

State of California
 Business, Transportation and Housing Agency
 Department of Transportation

PROGRAM AMENDMENTS
 STIP Amendment 00S-026B
 Action Item
 CTC Meeting: June 6-7, 2001

Prepared By:
 Jim Nicholas
 Chief
 Division of Transportation Programming
 (916) 654-4013

Agenda Item: 2.1a.(24)

PROPOSED

Original Signed By

 W. J. EVANS
 Chief Financial Officer
 June 1, 2001

STIP AMENDMENT 00S-026B – ACTION

ACTION UPDATE FROM NOTICE

This item was noticed at the May 2001 Commission meeting under STIP Amendment 00S-026. STIP Amendment 00S-026 had proposed to delay the programming schedule for three projects in Imperial, Riverside and San Bernardino counties.

The Commission expressed concern about projects that had previously been delayed and were now requesting another programming delay. They directed that those projects with their first programming delay would be approved without discussion and could be placed on the June Consent Calendar. They further directed that those with a prior programming delay return in June with an explanation of the previous delay(s) and a discussion about the relative certainty that the proposed schedule delay for construction can be met.

In order to allow those with their first delay to be on consent, the Department has split STIP Amendment 00S-026 into two separate amendments: STIP Amendment 00S-026A is for a project requesting a schedule delay for the first time, and STIP Amendment 00S-026B is for the remaining two projects with previous delays.

This amendment, STIP Amendment 00S-026B, contains a Revised Summary, Revised Executive Summary and Revised Summary Table for the two projects in Imperial and Riverside counties which have been delayed previously. An explanation of the previous delay(s) and a discussion about the relative certainty that the proposed schedule delay for construction can be met has been added to the background for each of the two projects. The references to the other project has been shown with “~~striketru~~” text.

In addition, Imperial County’s detail summary in the background was corrected to reflect \$775,000 instead of \$5,775,000 in Grandfathered RIP funds.

The following table lists all three projects in the original STIP Amendment 00S-026 with schedule delays and their corresponding revised STIP amendment number.

LISTING BY AMENDMENT (AMOUNTS IN \$THOUSANDS)

Amend. No.	COUNTY	PPNO	FY 2001-02 to FY 2000-01	FY 2001-02 to FY 2002-03	FY 2001-02 to FY 2003-04	FY 2002-03 to FY 2003-04
00S-026A	SBd	0001F		\$578		
00S-026B	IMP	0051G		\$32,542		
00S-026B	RIV	0075J		\$1,588		

REVISED SUMMARY

This amendment delays and adjusts programming for two projects in Imperial and Riverside counties. The net change in programming is: \$34,130,000 decrease in FY 2001-02 and \$34,130,000 increase in FY 2002-03, with no change in Riverside County's Share Balance while \$37,000 of RIP funding is returned to Imperial County's Unprogrammed Share Balance.

SUMMARY

~~This amendment delays three projects and adjusts programming in Imperial, Riverside and San Bernardino Counties, with a net change in Grandfathered Regional Improvement Program (GF RIP), and Interregional Improvement Program (IIP) programming, by Fiscal Year (FY), of: \$34,708,000 decrease in FY 2001-02 and \$34,708,000 increase in FY 2002-03. In addition, \$37,000 of RIP funding is returned to Imperial County's Unprogrammed Share Balance.~~

REVISED RECOMMENDATION

The Department, the Southern California Association of Governments (SCAG), and the Imperial Valley Association of Governments (IVAG) are requesting these changes to adjust their programmed projects.

RECOMMENDATION

~~The Southern California Association of Governments (SCAG), the San Bernardino Associated Governments (SANBAG), the Imperial Valley Association of Governments (IVAG), and the Department are requesting these changes to adjust their programmed projects.~~

RESOLUTION

Resolved, that the California Transportation Commission revise the 2000 State Transportation Improvement Program (STIP) at the end of the 30 day notice period as shown on Attachment A.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

This amendment delays and adjusts programming for 2 projects in Imperial and Riverside counties. The net change in programming by fiscal year (FY) is: \$34,130,000 decrease in FY 2001-02; and \$34,130,000 increase in FY 2002-03.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY AND BACKGROUND

