

Minutes

CALIFORNIA TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION

<http://www.catc.ca.gov>

**February 26, 2004
Sacramento, California**

GENERAL BUSINESS (#1-4)

1.

The meeting of the California Transportation Commission was called to order at 8:40 a.m. on February 26, 2004, at the Department of Water Resources Auditorium, 1416 Ninth Street, Sacramento, California

1. • **Roll Call**

1.(1)

Roll call was conducted. The Commissioners attendance was as follows:

Members Present: Joseph Tavaglione, Vice Chair (Acting Chair)
 R. Kirk Lindsey
 Jim Ghielmetti
 Dianne McKenna
 Allen Lawrence
 Jeremiah Hallisey
 Esteban Torres

Members Absent: Bob Balgenorth, Chair
 Senator Kevin Murray, Ex-Officio
 Assemblyman Jenny Oropeza, Ex-Officio

2. • **Approval of Minutes for January 22, 2004 Meeting**

1.(2)

Vice Chair Tavaglione requested approval for the January 22, 2004 meeting minutes. Commissioner McKenna moved to approve the minutes. Commissioner Lindsey seconded the motion, which carried 7-0 (Commissioner Balgenorth was absent)

3. • **Commission Reports**

1.(3)

Vice Chair Tavaglione presented Commissioner Lindsey with an engraved Humidor thanking him for acting as Chair and for his four years of service on the Commission. Commissioner Lindsey thanked everyone on the staff, Caltrans and the audience stating his opportunity to be Chair has been a joy and enlightening. He was tremendously pleased with the talent of the "team of transportation that makes it work."

Vice Chair Tavaglione reported approximately 200 people were present for the February 23 Riverside 91/215/16 inland empire groundbreaking, which was held in the Music Room at the historic Riverside Mission Inn. A box filled with dirt from the Martin Luther King intersection was used at the groundbreaking making this a very memorable event.

Commissioner Lindsey provided highlights from his recent GARVEE bond meetings. California received three firsts: 1) received AA- ratings from all three companies, which is the best in the country for GARVEE bonds; 2) the bonds have been sold with an interest rate of 3.03%, which is the lowest interest rate of all the states, and 3) we received the lowest insurance rate of 17.7%. The bonds are now available to retail and institutional customers. Commissioner McKenna said it is critical for future bond sales that the Commission stick to policy and remain conservative, adding that amazingly we are six notches higher than general obligation (GO) bonds. The Commission thanked the entire staff for all of their help. Bob Garcia, Caltrans, also thanked his staff for all of their hard work to make this possible. Commissioner Torres gave his thanks to Commissioner Lindsey for a job well done as Chair and also thanked everyone for the good wishes sent to him during his recent hospitalization.

Tab # / Time	Item Description
--------------	------------------

Vice Chair Tavaglione announced Agenda Item #54 was pulled and that the Closed Session will occur only if needed.

4. • Commissioners’ Meetings for Compensation 1.(4)

Vice Chair Tavaglione presented for approval the January and February Lists of Commissioners’ Meetings for Compensation. Commissioner McKenna moved to approve the lists. Commissioner Ghielmetti seconded the motion, which carried 7-0 (Commissioner Balgenorth was absent).

5. • Report by Secretary and/or Assistant Secretary 1.(5)

John Ferrera, Business, Transportation & Housing (BT&H) Agency, announced a federal reauthorization trip with the Commission on March 8 in Washington, D.C. They have an aggressive schedule to meet with both Caucuses and the California infrastructure delegation members. There are also efforts underway to schedule a meeting with Secretary Mineta. A similar trip was done 1 ½ years ago and proved to be very productive.

The Governor has established a “California Performance Review” (CPR) effort to take look at how California governs and determine whether we can do things more efficiently. The CPR consists of 14 teams with approximately 10 people on each team. These teams will conduct hearings around the state to include average citizens to district directors. The timeline for the CPR is as follows: Deadline for the CPR report is March 31, CPR recommendations to be given to the Governor for a May review, a report to the Little Hoover Commission at the end of June, and finally to the legislature for a vote (simple majority required). The Commission’s Chief Deputy Director Joan Borucki is the team leader for the Infrastructure effort.

Mr. Ferrera asked that individual citizens and regional planning agencies take advantage of this opportunity by giving their input and ideas to the CPR on finance opportunities, project delivery, IT and procurement.

Secretary McPeak has begun a Performance Improvement Initiative Review effort that will focus on Caltrans and Managed Health Care. The Commission will definitely be a stakeholder in this effort.

Mr. Ferrera stated that Caltrans Director Jeff Morales has submitted his resignation, effective March 1. Tony Harris will be the acting director.

CALTRANS REPORT (#6-14)

6. • Report by Caltrans’ Director and/or Deputy Director 1.(6)

Bob Garcia, Caltrans, reviewed the major issues contained in the LAO report, which included the need for stable transportation revenue sources, stable Caltrans staffing and project delivery; and the importance of pavement preservation. Bob Sertich, Caltrans Budgets, has been selected as the team leader on the California Performance Review (CPR) Health and Human Services Performance Review Team. Larry Barnes, who has been with Caltrans over 30 years, will be the acting division chief while Mr. Sertich is gone on this special assignment. Mr. Garcia said Caltrans will miss Jeff Morales, but is glad that he plans to stay in the area. The Commissioners wished Mr. Morales the best of luck and thanked him for doing an outstanding job for transportation.

Tab # / Time	Item Description
--------------	------------------

7. • Caltrans Monthly FY 2003-04 Finance Report 3.1

David Saxby, Caltrans, combined the Caltrans Monthly FY 2003-04 Finance Report (Item #7) and the FY 2003-04 and FY 2004-05 Cash Forecast (Item #8) in a PowerPoint presentation. A second version to the pink handout under Item #8 was also noted. Mr. Saxby's Cash Forecast PowerPoint presentation showed that major state revenues and expenditures continue to be consistent with the September 2003 forecast. Federal receipts are lower than forecast due to the delay in the passage of a six-year highway and transit reauthorization bill but are expected to catch up by the end of the fiscal year. The State Highway Account (SHA) forecast was impacted by mid-year spending reduction proposals, the Governor's Budget and the Federal Appropriation Bill. The SHA capital outlay and local assistance expenditure assumptions were reviewed. The Public Transportation Account (PTA) forecast revealed no revenue from the TIF, no non-Article XIX funds, and a net increase of \$12 million in local assistance expenditures. The mid-year spending reduction proposes terminating the Traffic Congestion Relief Program (TCRP), repealing 141 TCRP projects, and transferring \$81 million to the SHA effective February 1, 2004. In June 2004, \$189 million would be transferred to the General Fund. Graphs were presented showing the 24-month Cash Forecast for the SHA, PTA and TCRF. Based upon legislative action, items affecting the forecast that are proposed in the Governor's Budget could change. The Federal OA level in FY 2003-04 remains uncertain. The federal funds for FY 2004-05 and beyond are currently being deliberated in Congress.

