

MINUTES

CALIFORNIA TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION <http://www.catc.ca.gov>

August 18, 2005
Sacramento, California

Thursday, August 18, 2005

8:30 a.m.

Commission Meeting (One Day Business Meeting Only)

Department of Water Resources
1416 9th Street,
First Floor Auditorium
Sacramento, California

GENERAL BUSINESS (#1-5)

1.

1. • Roll Call

1.1

The meeting of the California Transportation Commission was called to order at 8:35 am. on August 18, 2005, at the Department of Water Resources, 1416 9th Street, First Floor Auditorium, Sacramento, California.

Roll call was conducted. The Commissioners attendance was as follows:

Members Present: Joseph Tavaglione, Chair
Jeremiah Hallisey, Vice Chair
Bob Balgenorth
Marian Bergeson
James Ghielmetti
Allen Lawrence
R. Kirk Lindsey
Esteban Torres

Members Absent: Assemblymember Jenny Oropeza, Ex-Officio
Senator Tom Torlakson, Ex-Officio

Tab #	Item Description	Ref. #
-------	------------------	--------

- 2. • Approval of Minutes for July 13-14, 2005 Meeting 1.2

Chair Tavaglione asked for approval of the July 13-14, 2005 Minutes.

Commissioner Lindsey moved to approve the Minutes without changes. Commissioner Ghielmetti seconded the motion, which carried 8-0.

- 3. • Commission Reports 1.3

Commissioner Ghielmetti discussed the proposed Real Estate Advisory Panel (REAP) appointments; he's met or talked with all the nominees and is recommending eight appointments. The recommended nominees are Nina Gruen, Bill Hauf, Peter Inman, Craig Lewis, George Moss, Jack Nagle, Roslyn Payne, and Richard Zelle, The REAP membership is proposed to have a total of nine members and Commissioner Ghielmetti stated that the Commission would like to get someone from the Sacramento Region. Deputy Director Robert Chung will work on setting up an informal meeting for the new REAP members. Chair Tavaglione accepted the recommendation of the eight members and stated that as chair, he will appoint them.

Commissioner Ghielmetti reported that the TACA met on August 10, 2005 and had a presentation from Joe Sprague, Vice President of Alaska Airlines. The Aeronautics account was also reviewed at the meeting.

Executive Director Diane Eidam reported that the San Francisco meeting scheduled for December has been moved to Sacramento.

Executive Director Diane Eidam reviewed the Proposed 2006 Meeting Schedule. Commissioner Lawrence moved to approve the Schedule. Commissioner Lindsey seconded the motion, which carried 8-0.

- **Prepare letter for Chair Tavaglione's signature to the eight newly appointed members of the Real Estate Advisory Panel informing them of their appointment to that panel. Also solicit applications for membership from persons within the Sacramento or Northern California area for the remaining slot available on the Panel**

ACTION: Robert Chung

- 4. • Commissioners' Meetings for Compensation 1.4

Commissioner Bergeson moved to approve. Commissioner Ghielmetti seconded the motion, which carried 8-0.

- 5. • Report by Agency Secretary and/or Deputy Secretary 1.5

Deputy Secretary John Barna reported that that the Goods Movement Action Plan – Phase 1, has been approved for release the week of August 22, 2005. Working groups will be developed to work on various issues; infrastructure, finance, homeland security. Agency will be briefing the Commission in September. The Goods Movement report will be on the Cal EPA website. Phase 2 should be complete by the end of 2005.

Congratulations to Director Will Kempton on his confirmation vote.

Go California is ready to roll out. There will be statewide meeting on September 27, 2005 in Monterey, and then regional workshops. October 4 – Bay area, October 12 – Truckee, October 19 – Fresno, October 20 – San Luis Obispo, October 25 – San Diego, October 26 – Riverside, October 27 – Los Angeles. After the regional workshops the intent is to come back with a Go California implementation plan and hope to have it as part of the 2006 Governor's Agenda.

Tab #	Item Description	Ref. #
-------	------------------	--------

CALTRANS REPORT (#6-14)

- 6. • Report by Caltrans' Director and/or Deputy Director 1.6
 -- Federal Reauthorization Update

Director Kempton thanked the Commission for their support of his confirmation, as well as the local support from the regions.

Director Kempton said that there is an exciting year ahead, last month voting \$1.2 Billion. Director Kempton and Executive Director Diane Eidam presented plaques to the Commission for the record vote last month. The request this month is for \$560 Million, expecting \$350 SHIOO, \$150 Million STIP, \$125 Million TCRP in September. The funding beyond September 2005 is contingent of the Tribal Gaming. Expected delivery in 2005-06 is \$4.6 Billion. The Delivery cabinet is being created and includes experts from outside of Caltrans, they will be private sector and local. The intent is to help Caltrans improve on delivery. Their first meeting will be August 19, 2005.

There was a meeting August 16, 2005 on cost estimating, which included Commissioner's Tavaglione, Lindsey, and Ghielmetti. The meeting was positive; the Department will be bringing in experts from private industry and looking at revising specs to improve estimates. Very good suggestions came from the meeting.

Director Kempton discussed the roll out of Go California on September 27, 2005. The expectation is to reveal the Mission and have regional workshops to solicit input.

Regarding the San Francisco Oakland Bay Bridge, there is good news. The Department is out to bid on the Self Anchored Suspension (SAS) Bridge and expect to get two or 3 bids. The outreach committee had its first meeting and Director Kempton is pleased.

The Toll Bridge Program Oversight Committee (TBPOC) is up and running with cooperative effort to deliver.

Richmond San Rafael Bridge closed out this month with a savings, within 10 percent of AB 1171 estimates. They've completed 6 of 7 seismic retrofits.

The skyway is 70 percent complete; the west approach is under construction and on track. The Governor hasn't released the non-toll bridge seismic report so it cannot yet be reported.

- 7. • Caltrans Monthly FY 2005-06 Finance Report 3.1

Norma Ortega, Caltrans, provided the year end review, \$840 million in SHA (\$180 million above the estimate). The challenges in developing forecasts are 1) anticipating federal revenues, 2) accelerated reimbursement process for federal funds in order to ask for additional OA, 3) efficiency drill in process for additional savings.

The PTA is \$24 million lower than anticipated; however, it's a transfer adjustment and the forecast is on track.

The TCRP is \$87 million higher due to the transfer expected in June happened in July.

Allocation Plan is at \$4.15 billion and on target, \$860 million is dependent on the Tribal Gaming Revenue. Adjustments will be made as necessary for the Federal Reauthorization.

Ms. Ortega reviewed the remaining uncertainties; the toll bridge funding schedule, uncertainties of the TIF revenues, and the PTA spillover.

Deputy Director Stephen Maller asked that the Department include the original cash forecast from September 2004. Ms. Ortega said she will add that information.