~~This amendment delays and adjusts programming for 3 projects in Imperial, Riverside and San Bernardino Counties.~~

~~The net change in programming by fiscal year (FY) is:~~

~~\$34,708,000 decrease in FY 2001-02; and~~

~~\$34,708,000 increase in FY 2002-03.~~

The net changes in \$Thousands by the Fiscal Year of delay are tabulated below:

Summary	Changes (\$1,000)				
	Prior FY	FY 01-02	FY 02-03	FY03-04	FUTURE
From To					
Prior to 01-02					
01-02 to 02-03		(\$34,708) (\$34,130)	\$34,708 \$34,130		
01-02 to 03-04					
01-02 to FUTURE					
02-03 to 03-04					
TOTALS		(\$34,708) (\$34,130)	\$34,708 \$34,130		

BACKGROUND

This amendment delays and adjusts programming for two projects in Imperial and Riverside counties. The net change in programming is: \$34,130,000 decrease in FY 2001-02 and \$34,130,000 increase in FY 2002-03, with no change in County Share Balances.

~~This amendment delays three projects and adjusts programming in Imperial, Riverside and San Bernardino Counties, with a net change in Grandfathered Regional Improvement Program (GF-RIP), and Interregional Improvement Program (IIP) programming, by Fiscal Year (FY), of: \$34,708,000 decrease in FY 2001-02 and \$34,708,000 increase in FY 2002-03. In addition, \$37,000 of RIP funding is returned to Imperial County's Unprogrammed Share Balance.~~

~~The individual requests are grouped by county, including a summary of each county's request and the reason for delay, followed by a more detailed description of the project and the reasons for delay.~~

Attachment A displays the actual changes to the programming of STIP dollars and Attachment B displays the local funding, if any, associated with the projects.

IMPERIAL

This amendment delays a total of \$27,715,000 in RIP funds, \$4,052,000 in IIP funds and ~~\$5,775,000~~ **\$775,000** in Grandfathered RIP funds for one project on Route 7 in Imperial County. A decrease of \$37,000 in RIP funding is returned to Imperial County's Share Balance and \$6,000,000 in TEA-21 Demonstration funds for construction are also delayed from FY 2001-02 to FY 2002-03.

IMPERIAL COUNTY SUMMARY (AMOUNTS IN \$THOUSANDS)

Projects	Prior to FY 2001-02	FY 2001-02 to FY 2002-03	FY 2000-01 to FY 2003-04	TOTALS	LEAD/ DELAY BY	REASON FOR DELAY
1		\$32,542		\$32,542	CT/CT	Design change due to public input.
TOTALS		\$32,542		\$32,542		

STIP Amendment 00S-026B

June 6-7, 2001

This amendment delays a total of \$32,542,000 in RIP, IIP and Grandfathered RIP funds from FY 2001-02 to 2002-03 for a four-lane expressway project on Route 7 in Imperial County, PPNO 0051G. This includes \$27,715,000 in RIP funds (\$4,403,000 for construction support and \$23,312,000 for construction); delays a total of \$4,052,000 in IIP funds for construction from FY 2001-02 to FY 2002-03; and delays \$775,000 in Grandfathered RIP funds for construction support. This amendment also decreases RIP right of way funds by \$37,000.

The delay is needed to address right of way concerns raised by the property owners adjacent to the preferred alignment of the frontage road. The County of Imperial has requested a redesign of the frontage road to address these concerns, which will also require a change in right of way acquisition. Southern California Association of Governments (SCAG) concurs with the Imperial Valley Association of Governments (IVAG) request to postpone delivery of the project to FY 2002-03 to aid in developing a solution that would help reduce impacts to the adjacent property owners.

Programming History

CON Component	Action	Fiscal Year	(\$1,000)	Reason
Original Programming	1998 STIP	2000-01	\$32,542	Although project was initially programmed in 96 STIP with R/W and support, the construction component was not added until 98 STIP under Resolution G-98-19.
1 st Revision	98S-119	2001-02	\$32,542	Design changes and additional studies needed as a result of environmental document process.
This Proposal	00S-026B	2002-03	\$32,542	Design changes due to public input require additional time.