After a lengthy discussion, the following are action items for both Items #7 and 8:

- **Provide to Commissioners and staff a letter detailing the TCRP assumptions used for developing the estimated closeout costs for the program, as well as the closeout costs.**
ACTION: Ross Chittenden/Robert Chung
- **In all future monthly finance reports, provide information on the amount of budget authority approved for transportation, amount of authority used and amount still available. Include information for all the different categories and subcategories enumerated in the transportation portion of the state budget. (Monthly Agenda Item).**
ACTION: Larry Barnes
- **Starting with the next quarterly report, include a budgets section that summarizes the monthly budget authority information. (Quarterly Agenda Item)**
ACTION: Carl Haack

8. • Update FY 2003-04 and FY 2004-05 Cash Forecast Status 3.5

Refer to report and action taken under Item #7.

9. • FY 2003-04 2nd Quarter Project Delivery Report Update on FY 2003-04 Annual Right of Way Allocation 3.11

Carl Haack, Caltrans, presented the 2nd Quarter Project Delivery Report for FY 2003-04. Mr. Haack reviewed STIP, SHOPP, Right-of-Way, Environmental, Retrofit Soundwall, Seismic Retrofit and Intercity Rail delivery. This quarter's report for the first time identified the rollover environmental documents from the prior year.

Bryce Paris, Caltrans Right-of-Way, updated the status of the FY 2003-04 Right-of- Way Allocation. Mr. Paris indicated the recent sale of GARVEE bonds allowed the Department to redirect some right-of-way funds previously reserved for projects that now will be funded with GARVEE bond proceeds to other FY 2003-04 right-of-way needs.

Tab # / Time	Item Description
-----------------	------------------

10. • FY 2003-04 2nd Quarter Rail Operations Report 3.12

Warren Weber, Caltrans, gave the FY 2003-04 2nd Quarter Rail Report, providing ridership, farebox ratio, passenger miles per train and on-time performance measures for the Pacific Surfliner, San Joaquin and Capitol Corridors. The Commission had requested that the report include marketing, administration and capital improvements in the farebox recovery to determine the “real cost to operate” intercity rail. When these additional costs were included, the farebox recovery decreased approximately 2% to 4%, not including capital improvements.

11. • Information Regarding Intercity Rail Real Costs to Operate 3.7

Warren Weber, Caltrans, presented information regarding intercity rail real costs to operate, which was done using four different methodologies to calculate farebox recovery ratio. The current farebox ratio calculated on Amtrak billing was 43% overall. The Commissioners requested more information on the way that fare box recovery could be calculated and requested Mr. Weber to bring this item to the Committee on Mass Transportation for further discussion.

- **Present at a future meeting of the Mass Transportation Committee a detailed explanation on the real costs to operate Intercity Rail. (Future MTC Committee Agenda Item)**
ACTION: Robert Chung/Warren Weber

12. • Fifth Cycle AB 1012 Unobligated RSTP, CMAQ and Regional TEA “Use It or Lose It” Notification. 3.9

Terry Abbott, Caltrans, reported on the status of the 5th Cycle AB 1012 unobligated RSTP, CMAQ and Regional TEA balances. The Department released the 5th Cycle “use-it-or-lose-it” notices on December 29, 2003, which is later than usual. The OA balance potentially subject to Commission redirection on December 29, 2004 totals approximately \$229 million. Stephen Maller, CTC Deputy Director, noted that the cycle notices are continuing to fall further and further behind the start of the federal fiscal year and asked the Department to move them up so they are compatible with the federal fiscal year.

- **Align the AB 1012 unobligated RSTP, CMAQ and Regional TEA balance notices as close as possible with the start of the federal fiscal year.**
ACTION: Terry Abbott

13. • Local Assistance Quarterly Lump Sum Allocation Status Report for 2003-04 Fiscal Year. 3.10

Terry Abbott, Caltrans, reviewed the status of the Local Assistance lump sum allocation and reported as of December 31, 2003, \$181 million or approximately 19% of the \$966 million allocated has been expended, which is lower than last year. Delays in the authorization of federal appropriations, the limited OA, and the \$200 million loan of local federal OA to the state have contributed to the slower use of allocated local assistance funds.

14. • 2003 Excellence in Transportation Facilities Awards 4.8

Janis DeVerter, Caltrans, presented the Excellence in Transportation Awards program, which recognizes outstanding design, construction, technology innovation, environmental planning and transportation system operation. There were over 100 entries, from local and private agencies, where 21 winning projects were selected in 13 categories. Special recognition was also given to several projects by the 2003 judges.

Tab # / Time	Item Description
-----------------	------------------

- 22. IC • **Monthly Report on Local Assistance STIP Projects** 3.4
 Previously Voted but not Awarded

This item was presented on the Information Calendar.

- 23. IC • **Status of STIP Cash Commitments for AB 3090** 3.6
 Reimbursements and GARVEE Debt Service

This item was presented on the Information Calendar.

- 24. IC • **Pending Traffic Congestion Relief (TCR) Project** 4.3b.(1)
 Application Amendments – 12 application
 amendments, including one amendment to deprogram
 (\$2,078,000) of TCR funds, per Resolution G-03-14,
 Traffic Congestion Relief (TCR) Program Policy for
 Managing Cash Between TCR Projects.

This item was presented on the Information Calendar.

- 25. IC • **Pending Traffic Congestion Relief (TCR) Project** 4.3b.(2)
 Letters of No Prejudice (Letter), per Resolution G-03-
 12 – Seven Letter requests totaling \$569,325,000.

This item was presented on the Information Calendar.

- 26. IC • **Pending Traffic Congestion Relief (TCR) Project** 4.3b.(3)
 Allocations--21 project allocations totaling
 \$154,205,000.

This item was presented on the Information Calendar.

- 27. IC • **Aeronautics Account Pending Allocations --** 4.3c.
 13 Projects totaling \$1,484,000

This item was presented on the Information Calendar.

