>
63	Financial Allocation (2.5c.(8)): \$20,689,000 for 36 locally-administered STIP Planning, Programming and Monitoring projects. <b>Resolution FP-09-04</b> (Agenda Vote List revised to include SB 184 submittal info.)	2.5c.(8)	<b>Approved.</b>
64	Financial Allocation (2.5b.(1)): \$56,040,000 for nine SHOPP projects, as follows: -- Five projects programmed in the 2008 SHOPP totaling \$50,151,000. -- Four projects totaling \$5,889,000 amended into the SHOPP by departmental action. <b>Resolution FP-09-02</b>	2.5b.(1)	<b>Approved.</b>
65	Financial Allocation (2.5e.): \$325,000 in supplemental funds for one previously voted SHOPP project to complete construction for the 01-Men-162/253 project in Mendocino County. The current allocation is \$4,949,800. This request for \$325,000 results in an increase of 6.6 percent over the original allocation. <b>Resolution FA-09-03</b>	2.5e.	<b>Approved.</b>
66	Financial Allocation (2.5c.(3)): \$2,579,000 in supplemental funds for the locally-administered Lake Mary Bicycle and Pedestrian Improvement project in Mammoth Lakes. Contributions from local sources: \$3,885. <del>Resolution FA-09-___</del>	2.5c.(3)	<b>John Eastman, Town of Mammoth Lakes City Council and Mono County Transportation Commission; and Robert Clark, Mayor for Town of Mammoth Lakes, spoke in support of the request. After a lengthy discussion, action on the item was deferred until September 2009 meeting.</b>
67	Financial Allocation (2.5h.): \$134,000,000 for balance of FY 2009-10 Right of Way Lump Sum. <b>Resolution FM-09-01</b> , Amending Resolution FM-08-06	2.5h.	<b>Approved.</b>
68	Request to extend the period of project development expenditure for the County of Inyo's Eastern Sierra Scenic Byway Tourist Center TE project (PPNO 09-2517C) for \$398,000, per STIP Guidelines. <b>Waiver-09-30</b>	2.8d.	<b>Approved.</b>



Note: This document was prepared following the California Transportation Commission meeting and is a reflection of the Department's interpretation of actions taken by the Commission.

“√” Follow-Up Items will be posted at <http://onramp.dot.ca.gov/hq/transprog/>, the day following Agenda De-briefing. – **NO ITEMS FOR AUGUST.**

# MEETING SUMMARY – ACTION TAKEN REPORT AUGUST 12-13, 2009 – Sacramento, California

## Highway Financial Matters

\$ <del>56,040,000</del>	\$ 56,040,000	Total SHOPP/Minor Requested for Allocation
\$ <del>4,578,000</del>	\$ <b>21,488,000</b>	Total STIP Requested for Allocation
\$ <del>5,520,000</del>	\$ <b>4,859,000</b>	Total State TE Requested for Allocation – Recovery Act
\$ <del>0</del>	\$ 0	Total Proposition 1B Bond Requested for Allocation
\$ <del>325,000</del>	\$ <u>325,000</u>	Total Supplemental Funds Requested for Allocation
\$ <del>66,463,000</del>	\$ <b>82,712,000</b>	Sub-Total Project Funds Requested for Allocation
\$ <del>134,000,000</del>	\$134,000,000	Total Right of Way Lump Sum Requested for Allocation
\$ <del>134,000,000</del>	\$134,000,000	Sub-Total Lump Sums Requested for Allocation
\$ <del>26,458,000</del>	\$ 26,458,000	Delegated Allocations
\$ <del>226,921,000</del>	\$ <b>243,170,000</b>	Sub-Total, Highway Project Allocations
\$ <del>3,124,117</del>	\$ <b>2,524,117</b>	Contributions from Other Sources
\$ <del>230,045,117</del>	\$ <b>245,694,117</b>	Total Value

## Mass Transportation Financial Matters

\$ <u>1,810,000</u>	Total TCRP Requested for Allocation
\$ 1,810,000	Total State Allocations

