

Memorandum

To: CHAIR AND COMMISSIONERS

CTC Meeting: February 26-27, 2003

Reference No.: 2.2c.(3)
Action Item

From: ROBERT L. GARCIA
Chief Financial Officer

Prepared by: Gary R. Winters
Division Chief
Environmental Analysis

Ref: **APPROVAL OF PROJECT FOR FUTURE CONSIDERATION OF FUNDING, TO REHABILITATE DRAINAGE AT VARIOUS LOCATIONS IN FIVE COUNTIES ON SIX DIFFERENT ROUTES, RESOLUTION NUMBER E-03-08**

ISSUE:

The attached resolution proposes to approve for future consideration of funding the following project for which a Negative Declaration has been completed:

- Route 49 in El Dorado, Placer and Sierra Counties, Routes 45 and 162 in Glenn County, and Routes 16, 113 and 128 in Yolo County – Rehabilitate drainage at various locations.

The project is fully funded in the 2002/2003 State Highway Operation and Protection Program (SHOPP) for an estimated cost of \$4.9 million, support and capital.

The Negative Declaration and supporting Initial Study have been transmitted to California Transportation Commission staff.

The Department of Transportation has approved the project for construction. This approval and the resulting filing of the Notice of Determination with the Office of Planning and Research will satisfy the environmental requirements for this stage of the project planning process.

RECOMMENDATION:

The Department recommends that the California Transportation Commission, as a responsible agency, approve the attached Resolution E-03-08.

Attachment(s)

CALIFORNIA TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION

Resolution for Future Consideration of Funding

03-ED-49, KP 56.4/60.6 (PM 35.1/37.7);

03-Pla-49, KP 0.2/3.6 (PM 0.1/2.2);

03-Sie-49, KP 3.1/52.3 (PM 1.9/32.5);

03-Gle-45, KP 22.1/28.6 (PM 13.7/17.8);

03-Gle-162, KP 65.7/79.3 (PM 40.8/49.3);

03-Yol-16, KP 14.7/45.2 (PM 9.1/28.1);

03-Yol-113, KP 24.4/28.4 (PM 15.1/17.6);

03-Yol 128, KP 3.0/9.8 (PM 1.9/6.1)

Resolution E-03-08

- 1.1 WHEREAS**, the California Department of Transportation (Department) has completed a Negative Declaration in compliance with the California Environmental Quality Act and the CEQA Guidelines for the following project:
- Route 49 in El Dorado, Placer and Sierra Counties, Routes 45 and 162 in Glenn County, and Routes 16, 113 and 128 in Yolo County – Rehabilitate drainage at various locations.
- 1.2 WHEREAS**, the Department has certified that the Negative Declaration has been completed in compliance with the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) and the State CEQA Guidelines for its implementation; and
- 1.3 WHEREAS**, the California Transportation Commission, as a responsible agency, has considered the information contained in the Negative Declaration; and
- 1.4 WHEREAS**, the project will not have a significant effect on the environment.
- 2.1 NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED** that the California Transportation Commission does hereby approve the above referenced project to allow for future consideration of funding.

**Drainage Rehabilitation
Focused Initial Study**

Glenn 162, 45, Yolo 16, 113, 128,
El Dorado 49, Placer 49
And Sierra 49
03-Various Routes- Various
Locations
2A0500



November 2002

John Webb for

**John Webb, Division Chief
North Region Environmental Planning
Department of Transportation**

11/6/02

Date of Approval



State of California
Department of Transportation

SCH Number: 2002112030
03-Glenn 113, 45; Yolo 16, 113, & 128;
El Dorado 49, Placer 49, & Sierra 49; Various Locations

Negative Declaration

Pursuant to: Division 13, Public Resources Code

Project Description

The California Department of Transportation (Caltrans) proposes to replace culverts that are under-capacity and install new culverts where needed in five counties on six different routes. Currently insufficient flow capacities cause ponding that deteriorates structural integrity of the roadbed. Ponding produces flooding to adjacent properties. Excess ponding overflows onto the roadway causing safety hazards to motorist and requires Maintenance to monitor and assist for safe passage.

- The project will have no effect on educational resources, heritage resources, community growth, housing, neighborhoods, landuse, air quality, energy, traffic patterns, terrain, topography, and timber resources.
- The project will have no significant effect on use of natural resources, floodplain, noise, water quality, wetlands, parkland, forest service land, open scenic resources, heritage fish and wildlife, endangered species and habitat, vegetation, and biological resources.
- Potential impacts to vegetation, scenic resources, parkland, and forest service land will be mitigated on site. A mitigation and monitoring plan will be developed to restore and monitor the impacted areas. Any potential impacts will be mitigated through compliance with the mitigation measures listed in the attached Initial Study, and following the letters of concurrence with the Tahoe National Forest Service will be reduced to a non-significant level.

Determination

Caltrans has prepared an Initial Study, and determines from this study that the proposed project would not have a significant effect on the environment for the following reasons:


for Japtes Singh Gill
John Webb
Division Chief
North Region Environmental Planning
California Department of Transportation

12/19/02
Date

STATE OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION PROJECT PLANS FOR CONSTRUCTION ON STATE HIGHWAY

IN EL DORADO, GLENN, PLACER,
SIERRA AND YOLO COUNTIES
AT VARIOUS LOCATIONS

To be supplemented by Standard Plans dated July, 1999



DIST	COUNTY	ROUTE	Kilometers Post	Sheet	TOTAL SHEETS
03	GLENN, EL DORADO, SIERRA, YOLO	15, 45, 49, 113, 128, 162	Var		



LOCATION MAP

The State of California, in the absence of express approval or ratification for the accuracy or completeness of electronic copies of this plan sheet.


Contractors now have a web site! To get to the web site, go to <http://www.dot.ca.gov>



Project Engineer
Registered Civil Engineer
Date
Plans Approval Date

Contract No. **03-240501**

CU 03259 EA 240501



NO SCALE

LOCATION-OF-CONSTRUCTION

LOCATION	COUNTY	ROUTE	KP	CULVERT (PK)
1	GLE	162	65.7/79.3	40.82, 48.17, 48.37, 48.56, 48.79, 49.30
2	GLE	45	22.1/28.6	13.74, 17.79
3	YOI	16	14.7/27.8	9.14, 12.19, 12.40, 13.84, 14.36, 14.48, 16.03, 17.25
4	YOI	16	39.1/40.0	24.29, 24.76, 24.85
5	YOI	113	24.4/28.4	15.15, 16.64, 16.65, 17.65, 17.66
6	YOI	128	3.0/9.8	1.86, 1.91, 5.63, 6.05
7	ED	49	56.4/60.6	35.05, 35.11, 35.22, 35.34, 35.39, 35.49, 35.53, 37.76, 36.40, 36.46, 36.66, 36.70, 37.67
8	PLA	49	0.2/3.6	0.11, 0.45, 1.18, 2.24
9	SI	49	3.1/5.7	1.92, 3.52, 3.54
10	SI	49	24.4/25.2	15.16, 15.57, 15.66
11	SI	49	42.7/52.3	26.56, 32.50

The Contractor shall possess the Class (or classes) of license as specified in the "Notice to Contractors".