- 28. IC • **STIP Amendment 02S-122** 2.1b.(1)
 The Santa Barbara County Association of
 Governments (SBCAG) is requesting this amendment.
 The City of Santa Maria requests the Commission
 approve this amendment for AB 3090 Replacement.
 The City of Santa Maria will use local Measure D funds
 to replace \$621,000 in RIP funds for Construction in
 FY 2006-07 for the Blosser Box Culverts project
 (PPNO 1198). The City of Santa Maria proposes to
 program a total of \$621,000 in RIP funds in FY 2006-07
 for a replacement project (PPNO 1807) to be identified
 at a later date. SBCAG concurs with this request.
 This is a revenue neutral programming action. The
 replacement project is subject to reprogramming in
 the 2004 STIP.

Tab # / Time	Item Description
-----------------	------------------

This item was presented on the Information Calendar and noticed as required.

END OF INFORMATION CALENDAR

CONSENT CALENDAR (#29-47)

CC

Deputy Director Robert Chung recommended approving the Consent Calendar Items #29-47 with the following modifications: Add Item #55 (Financial Allocation for 36 Minor Projects), Item #58 (Financial Allocation for One Amended SHOPP Project), and Item #75 (New Priority for State Funded Airport Projects). The Director's Deed (#040749-01-01) Project #2 under Item #39 was withdrawn by the Department. The changes to the CTC agenda pink handout noted a correction made to Item #41 to correct the funding amount. There were no other changes or questions on the Consent Calendar.

Commissioner McKenna moved to approve the Consent Calendar, as modified and recommended by staff. Commissioner Lawrence seconded the motion, which carried 6-0. (Commissioners Balgenorth and Hallisey were absent).

- 29. CC • STIP Amendment 02S-121 2.1a.
The Metropolitan Transportation Commission (MTC) is requesting this amendment. The Marin Congestion Management Agency and the Department propose to shift \$6,000,000 of RIP Construction funds and \$5,250,000 in Federal Demo Construction funds in FY 2003-04 to R/W in FY 2003-04 for the Marin Highway 101 HOV Gap Closure project (PPNO 0342L). Marin County and MTC will program \$11,250,000 in Congestion Mitigation and Air Quality (CMAQ) funds to backfill the shifted RIP and Demo Construction funds.

This item was approved on the Consent Calendar.

- 30. CC • Traffic Congestion Relief (TCR) Application Amendment Approval. Three application amendments for administrative close-out, no new funding. Resolution TAA-04-03 Amending Resolutions TAA-03-02 and TAA-03-03 2.1c.(2)

This item was approved on the Consent Calendar.

- 31. CC • Project Approval for the City of Vallejo Ferry Boat Purchase Project – Proposition 116 Waterborne Ferry Program, PUC Section 99646, \$421,000. Resolution PA-04-01 2.1e.

This item was approved on the Consent Calendar.

- 32. CC • Environmental Matters – Comments on Documents in Circulation. Route 140 in Merced County – Replace an overhead bridge in Merced (DEIR). 2.2b.(1)

This item was approved on the Consent Calendar with no comment by the Commission.

- 33. CC • Environmental Matters – Comments on Documents 2.2b.(2)

Tab # / Time	Item Description
-----------------	------------------

in Circulation. Route 163 in San Diego County – Implement Corridor Management Plan in San Diego (DEIR).

This item was approved on the Consent Calendar.

34. CC • Environmental Matters – Approval of Project for Future Consideration of Funding – Route 1 in Mendocino County – Replace Greenwood Creek Bridge near Elk (Supplemental ND). Resolution E-04-03 2.2c.(2)

This item was approved on the Consent Calendar with no comment by the Commission.

35. CC • Approval of Project for Future Consideration of Funding – Route 101 in Sonoma County – Widen freeway and modify interchange in Santa Rosa (FEIR). Resolution E-04-04 2.2c.(3)

This item was approved on the Consent Calendar.

36. CC • Six Relinquishment Resolutions 2.3c.
- 03-Nev-89-KP 1.4 Route 89 in the Town of Truckee Resolution R-3569
 - 08-SBd-62-PM 8.7/14.9 Route 62 in the Town of Yucca Valley Resolution R-3570
 - 08-SBd-66-PM 10.9/17.1 Route 66 in the City of Fontana Resolution R-3571
 - 11-SD-125-PM 18.1/18.5 Route 125 in the City of El Cajon Resolution R-3572
 - 11-SD-125-PM 18.8 Route 125 in the City of El Cajon Resolution R-3573
 - 11-SD-125-PM 19.2 & 19.7 Route 125 in the City of El Cajon Resolution R-3574

37. CC • One Vacation Resolution 03-Nev-89-KP 1.4/1.7 Route 89 in the Town of Truckee Resolution A-856 2.3d.

This item was approved on the Consent Calendar.

38. CC • 12 Resolutions of Necessity 2.4b.
6 Ayes

Tab # / Time	Item Description
--------------	------------------

Resolutions C-18891 through C-18902

This item was approved on the Consent Calendar.

- 39. CC • **Director’s Deeds** 2.4d.(1)
Items Number 1, 3, 4, 5
Excess Lands – Return to State \$3,100,555
Return to Others: \$0

Mr. Chung noted that the Director’s Deed for Project #2 for \$120,000, (#040749-01-01), Waken Development LLC, was withdrawn by the Department prior to the meeting and the change was reflected on the Changes to the CTC Agenda.

This item was approved on the Consent Calendar.

- 40. CC • **Financial Allocation for 11 Minor Safety Projects** 2.5a.(3)
totaling \$4,732,000 plus \$99,000 from other sources.
Resolution FP-03-28

This item was approved on the Consent Calendar.

- 41. CC • **Financial Allocation for Two SHOPP Managed TEA** 2.5b.(4)
Projects on the FY-03-04 Allocation Plan totaling
\$3,181,000 plus \$2,502,000 from other sources.
Resolution FP-03-31

This item was approved on the Consent Calendar. Mr. Chung noted that the Changes to the CTC agenda referenced a change to the dollar amount on Project #1 (EA455501) from \$1,601,000 to \$1,981,000.

- 42. CC • **Financial Allocation for One Local Assistance** 2.5c.(2)
Statewide Transportation Enhancements (STE)
Project for \$100,000 plus \$66,518 from other sources.
Resolution FP-03-32

This item was approved on the Consent Calendar.

- 43. CC • **Financial Allocation for One Local Assistance** 2.5c.(11)
Statewide Transportation Enhancement (STE) Project
for \$60,000. Resolution FP-03-34

This item was approved on the Consent Calendar.

- 44. CC • **One Eureka Non-Freeway Alternative Project in** 2.5g.
Humboldt County for \$1,222,000. Resolution FP-03-35

This item was approved on the Consent Calendar.

