

State of California  
Business, Transportation and Housing Agency  
Department of Transportation

MASS TRANS FINANCIAL MATTERS  
Rail/Transit Allocation Amendments  
Resolution: BFA-99-10

Prepared By:  
Ileen Jellison  
Program Manager,  
Budgets Program  
(916) 654-4556

CTC Meeting: June 14-15, 2000

Agenda Item: 2.6e

*Original Signed By Jim Nicholas for*  
W. J. EVANS, Deputy Director  
Finance  
June 1, 2000

**ALLOCATION AMENDMENT FOR PROPOSITION 116**  
**PROGRAM PROJECTS**

**RESOLUTION BFA-99-10**  
**Amending Resolutions BFA-94-46, BFP-95-06, BFP-98-17,**  
**BFA-97-05, and BFP-95-10**

**RECOMMENDATION**

The Department of Transportation (Department) recommends the California Transportation Commission (Commission) approve the following resolution amendment, in accordance with the attached vote list, for five (5) project allocations funded from Proposition 116 Non-Urban Counties Program funds.

**FINANCIAL RESOLUTION**

**Resolved That:**

- 1.1 WHEREAS, the California Commission has adopted an annual Transit Capital Improvement (TCI) Program for mass transportation capital purposes, and the electorate enacted both Proposition 108, the Passenger Rail and Clean Air Bond Act of 1990, and Proposition 116, the Clean Air and Transportation Improvement Bond Act of 1990 in the June, 1990, election authorizing the sale of general obligation rail bonds for rail transit purposes; and
- 1.2 WHEREAS, on March 27, 1996, the California Transportation Commission (Commission) approved Resolution BFP-95-46, which allocated \$2,955,400, to the County of Butte for the Transit Vehicles Acquisition and Bus Stops Improvements Project; and on September 18, 1997, the California Transportation Commission (Commission) approved Resolution BFA-97-04, amending Resolution BFP-95-46, to reflect a change in the number of 8-15 passenger transit vehicles to be purchased, with no change to the original allocation amount of \$2,955,400, or the scope of the second transit vehicle element or the bus stop improvements element; and
- 1.3 WHEREAS, on November 30, 1994, the California Transportation Commission (Commission) approved Resolution BFP-94-46, which allocated \$11,240 in Proposition 116 funds to the County of Modoc for the Acquisition of a 15-Passenger Van; and

- 1.4 WHEREAS, Modoc County Acquisition of a 15-Passenger Van project has been completed at a cost of \$8,296, a savings of \$2,944; and
- 1.5 WHEREAS, on July 13, 1995, the California Transportation Commission (Commission) approved Resolution BFP-95-06, which allocated \$159,000 in Proposition 116 funds to the County of Modoc for the Purchase of Four 15-Passenger Transit Vehicles; and
- 1.6 WHEREAS, Modoc County Purchase of Four 15-Passenger Transit Vehicles project has been completed at a cost of \$101,824, a savings of \$57,176; and
- 1.7 WHEREAS, on December 2, 1998, the California Transportation Commission (Commission) approved Resolution BFP-98-17, which allocated \$280,792 in Proposition 116 funds to the County of Nevada for the Paratransit Vehicle Acquisition; and
- 1.8 WHEREAS, Nevada County Paratransit Vehicle Acquisition project has been completed at a cost of \$262,438, a savings of \$18,354; and
- 1.9 WHEREAS, on December 10, 1997, the California Transportation Commission (Commission) approved Resolution BFA-97-05, which allocated \$3,723,491 in Proposition 116 funds to the County of Sacramento for the Mather Field Light Rail Extension; and
- 1.10 WHEREAS, Sacramento County Mather Field Light Rail Extension project has been completed at a cost of \$3,666,967, a savings of \$56,524; and
- 1.11 WHEREAS, on August 16, 1996, the California Transportation Commission (Commission) approved Resolution BFP-95-10, which allocated \$1,883,000 in Proposition 116 funds to the County of Sacramento for the South Sacramento Light Rail Extension; and
- 1.12 WHEREAS, Sacramento County South Sacramento Light Rail Extension project has been completed at a cost of \$1,718,938, a savings of \$164,062; and
- 2.1 NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, that the Commission hereby approves the Proposition 116 Program allocation amendment, Resolution BFA-99-10, amending Resolution BFP-94-46 by reducing the total original allocation amount of \$11,240 by \$2,944, for a new total of \$8,296; amending Resolution BFP-95-06 by reducing the total original allocation amount of \$159,000, by \$57,176, for a new total of \$101,824; amending Resolution BFP-98-17 by reducing the total original allocation amount of \$280,792, for a new total of \$262,438; amending Resolution BFA-97-05 by reducing the original allocation amount of \$3,723,491, for a new total of \$3,666,967; and amending Resolution BFP-95-10 by reducing the total original allocation amount of \$1,718,938, by \$164,062, for a new total of \$1,718,938.