Tab #	Item Description	Ref. #
-------	------------------	--------

Commissioner Lindsey commented that historically the monthly cash reports had more technical detail. He said he met with Ms. Ortega, Ms. McKim, and Executive Director Eidam and talked about the concept of the report. Commissioner Lindsey suggested that due to added confidence where there hadn't been any confidence he believes an abbreviated report as presented can be made monthly but expects the Department to produce quarterly reports with all the details and indicated that CTC staff is to receive all the technical details monthly. Should staff identify concerns then an item will be placed on the agenda and brought to the Commission. Chair Tavaglione agreed and said that Ms. Ortega should work with Deputy Director Maller monthly and the Commission will receive summary report monthly with the detailed report Quarterly. Ms. Ortega said that the idea of providing reports to CTC staff monthly and reporting to the Commission quarterly sound like a good compromise. Director Kempton also replied that it was an excellent idea.

- **In Monthly Finance Reports show the original cash forecast as a baseline and also show how much has been allocated against the 2005-06 Allocation Plan by program category.**
- **For future Monthly Finance Reports provide the information monthly in the format as presented at the August meeting. Provide the complete data on a quarterly basis at the full Commission meeting but on a monthly basis to CTC Deputy Director Stephen Maller.**

ACTION: Norma Ortega

8. • Monthly Report on Status of Projects Previously Voted 3.2
but Not Awarded

Ross Chittenden, Caltrans, reported on nine projects for \$34 million. Six projects have been advertised and bids exceeded the allocation. The Department is repackaging the projects and will put them out to bid again. The other three projects have not yet been advertised. Mr. Chittenden indicated the Ventura project has severely distressed pavement and perhaps the CTC allocation was asked for too early. The Tehama project has had weather issues and Corp of Engineering permit issues; the advertising is expected in November. The Solano project also had weather issues and should advertise in September.

Chair Tavaglione asked what Caltrans is doing to make sure projects are ready to go. Mr. Chittenden responded that they are tightening internal communications, identify constraints, and make responsible parties sign off on the schedules.

9. • Monthly Report on Local Assistance STIP Projects 3.4
Previously Voted but not Awarded

Terry Abbott, Caltrans, reviewed 36 projects voted but not awarded in twelve months. Eight of those projects are within the 6-month deadline. Staff are working with agencies as well as working with the local agencies on the federal process and deadline. The next report will be in a new report format, showing the 6-month deadline

10. • FY 2004-05 4th Quarter Project Delivery Report 3.6

Karla Sutliff, Caltrans, discussed the fourth quarter project delivery process; 691 construction contracts delivered at \$2.25 billion -- Ninety-five percent of projects and 115 % funds. The Delivery overrun is at \$13 million related to two projects (support cost). The right-of-way allocation was reduced to \$160 million at the last Commission meeting and has been totally expended. Environmental documents are at 88 percent delivered highest year to date. Deputy Director Robert Chung asked how many environmental documents have rolled over from this last year and how many are one or two years late. Jay Norvell, Caltrans, said that 5 projects rolled over, and that 3 of those have issues with endangered species and archeological concerns. Mr. Chung asked when the Department would consider shutting down the rollover projects, by UNPARring them. Mr. Norvell responded that they are working with the locals cooperatively on shutting down projects. He could come back next month with a report.

Tab #	Item Description	Ref. #
-------	------------------	--------

Commissioner Lindsey said that it appears that the delivery is slanted toward the 4th quarter. The Department needs to use the report to see ahead, to what problems are faced in coming quarters 1,2, 3 and 4 and try to have the problems solved well before the 4th quarter. Director Kempton responded that the Districts' project by project milestone chart is being developed and the Commission will be able to see how projects are progressing against the schedule.

- 11. • FY 2004-05 4th Quarter Rail Operations Report 3.7

Bill Bronte, Caltrans reviewed the Rail Operations Report, the Division of Rail has been working with Amtrak to get financial and ridership data for the same reporting quarter, rather than 1 quarter previous. The ridership and farebox recovery has improved, but is 7 percent below the business plan projections. Mr. Bronte presented the statistics for all three lines. Commissioner Lawrence said that he was pleased with the last trip on the Surfliner and is surprised that there has only been a 5 percent increase in ridership. Mr. Bronte responded that the trains were at capacity at peak periods. They expect some shifts to transit as gas prices continue to increase. Commissioner Lawrence asked if they were losing riders due to lack of capacity. Mr. Bronte said that they are working with UP to add rolling stock. The economics of purchasing rolling stock is daunting; it is roughly \$3 million per car, and they need a minimum order of at least 50 cars and cannot use State Highway Account funds.

- 12. • 2005 1st Quarter Seismic Safety Retrofit Report 3.8

Karla Sutliff, Caltrans, presented the non-toll Seismic Safety Retrofit . Phase I is complete; Phase II is 98% complete with 8 bridges under construction and 8 bridges in design. Ms Sutliff indicated that the Department is working on a new more informative format for the. Deputy Director Maller said that Phase I ended 5 years ago but \$9 million remain allocated but unspent. Ms. Sutliff reported that there are 10 projects with outstanding claims which she will report on next month.

Director Kempton said that the focus has been on the Toll Bridge report and they are now focusing on Non-Toll Bridge report, and agreed that project closeout is a concern. Commissioner Lindsey asked about the 1,200 local bridges and if the state had match money for them. Director Kempton responded that the match funds were removed because of the funding problems in the State budget. The Department is working with locals to jump start the program because they do need to get the bridges retrofitted. Commissioner Lindsey suggested that maybe it's time to really focus on this program and prioritize. Director Kempton agreed and believes that the priorities are in place.

Commissioner Bergeson asked if it's a State or local liability. Director Kempton responded that it depends because it could be both if the structure interfaces with the State highway it could be a safety issue and would have a priority. Deputy Secretary Barna said that the Commission showed some leadership working with the Legislature and hopes to refocus without something catastrophic happening. Deputy Director Maller said that the Commission held hearings in January 2005 on the local bridges and it was identified that the uncertified bridges mostly belong to BART and the Dept. of Water Resources.

- **Return at the September Commission meeting with a report on the status of the outstanding claims for the Phase I Seismic Retrofit Program. (September Agenda Item)**
- **In future Quarterly Reports include a tabular breakout of local bridge seismic retrofit by phases. Also explicitly identify all ready to list (RTL) and completion date changes for Phase II Seismic Retrofit Program bridges.**

ACTION: Karla Sutliff

Tab #	Item Description	Ref. #
-------	------------------	--------

- 13. • 2005 1st Quarter Toll Bridge Seismic Retrofit Report 3.9

Dan McElhinney, Caltrans, presented the Toll Bridge Seismic Retrofit Report. The Department has been at “high-speed” since the passage of AB 144. Mr. McElhinney reported that six of the toll bridges have been seismically retrofitted. The San Rafael bridge project is done and is \$136 million below budget. Commissioner Ghielmetti asked about the SFOBB west approach project and inquired if it is still at \$429 million, Mr. McElhinney said that it remains the estimate with the project 50 percent complete. Mr. McElhinney reported that there are risks that are being managed for the west approach and will have an impact to cost and schedule but the project should still be within the \$429 million budget. The state would not have to pay for any overruns due to the programmatic contingency. Director Kempton is confident that the Department can stay within the budget.