- 45. CC • **Financial Allocation for Two Proposition 116** 2.6b.
Program Projects totaling \$474,690. Resolution BFP-
03-03

This item was approved on the Consent Calendar.

Tab # / Time	Item Description
-----------------	------------------

46. CC • **Traffic Congestion Relief (TCR) Project Allocation Amendment Approval. Two allocation amendments for administrative close-out, no net change in TCR funding. Resolution TFP-04-02, Amending Resolutions TFP-00-02 and TFP-03-01** 2.6e.

This item was approved on the Consent Calendar.

47. CC • **Changes to Caltrans Environmental Regulations Resolution G-04-01**

This item was approved on the Consent Calendar.

END OF CONSENT CALENDAR

Highway Right of Way Matters 2.4

48. 6 Ayes • **One Resolution of Necessity – Appearance Flor Balkman, 07-LA-405-KP 43.8 Resolution C-18890** 2.4a.

Vice Chair Tavaglione inquired if Flor Balkman or a representative was present and wished to address the Commission with regards to the Resolution of Necessity item. When no one responded, Deputy Director Stephen Maller recommended that the Commission approve Resolution of Necessity C-18890. Mr. Maller noted for the record that Flor Balkman’s Attorney, Gerald Greene submitted a letter dated February 2, 2004, in opposition to the Resolution of Necessity and that the latter was included in the book item material.

Commissioner Ghielmetti moved to approve the Resolution of Necessity. Commissioner Torres seconded the motion, which carried 6-0 (Commissioners Balgenorth and Hallisey were absent).

49. • **Director’s Deeds – to consider whether to reconsider the proposed conveyance to Flying J** 2.4d.(2)
TIMED ITEM: Thursday, February 26, 2004 at 11:00am

California Transportation Commission Deputy Director Stephen Maller stated that the Commission placed this item whether to reconsider the proposed conveyance of a 20-acre parcel in Kern County to Flying J on the agenda. Mr. Maller indicated the Commission received letters from Flying J and Mr. & Mrs. Pistacchio stating their respective positions. Mr. Maller recommended that the Commission reconsider the proposed conveyance to Flying J, Inc. of Disposal Unit DD3153-01-01, a 20.57-acre excess land parcel in Mojave, California. Further, Mr. Maller stated, that it be resolved that the reconsideration does not constitute and is not intended to constitute a determination regarding the propriety of any previous Commission action concerning the proposed conveyance to Flying J.

Jack Yeh, attorney representing Flying J, spoke in support of reconsideration. Phillip Baker, attorney representing Mr. & Mrs. Pistacchio, spoke in opposition of reconsideration.

Commissioner Hallisey made a motion that the Commission approve and adopt the Commission’s staff’s recommendation to reconsider the proposed conveyance to Flying J and that the reconsideration does not constitute and is not intended to constitute a determination regarding the propriety of any previous Commission action concerning the proposed conveyance to Flying J. Commissioner Ghielmetti seconded the motion, which carried 6-0 (Commissioners Balgenorth and Torres were absent).

Tab # / Time	Item Description
-----------------	------------------

50. • **Director’s Deeds – Proposed Conveyance to Flying J (Subject to decision under Item 2.4d.(2).) 2.4d.(3)**
TIMED ITEM: Thursday, February 26, 2004 upon conclusion of Item 2.4d.(2)

Steve Ikeda, Caltrans Right-of-Way Excess Land Unit, reviewed Item #50 and requested that the Commission approve the Caltrans proposed conveyance of 20 acres of excess land Disposal Unit DD3153-01-01 in Kern County to Flying J.

California Transportation Commission Deputy Director Stephen Maller indicated that Caltrans was proposing to convey 20.57 acres of excess property to Flying J without calling for competitive sealed bids or selling same at a public auction. Mr. Maller noted that the proposed conveyance is part of a contract between Flying J and Caltrans and that the Commission was not a party to the contract. Mr. Maller recommended that the Commission reject the proposed conveyance to Flying J and stated the proposed conveyance is in conflict with the terms, standards and conditions as established by the Commission in its procedures for sale of excess property under Commission Resolution G-98-22. In addition, Mr. Maller noted, the proposed conveyance is based on an appraisal with substantive flaws.

Jack Yeh, attorney representing Flying J, asked the Commission to approve the proposed conveyance of excess land to Flying J, and criticized the Commission’s staff report as a misconception of value and questioned Commission authority under Resolution G-98-22 to reject the Caltrans proposed conveyance.

Terrance Bride, representing Flying J, stated that his company has been around for two and a half decades and that Flying J is an established developer of travel plazas, owning 163 travel plazas around North America, and is a substantial player in the market. Mr. Bride indicated Flying J’s Mojave travel plaza project would bring approximately 100 jobs, stability and predictability to the area.

Assemblymember Bill Maze spoke in favor of Flying J’s travel plaza project, stating that the project would provide a direct economic benefit for the citizens of Mojave.

Vivian Rosenthal, Don Commercial Real Estate representing new Mojave freeway landowners’ consortium, spoke in support of Flying J’s Mojave travel plaza project.

Phil Baker, attorney representing Mr. & Mrs. Pistacchio, asked the Commission to approve the staff recommendation.

Commissioner Hallisey made a motion that the Commission approve and adopt the Commission’s staff’s recommendation to reject the proposed conveyance to Flying J. Commissioner Lawrence seconded the motion, which carried 6-0 (Commissioners Balgenorth and Torres were absent).

51. **CLOSED SESSIONS PERTAINING TO LITIGATION:**
The Commission will meet in closed session, pursuant to Government Code section 11126(e)(2)(A) to confer with legal counsel with regard to the following litigations:
1) Flying J, Inc. v. Department of Transportation, California Transportation Commission, et al., Kern County Superior Court, Case No. 250116 SPC.
2) In addition, the Commission will meet in closed session with its legal counsel pursuant to Government Code section 11126(e)(2)(B)(i)

Tab # / Time	Item Description
--------------	------------------

No closed session was held.

PROJECT BUSINESS MATTERS (#52-66)

- 52. • STIP Amendment 02S-123 2.1b.(2)

The San Joaquin Council of Governments (SJCOG) is requesting this amendment. SJCOG proposes to move \$16,040,000 in RIP funds from the Route 12 (Bouldin Island) Passing Lanes project (PPNO 7350) to the Tracy Widening, Stage 2 and 3 project (PPNO 7965B), and to move \$2,629,000 in RIP funds from Route 12 Kettleman Lane Gap Closure project (PPNO 7398) to the Route 99 Widening in North Stockton project (PPNO 7673). The Route 12 (Bouldin Island) Passing Lanes project and the Route 12 Kettleman Lane Gap Closure project will be removed from the STIP.