Discussion of Revised Programming

Delaying \$32,542,000 in STIP funding (\$27,715,000 RIP, \$4,052,000 IIP and \$775,000 in GF-RIP) for construction from FY 2001-02 to FY 2002-03 is needed to address the design changes due to public input. To prevent any further delays in the future, the Department has held meetings with affected property owners and Imperial County to explain the key changes to the plans that will be made to address their concerns.

RIVERSIDE

This amendment delays a total of \$1,588,000 in Grandfathered IIP funds from FY 2001-02 to FY 2002-03 for one project in Riverside County with no change in Riverside County's Share Balance.

RIVERSIDE COUNTY SUMMARY (AMOUNTS IN \$THOUSANDS)

Projects	FY 2000-01 to FY 2001-02	FY 2001-02 to FY 2002-03	FY 2000-01 to FY 2003-04	TOTALS	LEAD/ DELAY BY	REASON FOR DELAY
1		\$1,588		\$1,588	CT/Cities	Cities' concern on construction methods.
TOTALS		\$1,588		\$1,588		

This amendment splits a relinquishment project (PPNO 0075F) on Route 86 in Riverside County into a County of Riverside portion (PPNO 0075F) and a Cities of Coachella and Indio portion (PPNO 0075J) and delays a total of \$1,588,000 of the Cities portion from FY 2001-02 to FY 2002-03 in Grandfathered IIP funds (\$281,000 for construction support and \$1,307,000 for construction). The original \$5,332,000 project is split as follows: Riverside County portion (\$266,000 in PS&E, \$38,000 in right of way support, \$585,000 in construction support, and \$2,709,000 in construction) and the Cities portion (\$128,000 in PS&E, \$18,000 in right of way support, \$281,000 in construction support and \$1,307,000 in construction).

Rehabilitation is required in order to relinquish these routes in a state of good repair to the City of Coachella and the City of Indio. In order to relinquish this route, the Stage 3 construction project (PPNO 0075D) on new Route 86 must be complete. The Stage 3 project was delayed 2 years due to negotiations with the Torres Martinez Indian Tribe. The current estimated date of completion is July 1, 2001. In order to expedite delivery of a portion of the project, the rehabilitation project is being split into two projects: the County of Riverside portion to be delivered in FY 2001-02, and the City of Indio and Coachella's portion to be delayed to FY 2002-03 to address the cities' concern on construction methods.

Programming History

CON Component	Action	Fiscal Year	(\$1,000)	Reason
Original Programming	1996 STIP	1999-2000	\$4,882	Mitigation project split from PPNO 00750
1 st Revision	G-98-19	2000-01	\$4,882	Technical adjustment to the 1998 STIP
2 nd Revision	98S-113	2001-02	\$4,882	Relinquishment project cannot begin until the completion of Rte 86 four-lane expressway, which had been delayed for about two years due to R/W issues.
This Proposal	00S-026B	2002-03	\$1,588	Split project into county and cities portions. City's portion needs to delay to FY 2002-03 due to their concerns on construction methods.

Discussion of Revised Programming

Delaying \$1,588,000 in GF-IIP funds for construction from FY 2001-02 to FY 2002-03 is needed to address the cities' concerns on construction methods. To prevent any further delays in the future, the Department is working with the Cities of Coachella and Indio to identify their concerns on this project, and the Department is committed to be ready to list in FY 2002-03.

SAN BERNARDINO

This amendment delays a total of \$578,000 in RIP funds from FY 2001-02 to FY 2002-03 for one project in San Bernardino County with no change in San Bernardino County's Share Balance.

SAN BERNARDINO COUNTY SUMMARY (AMOUNTS IN \$THOUSANDS)

Projects	FY 2000-01 to FY 2001-02	FY 2001-02 to FY 2002-03	FY 2000-01 to FY 2003-04	TOTALS	LEAD/ DELAY BY	REASON FOR DELAY
4		\$578		\$578	City/City	FTA Grant application window may be missed
TOTALS		\$578		\$578		

STIP Amendment 00S-026B

June 6-7, 2001

~~This amendment delays a total of \$578,000 in RIP funds programmed for construction, from FY 2001-02 to FY 2002-03, for the Downtown Transportation Center project in the City of Chino (PPNO 08-0001F). Also delayed is \$84,000 in City funds for the construction component from FY 2001-02 to FY 2002-03.~~