Other items discussed were the skyway and that the welding issue is now behind them, and that the skyway project is expected to stay within budget at 60 percent complete. The SAS advertised in August and outreach has started with 70 contractors attending the meeting. There will be another outreach meeting on September 23, 2005. The Department will advertise the SAS project for 6-months and thinking it should come in at \$1.5 billion, and expects to have more than 2 bidders.

- 14. • Quarterly Report on the Status of Delivery of AB 3090 3.10
Project Components

Ross Chittenden, Caltrans, reviewed the Status of Delivery of AB 3090 Project Components saying that one project has not started. Hollister Bypass according to San Benito County, it’s due to the delays in right-of-way. Department staff recommends rescinding AB 3090 Program in the 2006 STIP. Chief Deputy Director David Brewer concurs with the recommendation; originally programmed in 2004 STIP, AB 3090 is to advance not to reserve.

Commissioner Lindsey moved to accept staff recommendation. Commissioner Torres seconded the motion, which carried 8-0.

REGIONAL AGENCIES AND RURAL COUNTIES REPORT (#15-16)

- 15. • Report by Regional Agencies Moderator 1.7

Regional Agencies Moderator Steve VanDenburgh received the report from Debbie Mah on the Performance Measures. There are concerns regarding Section 19 addressed Regions support amendments to Section 19. The regions have reached consensus regarding the proposal on the Performance Measures and will discuss at CALCOG and a full presentation of the September meeting.

- 16. • Report by Rural Counties Task Force Chair 1.8

Rural Counties Task Force Chair Kathy Mathews reported that there are issues regarding the local road rehabilitation and that the Department is recommending deferring these projects. Ms. Mathews stated that there were no PS Reports produced for state highway projects in District 1 and that is why regions programmed funds to local road rehab. The rural counties need a dedicated fund source, \$1 billion is necessary to repair roads and \$50 million annually to maintain them. It’s imperative that local road rehabilitation remain eligible for STIP funding. Commissioner Lindsey asked how the gap can be narrowed. Deputy Director Maller said that under Proposition 42, \$257 million is reserved for local road rehabilitation, at least this year. These type of projects are eligible for STIP funding but are a lower priority. Commissioner Lindsey asked if only rehab projects are available in an area, maybe the Commission needs to tweak the criteria regarding the smallest counties. Mr. Maller asks Caltrans why they are not doing PSRs in rural areas. Mr. Chittenden, Caltrans, said that he is not prepared to speak regarding what District 1 was or wasn’t doing, he doesn’t have the information but can discuss this issue at the next meeting. Commissioner Lindsey challenged Ms. Mathews to develop a local road rehab allocation proposal.

Tab #	Item Description	Ref. #
-------	------------------	--------

- **Develop a proposal for allocation criteria for local road rehabilitation and present at future Commission meeting. (Future Agenda Item)**

ACTION: Kathryn Mathews (Rural Chair)

- **Report back by letter on the status of Project Study Reports and State Highway needs in rural areas in prior STIP programming cycles.**

ACTION: Ross Chittenden

INFORMATION CALENDAR (#17-23)

IC

17. **IC** • Informational Report on Emergency: G-11 Allocations. 2.5f.(1)
27 Projects totaling \$13,274,400.

Deputy Director Stephen Maller presented the Information Calendar agenda Items #17 through #23 and noted that Item #19 was pulled from the Information Calendar for independent discussion. Items #98 through 102 were added to the Information Callender.

18. **IC** • Informational Report on SHOPP Safety: G-03-10 2.5f.(3)
Allocations. Two Projects totaling \$7,977,000.

This item was presented on the Information Calendar.

- **As requested, provide by letter, to Commissioners and staff, more information on Project #1.**

ACTION: Ross Chittenden

19. **IC** • Informational Report on Minor Projects: G-05-05 2.5f.(4)
Allocations. 60 Projects totaling \$30,475,000.

Deputy Director Stephen Maller noted that project #36 is a retrofit soundwall project and should not be in the SHOPP, soundwall projects should be programmed in the STIP not in the SHOPP. Ross Chittenden, Caltrans, responded that the project should not have been placed in the SHOPP and the Department is in the process of reviewing all the other Minor projects to ensure that no others are inappropriately listed.

20. **IC** • Monthly Report on Projects Amended into the SHOPP 3.3
by Department Action

This item was presented on the Information Calendar.

21. **IC** • Status of STIP Cash Commitments for AB 3090 3.5
Reimbursements and GARVEE Debt Service

This item was presented on the Information Calendar.

22. **IC** • Aeronautics Account Pending Allocations -- 4.2
18 Projects totaling \$3,084,200

This item was presented on the Information Calendar.

Tab #	Item Description	Ref. #
-------	------------------	--------

- 23. IC • Draft 2005-06 Federal Section 5310 Program Priority List 4.10

This item was presented on the Information Calendar.

END INFORMATION CALENDAR

CONSENT CALENDAR(#24-51) CC

Deputy Director Robert Chung recommended approving Consent Calendar Items #24 through #51 with the following notations or modifications: Item #30, 35, 37, 40, 42, 47, 50, and 51 have recommended changes and corrections as noted on the pink – “Changes to CTC Agenda”.

Commissioner Ghielmetti moved to approve the Consent Calendar, as modified by staff. Commissioner Lindsey seconded the motion, which carried 8-0.

- 24. CC • STIP Amendment 04S-072 2.1a.(1)
 The Tulare County Association of Governments (TCAG) is requesting this amendment. TCAG proposes to reprogram \$402,000 of RIP programmed under the Tulare TE Reserve (PPNO D006) in FY 2006-07 to Construction in FY 2006-07 on a new Santa Fe Bike Path (PPNO D006A) project.

This item was approved on the Consent Calendar.

- 25. CC • STIP Amendment 04S-073 2.1a.(2)
 The Department is requesting this amendment. The Department proposes to delete \$266,000 in the IIP from the Pismo Butterfly Parking Area project (PPNO 1805) in San Luis Obispo County.

This item was approved on the Consent Calendar.

- 26. CC • STIP Amendment 04S-074 2.1a.(3)
 The Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority (LACMTA) is requesting this amendment. LACMTA is requesting \$21,979,000 in previously approved AB 3090 Replacement project funding (PPNOs 3554, 3702 and 3705) in FY 2008-09 be designated for Construction on the Route 5 Widening project (PPNO 2808). In addition, a total of \$2,000,000 in RIP Construction funds are reprogrammed from the Route 5/14 High Occupancy Vehicle (HOV) Connector project (PPNO 0168M) to Construction of the Route 5 Widening project (PPNO 2808) in FY 2008-09. LACMTA is programming a total of \$2,000,000 in local funds on the Route 5/14 High Occupancy Vehicle (HOV) Connector project (PPNO 0168M) for R/W in FY 2005-06. This amendment is a revenue neutral programming adjustment.

This item was approved on the Consent Calendar.