SJCOG also request AB 3090 approval to replace a total of \$117,976,000 in RIP and IIP funds with local measure funds (Measure K) for later reimbursement on four projects in San Joaquin County. SJCOG proposes to replace \$2,408,000 in RIP funds on the Route 99/120 East (Yosemite) Interchange project (PPNO 7635); replace \$5,388,000 in RIP funds on the Route 99/Hammer Lane Interchange project (PPNO 9841); replace \$7,862,000 in RIP funds and \$19,476,000 in IIP funds on the Route 99 Widening in North Stockton project (PPNO 7673); and replace \$43,710,000 in RIP funds and \$39,132,000 in IIP funds on the Tracy Widening, Stage 2 and 3 projects (PPNO 7965B). This is a revenue neutral programming action. The projects are subject to rescheduling in the 2004 STIP.

Deputy Director David Brewer reported on the San Joaquin County Council of Government's (SJCOG's) STIP amendment request that does three things: 1) It proposes an AB 3090 reimbursement arrangement whereby \$118 million in local K funds would be used to advance the construction of four STIP projects, with STIP cash reimbursements to be scheduled over three years; 2) it would delete two STIP projects now programmed for \$19 million and add that amount to the AB 3090 reimbursement arrangement; and 3) it would change the implementing agency for three of the four projects from Caltrans to a city--two to the City of Stockton and one to the City of Manteca. The four STIP projects to be advanced with Measure K funds are Route 99/120 (Yosemite Avenue) interchange, Route 99 widening in Stockton, Route 99 Hammer Lane interchange and Route 205 Tracy widening. Two STIP projects on Route 12 are being deleted.

Andrew Chesley, the Deputy Executive Director of SJCOG, reported that Commissioner Lindsey had met with SJCOG staff regarding their proposal, stating they need to borrow \$118 million and that they are willing to work out a reimbursement schedule with the Commission. Mr. Chesley said he will bring forward a proposal in April regarding the construction management work.

Steve Dial, Chief Financial Officer for SJCOG, reported that I-205 is a critical project that needs to be completed in a timely manner, which is necessary for both interregional and interstate transportation improvement and is not just for SJCOG.

Tab # / Time	Item Description
--------------	------------------

Commissioner Lindsey said that all of the projects on the pending list are important and that this project is not unique. The Commission noted that the \$118 million reimbursement request isn't consistent with their AB 3090 Policy and expressed a number of other concerns. SJCOG was asked to work with Commission staff and the Department to identify other options that may be more acceptable before the item is brought back for action.

- 53. • **Environmental Matters – Approval of Project for Consideration of Funding – Route 10 in Los Angeles County – Construct an HOV lane in each direction in Baldwin Park, West Covina, Covina, San Dimas, Pomona and unincorporated Los Angeles County (ND). Resolution E-04-05** 2.2c.(1)

Deputy Director Robert Chung recommended that the Commission, as a responsible agency, approve the negative declaration to construct an HOV lane in each direction on Route 10, San Bernardino Freeway, between Route 605 and Routes 57/210 and approve the project for consideration of funding.

Commissioner Ghielmetti moved to approve. Commissioner McKenna seconded the motion, which carried 6-0 (Commissioners Balgenorth and Esteban Torres were absent).

- 54. • ~~One Route Adoption – Notice of intention to Consider Rescinding Freeway Adoption 03-Sut-99-KP-57.9/68.0 (PM 36.0/42.2) & 03-But-99-KP-0.0/21.1 (PM 0.0/13.1) State Route 99 in Sutter & Butte County Resolution NIU-04-01~~ 2.3a.
Withdrawn

The Department withdrew this item prior to the Commission meeting.

- 55. • **Financial Allocation for 36 Minor Projects on the FY-03-04 SHOPP Allocation Plan totaling \$18,297,000 plus \$1,122,000 from other sources. Resolution FP-03-27**

This item was moved to the consent calendar and approved.

- 56. • **Financial Allocation for Five Minor Projects not Proposed for Allocation totaling \$2,586,000.** 2.5a.(2)

Deputy Director Stephen Maller reported that the five SHOPP Minor projects valued at \$2.6 million are delivered and ready for allocation of funds, but were not identified for allocation in the Commission adopted FY 2003-04 SHOPP Allocation Plan and recommended the projects be moved to the pending allocation list.

Commissioner Lindsey moved to adding the projects to the Pending Allocation List per staff's recommendation. Commissioner Lawrence seconded the motion, which carried 6-0 (Commissioners Balgenorth and Torres were absent).

- 57. • **Financial Allocation for Eight SHOPP Projects on the FY-03-04 SHOPP Allocation Plan totaling \$24,323,000 plus \$795,000 from other sources. Resolution FP-03-29** 2.5b.(2)

Tab # / Time	Item Description
--------------	------------------

Deputy Director Stephen Maller reported the eight SHOPP projects valued at \$24.3 million are delivered and ready for allocation of funds. Mr. Maller recommended the Commission only allocate \$12.4 million for Projects #2, 3, 4 and 8 and move Projects #1, 5, 6 and 7 to the Pending Allocation List.

Commissioner Lindsey moved to approve the allocations as recommended by staff. Commissioner McKenna seconded the motion, which carried 6-0 (Commissioners Balgenorth and Torres were absent).

- 58. • **Financial Allocation for One SHOPP Project 2.5b.(3)
Amended into the SHOPP by Departmental action for
\$8,350,000.
Resolution FP-03-30**

This item was moved to the consent calendar and approved. Project #1 (EA 0C8701), as referenced on the Changes to the CTC Agenda pink handout, was corrected to reflect that the total should have been \$8,350,000 rather than \$8,900,000.

- 59. • **Financial Allocation for Three Local Assistance 2.5c.(1)
STIP Projects off the State Highway System totaling
\$2,432,000 plus \$7,434,000 from other sources.**

Deputy Director Stephen Maller reviewed Items #59-62, which include nine STIP projects valued at \$17.3 million that are delivered and ready for allocation of funds. Due to the cash flow problems in the State Highway Account Mr. Maller recommended that the Commission not allocate the projects, but move them to the Pending Allocation List.

John Presleigh, Santa Cruz County Department of Public Works spoke in support of allocating \$600,000 to the El Rancho Drive Roadway Reconstruction project under Item #59. Mr. Presleigh stated the County could lose \$2 million in federal ER funds, if they do not award the El Rancho project by May 2004. Since the instability of the El Rancho Drive Roadway could ultimately jeopardize the north bound lanes of Highway 17, the Commission requested this item be looked into further and asked for a report back at the April meeting.

