

# Department of Transportation

## 2010 STIP FE Draft Assumptions

Presented to the  
California Transportation Commission  
April 16, 2009

# Revised 2010 STIP FE Development Schedule

| Date      | Objective                              |
|-----------|--|
| April 15  | Draft assumptions presented to CTC     |
| June 10   | Final assumptions approved by CTC      |
| July 8    | Draft of FE presented to CTC           |
| August 12 | Final FE presented to CTC for adoption |

# Objective of FE Assumptions

**BEGINNING  
BALANCE**



**ANTICIPATED  
REVENUES**



**NON-PROGRAM COMMITMENTS**

- Support
- Local Assistance
- Maintenance and Operations
- Other



**EXISTING PROGRAM COMMITMENTS**



**AVAILABLE FOR PROGRAMMING  
(for next 5 years)**

**Assumptions  
will affect  
these  
estimates**



# 2010 FE Assumptions & Background

- Assumptions & Commission's input
  - Importance & consequences
- Changes since 2008 FE
  - Reduction of resources
  - Proposition 1B delays
  - Increased costs in non-Department expenditures
- STIP and SHOPP outlook

A vertical strip on the left side of the slide shows a stack of US dollar bills. The top bill is a \$100 bill, with the number '100' and the portrait of Benjamin Franklin visible. Below it, another bill is partially visible, showing the number '20'.

# Issues Impacting Capacity

- Accounting for SB 45 Allowances
- Right-of-Way



# State Highway Account

## Assumptions Impacting the SHOPP

- State fuel excise tax revenues

- Recommendation: **Option A**

- Slow recovery: \$10.0B over FE period

- Weight fee revenues

- Recommendation: **Option B**

- 1.5% growth: \$5.2B over FE period

- Pre-Proposition 42 repayments

- Recommendation: **Option A**

- 2-year delay: \$132M for SHOPP  
\$290M for TCRP  
\$ 78M for PTA STIP



# Federal Resources

## Assumptions Impacting the SHOPP

- Federal Obligation Authority (updated)

- Recommendation: **Option A**

2008-09 flat level: \$15.3B over FE period  
(Changed from the printed book)

- GARVEE debt service

- Recommendation: **Option A**

At 2009-10 level: \$422M over FE period

- ARRA financing

- Recommendation: **Option A**

SHA loans \$310M: October 2010 repayment



# Public Transportation Account

## Assumptions Impacting the STIP

- Spillover for the PTA
  - Recommendation: **Option B**  
No spillover revenues
- Future funding of Homes-to-School (HTS)
  - Recommendation: **Option B**  
PTA STIP priority: HTS receives surplus funds
- Transfers to State Transit Assistance
  - Recommendation: **Option A**  
Option A – Current statutes

# Proposition 42 & Proposition 1B

## Assumptions Impacting the STIP

- Proposition 42 revenues

- Recommendation: **Option B**

Gas avg.  
**\$2.50**

Annual avg.  
**\$1.5B**

TIF annual avg.  
**\$608M**

- Proposition 42 suspensions

- Recommendation: **Option A**

No suspensions

- Transportation Facilities Account (TFA)

- Recommendation: **Option A**

All remaining funds available in 2009-10

- Other Proposition 1B accounts

- Recommendation: **Option B**

Shift allocations out by 1 year over FE period

# Next Steps

- Work with CTC staff
  - Incorporate changes
  - Finalize assumptions
- Approve final assumptions at June meeting
- Questions?