Tab #	Item Description	Ref. #
-------	------------------	--------

- 27. **CC** • Proposition 116 Non-Urban County Project Approval Amendment for the Council of San Benito County Governments to De-Program \$2,834 Proposition 116 funds from a completed Vehicle Acquisition project. *(Concurrent allocation amendment under 2.6c.(2).)* Resolution PA-05-____, Amending Resolution PA-97-13 2.1d.(2)

This item was approved on the Consent Calendar.

- 28. **CC** • Proposition 116 Rail Program Project Approval Amendment to De-Program Four Projects for the Alameda Corridor Grade Separation Projects at \$5,171,684 in Cost Savings. *(Concurrent allocation amendment under 2.6c.(1).)* Resolution PA-05-____, Amending Resolutions PA-94-25; PA-96-16; PA 99-19, PA-99-21 2.1e.(1)

This item was approved on the Consent Calendar.

- 29. **CC** • Proposition 116 Rail Program Project Approval Amendment to De-Program Nine Projects from the Peninsula Corridor Joint Powers Board at \$2,648,478 in Cost Savings. *(Concurrent allocation amendment under 2.6c.(4).)* Resolution PA-05-____, Amending Resolutions PA-93-53; PA-93-52; PA-97-11; PA 96-33; PA-96-32; PA-00-01 2.1e.(2)

This item was approved on the Consent Calendar.

- 30. **CC** • Proposition 116 Rail Program Project Approval Amendment to De-Program 18 Projects from the Department of Transportation at \$4,021,815 in Cost Savings. *(Concurrent allocation amendment under 2.6c.(7).)* Resolution PA-05-____, Amending Resolutions PA-94-09; PA-96-26; PA 00-03, PA-95-18; PA-91-03; PA-00-06; PA-00-07; PA-99-09; PA-98-15; PA-96-19; PA 96-03; PA-94-16; PA-92-02; PA-01-08; PA-92-04; PA-97-05 2.1e.(3)

This item was approved on the Consent Calendar, with the corrected agenda language striking PA-01-08 correcting it to PA-01-11.

- 31. **CC** • Proposition 116 Rail Program Project Approval Amendment to De-Program the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority's Los Angeles County Green Line Project at \$62,083 in Cost Savings. *(Concurrent allocation amendment under 2.6c.(3).)* Resolution PA-05-____, Amending Resolution PA-96-31 2.1e.(4)

This item was approved on the Consent Calendar.

Tab #	Item Description	Ref. #
-------	------------------	--------

- 32. **CC** • Proposition 116 Rail Program Project Approval Amendment to De-Program the Santa Clara County Transit District's Girder Rail Replacement Project at \$137,957 in Cost Savings. *(Concurrent allocation amendment under 2.6c.(3).)* Resolution PA-05-___, Amending Resolution PA-98-22 2.1e.(5)

This item was approved on the Consent Calendar.

- 33. **CC** • Proposition 116 Rail Program Project Approval Amendment to De-Program the San Joaquin Regional Rail Commission's San Joaquin ACE Stations Improvements Project at \$65,130 in Cost Savings. *(Concurrent allocation amendment under 2.6c.(3).)* Resolution PA-05-___, Amending Resolution PA-01-05 2.1e.(6)

This item was approved on the Consent Calendar.

- 34. **CC** • Proposition 116 Rail Program Project Approval Amendment to De-Program the Sacramento Regional Transit District's Butterfield to Mather Road Extension Project at \$4,931 in Cost Savings. *(Concurrent allocation amendment under 2.6c.(3).)* Resolution PA-05-___, Amending Resolution PA-00-12 2.1e.(7)

This item was approved on the Consent Calendar.

- 35. **CC** • Proposition 116 Rail Program Project Approval Amendment to De-Program Two Projects from the North San Diego County Transit Development Board at \$11,015 in Cost Savings. *(Concurrent allocation amendment under 2.6c.(3).)* Resolution PA-05-___, Amending Resolutions PA-94-09, and PA-00-07 2.1e.(8)

This item was approved on the Consent Calendar with corrected agenda language removing reference to Sorrento-Miramar project.

- 36. **CC** • Proposition 116 Water-Borne Ferry Program Project Approval Amendment to De-Program the City of Alameda's Alameda Main Street Barge Rehabilitation Project at \$671 in Cost Savings. *(Concurrent allocation amendment under 2.6c.(6).)* Resolution PA-05-___, Amending Resolution PA-96-23 2.1f.(1)

This item was approved on the Consent Calendar.

Tab #	Item Description	Ref. #
-------	------------------	--------

- 37. **CC** • Proposition 116 Water-Borne Ferry Program Project Approval Amendments to De-Program Three Projects at \$28,679 in Cost Savings. (*Concurrent allocation amendment under 2.6c.(6).*) Resolution PA-05-___, Amending Resolution PA-93-21 2.1f.(2)

This item was approved on the Consent Calendar, with the corrected agenda language striking PA-93-21 correcting it to PA-96-23.

- 38. **CC** • Proposition 116 Bicycle Program Project Approval Amendments to De-Program Four Projects at \$460,851 in Cost Savings. (*Concurrent allocation amendment under 2.6c.(5).*) Resolution PA-05-___, Amending Resolution PA-96-23 2.1g.(1)

This item was approved on the Consent Calendar.

- 39. **CC** • Approval of Project for Future Consideration of Funding -- Third Main Track and Seven Grade Separations, Burlington Northern Santa Fe East/West Main Line Railroad Track (TCRP #35.2, #54.2 and #54.3) (FEIR) Resolution E-05-16 2.2c.(3)

This item was approved on the Consent Calendar.

- 40. **CC** • Four Relinquishment Resolutions 2.3c.
 - 5-SCr-1-PM 2.27 Route 1 in the County of Santa Cruz Resolution R-3612
 - 7-LA-2-PM 5.9/7.7 Route 2 in the City of Beverly Hills Resolution R-3613
 - 8-SBd-30-PM 0.0/0.25 Route 30 in the City of Upland Resolution R-3614
 - 12-Ora-73-PM 4.3 Route 73 in the City of Irvine Resolution R-3615

This item was approved on the Consent Calendar with a change to the date of the cooperative agreement from July 19, 2005 to July 28, 2005.

- 41. **CC** • One Vacation Resolution 2.3d.
 - 3-Sut-20-PM 4.2/5.2 Route 20 in the County of Sutter Resolution A-861

This item was approved on the Consent Calendar.

Tab #	Item Description	Ref. #
-------	------------------	--------

Deputy Director Robert Chung handed out copies of AB 144 and SB 66 regarding funding for the Bay Bridge and then gave a brief review of the bills' impact, as well as explain the Commission's role in setting up the schedule for state contributions to the toll bridge replacement project.

- **As a monthly agenda item, provide information on implementation of the Federal Reauthorization Bill - SAFE TEA-LU. (Monthly Agenda Item)**

ACTION: Ken DeCrescenzo

56. • Draft Schedule of State Contributions for Toll Bridge 3.11
 Seismic Retrofit and Replacement Projects

Executive Director Diane Eidam reviewed the Item saying that \$630 million in SB 144, \$100 million for HBRR, \$42 million for 2008-09 HBRR and change in contingencies. The net impact will be in the draft Fund Estimate and should provide \$180 million additional to offset loss to PTA. Efficiency savings pushed to outer years.