2.6 Mass Transportation Financial Matters

Project # Resolution Allocation Recipient RTPA/CTC District-County Legislative District	Project Title Project Description Funding Summary	Program/Year PA# PUC Code Dist-PPNO Prog Amount	Fund Program Codes Alloc Amount
<b>2.6e Allocation Amendments – Proposition 116 Program Projects</b>			<b>BFA-99-10</b>
<b>BFA-99-10</b>			
<b>Amending BFP-94-46</b> \$8,296 Modoc County Local Transportation Commission <u>Modoc LTC</u> 02-Modoc Senate: 1 Assembly: 3	<b>Acquisition of a 15-Passenger Van</b> Amend BFP-94-46 to de-allocate \$2,944 in cost savings from the Acquisition of a fifteen passenger van project. (Concurrent PA Amendment under Item 2.1d and Allocation under Item 2.6b).  <u>ALLOCATION</u> BFP-94-46 <u>ORIGINAL</u> \$11,240 <u>REVISION</u> (\$2,944) <u>AMENDED</u> \$8,296	P116NT//94-95 PA-95-06 99628  02-2512A \$8,296	P116 30.10.070.000  \$8,296
<b>And Amending BFP-95-06</b> \$101,824 Modoc, County of <u>Modoc LTC</u> 02-Modoc Senate: 1 Assembly: 3	<b>Purchase of Four 15-Passenger Transit Vehicles</b> Amend BFP-95-06 to de-allocate \$57,176 cost savings from the purchase of four 15-passenger vans project. (Concurrent PA Amendment under Item 2.1d and Allocation under Item 2.6b).  <u>ALLOCATION</u> BFP-95-06 <u>ORIGINAL</u> \$159,000 <u>REVISION</u> (\$57,176) <u>AMENDED</u> \$101,824	P116NT/94-95 PA-95-06 99628  02-2512B \$101,824	P116 30.10.070.000  \$101,824
<b>And Amending BFP-98-17</b> \$262,438 Nevada, County of <u>Nevada LTC</u> 03-Nevada Senate: 1 Assembly: 3	<b>Paratransit Vehicle Acquisition Second Platform</b> Amend BFP-98-17 to de-allocate \$18,354 in cost savings from the paratransit vehicle acquisition project. (Concurrent PA Amendment under Item 2.1d and Allocation under Item 2.6b).  <u>ALLOCATION</u> BFP-98-17 <u>ORIGINAL</u> \$280,792 <u>REVISION</u> (\$18,354) <u>AMENDED</u> \$262,438	P116NT/98-99 PA-97-25 99628  03-0856 \$262,438	P116 30.10.070.000  \$262,438
<b>And Amending BFA-97-05</b> \$3,666,967 Sacramento Regional Transit District <u>SACOG</u> 03-Sacramento Senate: 5,6 Assembly: 9,10	<b>Mather Field Light Rail Extension</b> Amend BFA-97-05 to de-allocate \$56,524 in cost savings from the Mather Field light rail extension project.  <u>ALLOCATION</u> BFA-97-05 <u>ORIGINAL</u> \$3,723,491 <u>REVISION</u> (\$56,524) <u>AMENDED</u> \$3,666,967	P116U/97-98 PA-97-23 99643  03-1613B \$3,666,967	P116 30.020.020  \$3,666,967
<b>And Amending BFP-95-10</b> \$1,883,000 Sacramento Regional Transit District <u>SACOG</u> 03-Sacramento Senate: 5,6 Assembly: 9,10	<b>South Sacramento Light Rail Extension Project</b> Amend BFP-95-10 to de-allocate \$164,062 in cost savings from the South Sacramento light rail extension project.  <u>ALLOCATION</u> BFP-95-10 <u>ORIGINAL</u> \$1,883,000 <u>REVISION</u> (\$164,062) <u>AMENDED</u> \$1,718,938	P116U/94-95 PA-95-13 99643  03-1640 \$1,718,938	P116 30.020.010  \$1,718,938