Commissioner Ghielmetti moved to approve moving the nine projects to the pending allocation list per staff's recommendation. Commissioner McKenna seconded the motion, which carried 6-0 (Commissioners Balgenorth and Torres were absent).

- **Report at the April Commission meeting regarding the history of the Santa Cruz project, and how to resolve the issues surrounding that project. (April Agenda Item)
ACTION: Jim Nicholas**

- 60. • **Financial Allocation for Two Local Assistance STIP 2.5c.(5)
Road Pavement Rehabilitation Projects totaling
\$868,000.**

See action taken under Item #59.

- 61. • **Financial Allocation for Two STIP Rail/Transit 2.6a.(1)
Projects totaling \$1,547,000.**

See action taken under Item #59.

- 62. • **Financial Allocation for Two Intercity Rail Projects 2.6a.(2)
totaling \$11,414,000.**

Tab # / Time	Item Description
-----------------	------------------

See action taken under Item #59.

- 63. • Request for Waiver of Assembly Bill 1012 Use It or Lose It Provisions for Regional Agency Apportioned Federal Funds for two agencies totaling \$12,152,319. Waiver-03-37 4.7**

Deputy Director Stephen Maller recommended that the Commission redirect back to Riverside and San Bernardino Counties their AB 1012 cycle four funds until August 2004.

Commissioner Lindsey moved to approve the resolution, as recommended by staff. Commissioner Hallisey seconded the motion, which carried 6-0 (Commissioners Balgenorth and Torres were absent).

- 64. • Financial Allocation for Supplemental Funds for Previously Voted Projects. One project for \$955,000. Resolution FA-03-06 2.5e.**

-- 10-Tuo-108 – STIP Project in Tuolumne County. Current allocation is \$42,250,000. This request for \$955,000 to complete the construction contract results in an increase of 14% over the allocated amount.

Jim Nicholas, Caltrans, requested \$955,000 in supplemental funds to finish the State Route 108 Sonora Bypass project. Unanticipated mitigation costs associated with heavy storms that required additional stormwater filtration and an archaeological site dating back 8,000 years that was discovered after grading began depleted contingency funds, . There were no national archeological organizations willing to contribute any funds toward protecting the archeological site.

Commissioner Lindsey moved to approve the supplemental funds request. Commissioner Ghielmetti seconded the motion, which carried 6-0 (Commissioners Balgenorth and Torres were absent).

- Provide by letter to Commissioners and staff an update on negotiations with Tuolumne County regarding the STIP Project on Route 108 and the County’s relinquishment agreement.**

ACTION: Jim Nicholas

- 65. • Request to Extend the Period of Project Allocation for Eight local STIP projects totaling \$5,759,000. Waiver-03-35 2.8a.**

Deputy Director Stephen Maller reviewed Items #65 and 66 and recommended that the Commission approve the requested extensions.

Commissioner Lindsey moved to approve the extension requests. Commissioner McKenna seconded the motion, which carried 6-0 (Commissioners Balgenorth and Torres were absent).

- 66. • Request to Extend the Period of Contract Award for One local STIP project in Sacramento County for \$4,332,000. Waiver-03-36 2.8b.**

See action taken under Item #65.

Tab # / Time	Item Description
-----------------	------------------

Planning, Programming and Monitoring (#67-71)

- 67. • Financial Allocation for Nine Local Assistance STIP 2.5c.(8)
Projects for Planning, Programming and Monitoring
totaling \$415,000.
Resolution FP-03-33**

Deputy Director Stephen Maller recommended that the Commission not allocate funds to any STIP project during FY 2003-04, but instead grant AB 3090 cash reimbursements in FY 2005-06 for Planning Programming and Monitoring (PPM) activities programmed in FY 2003-04 in the STIP.

Peter DeHaan, RTPA Moderator, reported the regions originally wanted an allocation of the entire \$16 million programmed in FY 2003-04 for PPM activities. At the January 2004 meeting, Celia McAdam, RTPA Vice-Moderator, proposed the Commission allocate only \$3.7 million for FY 2003-04 PPM activities and consider granting AB 3090 reimbursements to those agencies that requested such consideration for the remaining unallocated FY 2003-04 PPM activities funds. The Commission asked the agencies to identify exactly how much they need and report back at the February meeting. Mr. DeHaan said a survey of the regions was performed and the regions have now lowered their allocation request to \$3.4 million, which is the absolute minimum the regions need.

Rural Counties Task Force (RCTF) Chair George Dondero stated this exercise has been a unique cooperative effort between large and small counties. Mr. Dondero said they acted in good faith and referenced this month's book item where the Department expressed its support for the regional agencies allocation proposal. Jim Nicholas, Caltrans, stated the Department does not oppose this proposal and understands the difficulty the regions are having with project delivery, adding it will be devastating to the regions if this proposal is not approved.

The Commissioners thanked the RTPAs for their willingness to work together. Mr. DeHaan gave special thanks to Celia McAdam for all her hard work in leading the PPM Task Force and delivering the PPM presentation last month.

Commissioner Lindsey moved to approve the PPM allocation request under Item #67. Commissioner Lawrence seconded the motion, which carried 6-0 (Commissioners Balgenorth and Torres were absent).

- 68. • Financial Allocation for Two Local Assistance STIP 2.5c.(10)
Projects for Planning, Programming and Monitoring
totaling \$537,000.**

Deputy Director Stephen Maller recommended the Commission place the two projects on the Pending Allocation List.

Commissioner Lindsey moved to approve staff's recommendation. Commissioner Hallisey seconded the motion, which carried 6-0 (Commissioners Balgenorth and Torres were absent).

- 69. • Highway/Rail and Transit Pending Allocations – 4.3a.
399 project allocations totaling \$690,640,000.**

Deputy Director Stephen Maller reported the projects on the pending allocation list were programmed in the STIP and SHOPP for delivery in FY 2002-03 and FY 2003-04, but were not allocated due to insufficient funds in the State Highway Account. Mr. Maller recommended the Commission allocate \$62,786,000 to the following Pending List projects: \$2,959,000 to 30 regional agencies for PPM activities; \$59,807,000 to 28 high priority SHOPP projects ; and \$20,000 to one federal transportation enhancement (TE) project. Mr. Maller recommended that all other projects remain on the Pending Allocation List.