57. • Update to Draft 2006 Fund Estimate 4.5
 Resolution G-05-___

Norma Ortega, Caltrans, reviewed the Draft 2006 Fund Estimate saying that statute allows to postpone up to 90 days, and that the Department asked in July to postpone until September 2005 to analyze the toll bridge contribution, and they are now asking for approval of the resolution regarding the postponement.

Commissioner Ghielmetti moved to approve the resolution. Commission Balgenorth seconded the motion, which carried 8-0.

58. • Draft Amendment to STIP Guidelines 4.3

Chief Deputy Director David Brewer presented this item and recommended that it be brought back to the September meeting for action.

David Yale, LACMTA, discussed guideline 67A on page 31 regarding the AB 3090 guidelines being incorporated into the STIP guidelines. Mr. Yale will follow up with a letter to the Commission.

59. • Draft Amendment to System Performance Measures 4.4

Debbie Mah, Caltrans presented the amendments to Section 19 of the STIP Guidelines regarding performance measures. Commissioner Lindsey asked if the rural counties were satisfied. Kathy Mathews, Rural Counties Task Force Chair said that, by and large, they are and that the rurals appreciate Ms. Mah's efforts.

Commissioner Lindsey asked if the Department had set benchmarks. Ms. Mah said that this year it will be based on the data that has been collected and that they will be able to set the standards from there. Commissioner Lindsey wants to see targets set, and that improvement alone is not really enough. Ms. Mah agreed and said that they do intend to set targets. Commissioner Lindsey then asked who will set the bar for the measures. Director Kempton responded that the Department is eager to set the bar with input from the Commission and the regions. Ms. Mah reported that when they finish the State of the System Report, it will help to set the targets. Deputy Secretary John Barna said that there is no intent to have the same targets in every region and that the targets need to make sense for each region. Executive Director Diane Eidam recommended no action at this meeting but that the amendments be included in the comprehensive STIP Guidelines and to be presented for approval at the September 2005 meeting.

Tab #	Item Description	Ref. #
-------	------------------	--------

- 63. • The San Francisco County Transportation Authority is requesting a TCRP Application Amendment to program \$12,000,000 in new TCR funding for PA&ED and PS&E for Project #22 – Route 101; environmental study for reconstruction of Doyle Drive, from Lombard St./Richardson Avenue to Route 1 Interchange in City and County of San Francisco. This Amendment also updates the project schedule and funding plan. *(Related item under 2.1c.(10).)*
Resolution TAA-05-14, Amending Resolution TA-01-11

Ross Chittenden, Caltrans, reviewed the project and recommended approval.

Commissioner Ghielmetti moved to approve the Item. Commissioner Balgenorth seconded the motion, which carried 8-0.

- 64. • The Alameda County Congestion Management Agency is requesting a TCRP Application Amendment to program \$6,350,000 in new TCR funding for R/W for Project #27.1 – Vasco Road Safety and Transit Enhancement Project in Alameda and Contra Costa Counties. This Amendment also updates the project schedule and funding plan. *(Related item under 2.1c.(10).)*
Resolution TAA-05-15, Amending Resolution TA-01-06

Ross Chittenden, Caltrans, reviewed the project and recommended approval.

Commissioner Ghielmetti moved to approve the Item. Commissioner Hallisey seconded the motion, which carried 6-0. (Commissioners Balgenorth and Lawrence were absent)

- 65. • The Department and the Alameda County Congestion Management Agency are requesting a TCRP Application Amendment to revise the project scope for Project #31 – Route 580; construct eastbound and westbound HOV lanes from Tassajara Road/Santa Rita Road to Vasco Road in Alameda County. This Amendment also updates the project schedule and funding plan. *(Related item under 2.1c.(10).)*
Resolution TAA-05-16, Amending Resolution TAA-03-12

Ross Chittenden, Caltrans, reviewed the project and recommended approval.

Commissioner Hallisey moved to approve the Item. Commissioner Ghielmetti seconded the motion, which carried 6-0. (Commissioners Balgenorth and Lawrence were absent)

Tab #	Item Description	Ref. #
-------	------------------	--------

- 66. • The San Diego North County Transit District is requesting a TCRP Application Amendment to update the project schedule and funding plan for Project #79 – North County Light Rail; build new 20-mile light rail line from Oceanside to Escondido in San Diego County. *(Related item under 2.6e.(1).)* Resolution TAA-05-17, Amending Resolution TA-01-13

Ross Chittenden, Caltrans, reviewed the project and recommended approval.

Commissioner Ghielmetti moved to approve the Item. Commissioner Lawrence seconded the motion, which carried 6-0. (Commissioners Balgenorth and Lindsey were absent)

- 67. • The California State University, Fresno (CSUF) is requesting two TCRP Application Amendments to transfer partial project scope and \$250,000 in TCR funding between sub-projects Project #97.1 – Operational improvements near CSUF in the City of Clovis in Fresno County, and Project #97.2 – Operational improvements in the City of Fresno. This Amendment also updates project scopes, schedules, and funding plans for each sub-project. In addition, this amendment designates CSUF as the implementing agency for Project #97.2. *(Related item under 2.1c.(10).)* Resolution TAA-05-18, Amending Resolutions TA-01-09, and TAA-03-02.

Ross Chittenden, Caltrans, reviewed the project and recommended approval.

Commissioner Ghielmetti moved to approve the Item. Commissioner Balgenorth seconded the motion, which carried 7-0. (Commissioner Balgenorth was absent)

- 68. • The San Francisco Bay Area Rapid Transit District is requesting a TCRP Application Amendment to update the project schedule and funding plan for Project #156 – Seismic retrofit and core segment improvements for the Bay Area Rapid Transit system. *(Related item under 2.6e.(3).)* Resolution TAA-05-19, Amending Resolution TAA-04-13

Ross Chittenden, Caltrans, reviewed the project and recommended deferring this Item to the September 2005 meeting.

Michael Tanner, BART, discussed the shift of TCRP funds from design to construction to avoid delay to Port of Oakland work, saying its very time sensitive and that the application is consistent with the 6/16/05 workshop. Commissioner Ghielmetti asked if there would be a problem holding off for a month and everyone was fine with the return of this item at the September 2005 meeting.

Tab #	Item Description	Ref. #
-------	------------------	--------

- 69. • The Sacramento Area Council of Governments / Yolo County Transportation District is requesting a TCRP Application Amendment to update the project schedule for Project #119.2 – Yolo Bus Service. The agency is also requesting an advance of \$610,000 as part of this amendment. *(Related item under 2.6e.(1).)*
Resolution TAA-05-20, Amending Resolution TAA-01-02

Ross Chittenden, Caltrans, reviewed the project and recommended approval.

