

Interregional Transportation Improvement Program Scoring Criteria	ITIP Project (Highway)					Average Highway Project Score
	FRE-41	MAD-99	NEV-49	ORA-5	YOL-80	
Criterion						
REQUIREMENT: Is the facility identified in a Strategic Interregional Corridor Summary?	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Is the project on a Priority Interregional Facility?	3	3	3	3	3	3
How does the project improve interregional travel (e.g. freight movement, intercity rail, etc.)?	3	1	1	0	2	1
Does the project demonstrate potential for interregional travel mode shift , including to rail, transit, or active transportation?	0	0	0	2	1	1
How does the project impact single occupancy vehicle miles traveled ?	0	0	1	1	0	1
Does the project include and document a meaningful public engagement process that reached out to underrepresented (including black, indigenous, and other people of color (BIPOC)), low income, environmental justice communities, and/or their Community Based Organizations?	2	1	1	3	2	2
How does the project incorporate local community needs ?	3	1	2	2	2	2
How does the project support public health ?	1	0	0	1	1	1
Does the project innovatively improve an emergency evacuation route identified in a emergency plan/hazard mitigation plan using a strategic multimodal approach that is supported by state/local emergency services?	0	0	3	0	3	1
Does the project reduce fatalities and severe injuries for all users in alignment with the Safe Systems approach?	3	2	3	2	2	3
Does the project include and/or improve access to zero emission charging or fueling infrastructure ?	0	1	0	1	0	1
Does the project improve climate adaptation and resiliency by addressing one or more climate risk(s) identified in the Caltrans District Vulnerability Assessments and adaptation priority reports?	3	0	0	1	1	1
Does the project minimize the impact on natural resources and ecosystems ?	2	1	1	1	1	1
Does the project leverage SHOPP investment or other funds for the purpose of maintaining or rehabilitating assets in fair or poor within the project limits?	3	3	0	3	3	2
Does the project leverage partner funds ?	3	1	3	0	3	2
Total (Out of 42 Possible Points)	26	14	18	20	24	20
Alignment with Criteria	62%	33%	43%	48%	57%	46%

Interregional Transportation Improvement Program Scoring Criteria	ITIP Project (Rail)								Average Rail Project Score
	King City	Elk Grove	Phillips Siding	San Joaquin	Leesdale	SR3T	SMART	Coachella	
REQUIREMENT: Is the facility identified in a Strategic Interregional Corridor Summary?	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Is the project on a Priority Interregional Facility?	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
How does the project improve interregional travel (e.g. freight movement, intercity rail, etc.)?	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
Does the project demonstrate potential for interregional travel mode shift , including to rail, transit, or active transportation?	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
How does the project impact single occupancy vehicle miles traveled ?	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
Does the project include and document a meaningful public engagement process that reached out to underrepresented (including black, indigenous, and other people of color (BIPOC)), low income, environmental justice communities, and/or their Community Based Organizations?	2	1	1	1	1	1	2	1	1
How does the project incorporate local community needs ?	2	2	1	2	1	2	2	2	2
How does the project support public health ?	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
Does the project innovatively improve an emergency evacuation route identified in a emergency plan/hazard mitigation plan using a strategic multimodal approach that is supported by state/local emergency services?	3	3	3	3	3	2	3	3	3
Does the project reduce fatalities and severe injuries for all users in alignment with the Safe Systems approach?	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
Does the project include and/or improve access to zero emission charging or fueling infrastructure ?	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0
Does the project improve climate adaptation and resiliency by addressing one or more climate risk(s) identified in the Caltrans District Vulnerability Assessments and adaptation priority reports?	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0
Does the project minimize the impact on natural resources and ecosystems ?	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
Does the project leverage SHOPP investment or other funds for the purpose of maintaining or rehabilitating assets in fair or poor condition within the project limits?	3	3	1	0	3	3	3	3	2
Does the project leverage partner funds ?	3	3	1	0	3	3	3	3	2
Total (Out of 42 Possible Points)	34	33	28	27	32	34	36	33	32
Alignment with Criteria	81%	79%	67%	64%	76%	81%	86%	79%	76%

Interregional Transportation Improvement Program Scoring Criteria	ITIP Project (Active Transportation)		Average Active Transportation Project Score
	Lake SR 20	Tehama 36	
REQUIREMENT: Is the facility identified in a Strategic Interregional Corridor Summary?	N/A	N/A	N/A
Is the project on a Priority Interregional Facility?	3	3	3
How does the project improve interregional travel (e.g. freight movement, intercity rail, etc.)?	2	2	2
Does the project demonstrate potential for interregional travel mode shift , including to rail, transit, or active transportation?	1	3	2
How does the project impact single occupancy vehicle miles traveled ?	3	2	3
Does the project include and document a meaningful public engagement process that reached out to underrepresented (including black, indigenous, and other people of color (BIPOC)), low income, environmental justice communities, and/or their Community Based Organizations?	3	3	3
How does the project incorporate local community needs ?	3	3	3
How does the project support public health ?	3	3	3
Does the project innovatively improve an emergency evacuation route identified in a emergency plan/hazard mitigation plan using a strategic multimodal approach that is supported by state/local emergency services?	2	2	2
Does the project reduce fatalities and severe injuries for all users in alignment with the Safe Systems approach?	3	3	3
Does the project include and/or improve access to zero emission charging or fueling infrastructure ?	0	0	0
Does the project improve climate adaptation and resiliency by addressing one or more climate risk(s) identified in the Caltrans District Vulnerability Assessments and adaptation priority reports?	1	0	1
Does the project minimize the impact on natural resources and ecosystems ?	2	0	1
Does the project leverage SHOPP investment or other funds for the purpose of maintaining or rehabilitating assets in fair or poor condition within the project limits?	3	0	2
Does the project leverage partner funds ?	0	3	2
Total (Out of 42 Possible Points)	29	27	28
Alignment with Criteria	69%	64%	67%