Tab # / Time	Item Description
--------------	------------------

Commissioner Lindsey moved to approve the allocations as recommended by staff. Commissioner Ghielmetti seconded the motion, which carried 6-0 (Commissioners Balgenorth and Torres were absent).

- 70. • **STIP Amendment 02S-124** **2.1b.(3)**
The Regional Transportation Planning Agencies (RTPAs) and the Rural Counties Task Force are requesting this amendment. Sixteen RTPAs and rural transportation agencies propose to designate \$11,105,000 of Regional Improvement Program (RIP) funds currently programmed in FY 2003-04 for the Planning, Programming and Monitoring (PPM) activities as reimbursement projects per AB 3090. These agencies and counties will use their local funds to fund the program now for later RIP reimbursement. This is a revenue neutral programming action.

Deputy Director Stephen Maller reported this is a STIP amendment request by 16 local agencies for AB 3090 Reimbursement for their unallocated FY 2003-04 Planning, Programming and Monitoring (PPM) activities funds. Mr. Maller recommended the AB 3090 amendment return for action on the Commission’s consent calendar in April.

- 71. • **Transfer a total of \$4,676,000 of FY 2003-04 SHOPP Budget Authority to STIP for Planning, Programming and Monitoring (\$3,374,000), Eureka Non-Freeway Alternative Project (\$1,222,000), and Transportation Enhancements Activities Project (\$80,000).** **2.5h.**
Resolution FM-03-05

Deputy Director Stephen Maller reported this item is a request to transfer back \$4.7 million of FY 2003-04 SHOPP budget authority to STIP local assistance budget authority. Mr. Maller recommended that the Commission approve the budget transfer and require the Department to start reporting on budget levels in the Quarterly Delivery Reports.

Commissioner Lawrence moved to approve the staff recommendation. Commissioner McKenna seconded the motion, which carried 6-0 (Commissioners Balgenorth and Torres were absent).

- **Starting with the next quarterly report, include a budgets section that summarizes the monthly budget authority information. (*Quarterly Agenda Item*)**
ACTION: Carl Hack

POLICY MATTERS

- 72. • **Proposed 2004 SHOPP** **4.2**

Jim Nicholas, Caltrans, presented the Department’s proposed 2004 SHOPP, which contains approximately 600 projects worth \$5.4 billion from FY 2004-05 through FY 2007-08. Deputy Director Stephen Maller requested the Department prepare a breakdown showing funds used to bring roadways up to current federal standards and the funds used for actual rehabilitation of distressed pavement. Mr. Nicholas said an analysis will be provided. Mr. Maller recommended that the 2004 SHOPP be presented for approval at the April Commission Meeting. The Commission thanked Mike Evans and John Van Berkel for their expertise in this area and for putting together such a great package.

Tab # / Time	Item Description
-----------------	------------------

- Provide an analysis of the SHOPP Roadway Preservation category that identifies proposed pavement rehabilitation expenditures versus federal standards upgrade expenditures; also identify the optimum mix between the two elements. *(April Agenda Item)*
ACTION: Mike Evans

73. • State and Federal Legislative Matters * 4.1

***The Commission may consider bills or resolutions newly introduced, recently amended, or calendared for Legislative committee or floor action, subsequent to publication of this agenda. For further info, call Executive Director, Diane Eidam at (916) 654-4245.**

Executive Director, Diane Eidam, introduced Joel Riphagen, from the Legislative Analyst’s Office (LAO) who reported on the Governor’s mid-year and budget-year proposals to legislature.

Joel Riphagen LAO Budget Report

About one-quarter of the administration’s mid-year savings proposal entails using \$920 million in transportation funds to aid the General Fund. State transportation funding has been highly unstable for several years. Since 2001-02, \$2.2 billion has been diverted from the TCRP and Prop 42 to address the state’s budgetary shortfall, and the governor’s proposals would divert an additional \$2 billion from transportation, which would cause project delays, add risk to ongoing projects and make planning for new projects difficult. Recommendations to stabilize transportation funding include asking voters to repeal Prop 42, increase the gas tax to make up for Prop 42, and index the gas tax to prevent future erosion of transportation funding relative to travel demand.

The Governor’s proposal to manage local projects on a cash basis (instead of accrual) increases the risk that future funding may not be sufficient to cover project expenditures. LAO recommends Caltrans be directed to report periodically to the Commission on the use of state cash for local projects.

The level of future transportation revenues is highly uncertain due to several factors including unknown Prop 42 commitments and the state’s conversion to ethanol fuel.

LAO recommends Legislature withhold action on eliminating the three Commission positions and \$256,000 to administer the TCRP until it determines the status of the program and based on that decision adjust the Commission’s staff accordingly. The Commission and Caltrans are to report expected TCRP project closeout costs to the Legislature by April 2004.

Excessive staff reduction would hinder the Commission’s function. LAO recommends that two instead of four positions be eliminated because the commission workload justifies the higher staffing level, which would be consistent with the Commission’s staffing level since the late 1980s.

Limited funding has reduced pavement maintenance work, which has resulted in the State’s rough road system and increases the state’s rehab and reconstruction costs, which ultimately costs drivers money for vehicle repairs. LAO recommends the enactment of legislation requiring Caltrans to develop a long-range plan to reduce the backlog of pavement maintenance work.

The state’s fiscal crisis has affected project delivery. Caltrans’ borrowing of federal funds from locals delayed their timely use of federal funds for local projects. The Commission could not allocate funds for many delivered projects delaying the start of construction. Current year delivery plans have also been constrained by available funding. Additional diversion of transportation funds will further delay project delivery.

The state should rely on the excise tax on gasoline and diesel fuel as the main source of transportation funding as it has in the past. An estimated increase of 6 cents per gallon in this tax would generate about the same revenue

Tab # / Time	Item Description
--------------	------------------

that would otherwise be provided by Prop 42, although this doesn't include the Public Transit Account. California ranks 32nd in excise tax and 51st on road expenditures.

Commissioner Lindsey said the Commission appreciated Joel's report, but is concerned that if the Governor's proposals go through, there will be a high price to pay in the future. He reiterated that the Commission staff is the one nonpartisan staff and strongly supports no reduction to present staff and \$800 million.

John Barna asked Mr. Riphagen about a policy issue regarding the sales tax gas on TCRP not having Article XIX restrictions will reduce funding for both bus and rail equipment purchases, adding that Prop 42 was a good resource for state funds to match federal funds. Commissioner Lindsey said the TCRP gave us the ability to advance huge projects outside of the rules, and if the rules are added back in, it will be difficult once again to build large projects. Mr. Riphagen responded that a gas tax can follow new rules, as long as these rules stay within the Article XIX guidelines.