Commissioner Bergeson moved to approve the Item. Commissioner Torres seconded the motion, which carried 6-0. (Commissioners Balgenorth and Lindsey were absent)

- 70. • Traffic Congestion Relief (TCR) Letter of No Prejudice (LONP) Approvals. Five LONP Requests totaling \$21,264,000.
Resolution TL-05-
 - Project #12.2 – \$2,200,000 for PA&ED and PS&E, Bay Area Transit Connectivity – Hercules Rail Station and related improvements in West Contra Costa County.
Applicant Agency: Contra Costa Transportation Authority
(Related item under 2.1c.(1).)
 - Project #22 – \$6,000,000 for PA&ED, Route 101 environmental study for reconstruction of Doyle Drive, from Lombard St./Richardson Avenue to Route 1 Interchange in City and County of San Francisco.
Applicant Agency: San Francisco County Transportation Authority *(Related item under 2.1c.(3).)*
 - Project #27.1 – \$6,350,000 for R/W, Vasco Road Safety and Transit Enhancement Project in Alameda and Contra Costa Counties.
Applicant Agency: Alameda County Congestion Management Agency (ACCMA)
(Related item under 2.1c.(4).)
 - Project #31 – \$6,000,000 for PS&E, Route 580 – construct eastbound and westbound HOV lanes from Tassajara Road/Santa Rita Road to Vasco Road in Alameda County.
Applicant Agency: ACCMA
(Related item under 2.1c.(5).)
 - Project #97.2 – \$714,000 for PS&E and R/W, Operational improvements near California State University, Fresno in the City of Fresno.
Applicant Agency: California State University, Fresno
(Related item under 2.1c.(7).)

Ross Chittenden, Caltrans, reviewed the Item and requested that it be deferred until new legislation is adopted. Commissioner Hallisey asked if the legislation would be retroactive. Mr. Chittenden responded that the current legislation gives priority to letters of no prejudice through June 2005. Deputy Director Robert Chung said that there are currently two stand alone bills before the Legislature. Commissioner Bergeson asked what happens if neither of the bills goes through. Mr. Chung responded that if they don't go through then the LONPs are moot and they would revert to the original process. Jose Luis Moskovich, San Francisco CTA, said that SB 66 gives

Tab #	Item Description	Ref. #
-------	------------------	--------

more flexibility for reimbursement. Deputy Director Chung suggested that the Commission wait to see if other changes to the bills are made. Executive Director Diane Eidam reminded the Commission that they deferred Items last month and that all should be treated equally. Commissioner Hallisey said that as long as they can be deferred without harm then defer them.

- 71. • Financial Allocation for Four TCR Projects totaling 2.6e.(1)
 \$180,261,000 in new TCR funding.
 Resolution TFP-05-10

Ross Chittenden, Caltrans, reviewed the project and recommended approval.

Commissioner Torres moved to approve the Item. Commissioner Lawrence seconded the motion, which carried 6-0. (Commissioners Balgenorth and Lindsey were absent)

- 72. • Financial Allocation for One TCRP AB 1335 Letter of 2.6e.(2)
 No Prejudice Project for \$1,635,000.
 Resolution TFP-05-11

Ross Chittenden, Caltrans, reviewed the project and recommended approval.

Commissioner Lawrence moved to approve the Item. Commissioner Hallisey seconded the motion, which carried 6-0. (Commissioners Balgenorth and Lindsey were absent)

- 73. • Financial Allocation for Two TCR Projects totaling 2.6e.(3)
 \$16,530,000 in new TCR funding. *(Related item under*
 2.1c.(8))
 Resolution TFP-05-___

Ross Chittenden, Caltrans, reviewed the project saying that the BART project was removed from this Item and deferred under the previous Item the remainder are being recommended for approval. Commissioner Hallisey asked Mr. Chittenden to explain the Caldecott project. Mr. Chittenden reported that the Caldecott project is in the environmental process and getting ready for design. The TCRP allocation is for construction.

Ross Chittenden misspoke and recommended the Item be deferred and return in September. Alex Bockelman, MTC, said that Contra Costa is okay with the wait, that BART would like to see an allocation now but that they can also wait until September 2005.

Commissioner Hallisey moved to defer the Item until September. Commissioner Torres seconded the motion, which carried 6-0. (Commissioners Balgenorth and Lindsey were absent)

STIP ALLOCATIONS (#74-94)

- 74. • Financial Allocation for Four Local STIP Rail/Transit 2.6a.(1)
 Projects totaling \$2,212,000.
 Resolution MFP-05-04

Deputy Director Stephen Maller reviewed Item 74 through 76 and recommended approval.

Commissioner Ghielmetti moved to approve the Items. Commissioner Bergeson seconded the motion, which carried 6-0. (Commissioners Balgenorth and Lindsey were absent)

<u>Tab #</u>	<u>Item Description</u>	<u>Ref. #</u>
--------------	-------------------------	---------------

- 80. • Financial Allocation for One State-Administered STIP 2.5c.(4)
Transportation Enhancement Projects for \$500,000.
Resolution FP-05-18

Deputy Director Stephen Maller reviewed Item 80 through 82 and recommended approval.

Commissioner Ghielmetti moved to approve the Item. Commissioner Lawrence seconded the motion, which carried 6-0. (Commissioners Balgenorth and Lindsey were absent)

- 81. • Financial Allocation for Nine Locally-Administered STIP 2.5c.(5)
Transportation Enhancement Projects totaling \$1,229,000,
plus \$20,663,000 from other sources.
Resolution FP-05-19

See Item 80 for action taken.

- 82. • Financial Allocation for 12 Locally-Administered STIP 2.5c.(6)
Planning, Programming and Monitoring Projects totaling
\$4,010,000.
Resolution FP-05-20

See Item 80 for action taken.

- 83. • Financial Allocation for One Local STIP Rail/Transit 2.6a.(2)
Project for \$3,300,000.
Resolution MFP-05-05

Deputy Director Stephen Maller reviewed Item 83 and 84 and recommended approval.

Commissioner Torres moved to approve the Item. Commissioner Lawrence seconded the motion, which carried 6-0. (Commissioners Balgenorth and Lindsey were absent)

- 84. • Financial Allocation for One State-Administered Rail 2.6a.(3)
STIP Transportation Enhancement Project for \$90,000.
Resolution MFP-05-06

See Item 83 for action taken.

- 85. • Financial Allocation for Three State-Administered STIP 2.5c.(1)
Projects on the State Highway System totaling
\$91,147,000, plus \$155,822,000 from other sources.
Resolution FP-05-15

Deputy Director Stephen Maller reviewed Item 85 and 88 and recommended approval.

Commissioner Lawrence moved to approve the Item. Commissioner Ghielmetti seconded the motion, which carried 6-0. (Commissioners Balgenorth and Lindsey were absent)

Tab #	Item Description	Ref. #
-------	------------------	--------

- 86. • Financial Allocation for 51 Locally-Administered STIP Projects off the State Highway System totaling \$19,813,000, plus \$3,643,000 from other sources. Resolution FP-05-17 2.5c.(3.1)

Deputy Director Stephen Maller reviewed Item 86 and 87 and recommended the projects be placed on the Pending Allocation List, and that the projects tabled at the July 2005 meeting be placed on the Pending Allocation List.

Brian McDermott, Siskiyou County, said that the projects not being voted are important to the locals, and questions why SHOPP projects get voted but the local rehab projects don't.