~~Although the funds have been programmed for this project in the STIP, distribution of funding is through Federal Transit Administration (FTA) Grants. Omnitrans will act as the grant recipient on behalf of the City of Chino in applying for FTA Grants. Omnitrans can only submit one application per year, which is usually done in February or March of each year which is in the middle of the Federal Fiscal Year. There have been complications with the statewide process for completing transfers to the FTA in the past few years, so Omnitrans has requested the programming be changed from FY 2001-02 to FY 2002-03. At the same time they are working diligently to try to include this project in the 2002 FTA submittal.~~

ATTACHMENTS

STIP AMENDMENT 00S-026B
STIP PROGRAMMING
(\$1,000)

ATTACHMENT A

FUND	County	Agency	Rte	Lead	Delay By	PPNO	Project	Project Totals by Fiscal Year						Project Totals by Component							
								Total	Prior	FY 01	FY 02	FY 03	FY 04	Fut	R/W	Const	E & P	PS&E	R/W Sup	Con Eng	
RIP	IMP	Caltrans	7	CT	CT	0051G	New Route 7 Expressway	-33,364	-5,649		-27,715					-3,052	-23,312	-305	-1,112	-1,180	-4,403
RIP	IMP	Caltrans	7	CT		0051G	New Route 7 Expressway	33,327	5,612		27,715					3,015	23,312	305	1,112	1,180	4,403
IIP	IMP	Caltrans	7	CT	CT	0051G	New Route 7 Expressway	-4,052			-4,052						-4,052				
IIP	IMP	Caltrans	7	CT		0051G	New Route 7 Expressway	4,052			4,052						4,052				
GF RIP	IMP	Caltrans	7	CT	CT	0051G	New Route 7 Expressway	-10,342	-9,567		-775					-5,000		-783	-3,782	-2	-775
GF RIP	IMP	Caltrans	7	CT		0051G	New Route 7 Expressway	10,342	9,567		775					5,000		783	3,782	2	775
							REASON FOR DELAY: Design change due to public input														
GF IIP	RIV	Caltrans	86	CT	City	0075F	Rehabilitate roadway for relinquishment	-5,332	-450		-4,882						-4,016		-394	-56	-866
GF IIP	RIV	Caltrans	86	CT		0075F	Rehabilitate roadway for relinquishment (RIV Co. portion)	3,598	304		3,294						2,709		266	38	585
GF IIP	RIV	Caltrans	86	CT		0075J	Rehabilitate roadway for relinquishment (City portion)	0													
GF IIP	RIV	Caltrans	86	CT		0075J	Rehabilitate roadway for relinquishment (City portion)	1,734	146		1,588						1,307		128	18	281
							REASON FOR DELAY: Cities concern on construction methods														
RIP	SBd	City of China		City	City	0004F	Downtown Transportation Center - Phase 4	-1,299		-721	-578					-516	-578	-42	-163		
RIP	SBd	City of China		City		0004F	Downtown Transportation Center - Phase 4	1,299		721	578					516	578	42	163		
							REASON FOR DELAY: FTA Grant application window may be missed.														

**STIP AMENDMENT 00S-026B
LOCAL PROGRAMMING
(\$1,000)**

ATTACHMENT B

Fund	County	Agency	Rte	Lead	Delay By	PPNO	Project	Project Totals by Fiscal Year						Project Totals by Component								
								Total	Prior	FY 01	FY 02	FY 03	FY 04	Fut	R/W	Const	E & P	PS&E	R/W Sup	Con Eng		
TEA 21 Demo	IMP	Caltrans	7	CT	CT	0051G	New Route 7 Expressway	-6,000			-6,000						-5,500					-500
TEA 21 Demo	IMP	Caltrans	7	CT		0051G	New Route 7 Expressway	6,000				6,000					5,500					500
Local	SBd	City of China		City	City	0001F	Downtown Transportation Center - Phase 1	-178		-94	-84					-70	-84	-6	-18			
Local	SBd	City of China		City		0001F	Downtown Transportation Center - Phase 1	178		94	84					70	84	6	18			