Executive Director, Diane Eidam, complimented Joel Riphagen, Dana Curry and Elizabeth Hill of the LAO's office for their excellent work and assistance.

74. • FY 2003-04 Asset Management Business Plan 4.4

Chuck Crosby, Caltrans Right-of-Way, had presented the FY 2003-04 Asset Management Plan at the January 2004 Commission meeting, but was asked to return in February to discuss the Department's Five-Year Capital Outlay Infrastructure Plan (Plan) and its relationship with the 2004 Fund Estimate. Mr. Crosby reported that the five-year plan is due in March by statute and the Fund Estimate is due at the end of May. This resulted in different numbers because Caltrans removed some projects in the Plan. Spreadsheets listing all of the projects in the five-year Fund Estimate and the projects lined out in Capital Outlay Plan were included in this month's book item. The Commission requested that the Department identify in its report next year the number and type of projects proposed versus what is approved.

Commissioner Lindsey moved to accept the report. Commissioner McKenna seconded the motion, which carried 5-0 (Commissioners Balgenorth, Torres and Hallisey were absent).

- **For next year's Asset Management Plan presentation, identify what projects Caltrans proposed, what the Department of Finance approved, and the impact resulting from the projects being delayed. Further, identify the approved plan's consistency with the adopted Fund Estimate.**
ACTION: Robert Chung/ Gwen Arfiles/Chuck Crosby

75. • Proposal for a New Priority System for State Funded Airport Projects 4.6

This item was moved to the consent calendar and approved.

76. • California Aviation System Plan: Requirements Element Systems 4.5

R. Austin Wiswell, Caltrans Division Chief for Aeronautics, reviewed the Systems Requirement Element for the California Aviation System Plan. The intent of the Element is to establish minimum requirements or standards for airport capacity and safety-related infrastructure enhancements for General Aviation and Reliever Airports. Commissioner McKenna commended Mr. Wiswell and the Division of Aeronautics for doing a nice job on the booklet.

Tab # / Time	Item Description
--------------	------------------

77. • I-710 Gateways Major Investment Study 5.2

Frank Colonna, President of the Gateway Cities Council of Governments, gave a PowerPoint presentation on the I-710 Gateways Major Corridor Study. In 1999, 27 cities in southeastern Los Angeles identified route I-710 as a major problem. Approximately 75% of all cargo leaves the ports by truck, 60% of those trucks are destined for the Gateway Cities Area, and the majority of the trucks use I-710. Mr. Colonna reviewed the Truck Trip Destination Survey Results. The funding partners for the I-710 corridor are Caltrans, Gateway Cities Council of Governments, MTA and SCAG, who are all working together to help deliver this product, which is expecting approximately \$4 million in funds. The project began in February 2002. The alternatives were reduced from 12 to 5 after it was highly criticized by the public and the media. In March and April 2003, none of the build alternatives were satisfactory to the public. The I-710 corridor was then established when the OPC changed directions and developed a "hybrid" from the proposed alternatives. The I-710 OPC guiding principles are to minimize right-of-way acquisitions, minimize diesel emissions, improve safety, relieve congestion and improve public participation in developing alternatives. Mr. Colonna reviewed the preliminary schedule noting that construction is scheduled to begin in 2012. Mr. Colonna said the idea of taking the trucks off the road during the day and having them travel at night would help the SMOG issue, but the problem is having the customer there to receive the goods. Homeland security is also a very big issue that needs to be considered when trucks are moving at night. Mr. Colonna invited the commissioners to come visit the port in Long Beach and Los Angeles. The Commissioners were very impressed and appreciative of the presentation.

OTHER MATTERS / PUBLIC COMMENT 6.

Trini Campbell, representing Capay Valley Coalition, spoke to the Commission regarding the SR 16 proposed safety improvement project in Yolo County. Ms. Campbell requested that the Commission decline state funding for this project.

ADJOURN

There being no further business, Vice Chair Tavaglione adjourned the meeting at 2:35 p.m.

Diane C. Eidam, Executive Director

STATUS OF ACTION ITEMS
From
February 26, 2004 Commission Meeting

- 7&8 (3.1&3.5) Ross Chittenden/Robert Chung – Provide to Commissioners and staff a letter detailing the TCRP assumptions used for developing the estimated closeout costs for the program, as well as the closeout costs.
- **This has been done.**
- 7&8 (3.1&3.5) Larry Barnes – In all future monthly finance reports, provide information on the amount of budget authority approved for transportation, amount of authority used and amount still available. Include information for all the different categories and subcategories enumerated in the transportation portion of the state budget. (*Monthly Agenda Item*)
- **This will be done and included in the monthly finance reports.**
- 11 (3.7) Robert Chung/Warren Weber – Present at a future meeting of the Mass Transportation Committee a detailed explanation on the real costs to operate Intercity Rail. (*Future MTC Committee Agenda Item*)
- **This will be done and reported on at a future Mass Transportation Committee meeting.**
- 12 (3.9) Terry Abbott – Align the AB 1012 unobligated RSTP, CMAQ and Regional TEA balance notices as close as possible with the start of the federal fiscal year.
- **This will be done.**
- 59 (2.5c(1)) Jim Nicholas – Report at the April Commission meeting regarding the history of the Santa Cruz project, and how to resolve the issues surrounding that project. (*April Agenda Item*)
- **This will be discussed at the April meeting under Tab Nos. 38 and 39 (Reference Nos. 5.2b. and 2.1b.(2)).**
- 64 (2.5e) Jim Nicholas – Provide by letter to Commissioners and staff an update on negotiations with Tuolumne County regarding the STIP Project on Route 108 and the County's relinquishment agreement.
- **This will be done.**
- 71&8 (2.5h&3.5) Carl Haack – Starting with the next quarterly report, include a budgets section that summarizes the monthly budget authority information. (*Quarterly Agenda Item*)
- **This will be done.**

72 (4.2) Mike Evans – Provide an analysis of the SHOPP Roadway Preservation category that identifies proposed pavement rehabilitation expenditures versus federal standards upgrade expenditures; also identify the optimum mix between the two elements. (*April Agenda Item*)

- **This will be discussed at the April meeting under Tab No. 57 (Reference No. 4.2a.).**

74 (4.4) Gwen Arafiles/Chuck Crosby/Robert Chung – For next year’s Asset Management Plan presentation, identify what projects Caltrans proposed, what the Department of Finance approved and the impact resulting from the projects being delayed. Further, identify the approved plan’s consistency with the adopted Fund Estimate.

- **This will be done.**