Barbara O'Keeffe, Tehama County, said that the projects are imported to the locals and encouraged a vote.

Mr. Maller indicated that the Commission tasked the Rural County Task Force Chair with developing a local road rehab allocation proposal but per the Commission adopted FY 2005-06 Allocation Plan Criteria local road rehab and rideshare projects are not eligible for allocation.

Commissioner Ghielmetti moved to placing the projects on the Pending Allocation List. Commissioner Lindsey seconded the motion, which carried 7-0. (Commissioner Balgenorth was absent)

- 87. • Financial Allocation for Three Locally-Administered STIP Regional Rideshare Program Projects totaling \$5,167,000. Resolution FP-05-___ 2.5c.(7)

See Item 86 for action taken.

- 88. • Financial Allocation for One Locally-Administered AB 3090 Cash Reimbursement Project for \$1,460,000 for the Alameda County Congestion Management Agency. *(Related item under 2.5c.(1).)* Resolution FP-05-21 2.5c.(8)

See Item 85 for action taken.

- 89. • Financial Allocation for Two STIP Projects totaling \$1,344,000 that Match Federal Public Lands Projects in Trinity County. Resolution FP-05-___ 2.5c.(9)

Deputy Director Stephen Maller reviewed the Item and recommended that the Commission amend its STIP/SHOPP Allocation Plan Criteria to include projects that match Federal Public Lands Highway (PLH) funds into the same category as projects that match Federal HBRR funds allocate the \$1.344 million as requested by Trinity County.

Commissioner Lindsey moved to approve the staff recommendation. Commissioner Bergeson seconded the motion, which carried 7-0. (Commissioner Balgenorth was absent)

Tab #	Item Description	Ref. #
-------	------------------	--------

- 90. • Financial Allocation for \$49,552,000 in Public Transportation Account funds to the Department for intercity rail and feeder bus services on the San Joaquin and Pacific Surfliner Routes. Resolution MFP-05-07 2.6f.

Bill Bronte, Caltrans reviewed the \$49.5 million intercity rail operations allocation request and recommended approval.

Commissioner Torres moved to approve the Item. Commissioner Lawrence seconded the motion, which carried 7-0. (Commissioner Balgenorth was absent)

- 91. • Request to Extend the Period of Project Development Expenditure for One Local STIP Project for \$24,000. Waiver-05-20 2.8d.

Deputy Director Stephen Maller reviewed the Item and recommended approval.

Commissioner Lindsey moved to approve the Item. Commissioner Ghielmetti seconded the motion, which carried 7-0. (Commissioner Balgenorth was absent)

- 92. -- Item #1 2.4d.(2)
 Excess Lands – Return to State: \$68,885
 Return to Others: \$0

Linda Wilford, Caltrans, asked the Commission to authorize execution of director’s deeds for historic property on the Route 710 corridor that is deemed excess. Commissioner Lawrence asked how the price was determined. Ms. Wilford responded that the Roberti Bill only applies to the Rt. 710 corridor. The appraised value of the houses in the corridor is not a determining factor. The appraised value is established, but more importantly it is whether or not the tenants meet certain criteria to qualify for low to moderate income housing as set forth by the Roberti Bill. Commissioner Ghielmetti asked how many more houses were on the 710 corridor. Ms. Wilford replied that there are 7 more that are currently considered to be excess. Commissioner Lawrence believes that these transactions are a case of unjust enrichment and requested that the newly formed REAP provide a leadership role in changing the existing statutes to modify the Roberti legislation. Ms. Wilford said that the Roberti Bill may be changed, and that AB 1617 (Liu) could make it easier for tenants to buy properties.

Commissioner Lawrence moved to deny the authorization to execute the director’s deed for this property. Commissioner Hallisey seconded the motion. Roll call was taken; Commissioner Balgenorth – absent; Commissioner Bergeson – no; Commissioner Ghielmetti – aye; Commissioner Hallisey – aye; Commissioner Lawrence – aye; Commissioner Lindsey – no; Commissioner Torres – no; Chair Tavaglioni – abstain.

Three ayes; three noes and one abstention. Motion failed, no further action was taken.

Tab #	Item Description	Ref. #
-------	------------------	--------

93. • Financial Allocation for Projects that exceed 20 Percent of their Programmed Amount. Two projects totaling \$53,196,000. Resolution FP-05-22 2.5d.
- 06-Ker-58 – STIP project in Kern County. Current programmed value of \$2,884,000 and current estimate of \$4,897,000 for an increase of 70% over the programmed amount.
- 07-Ven-23 – STIP project in Ventura County. Current programmed value of \$34,180,000 and current estimate of \$48,299,000 for an increase of 41% over the programmed amount.

Ross Chittenden, Caltrans reviewed the projects. Commissioner Lawrence asked that the Items be voted on separately, and recognized the three officials from Ventura County; Linda Parks, Ventura County Supervisory; Dennis Gillette, Thousand Oaks City Council; and Keith Moorpark.

Commissioner Lawrence moved to approve the Kern Item. Commissioner Torres seconded the motion, which carried 7-0. (Commissioner Balgenorth was absent)

Commissioner Lindsey asked about the 450 shelf projects. Deputy Director Stephen Maller said that these projects are really indicative of the cost of delaying projects. Commissioner Ghielmetti asked about the Fund Estimate and if it takes into account the delays. Chief Deputy Director David Brewer said that it'll be a programming issue rather than a Fund Estimate issue. Commissioner Bergeson asked if the limited number of contractors is still an issue. Director Kempton said that yes, which is why the Department is doing outreach, taking extraordinary steps to increase the competition. Chair Tavaglione said that it's not just a contractor issue but that labor is short.

Commissioner Lawrence moved to approve the Ventura project. Commissioner Torres seconded the motion, which carried 7-0. (Commissioner Balgenorth was absent)

Tab #	Item Description	Ref. #
-------	------------------	--------

- | | | |
|-----|---|-------|
| 94. | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Financial Allocation for Supplemental Funds for Previously Voted Projects. Four projects totaling \$26,646,000.
Resolution FA-05-02 -- 06-Kin-43 – SHOPP project in Kings County. Current allocation is \$680,000. This request for \$218,000 to award the construction contract results in an increase of 32% over the voted amount. -- 08-SBd-138 – SHOPP project in San Bernardino County. Current allocation is \$22,785,000. This request for \$20,641,000 to advertise the construction contract results in an increase of 90% over the voted amount. -- 09-Mno-395 – SHOPP project in Mono County. Current allocation is \$3,063,000. This request for \$1,837,000 to award the construction contract results in an increase of 60% over the voted amount. -- 11-Imp-86 – SHOPP project in Imperial County. Current allocation is \$14,300,000. This request for \$3,950,000 to award the construction contract results in an increase of 28% over the voted amount. | 2.5e. |
|-----|---|-------|

Chair Tavaglione introduced Assemblyman John Benoit.

Ross Chittenden, Caltrans, discussed the projects and recommended approval. Commissioner Ghielmetti inquired regarding rubberized asphalt and if over budget why not use regular asphalt. Commission Lindsey had comments regarding the market for petroleum based asphalt in the future. Commissioner Torres suggested that the Commission should be behind rubberized asphalt in order to use up the billions of used tires.

Commissioner Lawrence moved to approve the requests. Commissioner Torres seconded the motion. Chair Tavaglione said that the Commission had received letters from Senate and Assembly in support.

Commissioner Lawrence said that his motion included to readvertise. Ross Chittenden, Caltrans restated the request to award 3 contracts and readvertize the 4th project. [Assemblyman Benoit spoke about the importance of the project.

Commissioner Ghielmetti asked that each project on the list be voted separately. Commissioners Lawrence and Torres agreed.

Kin – Commissioner Ghielmetti moved to approve. Commissioner Lawrence seconded the motion, which carried 8-0.

SBd – Commissioner Lawrence moved to approve. Commissioner Torres seconded the motion, which carried 7-1, as Commissioner Lindsey voted no.

Mno – Commissioner Lawrence moved to approve. Commissioner Bergeson seconded the motion, which carried 7-1, as Commissioner Ghielmetti voted no.

Imp – Commissioner Lawrence moved to approve. Commissioner Bergeson seconded the motion, which carried 7-1, as Commissioner Lindsey voted no.

Tab #	Item Description	Ref. #
-------	------------------	--------

ENVIRONMENTAL (#95-97)

- 95. • Route 55 in Orange County – Modify an overcrossing and add High Occupancy Vehicle (HOV) drop ramps in Santa Ana and Irvine (DEIR). 2.2b.

Deputy Director Robert Chung reviewed the item and suggested the Commission comment to the Department to respond and identify cost, revenue sources, and how they will fully fund the project.

Commissioner Lindsey moved to accept staffs comments. Commissioner Lawrence seconded the motion, which carried 7-0. (Commissioner Balgenorth was absent)

- 96. • Approval of Project for Future Consideration of Funding – Route 44 in Shasta County – Increase capacity and improve operations and safety in Redding (ND). Resolution E-05-14 2.2c.(1)

Deputy Director Robert Chung reviewed the item and suggested the Commission comment to the Department to respond and identify cost, revenue sources, and how they will fully fund the project. He further recommended that the Commission approve the resolution for the Negative Declaration and thereby approve the project for consideration of future funding.

Commissioner Ghielmetti moved to accept staff's recommendations. Commissioner Lindsey seconded the motion, which carried 7-0. (Commissioner Balgenorth was absent)

- 97. • Approval of Project for Future Consideration of Funding – Route 65 in Tulare County – Widen to four-lane expressway near Terra Bella (FEIR). Resolution E-05-15 2.2c.(2)

Deputy Director Robert Chung reviewed the item and suggested the Commission comment to the Department to respond and identify cost, revenue sources, and how they will fully fund the project. He further recommended that the Commission approve the resolution for the final Environmental Impact Report and thereby approve the project for consideration of future funding.

Commissioner Lindsey moved to accept staff's recommendations. Commissioner Ghielmetti seconded the motion, which carried 7-0. (Commissioner Balgenorth was absent)

STIP AMENDMENTS (#98- 103)

- 98. • STIP Amendment 04S-075 2.1b.(1)
The Butte County Association of Governments (BCAG) is requesting this amendment. BCAG proposes to change the implementing agency for the Butte 99 Chico Auxiliary Lanes/Intersection project (PPNO 2410) from the Department to BCAG for the PS&E phase of the project. In addition, they propose to reprogram \$300,000 of RIP PS&E from FY 2004-05 to FY 2005-06.

This item was presented on the Information Calendar and noticed as required.

Tab #	Item Description	Ref. #
-------	------------------	--------

103.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • STIP Amendment 04S-080 <p>The San Joaquin Council of Governments (SJCOG) is requesting this amendment. SJCOG requests the Commission approve this amendment for AB 3090 Reimbursement. SJCOG proposes to use local funds to replace a total of \$66,327,000 in RIP and IIP funds in FY 2006-07 for Construction on the Tracy Widening, Stage 2 and 3 project (PPNO 7965B), with later reimbursement (PPNO 7965C) in three equal payments of \$22,109,000 in FY 2008-09, FY 2009-10 and FY-2010-11.</p>	2.1b.(6)
------	--	----------

Chief Deputy Director David Brewer reviewed the the STIP amendment notice and recommended putting the amendment on the agenda in September for approval.

Senator Mike Machado stressed the importance of the project and thanked everyone who had worked together on the project.

Ort Lofthus, thanked the Senator for his comments, spoke in support of the project, and submitted a petition of support 10,877 signatures.

Gerry Machado, Member of Tracy School Board, also spoke in support of the project.

Commissioner Lindsey moved to place the item on the consent calendar in September. Commissioner Ghielmetti seconded the motion.

OTHER MATTERS / PUBLIC COMMENT 6.

None.

Adjourn

The meeting of the California Transportation Commission adjourned at 3:56 p.m.

Diane C. Eidam, Executive Director

STATUS OF ACTION ITEMS
From
August 18, 2005 Commission Meeting

- 3 (1.3) Robert Chung – Prepare letter for Chair Tavaglione’s signature to the eight newly appointed members of the Real Estate Advisory Panel informing them of their appointment to that panel. Also solicit applications for membership from persons within the Sacramento or Northern California area for the remaining slot available on the Panel.
- **Letters have been sent, and soliciting of applications for additional members are in process.**
- 7 (3.1) Norma Ortega – In Monthly Finance Reports show the original cash forecast as a baseline and also show how much has been allocated against the 2005-06 Allocation Plan by program category.
- **This will be done.**
- 7 (3.1) Norma Ortega – For future Monthly Finance Reports provide the information monthly in the format as presented at the August meeting. Provide the complete data on a quarterly basis at the full Commission meeting but on a monthly basis to CTC Deputy Director Stephen Maller.
- **This will be done.**
- 12 (3.8) Karla Sutliff – Return at the September Commission meeting with a report on the status of the outstanding claims for the Phase I Seismic Retrofit Program. (*September Agenda Item*)
- **This will be discussed under September Tab #17, Agenda Item 3.8.**
- 12 (3.8) Karla Sutliff – In future Quarterly Reports include a tabular breakout of local bridge seismic retrofit by phases. Also explicitly identify all ready to list (RTL) and completion date changes for Phase II Seismic Retrofit Program bridges.
- **This will be done.**
- 16 (1.8) Kathryn Matthews (Rurals Chair) – Develop a proposal for allocation criteria for local road rehabilitation and present at future Commission meeting. (*Future Agenda Item*)
- **This will be done and reported on at a future Commission meeting.**
- 18 (2.5f(4)) Ross Chittenden – As requested, provide by letter, to Commissioners and staff, more information on Project #1.
- **This will be done prior to the September Commission meeting.**
- 55 (4.1) Ken De Crescenzo – As a monthly agenda item, provide information on implementation of the Federal Reauthorization Bill - SAFE TEA-LU. (*Monthly Agenda Item*)
- **This will be discussed under September Tab #11, Agenda Item 4.1b.**